

**M.A. NO. 463/2024 IN O.A.1109/2024**  
**(Amol Jalinder Kharade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicants are from Police Department and they have filed the accompanying Original Application on the same cause of action and for the same relief.

3. In view of same and for the reasons stated in the application, to avoid the multiplicity, the Misc. Application is allowed and the applicants are permitted to sue/prosecute jointly the accompanying Original Application seeking directions against the respondents to hold the applicants eligible to appear at the D.E.E. of 2024-2027, subject to payment of requisite court fees stamps, if not paid.

4. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1109/2024**

**Amol Jalinder Kharade,  
Rajshekhar Siddhappa Natekari,  
Ganesh Suka Chavan,  
Vishnu Gangaram Jadhav,  
Shaikh Nisar Ahmed Najir Ahmed**

**Versus**

**& Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicant nos. 01 and 02 are presently working as Police Hawaldar at Dist. Dharashiv, whereas the applicant nos. 03 to 05 are working at Dist. Jalna. The learned counsel submits that the applicants are held ineligible to be considered for appearing for the departmental examination to be conducted for promotion to the post of Reserved Police Sub-Inspector solely for the reason that they have no experience of working on the post of Police Hawaldar for continuous period of 04 years as on 30.04.2024. Learned counsel submits that it is the

specific case of the applicants that they have completed four years on the post of Hawaldar as they all were on deputation at the Police Training Centre. Thereafter the applicants were repatriated to the parent department and thereafter they are promoted to the post of Hawaldar and at present they are working on the said post.

3. The learned counsel for the applicants has placed reliance on the interim order dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 1088/2024 in connection with the similarly situated employees of the Police Department.

4. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the period of working on the post of Head Constable is required to be considered in the parent Department and all these applicants are precisely promoted in the years 2002 and onwards.

5. I have carefully gone through the order passed by the Division Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 1088/2024. In the order passed by the Division Bench a reference has been given to the judgment delivered by this Tribunal at Nagpur Bench in O.A. Nos. 432 to 434, 440 and 442 all of 2010 decided on 13.03.2012 and considering the peculiar circumstances the Division Bench has granted interim relief. There is no reason to take any other view than the view already taken by the

Division Bench of this tribunal so far as the interim relief is concerned. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.10.2024.

(ii) **The respondents are hereby directed to permit the applicants in the present Original Application to appear for the Departmental Examination to be held on 20.09.2024 and or any subsequent date, if it is postponed, and the result thereof so far as the present applicants are concerned, shall be subject to outcome of the present application.**

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

::-4-::

**O.A. NO. 1109/2024**

(vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 10.10.2024.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1093/2024**  
**(Kalpana Damdu Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities at length.

2. Leave granted to the learned counsel for the applicant to file on record synopsis and replace page no. 27 (Annex. A-3).

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out that the applicant is presently working as Education Officer (Secondary) at Jalgaon in terms of the transfer order dated 11.3.2024. By the impugned order dated 26.08.2024 (Annex. A-I) the applicant came to be suspended.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that prior to the transfer of the applicant from the post of Education Officer (Secondary), Chandrapur to the post of Education Officer (Secondary), Z.P., Jalgaon, in connection of her work at Chandrapur as a Head of Flying Squad certain allegations have been made. Consequently the applicant was called upon to submit the explanation by communication dated 26.03.2024

(Annex. A-4). The applicant has accordingly submitted her explanation dated 28.03.2024 (Annex. A-5). Learned counsel submits that after submitting the said explanation preliminary enquiry to enquire into the allegations made against the applicant was conducted and the Principal of District Education and Institution of Training, Wardha was appointed as Preliminary Enquiry Officer and the Enquiry Officer has submitted his report on 29.05.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that the preliminary Enquiry Officer precisely considered three charges and so far as charge No. 1 which is quite serious in nature, recorded the finding that the said charge is not proved. So far as charge No. 2 is concerned, it has been alleged that the applicant has demanded food from Centre-head during examination and the preliminary enquiry officer had recorded the said finding in affirmative. So far as charge No. 3 is concerned, the preliminary enquiry officer is not sure about the said allegation and further observed that the statement of centre-head also not above suspicion and accordingly recorded finding as partly proved.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out that the said preliminary enquiry was conducted at Wardha and the applicant has no knowledge about it except the explanation submitted by the applicant

(Annexure 'A-4'). Learned counsel submits that in the preliminary enquiry report submitted by the enquiry officer on 29.05.2024 there is reference about telephonic discussion with MLC from teachers' constituency. Learned counsel submits that it is a clear case of victimization and in fact even on the basis of enquiry report submitted by the Preliminary Enquiry Officer there is no need to suspend the applicant. The impugned order of suspension indicates the mala fides. There was no reason for the Preliminary Enquiry Officer to give reference to the talk with the MLC in the report when the matter was pertaining to preliminary enquiry made against the applicant in connection with the official duties performed by her as Education Officer (Secondary) at Chandrapur. Learned counsel submitted that in view of the same, objection raised by the office is not sustainable.

