

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1216 OF 2019**

**DISTRICT: THANE**

Shri R.A. Patil

**.. Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

**..Respondents**

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI P.N. DIXIT, VICE-CHAIRMAN.  
SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER(J)

DATE : 19.12.2019

PER : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER(J)

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant is seeking interim relief directing the Respondents to recommend his name in select list of 2018 for selection of Non-SCS Officers for appointment to IAS of Maharashtra Cadre U.P.S.C.
3. For the preparation of select list of 2018 process including written examination, interview is already completed but the Applicant's name is excluded from the list of recommendation of U.P.S.C. Therefore the Applicant has approached this Tribunal contending that he has doubt that his name is not recommended to U.P.S.C. only because of non-writing of ACR for part of the year 2010-2011. The interviews are scheduled on 26.12.2019 and 27.12.2019 by U.P.S.C.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the A.C.R.s of the Applicant are excellent and fulfilled requirements of his recommendation to U.P.S.C. He has further pointed out that the issue of non writing of ACRs for the part of the year 2010-011 was already considered by the Respondents at the time of select list of 2017.

*Handwritten signature*

That time his name was recommended to U.P.S.C. However, this time Respondents have not recommended his name on the ground of not giving marks of ACR for the part of the year 2010-2011. Applicant has already made representation but it is not responded.

5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents referred to G.R. dated 01.11.2011 (page 50) whereby extensive instructions were issued received for writing and maintenance of ACR of the Government servant. Learned C.P.O. in this behalf referred to clause 6 of G.R. which is as follows :-

“६. जेव्हा प्रतिवेदन अधिकारी एखाद्या अधिका-याचा/ कर्मचा-याचा अहवाल त्या अधिका-याने /कर्मचा-याने त्याच्या हाताखाली ३ महिन्यांपेक्षा कमी काम केल्यामुळे लिहू शकत नसेल तेव्हा पुनर्विलोकन अधिकारी जर त्याने त्या कर्मचा-यांचे/ अधिका-याचे काम ३ महिने किंवा अधिक कालावधीसाठी पाहिले असेल तर त्या कर्मचा-याचा / अधिका-याचा गोपनीय अहवाल लिहू शकेल. हा अहवाल पुनर्विलोकित करण्याची आवश्यकता नाही. मात्र, तशा आशयाचे प्रमाणपत्र गोपनीय अहवालाचे संस्करण करण्या-याने गोपनीय अहवाल नस्तीवर ठेवावे.”

(Quoted from page 54 of O.A.)

6. Adverting to this aspect learned C.P.O. submits that as the ACR was not written by the Reviewing Authority it was not considered for giving marks of 2010-2011. The position of ACR of 2010-2011 of the applicant is as follows :-

- a) 17.6.2010 to 15.8.2010 – Shri Prakash Mahajan, CEO, ZP, Ahmednagar.
- b) 16.8.2010 to 29.8.2010 – Shri Vivek Gujar, Incharge, CEO, ZP, Ahmednagar.
- c) 30.8.2010 to 27.9.2010 – Shri Prakash Mahajan CEO, ZP, Ahmednagar.”

7. As the said period was for less than 3 months regular ACR was not written. However certificate was issued by the competent authority. Interestingly, ACR was written for the period of 5 months i.e. from 28.09.2010 to 02.03.2011 and it was graded as "A+". Indeed as per the Government Resolution dated 10.07.2019 (page 80), paragraph No.2, if NRC period is more than six months then in that event ACR written for the remaining period has to be considered ACR of whole year. In present case, ACR was written for the period of five months for the year 2010-2011 and it was graded as "A+". This being the position we see no reason not to get marks to the ACR of 2010-2011. In other words, Department has completely ignored ACR of 2010-2011 which is not permissible.

8. Learned C.P.O. submits note of Government Resolution, Branch 13. The same is marked 'X' and taken on record. The paragraph 4 of the same is as under :-

“४. प्रसंगत : असेही नमुद करण्यात येते की, प्रतिवेदन अधिका-याचा कालावधी ३ महिन्याहून कमी असेल मात्र पुनर्विलोकन अधिका-याचा कालावधी हा तीन महिन्यापेक्षा अधिक असेल तर अशा प्रसंगी पुनर्विलोकन अधिका-याने गोपनीय अहवाल लिहिणे आवश्यक असून अशा प्रकरणी प्रमाणपत्र देण्याचा संबंध येत नाही. त्यामुळे या प्रकरणी सदर तरतूद विचारात घेऊन प्रमाणपत्र देण्याची आवश्यकता होती किंवा नाही ही बाब तपासून घेण्यात यावी.”

9. It may be noted that the ACRs of five months of the year 2010-11 was reviewed by Shri Jayant V. Gaikwad, the then Divisional Commissioner, Nashik. He was on the same post from 18.08.2009 to 16.07.2012. This being the position Shri Jayant V. Gaikwas himself should have written ACR of the Applicant in terms of clause 6 of the G.R. dated 01.11.2011.

10. As such there seems to be no logic in not giving marks to the Applicant of the ACR of 2010-2011.

11. It seems that no marks were given to the applicant for the ACR 2010-2011 and therefore his name is not recommended to UPSC.

12. In so far as submission of learned C.P.O. regarding non compliance of clause 6 of G.R. dated 01.11.2011 we fail to understand how the applicant can be blamed for non writing of ACR by Reviewing Authority. If this is the only reason then the Applicant cannot be blamed for the same as it was failure on the part of the Reviewing Authority to write ACRs in terms of clause 6 of G.R. dated 01.11.2011.

13. In view of the above, there is merit in the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the Applicant is entitled for the interim relief if his name is not recommended only for the reasons of non giving marks for the year 2010-2011.

14. As such, prima facie, Respondents cannot refuse to recommend the name of the Applicant only on the ground of non giving of marks to the ACR of 2010-2011 to the Applicant.

15. They are directed to give appropriate marks to the applicant for the year 2010-2011 and if otherwise eligible his name should be recommended to U.P.S.C. as per the rules. Exercise should be completed immediately as the interviews are scheduled on 26.12.2019 and 27.12.2019.

16. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
17. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.01.2020.
18. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
19. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
20. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
21. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
22. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
23. S.O. to 15.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.563 of 2019</u></p> <p>Mohd. H.H. Kacchi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to go through the rejoinder and file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2019.

O.A.No.1074 of 2019

A. D. Sawant

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned C.P.O. made a statement that now the department has taken remedial measure and process for grant of pension as per 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commissioner considering the last pay drawn is in progress. She, therefore, requests for two weeks time to do the needful as the grievance of the Applicant is likely to be resolved at the level of the Government.
3. In view of the statement made by learned C.P.O., O.A. is disposed with direction to Respondents to release the pension of the Applicant within three weeks from today.
4. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.
5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client is entitled to interest.
6. The Applicant is at liberty to avail legal recourse to that effect independently.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.12.2019.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1172 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S. K. Walinjar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In the present matter next date of hearing is 09.01.2020 for return of notice. However, the matter is taken on board for circulation for grant of interim relief. Since the matter is already taken on 09.10.2020 for filing reply of the Respondent, it would not be appropriate to consider the issue of interim relief today.</li> <li>3. At the most, the matter can be preponed to consider the urgent relief.</li> <li>4. It be preponed on 01.01.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>M. A. No.520 of 2019 in O.A.No.13 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. N. Joshi</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. R. Abhyakar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of M.A. itself reveals that it is totally misconceived if the Applicant has independent cause of action, he can challenge the order dated 01.07.2019 independently and it cannot be done by this M.A.</p> <p>3. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the M.A. with liberty to challenge the order dated 01.07.2019.</p> <p>4. He is at liberty to do so in accordance to law.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.1202 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Khalekar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2020.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 09.01.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1028 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. S. Deshmukh (Shewale) ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of remaining Respondents. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1082 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>B. R. Kawathekar</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li><li>4. S.O. to 02.01.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.974 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>V. D. Salokhe</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 to 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant stands retired in 2015 but his part of gratuity amount of Rs.71,854/- is withheld without conducting D.E.</p> <p>4. Original Applicant is, therefore, expedited and be posted for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.898 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M. B. Arade</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Enough time is granted for filing Rejoinder but not filed.</li><li>3. Hence, the matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>4. S.O. to 23.01.2019.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 19.12.2019.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1046 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. P. Bhave</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 03.01.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="left">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.1220 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. R. Jagtap</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms N. L. Rajguru, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.01.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 23.01.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.12.2019.

O. A. No.1219 of 2019

V. U. Khumbhar

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 23.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1019 of 2019 with O.A.No.1022 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>G.S. Kumawat (O.A.1019/2019)</b>  <b>A.S. Sonawane (O.A.1022/2019) ..... Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply has been filed in O.A.No.1019/2019. In remaining matters reply would be filed shortly.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2019 in O.A. No.975 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.S. Lambhate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is CA No.61 of 2019 in OA No.975 of 2018. OA No.975 of 2018 was decided on 16.4.2019 with the following directions:</p> <p>(c) <i>The respondents are directed to release regular pension to the applicant, which has been withheld from May, 2018.</i></p> <p>(d) <i>The respondents are also directed to extend the benefit of leave encashment to the applicant in accordance to Rules.</i></p> <p>(e) <i>The aforesaid exercise be completed within two months and monetary benefits be released accordingly.</i></p> <p>3. The State Government had challenged the same before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7753/2019. The Hon'ble High Court dismissed the W.P. on 1.8.2019 and rejected the representations of the respondents. Following the same at the behest of the applicant and his advocate notice was issued to the respondents on 4.12.2019.</p> <p>4. Today during hearing Ld. PO submits that the respondents are considering filing SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. However, there is nothing on record to support the same.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, respondents-contemnors no.1 to 3 are directed to file their affidavit why they should not be punished under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act.</p> <p>6. Issue notice under Contempt of Courts Act to respondents no.1 to 3 returnable on 9.1.2020. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 769/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr C.A Borase &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants and heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that no instructions have been received so far.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 16.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 768/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.G Relekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1126 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Shewale</b> ..... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that order issued by the Tribunal on 26.11.2019 directed the applicant to satisfy the Tribunal needs to be complied.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1056 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>G.A. Agale</b> ..... Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to ensure that the office objections are removed and compliance be indicated.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1027 of 2019</p> <p>L.D. Surnar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1047 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. Kale ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1065 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>J.D. Shiralkar</b> ..... Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul B. Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to file M.A. for condonation of delay. Permitted.</p> <p>3. Liberty to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</span> <span>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</span> </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 472/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.B Kashid ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V Thorat, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 5 &amp; 7.</p> <p>3. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1016/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.C Deshmukh &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 418/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that even though she made efforts to seek instructions from the respondents, she has not received the same</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to ensure presence of responsible officer on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 7.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>
	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 292/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.A Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 8.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.12.2019</u></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1036/2018</u></b></p> <p>Smt S.V Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks adjournment for filing short affidavit regarding the order issued by this Tribunal on 21.6.2019, in para no. 2 and 4.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 7.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.63 of 2019 in O.A. No.1087 of 2014</u></p> <p>S.S. Nalawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that MPSC had filed writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court and the next date is 2.1.2020 before the Registrar Judicial.</p> <p>3. Meanwhile respondents-contemnors are directed to file affidavit why action should not be taken under Contempt of Courts Act.</p> <p>4. Issue notice under Contempt of Courts Act to the respondent returnable on 14.1.2020. Hamdast.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1119 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Abhijeet Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for substituting the OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019 19.12.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 549/2019</u></b></p> <p>Smt M.B Desai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.1.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 558/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.S Pereira ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file sur rejoinder.</p> <p>3. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 763/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri K.V Giri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 to 3.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 9.1.2020 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N<sup>1</sup>Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 764/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Nayakwadi &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O on instructions from Shri P.B Jadhav, Motor Vehicle Prosecutor in the office of Transport Commissioner, submits that the last date given to the candidates for furnishing the documents is over. The respondents are therefore directed to come to the conclusion regarding eligible candidates or otherwise</p> <p>3. They are directed to take necessary action as per rules and also submit progress of action taken.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.1.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2019 in O.A. No.768 of 2018</u></p> <p>K.R. Nannaware ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to file affidavit of the chronology of developments as well as other developments in the matter. Ld. PO is directed to inform to all the concerned respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.65 of 2019 in O.A. No.13 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.N. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 13.1.2020. In the meanwhile Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to remove the office objections.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.1.2020.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar)</td> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (J)</td> <td align="center">Vice-Chairman (A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">19.12.2019</td> <td align="center">19.12.2019</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar)	(P.N. Dixit)	Member (J)	Vice-Chairman (A)	19.12.2019	19.12.2019
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(A.P. Kurhekar)	(P.N. Dixit)								
Member (J)	Vice-Chairman (A)								
19.12.2019	19.12.2019								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.129 of 2015 in O.As.142, 143 &amp; 144/2019</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant submits that he may be given time to produce certified copy of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 16.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019 19.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.852 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>N.D. Dharade</b> ..... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p>C.A.No.64 of 2019 in O.A.No.333 of 2018</p> <p>B.B. Chavan ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to ensure that the Applicant proceeds to the Respondents and complete necessary formalities on priority. He should report compliance on the next date.</p> <p>3. Next date is scheduled on 22.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.870 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Sathe</b> ..... Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. According to Applicant Shri S.M. Sathe he served notice to the Secretary, Labour Department on 07.12.2019.</li> <li>3. Applicant is directed to furnish the copy of the same to the office of learned C.P.O., learned P.O. as well as to the Tribunal.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2020 to Respondent No.10 for filing reply.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 09.01.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(I)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.955 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.S. Rajderkar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rigved Kalekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri G. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that para-wise instructions have been received and the reply would be filed on 09.01.2020.</p> <p>3. Interim relief granted is extended till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.967 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.C. Bhosale</b> ..... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 790/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr R.M Jatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 19.12.2019**

**O.A.No.981 of 2019**

**Dr. L.V. Bhandarkar** ..... Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ..... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks for filing reply.

3. Adjourned to 09.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.971 of 2019 with O.A.No.972 of 2019 with O.A.No.973 of 2019</p> <p>R.P. Galkwad &amp; Ors. (O.A.971/2019) A.L. Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. (O.A.972/2019) S.S. Shelar &amp; Ors. (O.A.973/2019) ..... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.969 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R.D. Avhad ..... Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that no instructions have been received so far.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</span> <span>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</span> </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.975 of 2019 with O.A.No.976 of 2019 with O.A.No.977 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>G.S. Bhajbhuje (O.A.975/2019)</b>  <b>B.A. Karale (O.A.976/2019)</b>  <b>K.G. Dhanvijay &amp; Ors. (O.A.977/2019) ..... Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sachin Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 498/19 in O.A 818/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri J.L Mondkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the matter may be adjourned for filing reply in the M.A seeking condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 9.1.2020</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA No.489/19 in O.A. No.873/2019</u></p> <p>K.V. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.L. Satankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply in the MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.1.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019 (sgi)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019 (sgi)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019 (sgi)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI.**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 625/2019 in O.A 874/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.B Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.A was dismissed for non-complying with the service report in the stipulated period.</p> <p>3. The applicant is directed to pay cost of Rs. 500/- and after depositing the amount in the Registry he should produce the receipt for further process is the matter.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.687/19 in C.A. No.56/2019 in O.A. No.1265/2013</u></p> <p>R.M. Kashelkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has deposited cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of this Tribunal as per dated 18.12.2019 and tenders copy of receipt.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, MA is allowed and CA in OA is restored to file.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 19.12.2019 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 19.12.2019</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>19.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 782/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.B Yeole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pradeep Rane, holding for Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that inspite of her efforts no instructions have been received from respondent no. 3.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 3 is directed to remain present along with necessary documents tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.12.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1169 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>U.P. Nikam</b> ..... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the matter pertains to selection and hence there is urgency. Respondents were directed to file short affidavit regarding paragraph 6.10 and 6.11 in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b>  <b>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p>