

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.10.2022
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.114 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Jikare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arif Jamkhandi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply of Respondents No.1, 2 and 3 is already filed. However, reply of Respondent No.4 is not filed.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.4 to file reply. Adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b> </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1037 of 2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.B. Malani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is a Talathi challenges the seniority list dated 1.1.2020 to the extent of his placement qua respondents no.2 to 4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that respondents no.2 to 4 passed the examination on 9.7.2010 and they are placed at Sr. No.40 to 42 and applicant has passed the examination on 15.3.2008 and placed at Sr. No.43. Therefore it is prayed that applicant is to be placed above Shri S.D. Hange at Sr. No.40. He relies on the minutes of the meeting dated 14.6.2021 wherein the names of applicant and respondents were considered for promotion to the post of Circle Officer. In DPC 30 persons were found eligible so therefore they were shortlisted. The applicant stands at Sr. No.28 and respondents no.2 to 4 stand at Sr. No.25 to 27. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that respondents no.2 to 4 are promoted as Circle Officer.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has served respondent no.1-District Collector, Sangli on 17.10.2022. Ld. PO submits that he has no instructions till now.</p> <p>4. Kept back.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**LATER ON**

**Date : 19.10.2022**

**O.A.No.1037 of 2022**

1. Learned P.O. Mr. Chougule has submitted that the meeting of D.P.C. which was conducted on 14.06.2021 the validity of which was for one year, expired on 13.06.2022. As per G.R. dated 01.08.2019, Clause 1.10, the select list as per D.P.C. is valid for one year. Last promotion given to Respondent No.3, Popat Yeshwant Omase was on 09.12.2021. Hence, the concerned Collector, Sangli is directed to make a short one paragraph affidavit stating that during the period from 09.12.2021 till 13.06.2022 no vacancy of the post of Circle Officer in District Sangli was vacant and available.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant points out that on 30.04.2022 one post of Bingardiva, on 31.05.2022 three posts of M.R. Attam, Sutar and S.P. Bhurke of Circle Officer fall vacant and they are covered under the earlier D.P.C.

3. Adjourned to 21.10.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.471 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>K.G. Patkar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances were granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same was not filed.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. has filled Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 &amp; 2. In the interest of justice it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.10.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.685 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P.B. Rathod .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply since despite enough chances learned P.O. was not able to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing in the morning, learned P.O. sought time to call the concerned person from the establishment of Respondent No.3 and to find out whether Affidavit-in-Reply could be filed today. He further stated that he made various correspondences with the Respondents but they did not respond for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. Learned P.O. has also shown copies of communication made by him. Thus, despite various communication made by learned P.O. Respondents totally ignored and neglected it.</p> <p>4. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 - The Dean, Sir J.J. Group of Hospital and Grant Medical College, Byculla.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice, learned P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply however it can be taken on record subject to cost of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) to be deposited within seven days.</p> <p>6. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 15.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.831 of 2022</b></p> <p>V.R. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. sought permission to file Additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 which is opposed by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. However, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to allow learned P.O. to file additional Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.10.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 67/2022 in O.A 76/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.K Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 21.7.2022 should have been complied by 31.8.2022. Learned counsel submits that the applicant was working as a Muster Assistant initially and he continued to work as Muster Assistant. Thereafter, he was absorbed as per the policy of the Government. This Tribunal has held that his earlier service in the post of Peon, Group-D, Class-IV cannot be wiped out and being this position, the applicant's service is found pensionable. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 12.10.2018 in the case of Kishor D. Gaikwad, W.P No. 8908/2015 and W.P 4907/2016 was challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P (Civil) Diary No (s) 44716/2019, wherein by order dated 18.12.2019, the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed the S.L.P. Thus, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order passed in the case of K.D Gaikwad holds the filed and the applicant is similarly placed. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the Circular dated 28.2.2017 of Law &amp; Judiciary Department.</p> <p>3. Mrs Vishaka S. Adhar, Under Secretary, Water Resources Department, on behalf of Respondent no. 2 is present and Mr S.K Misal, Sub Divisional Engineer, Respondent no. 5 is present in person. The concerned officers are directed to take into account the Circular dated 28.2.2017 of the Law &amp; Judiciary Department.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the Principal Secretary, Planning Department and Secretary, Irrigation Department is directed to file short affidavit stating whether the case of the applicant is identical to that of Shri K.D Gaikwad.</p> <p>5. S.O to 21.10.2022. Steno copy allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p><b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b></p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>Date : 19.10.2022</b></p>
	<p><b>O.A.No.99 of 2022</b></p> <p>J.S. Pandit .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders**

**Tribunal's orders  
Date : 19.10.2022**

**O.A.No.205 of 2022**

Dr. S.K. Thakur .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7 is absent.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that respondents have filed affidavit-in-reply for Respondents No.1 and 3 and another affidavit-in-reply for Respondent no.3.

4. No reply is filed by Respondent No.7.

5. Last chance granted to the Respondents to file reply, otherwise matter will proceed without reply.

6. Adjourned to 23.11.2022.

Sd/-

/   
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.10.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.429 of 2022</b></p> <p>V. Narayan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 30.11.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders**

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 19.10.2022**

**O.A.No.896 of 2022**

S. Supnekar .....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.
3. Time granted. Adjourned to 16.11.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Madhav Govind Satale, Sub Divisional Officer, Urmodi Irrigation Sub Division, Waduj, Taluka Khatav, District Satara, submits that payment is made and applicant has informed him. Ld. PO submits that order in OA No.241/2019 is complied with.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that in OA No.280/2017 the order is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and no stay is granted.</p> <p>5. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 23.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.20/2021 in OA No.55/2018 &amp; OA No.56/2018</u></p> <p>A.T. Mane N.B. Kamble ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that Government has filed writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order of this Tribunal and in the said writ petition, statement is made by the Ld. Advocate for the applicants that he would not press the contempt application.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.606 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020</u></p> <p>Shyam S. Misal &amp; 6 Ors. ..Applicants And Kalyani R. Gupta &amp; 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat holding for Shri V.S. Talkute, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed by the applicants for substitution of parties. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>3. All these seven applicants are allowed to be substituted in place of applicants under Order 1 Rule 5 of CPC.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Substitution be carried out as per Schedule-I MA. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.6 of 2022 in O.A. No.574 of 2022</u></p> <p>L.D. Veer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.3 &amp; 4, Ms. A.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Ms. Rukaiya, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 &amp; 6. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 submits that they are in process of complying the order.</p> <p>4. The officers concerned of all the departments are directed to remain present tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.289 of 2019 in O.A. No.512 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.T. Garud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 5 years and 10 months in filing the OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.11.2022 under the caption of 'For Dismissal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.10.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 294/19 in O.A 611/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.V Bramhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The application was moved for restoration of the Misc Application No. 294/2019. The application is taken on record and marked as Exh. 1.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that when the matter was called out, she was held up before the other Court. Therefore, she prays that the matter be restored.</p> <p>4. As the application for restoration is moved today itself, the application is allowed and the Misc Application is restored.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.294 of 2021 in O.A. No.611 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.V. Bramhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. In this MA the applicant has prayed to condone the delay of 7 years and 5 months. Time and again when the matter appeared it is found that Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant was not present and Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, Ld. Advocate has sought time.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that affidavit in reply is filed on 6.7.2022.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, MA is dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.10.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 30/2021 in O.A 970/2014</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files application dated 19.10.2022 for restoration of the Contempt Application. The copy of the application is taken on record and marked as Exh-1. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he was not available when the matter was called out.</p> <p>3. As the application for restoration is filed today, the application is allowed and the Contempt Application is restored.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO informs that department has complied with the orders of the Tribunal and the applicant does not come within the zone of consideration as per seniority list and therefore he is not given the promotion.</p> <p>4. In view of this the CA is dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.421 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.K. Ghodke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.10.2022
	<p><b>O.A.No.1053 of 2022</b></p> <p>M.L. Pawar .....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.11.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application</p>

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that the facts in the O.A.No.1053/2022 are similar to O.A.No.1024/2022 and O.A.No.763/2022. The Applicants are served with notice of reversion. This Tribunal had given protection to those applicants in the said O.As.. The present applicants are similarly placed and therefore the same protection is given to the present applicants that no action of reversion is to be implemented pursuant to the show cause notice.

10. Adjourned to 29.11.2022. To be tagged along with C.A.No.8/2021 in O.A.No.848/2018, O.A.No.763/2022, O.A.No.1024/2022, O.A.No.36/2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.615 of 2022 in O.A.No.1058 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. Hulge &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.602 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.914 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A. D. Dayal <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.940 of 2022</p> <p>S. B. Kashid <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1008 of 2022</p> <p>N. N. Talkar <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1041 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. Konde <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that on last 2-3 days, the matter was adjourned in view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Thus, enough chances are granted on leave note. The matter is of 2021 and needs to be decided at the earliest.</p> <p>4. O.A. be, therefore, kept tomorrow for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.394 of 2022</p> <p>D. K. Kokane <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requests for adjournment stating that the matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. but today she is unable to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1057 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. Suryawanshi <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged Police Notice dated 11.08.2022 issued by the Respondent No.1 stating that there will be no inter district transfer for six months.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.10.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1058 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S. P. Hulge &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicants are seeking inter district transfer from the establishment of Resp. 1- C.P., Mumbai to the establishment of Resp.2 – Superintendent of Police, Washim.</li> <li>3. The Applicants made application for inter district transfer and in response to it, the Respondent No.2 gave NOC and by letter dated 15.09.2022 requested Respondent No.1 to relieve them. However, the Respondent No.1 had issued Police Notice dated 11.08.2022 that there will be no inter district transfer for six months due to lack of sufficient man power. The Applicants, therefore, apprehend that Respondent No.2 will fill in the vacancies since Respondent No.1 is not going to relieve them for next six months. In letter dated 15.09.2022, the SP, Washim has categorically stated that if the Applicants do not join on or before 31.10.2022, the post will be filled in by appointing somebody else.</li> <li>4. In view of above, if the vacancy on which the Applicants were to be transferred is filled in, the very purpose of O.A. will be frustrated.</li> <li>5. Hence, interim relief in terms of Para No.10(b) is granted till filing of reply.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A.No.592 of 2022 in O.A.No.1007 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. Kumbhar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and thier Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has sent leave note. On previous date also he has sent leave note and the matter was adjourned. However, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1054 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. Suryawanshi <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 13.10.2022 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. under Rule 4(1) (a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought stay to the suspension order.</li> <li>4. The perusal of suspension order reveals that the D.E. is contemplated for certain misconduct and, therefore, at this stage the question of granting stay to the suspension order does not survive.</li> <li>5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.11.2022.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>