ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 434 OF 2019 (Shri Namdeo S. Ghone V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 480 OF 2019 (Shri Ashok G. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5 & 6. Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 and 3 (**Absent**).

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. No affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6.
- 4. S.O. to 17.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 247/2019 in O.A. St. No. 02/2019 (Shri Tukaram K. Kalaskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri O.B. Boinwad, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that already last chance was given to the respondent No. 3 for filing affidavit in reply. But he has failed to file affidavit in reply in time. There is no just ground to grant further time. However, in the interest of justice, short time is granted to the respondent No. 3 for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.
- 4. S.O. to 17.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 849 OF 2017 (Smt. Shashikala G. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 255/2019 in O.A. St. No. 931/2019 (Shri Subhash H. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 02.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2019 (Dr. Pandharinath S. Gawali and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulknarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 142/2019 in O.A. St. No. 591/2019 (Shri Bhau M. Khade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 591 OF 2018 (Shri Purushottam N. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 10.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2019 (Shri Manohar K. Mulmule and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulknarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 18.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 489/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1981/2019 (Shri Mirza Saleem Bai Ismail Baig V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 06.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 490/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1979/2019 (Shri Laxman H. Talekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 06.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 877 OF 2018 (Shri Sachin V. Tonde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 05.12.2019 for production of original record.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2019 (Shri Ranjeet S. Salve (Dhangar)V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 02.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883 OF 2019 (Shri Narayan D. Bamnath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that during pendency of the present O.A., the applicant has filed representation / appeal with the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad challenging the impugned suspension order and the same is pending. He has submitted that the respondent No. 2 may be directed to decide the said representation/appeal within in a stipulated time and with that direction, the present O.A. may be disposed of.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the reasonable time may be given to the respondents to decide the representation/appeal.
- 4. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the Original Application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation/appeal made by the applicant within a period of two months from the date of this order and communicate the decision therein to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 989 OF 2019 (Shri Raviraj R. Darak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Vivek Bhavtankar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.01.2020.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 02.01.2020.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2019 (Shri Raviraj R. Boralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1011 OF 2019 (Shri Vitthal S. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 03.01.2020.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 03.01.2020.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1012 OF 2019 (Shri Satish N. Badade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. By filing the present O.A., the applicant has challenged the Pay Fixation. The subject matter of pay fixation is dealt with by the Division Bench.
- 3. Hence, the present O.A. is removed from the board. The Registry is directed to place the matter before the appropriate Bench.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2017 (Shri Walimi L. Kande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 05.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2018 (Shri Murlidhar E. Sakhare and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 11.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1015 OF 2019 (Shri Sakharam P. Ranbhavle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 06.11.2019 relieving him from the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna on 20.11.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and he has been appointed by the respondent No. 7. He has submitted that without any reason, the respondent No. 6 issued the order dated 06.11.2019 and directed to relieve him on 20.11.2019. He has submitted that the impugned order is not legal one and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant.
- 4. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and after completion of his deputation period, he has been directed to relieve. He has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, he supported the same.

- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been appointed by the respondent No. 7 on his establishment by the appointment order dated 11.10.2007 and accordingly he ioined establishment of respondent No. 7. By the order dated 12.11.2014 and as per the approval given by the Special Inspector General of Police, State Reserve Police Force, Nagpur, the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of respondent No. 6. Prima-facie, it seems that the respondent No. 6 is intending to relieve the applicant on completion of his deputation period and accordingly, he has issued the impugned order dated 06.11.2019. Prima-facie, it seems that there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.
- 6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.12.2019.
- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 12. S.O. to 17.12.2019.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2019 (Shri Rajendra A. Jehurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 13.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373 OF 2019 (Shri Gajendra R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 15.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372 OF 2019 (Dr. Sanjay V. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 15.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2019 (Shri Arjun M. Maskar and Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 14.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 671 OF 2019 (Shri Shrirang P. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1016 OF 2019 (Shri Udhav U. Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 06.11.2019 relieving him from the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna on 20.11.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and he has been appointed by the respondent No. 7. He has submitted that without any reason, the respondent No. 6 issued the order dated 06.11.2019 and directed to relieve him on 20.11.2019. He has submitted that the impugned order is not legal one and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant.
- 4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and after completion of his deputation period, he has been directed to relieve. He has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, he supported the same.

- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been appointed by the respondent No. 7 on his establishment by the appointment order dated 11.10.2007 and accordingly he ioined establishment of respondent No. 7. By the order dated 12.11.2014 and as per the approval given by the Special Inspector General of Police, State Reserve Police Force, Nagpur, the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of respondent No. 6. Prima-facie, it seems that the respondent No. 6 is intending to relieve the applicant on completion of his deputation period and accordingly, he has issued the impugned order dated 06.11.2019. Prima-facie, it seems that there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.
- 6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.12.2019.
- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 12. S.O. to 17.12.2019.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1017 OF 2019 (Shri Sahebrao M. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 06.11.2019 relieving him from the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna on 20.11.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and he has been appointed by the respondent No. 7. He has submitted that without any reason, the respondent No. 6 issued the order dated 06.11.2019 and directed to relieve him on 20.11.2019. He has submitted that the impugned order is not legal one and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant.
- 4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and after completion of his deputation period, he has been directed to relieve. She has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, she supported the same.

- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been appointed by the respondent No. 7 on his establishment by the appointment order dated 11.10.2007 and accordingly he ioined establishment of respondent No. 7. By the order dated 12.11.2014 and as per the approval given by the Special Inspector General of Police, State Reserve Police Force, Nagpur, the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of respondent No. 6. Prima-facie, it seems that the respondent No. 6 is intending to relieve the applicant on completion of his deputation period and accordingly, he has issued the impugned order dated 06.11.2019. Prima-facie, it seems that there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.
- 6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.12.2019.
- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 12. S.O. to 17.12.2019.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1018 OF 2019 (Shri Suresh Ganesh Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 06.11.2019 relieving him from the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna on 20.11.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and he has been appointed by the respondent No. 7. He has submitted that without any reason, the respondent No. 6 issued the order dated 06.11.2019 and directed to relieve him on 20.11.2019. He has submitted that the impugned order is not legal one and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant.
- 4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and after completion of his deputation period, he has been directed to relieve. He has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, he supported the same.

- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been appointed by the respondent No. 7 on his establishment by the appointment order dated 11.10.2007 and accordingly he ioined establishment of respondent No. 7. By the order dated 12.11.2014 and as per the approval given by the Special Inspector General of Police, State Reserve Police Force, Nagpur, the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of respondent No. 6. Prima-facie, it seems that the respondent No. 6 is intending to relieve the applicant on completion of his deputation period and accordingly, he has issued the impugned order dated 06.11.2019. Prima-facie, it seems that there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.
- 6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.12.2019.
- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 12. S.O. to 17.12.2019.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1020 OF 2019 (Shri Vitthal B. Patole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 06.11.2019 relieving him from the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna on 20.11.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and he has been appointed by the respondent No. 7. He has submitted that without any reason, the respondent No. 6 issued the order dated 06.11.2019 and directed to relieve him on 20.11.2019. He has submitted that the impugned order is not legal one and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant.
- 4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of Police Training Centre, Jalna in the year 2014 and after completion of his deputation period, he has been directed to relieve. He has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, he supported the same.

- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been appointed by the respondent No. 7 on his establishment by the appointment order dated 11.10.2007 and accordingly he ioined establishment of respondent No. 7. By the order dated 12.11.2014 and as per the approval given by the Special Inspector General of Police, State Reserve Police Force, Nagpur, the applicant has been deputed on the establishment of respondent No. 6. Prima-facie, it seems that the respondent No. 6 is intending to relieve the applicant on completion of his deputation period and accordingly, he has issued the impugned order dated 06.11.2019. Prima-facie, it seems that there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.
- 6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.12.2019.
- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 12. S.O. to 17.12.2019.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732 OF 2019 (Shri Rajendra D. Tubakale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3. Shri S.B. Pulkundwar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions from the respondents and to satisfy the Tribunal as to whether the applicant has been transferred / shifted from the present place of posting.
- 3. S.O. to 05.12.2019. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 160/2019 in O.A. St. No. 627/2019 (Shri Ashok B. Nagargoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 368/2019 in O.A. No. 701/2019 (Shri Bapusaheb V. Patare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 459/2019 in O.A. No. 618/2019 (Shri Dhondiram G. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri U.L. Momale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**)

Heard Smt. M.P. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in M.A. Same is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 11.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 274 OF 2017 (Shri Anil A. Beedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Anand D. Kaware, learned Advocate holding for Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 08.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 992 OF 2019 (Shri Dasrao T. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Anand Devakate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. It transpires from the proceedings that the present Original Application is barred by limitation. However, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file application for delay condonation.
- 3. In view of the above, the present case be removed from the board with liberty to the applicant to circulate the same as and when occasion arises.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 50/2019 IN O.A.NO. 242/2017 (Shri Mohan R. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the name of the contemnor No. 1 in the present contempt petition.
- 3. Leave granted as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted. The learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to amend the contempt petition within a period of two weeks.
- 4. In view of the above, the present case be removed from the board with liberty to the learned Advocate for the applicant to circulate the same as and when occasion arises.