7. Learned Presenting Officer submitted that the applicant has suppressed the fact that the Preliminary Enquiry Officer has conducted the preliminary enquiry and submitted his report. There are no pleadings to that effect in the Original Application and the relevant document is submitted by the applicant today before this Tribunal. Learned Presenting Officer submitted that the



applicant is not entitled for any relief as has been claimed by him.

8. On careful perusal of the annexures and more particularly the preliminary enquiry report dated 29.05.2024, it appears that Preliminary Enquiry Officer has shockingly given reference to the talk on telephone with the MLC for teachers' constituency. I agree with the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant that in connection with the official duties the said enquiry was conducted and therefore, there was no reason for the enquiry officer to talk with the MLC concerned. I find much substance in the submission of the learned counsel that the suspension order is outcome of the some extraneous interference. Further it appears that said preliminary enquiry is concerned with the official duties performed by the applicant as head of flying squad in district Chandrapur. Applicant is presently working as Education Officer at Jalgaon. In view of the same, it appears that there is no reason to suspend the applicant. Thus, considering the entire aspect of the case I am inclined to grant interim relief only to the effect and operation of the impugned suspension order dated 26.08.2024. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.10.2024. **Till then the effect and operation of the**

**impugned suspension order dated 26.08.2024 (Annexure A-I) issued by respondent No. 1 is hereby stayed.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 10.10.2024.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 493/2023 IN O.A.st. 1818/2023**  
**(Nana Waman More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.K. Agale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The office has raised objection that so far as prayer clause 9(C) of the Original Application is concerned, the delay needs to be counted from the date of compulsory retirement of the applicant on 16.2.1995 and not from any other date. In view of prayer clause 9(C), there is delay of 27 years 6 months and 26 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A.

3. In view of the said office objection the learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant is not pressing prayer clause 9(C) of the accompanying O.A. and in view of the same the prayer clause 9(B) is remained in O.A. in the form of directions against the respondent no. 4 to comply with the directions issued by respondent no. 3 by its letters dated 1.9.2019 and 25.9.2019 thereby to decide the representation dated 28.6.2019 filed by the applicant within the stipulated period.

::-2-::

**M.A. NO. 493/2023 IN**  
**O.A.st. 1818/2023**

4. In view of above delay of 641 days is counted from the date filing the representation by the applicant on 28.6.2019 in filing the accompanying O.A.
5. In the circumstances, issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.10.2024.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. S.O. to 20.10.2024.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1110/2024**  
**(Nitin Uttam Rakesh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is presently working as Police Hawaldar at Dist. Jalgaon. The learned counsel submits that the applicant is held ineligible to be considered for appearing for the departmental examination to be conducted for promotion to the post of Reserved Police Sub-Inspector solely for the reason that they have no experience of working on the post of Police Hawaldar for continuous period of 04 years as on 30.04.2024. Learned counsel submits that it is the specific case of the applicant that he has completed four years on the post of Hawaldar as he was on deputation at the Police Training Centre. Thereafter the applicant was repatriated to the parent department and thereafter he is promoted to the post of Hawaldar and at present the applicant is working on the said post.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the interim order dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 1088/2024 in connection with the similarly situated employees of the Police Department.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the period of working on the post of Head Constable is required to be considered in the parent Department and the applicant is precisely promoted in the years 2010.

5. I have carefully gone through the order passed by the Division Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 1088/2024. In the order passed by the Division Bench a reference has been given to the judgment delivered by this Tribunal at Nagpur Bench in O.A. Nos. 432 to 434, 440 and 442 all of 2010 decided on 13.03.2012 and considering the peculiar circumstances the Division Bench has granted interim relief. There is no reason to take any other view than the view already taken by the Division Bench of this tribunal so far as the interim relief is concerned. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.10.2024.

(ii) **The respondents are hereby directed to permit the applicant in the present Original Application to appear for the Departmental Examination to be held on 20.09.2024 and or any subsequent date, if it is postponed, and the result thereof so far as the present applicant is concerned, shall be subject to outcome of the present application.**

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 10.10.2024.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1097/2024**  
**(Kjal Yograj Gajghate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 07.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 07.10.2024.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1099/2024**  
**(Bholenath Baburao Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 07.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 07.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1098/2024**  
**(Priya Ashok Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 07.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 07.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 460/2024 IN O.A. NO. 1098/2024  
(Priya Ashok Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 421/2024 IN O.A. NO. 1010/2024**  
**(Renukadas Nagorao Khart & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents in M.A., returnable on 24.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 411/2024 IN O.A. NO. 944/2024**  
**(Abhishek Ashok Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the Misc. Application No. 944/2024.

3. Leave granted. The Misc. Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 944/2024**  
**(Abhishek Ashok Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant came to be suspended by the impugned order dated 26.7.2024 due to registration of Crime No. 247/2024 at Police Station, Partur for the offences punishable U/ss 7, 12 of the Prevention of Corruption Act. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the aforesaid crime came to be registered against 02 persons and so far as accused no. 01 Shri Anil Vallabhdas Parekh is concerned, though he came to be suspended along with the present applicant, subsequently by order dated 6.9.2024 the said accused no. 1 Shri Anil Vallabhdas Parekh came to be reinstated in service, subject to outcome of the judicial proceedings so also the departmental enquiry, if proposed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is ready and willing to file

representation with the respondents seeking his reinstatement as was done in the case of accused No. 1 Shri Anil Vallabhdas Parekh in Crime No. 247/2024, within a week from today and upon filing the said application the respondent authorities may be directed to decide the said representation in expedite manner in terms of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhari Vs. Union of India and Ors.** reported in **AIR 2015 SC 2389**. Learned counsel for the applicant thus restricted his claim to the extent of giving directions to the authorities to decide his representation as above. Learned counsel on instructions submits that applicant do not want to press other prayers in the O.A.

4. Learned P.O. submits that respondents may be given liberty to decide the representation, if it is filed by the applicant within the period of one week from today, on its own merits.

5. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of by directing the respondent authorities to decide the representation, if filed by the applicant within one week from today, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one month on its own

**:: - 3 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 944/2024**

merits in terms of ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhari** (cited supra) and also considering the fact that accused No. 1 Shri Anil Vallabhdas Parekh came to be reinstated by order dated 06.09.2024 though he is impleaded as accused No. 1 in connection with Crime No. 247/2024.

6. The O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 302 OF 2024  
(Seema V. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.P. Koli, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.P. Kadam, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No.4, S.O. to 23.09.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 383/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1196/2023**  
**(Vishwas C. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 465/2024 IN O.A.NO. 251/2020**  
**(Sandu R. Magar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2017**  
**(Dr. Madhav F. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In compliance with the order dated 27.08.2024 learned P.O. submits that the original service book of the applicant is received.

3. Learned P.O. is requested to keep the original service book with him till the next date of hearing.

4. S.O. to 07.10.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2018**  
(Shriram B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2018**  
(Hanshraj M. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 923 OF 2018**  
(Shivaji M. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 924 OF 2018**  
(Dhananjay P. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Salunke, learned counsel holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

Smt. Anuradha Mantri, learned counsel for respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 923/2018, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2020  
(Shaikh Akhatar Hussain Mohd. Hanif Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.10.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NO. 461/2020 WITH M.A.NO. 62/2021  
(Shaikh Rahim Ameeroddin Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Patil, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 to 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 08.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2021  
(Sangram U. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Jayshri Dongre, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2021**  
**(Jayshree A. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Jayshri Dongre, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2021  
(Bhagwan S. Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455 OF 2022**  
**(Satilal Z. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 871 OF 2022**  
**(Santosh A. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.R. Pawade, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 03.10.2024 for passing order/for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 971 OF 2022**  
**(Avinash V. Solunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1045 OF 2022  
(Rajendra V. Desale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Navin S. Shah, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1138 OF 2022**  
**(Nilesh S. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dipesh Pande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 60 OF 2023**  
**(Vithal R. Motarwar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.V. Ambade, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 73 OF 2023**  
**(Jyoti C. Hatkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during pendency of this Original Application the applicant came to be reinstated by order dated 10.09.2024. Learned P.O. submits the copy of said order dated 10.09.2024. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the same, nothing survives for further consideration since the prayer of the Original Application is also to that extent.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2023**  
**(Shyam M. Yesmod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during pendency of this Original Application the applicant came to be reinstated by order dated 10.09.2024. Learned P.O. submits the copy of said order dated 10.09.2024. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the same, nothing survives for further consideration since the prayer of the Original Application is also to that extent.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 604 OF 2023**  
(Bhagwan S. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 605 OF 2023**  
(Bhausahab N. Falke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 606 OF 2023**  
(Sudhir B. Markad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 28.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1097 OF 2023**  
**(Balchandra D. Tejinkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2024**  
**(Deelip S. Karamunge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Part heard.
3. S.O. to 14.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 995 OF 2024**  
**(Gajanan Ashok Dhangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Naseembanu I. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. In compliance with the directives given in the order dated 03.09.2024, learned P.O. on instructions submits that by impugned transfer order dated 31.08.2024 though the applicant came to be transferred and relieved from the post of Geographical Information System Senior Assistant, GSDA, Nandurbar to the post of Geographical Information System Senior Assistant, GSDA, Ahmednagar, the employee who is transferred at the place of posting of the applicant at Nandurbar from Ahmednagar is yet not relieved.

