

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 19.12.2022</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.735/2022 in O.A.No.1271/2022</p> <p>V.P. Dhanage & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.26 of 2022 with M.A.201 of 2022 with O.A.428 of 2022 with O.A.696 of 2022 with O.A.697 of 2022 with O.A.978 of 2022 with O.A.1096 of 2022 with O.A.698 of 2022 with O.A.952 of 2022 with O.A.306 of 2022 with O.A.1089 of 2022</p> <p>A. K. Pawar and OthersApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the C.P., Nashik which is at page nos.329 to 335 in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 15.12.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.711 of 2022 in O.A. No.1226 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Khanvilkar & 199 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pradeep Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5 and Shri Ravi Shetty with Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.6 to 89.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for seeking interim relief. Shri Dubey, Ld. Advocate for the applicants is present before this Tribunal and he is supposed to argue the matter. However, he submits that Shri Giriraj, Ld. Advocate will argue the matter, who has argued on 16.12.2022. We have fixed the matter peremptorily at 10.30 a.m. as the matter was pressed as very urgent matter and we have heard on 16.12.2022. When the matter is called out Shri Dubey submits that the applicants are not happy with his arguments and wants to engage Senior Counsel today.</p> <p>3. We are surprised to hear these submissions as the matter is already heard extensively and we were about to pass the order on that day itself. However, Shri Giriraj, Ld. Advocate wanted few more minutes and it was not possible for us because Shri V.S. Kadam, Ld. Advocate for the applicants from Aurangabad in group of matters in OA No.701/2022 & Ors. (S.A. Karne & Ors. Vs. State) was to argue and was waiting in the Court. We are of the view that such type of practice is to be deprecated. We asked Shri Dubey, Ld. Advocate to make his submissions because we are not allowing any senior counsel to argue in the matter at this stage.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. We offered Shri Dubey to argue. He wants some time. We reject his prayer. He wants 5 minutes and we grant him and we will call out this matter at 10.45 a.m.</p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p>5. At 11.00 a.m. the matter is called out and Shri Dubey is not present in the Court. Therefore, we hereby proceed to pass the order. We have given sufficient time to the Ld. Advocate for appear. As we have started dictating the order, Shri Dubey appears in between and submits his written submissions in addition to oral submissions. We take it on record. Now, Shri Dubey submits that applicants have engaged Senior Counsel, so matter may be kept back. We make it clear that we are not allowing any Senior Counsel to appear in the matter at this stage. Shri Dubey requests to keep the matter at 11.30 a.m. In all fairness we give some more time to discuss the Ld. Advocate with his clients and make submissions at 11.30 a.m.</p> <p>6. Kept back.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.12.2022 </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) 19.12.2022 (sgj) </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.710 of 2022 in O.A. No.1226 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Khanvilkar & 199 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pradeep Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5 and Shri Ravi Shetty with Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.6 to 89.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>19.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>19.12.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.619 of 2022 in O.A.No.989 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. Neware & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.619 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2022

**O.A.No.814/2022 With O.A.No.821/2022 With
M.A.No.739/2022 in O.A.No.280/2022 With
M.A.No.695/2022 with O.A.No.281/2022**

1. Heard Ms Sabhina Ansari, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 814/2022, None for the applicants in O.A 821/2022, Shri S.T Yaseen, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 288 & 281/2022, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2, Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for private Respondents 12 & 14, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for private Respondents 9, 10, 11 & 13 and Shri Waghmare, learned counsel for private Respondents 3 to 22.

2. Learned counsel Mr Yaseen for the applicants submit that applicant no. 7 is only appointed and Applicants no 1 to 6 are not yet appointed.

3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply along with the copy of the appointment orders of the applicants.

4. M.A.739/2022 is filed by Mr Waghmare, learned counsel seeking intervention in the Original Application. Learned counsel submits that applicant in the said Misc Application is a handicapped person and hence the application of the applicant is to be entertained on a different footing, i.e., in the category of reservation under the handicapped category.

5. In view of the above M.A seeking intervention is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to carry out the necessary amendment accordingly.

6. S.O to 20.12.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.747 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.990 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. ZagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.103 of 2022</p> <p>A. N. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 16.12.2022 issued by the Director General of Police, Mumbai whereby the Applicant is transferred at Pimpri-Chinchwad, Satha Bandhani Vibhag, Pune. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. Thus, the Applicant's grievance is redressed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also fairly submits that the Applicant is satisfied with the transfer order dated 16.12.2022. However, he ^{has} has grievance of non-payment of pay and allowances while he was working in Motor Transport Section under the control of Pimpri-Chinchwad. Insofar as this grievance is concerned, since admittedly the Applicant is worked on the said post he is entitled to pay and allowances and it be paid within a month from today.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 895/2022, 939/2022, 975/2022 & 984/2022& O.A.No.1163 of 2022</p> <p>D. L. Wasnik & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the concerned districts as to whether NOC given earlier is subsisting and vacancies still subsist.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1008 of 2022</p> <p>N. N. TalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1, 2, Ms Urmila Palekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant No.3 and Ms Muskan Shaikh holding for Shri Mateen Shaikh, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 has also filed reply today. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Since the matter pertains to withholding of some of the retiral benefits, it is expedited.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1101 of 2022 with O.A. No.1202 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Parab V.B. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 in both the O.As. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.01.2023 for hearing with connected matters (O.A No.607/2022 Group).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1240 of 2022 with O.A. No.1241 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. Indulkar V.P. PendurkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance so that these two O.As can be heard and decided with other connected 7 O.As (O.A. No. 607/2022 Group).</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1099 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed the O.A. for simpliciter direction to decide the representation made by him on 23.03.2022 to transfer him stating he has already completed normal tenure and requested for Transfer at Yerwada, Satara and Ratnagiri. 3. When the O.A. was taken up for admission, learned P.O. was directed to take instruction from the Department as to why appropriate order is not passed on the representation made by the Applicant. 4. On next date i.e. 21.11.2022, learned P.O. has tendered communication dated 17.11.2022 stating that the Applicant is now temporarily attached to Ratnagiri Jail with further clarification that the decision about his tender will be taken in General transfer 2023. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant on instruction made submission that his client is not satisfied with temporary posting at Ratnagiri. O.A. was therefore adjourned for filing Reply. 5. Today, learned P.O. sought time to file Reply. 6. In view of the facts narrated above, O.A. could be disposed of since grievance of the Applicant of not transferring him has been substantially redressed by communication dated 17.11.2022. True, by this order he his temporarily attached to Ratnagiri Jail. However, facts remain that he will be continued their till general