C.P.NO. 51/2019 IN O.A.NO. 648/2017 (Shri Bhaskar S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the name of the contemnor No. 1 in the present contempt petition.
- 3. Leave granted as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted. The learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to amend the contempt petition within a period of two weeks.
- 4. In view of the above, the present case be removed from the board with liberty to the learned Advocate for the applicant to circulate the same as and when occasion arises.

C.P.NO. 52/2019 IN O.A.NO. 878/2016 (Shri Nitinkumar T. Adhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the name of the contemnor No. 1 in the present contempt petition.
- 3. Leave granted as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted. The learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to amend the contempt petition within a period of two weeks.
- 4. In view of the above, the present case be removed from the board with liberty to the learned Advocate for the applicant to circulate the same as and when occasion arises.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615 OF 2019 (Shri Shafi K. Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Abhijit P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 18.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 428/2019 IN O.A.NO. 707/2019 (Shri Rama M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 (absent).

- 2. Await service for respondent No. 4.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 988 OF 2019 (Smt. Trupti V. Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Chandrasen A. Shingare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 09.01.2020.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 988 OF 2019

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 09.01.2020.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 27/2019 IN O.A.NO. 260/2017 (Shri Bhagwat D. Bedke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 04.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 786 OF 2017 (Shri Kailash A. Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandip G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 19.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171 OF 2019 (Dr. Vaishali R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.N. Gadegaonkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply, as a most last chance.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 179 OF 2019 (Dr. Suryakant D. Sonkhedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.01.2020 for filing affidavit in reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 180 OF 2019 (Dr. Padmaja Ajay Saraf V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.01.2020 for filing affidavit in reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 359 OF 2019 (Shri Shantaram M. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 19.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 360 OF 2019 (Dr. Atul A. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandip G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.12.2020 for filing affidavit in reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 377 TO 382, 565, 630, 631, 660, 661, 677, 714 AND 886 ALL OF 2019

(Shri Somnath A. Nande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Chandrasen A. Shingare, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 377 to 382 & 886 all of 20198, Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 630, 631 677 & 714 all of 2019 and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 565, 600 & 661 all of 2019 and S/Shri M.S. Mahajan & N.U. Yadav, learned Chief Presenting Officer & learned Presenting Officer for respective respondents in respective cases.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply in O.A. Nos. 631 & 677 both of 2019. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 09.01.2020.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 738 OF 2019 (Shri Vikas Vijay Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. 2. to 18.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 93/17 WITH M.A.409/14 IN O.A.ST.1452/14 (Smt. Manjushree B. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 224/2019 IN O.A.NO. 236/2019 (Shri Sanjay Bhaskar Udar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench]

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 35 OF 2019 (Shri Anil A. Beedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case has been heard at length and reserved for orders.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764 OF 2018 (Shri Prashant B. Kachawa V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.01.2010.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2017 (Shri Pandurang R. Chinchale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has filed leave note.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, S.O. to 02.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 284 OF 2016 (Dr. Usha N. Bholane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.A. Ingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 (absent).

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has filed a copy of communication received to him form Deputy Director, Health Services, Nashik and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 02.01.2020.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 933 OF 2019 (Shri Taherali M. Sayyed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that the affidavit in reply would be filed during the course of the day. He undertook to serve the copy of the same on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 03.12.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 539/2019 IN O.A.NO. 116/2017 (Dr. Dhanraj W. Kendre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. This is an application filed by the applicant for restoration of the accompanying Original Application, which was dismissed in default by this Tribunal by an order dated 24.09.2019.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the Misc. Application, the same is allowed subject to costs of Rs. 5,000/-. The amount of costs shall be deposited by the applicant in the office of this Tribunal on or before 03.12.2019.
- 4. On depositing the amount of cost of Rs. 5,000/the accompanying Original Application shall be restored to its original file.
- 5. Accordingly, the present M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.