3. In view of above submissions, it appears that the place where the applicant was working prior to the impugned order of transfer is still vacant.

//2// O.A. 995/2024

4. **The respondents are thus directed to allow the applicant to work on the same post till the next date of hearing.**
5. S.O. to 26.09.2024.
6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 106/2024 WITH M.A.ST.NO. 2486/2023  
IN O.A.ST.NO. 2487/2023  
(Khandu H. Vanne & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 14.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2024**  
**(Mahesh N. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that inadvertently the notices have not been sent.
3. In view of above submissions, the returnable date is extended by two weeks.
4. S.O. to 03.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2024**  
**(Sunil Vitthalrao Dhakane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jiwan Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 03.10.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 03.10.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1105 OF 2024**  
**(Damodar Nagorao Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jiwan Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 01.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned P.O. is directed to call record including the minutes of Civil Service Board meeting, if any.

8. S.O. to 01.10.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 717 OF 2024 WITH  
CAVEAT NO. 37/2024  
(Vasant B. Galphade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. By consent, S.O. to 04.10.2024 for hearing **in urgent admission category**.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25 OF 2022**  
**(Shailesh V. Gavit Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swapnil Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2022**  
**(Jitendra V. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matter is reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 299 OF 2024**  
**(Ramesh M. Ade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swapnil Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Dhage, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 03.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 888 OF 2023**  
**(Baliram Shankar Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 6 & 7.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, reissue notice to respondent No. 5, returnable on 18.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2024**  
**(Moni Balajirao Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.B. Kingre, learned counsel holding for Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 07.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2021**  
**(Balu Anna Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 565 OF 2024**  
**(Dr. Shivaji Jema Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03.10.2024 for filing affidavit in reply. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2024**  
**(Bajrang Pralhad Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.O. Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. V.P. Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed. If reply is filed the same be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. to 04.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2024**  
**(Pandurang Shripati Murke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents are duly served and service affidavit will be filed on or before the next date.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 11.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 OF 2024**  
**(Pramod Shriram Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2024**  
**(Pallavi Machindra Temkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 869 OF 2024**  
**(Asha Dayaram Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel holding for Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.09.2024. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD



**C.P.NO. 10/2024 IN O.A.NO. 745/2022**  
**(Karbhari Mohan Bahure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 878 OF 2024**  
**(Sanjay Raosaheb Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.B. Kingre, learned counsel holding for Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911 OF 2024**  
**(Namdeo Maroti Bawiskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.G. Lathe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 916 OF 2024**  
**(Narhari Uttamrao Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.R. Imale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1090 OF 2024**  
**(Vijay Laxman Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dilip S. Mutalik, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**C.P.NO. 77/2024 IN O.A.NO. 364/2024**  
**(Amol Tatyrao Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prashant M. Nagargoje, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2024**  
**(Sachinsingh B. Chauhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2023**  
**(Baalnath Laxman Matsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5. Same is taken on record along with spare copy to the other side.

3. S.O. to 04.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712 OF 2024**  
**(Shriniwas R. Rajurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 08.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NOS. 107, 491,493, 572, 573, 574 & 575**  
**(Sanjay V. Nagamwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** **19.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.09.2024. **High on board.**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 375, 376, 418, 428 & 434 ALL OF 2020**  
**(Amol M. Naikwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**M.A.NO. 582/2023 IN O.A.NO. 756/2023**  
**(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Satish Kailas Jagtap)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. & respondent authorities in O.A. and Shri Vishal S. Kadam, learned counsel for the respondent in M.A. and applicant in O.A., are present.

2. S.O. to 11.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 359 OF 2024**  
**(Shubham Ganesh Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.09.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2024**  
**(Ajitkumar S. Khansole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 913 OF 2023**  
**(Bhausahab Raosaheb Sarawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2024**  
(Tushar Vijay Deore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE :** 19.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.P. Rodge, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 19.09.2024-HDD