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

transfer of 2023. Thus, in facts it ^{present} appoints to temporarily transfer at Ratnagiri which was the option given by him stating that his wife is serving at Ratnagiri.

7. Thus, at present the Applicant is accommodated at Ratnagiri and as per communication dated 17.11.2022 will be continued till general transfer 2023. This being the position, O.A. is required to be disposed of.

8. Respondents will have to pass appropriate order about the transfer of the Applicant in General transfer of 2023. That time the Applicant would be at liberty to make representation well in advance and it be considered appropriately.

9. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1261 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 06.04.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 whereby the Applicant was asked not to attend the office. 3. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant such order of stoppage of work amount to suspension. 4. Perusal of record reveals that the present Applicant was one of the Applicants in O.A. No.1105/2016 which was filed for regularizing the services on the establishment of Government pleader of Original Side, Bombay. O.A. was allowed by order dated 21.09.2021. Being aggrieved, Respondents have filed Writ Petition (ST) No.1231/2022 in which by order dated 02.02.2022 the effect portion of the order passed by the Tribunal has been stayed. However, Respondents are directed not to discontinue the services and allowed to work on the same post. 5. The Applicant alleged committed certain misconduct of theft while working in the Office in respect of which F.I.R. has been registered. It is on this background, office of Government pleader seems to have issued impugned communication of stop work. <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned P.O. has pointed out that in view of interim relief, Respondents have already filed Civil Application before Hon'ble High Court for taking appropriate action in the matter and it is likely to come up in next week.
7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. In view of above, Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.01.2023.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
13. S.O. to 17.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1242 of 2022</p> <p>D. J. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has sought one day time to file affidavit of Additional Commissioner for Tribal Development, Thane in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 15.12.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O.t o 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1182 of 2022 to O.A. No.1185 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Mali M.A. Jadhav B.K. Bhamre P.L. GangodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will filed within three days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. Helavi (Reddy)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. submits that Government by order dated 09.12.2022 issued order of pay protection of the Applicant. This order seems to have been made in pursuance of the statement made by learned P.O. on 28.11.2022 that the Applicants pay will be protected.</p> <p>3. Now O.A. is required to be decided on merit.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1093 of 2022</p> <p>B.R. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 09.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1055 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. JadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder if any, by next date. 5. S.O. to 16.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1023 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. GosaviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. 3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. O.A. is kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 22.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.550 of 2019 with M.A. No.690 of 2022 in O.A. No.989 of 2019</p> <p>D.L. NavghaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.26 of 2022 in O.A. No.399 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to R.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1258 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Walunj & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants are seeking for absorption in the service on the post of Assistant librarian, Counselor, Junior Clerk and Nursing Tutor, <i>respectively</i>. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 16.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No. 724 of 2022 with O.A. No.1258 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Walunj & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.718 of 2022 in O.A. No.1237 of 2022</p> <p>K.V. More & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate adjourned to Friday.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1263 of 2022</p> <p>N.C. DhageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned Advocate adjourned to Friday. 5. S.O. to 23.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1249 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. LoharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 09.03.2022 whereby the period from 13.06.2014 to 02.09.2015 has been treated suspension as such and the period from 03.09.2015 to 28.02.2021 has been treated out of service period as such. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 16.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p>M.A. No. 723 of 2022 with O.A. No.1257 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1257 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants have sought direction for absorption in the service on the post of lecturer. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 16.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2022

**O.A.No.1264/2022 With
O.A.No.1266/2022 with M.A.No.729/2022
With
O.A.No.1267/2022 with M.A.No.730/2022**

R.B. Kale & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 22.12.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2022

O.A.No.1036/2022

B.P. DeokateApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.01.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 23.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2022

O.A.No.1238/2022

P.V. WaghmareApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Akash Shetty, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar, & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 09.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2022

O.A.No.1255/2022

R.B. BhagwatApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.01.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 30.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.12.2022
---	---

O.A.No.570 of 2017

B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.


1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 is absent.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that no adjournment is to be granted to the Respondents for engaging Senior Counsel as the matter has been postponed repeatedly on the same ground.

3. Adjourned to 21.12.2022. Learned P.O. to argue the matter.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson