

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 19/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Adv. G. Sadavarte Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Adv. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 15/11/16. </p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.179 of 2016 (D.B.)</p> <p>Dr,S.A. Mahajan & Ors. Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Further opportunity is being sought for affidavit-in-reply. In fact on 21.9.2016, last chance was granted.</p> <p>3. The matter is argued to a certain extent and Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate invites reference to para (XIII), page 18 of the paper-book. The matter has been pending as it is from April, 2016. Even, if affidavit-in-reply is filed on the next date, the specific reply to para in the OA just mentioned must be clearly stated and it is also made clear that if the affidavit-in-reply is not filed the power to proceed further on the basis of constructive admission are kept reserved.</p> <p>4. With this clear observations adjourned to 15.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. **934** of 20 **16**

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 19/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. <u>RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>S.O. to 8/11/16.</u></p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.934 of 2016 (D.B.)</p> <p>B.M. Doke & Ors. Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO Smt. Archana B.K. is instructed by Shri M.P. Jadhav, Under Secretary, MPSC, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. It does appear on the face of it that this matter is covered by the decision of the 2nd Bench of which I was also a party in OA 695/2016 (Shri Pankaj Borse & 4 others V/s State of Maharashtra & 3 others and 1 other O.A.), dated 27.9.2016 and therefore no time should have been lost in filing Affidavit-in-Reply. While adjourning the matter today, however, I make it clear that on the next date, if Affidavit-in-Reply is tendered so much the matter but regardless of whether it is tendered or not, both the sides should come prepared for arguments.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19/10/16

Coram Hon'ble Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad P.O.
for the Respondents
(Org. Respondents)

Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar
for the Applicants
Org. Applicants in
M.A. 382/16 in
O.A. 485/15.

None appears for
Respondents (Org. Applicants)

Heard

order passed in
Tribunal column.

So to 5/11/16.

5/11/16. 2

Date : 19.10.2016.

M.A.No.382 of 2016 in O.A.No.485 of 2015 with
M.A.No.383 of 2016 in O.A.No.648 of 2015 with
M.A.No.384 of 2016 in O.A.No.768 of 2015 with
M.A.No.385 of 2016 in O.A.No.769 of 2015 (Aurangabad)
with

M.A.No.386 of 2016 in O.A.No.42 of 2016 with
M.A.No.387 of 2016 in O.A.No.75 of 2016 with
M.A.No.388 of 2016 in O.A.No.258 of 2016 (Nagpur).

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Applicants (Org. Respondents)

Vs.

Dr. V.B. Sawale (M.A.382/16 IN O.A.485/15)

Dr. S.P. Madrewar (M.A.383/16 IN O.A.648/15)

Dr. P.N. Pensalwar (M.A.384/16 IN O.A.768/15)

Dr. S.S. Shivpuje (M.A.385/16 IN O.A.769/15)

Dr. N.S. Frakade (M.A.386/16 IN O.A.42/16)

Dr. S.B. Deshpande (M.A.387/16 IN O.A.75/16)

Dr. G.U. Sanap (M.A.388/16 IN O.A.258/16)

...Respondents (Org. Applicants)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents (Org. Applicants) in M.A.No.382/2016 in O.A. No.485/2015). None appears for Respondents (Org. Applicants) in remaining Miscellaneous Applications.

2. Prima facie, it is not necessary to transfer the cases from Aurangabad Bench. It shall suffice if the cases pending at Aurangabad are listed before the Division Bench as the Division Bench is available in Aurangabad Bench in this week.

3. Alternatively the cases pending at Mumbai will be governed by the judgment / order as would be passed by the Division Bench at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.

4. In view of foregoing, learned P.O. prays for adjournment. Hence, adjourned to 05.12.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.469 OF 2015 WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.470 OF 2015 WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.471 OF 2015

DISTRICT : PUNE

R.K. Khandale (O.A.No.469/2015)
A.R. Ghume (O.A.No.470/2015)
S.D. Mane (O.A.No.471/2015)

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 19.10.2016.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. states as follows :-
 - (a) Though the order is communicated to the Respondents by communication dated 04.10.2016, no response is received till date.
 - (b) No instructions are received from the office of the Respondent No.1.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents later on states that now representative from the Respondent No.3 has arrived with para-wise remarks/ affidavit. Learned P.O. has furnished it for perusal.
4. Question involved in this case is as to whether applicant is a Police Personnel governed by Maharashtra Police Act, 1951 or is governed by Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (Transfer Act, 2005), and hence a Government servant falling in Category-C and is governed by Transfer Act, 2005. This pleading of applicant is to be answered by the Respondent No.1. Therefore, in view of the nature and the gravity of issue involved in the

case, attention of the (Respondent No.1) Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and even his affidavit is necessary.

5. In the aforesaid background, learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to furnish the name of the incumbent holding the post of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has furnished the following name :-

Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

7. Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary is directed to file his own affidavit on the following points :-

(a) Whether his office has received any letter from the office of C.P.O. communicating the order passed on 03.10.2016..

(b) If yes, why any effort is not made to respond / answer to the letter promptly, and file affidavit ?

8. In view that no subordinate officer can file affidavit on the question involved in this O.A. as it pertains to policy matter, and applicability of law, Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary is directed to file his own affidavit answering each and every paragraph, point and ground in the light of this Tribunal's order dated 03.10.2016, in addition to the affidavit as directed in foregoing paragraph No.6, either separately or combined.

9. In view that Respondent no.1 is directed to file affidavits with specific directions, learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three weeks.

10. Considering the request of learned P.O. time is granted for filing affidavits by the Additional Chief Secretary till 22.11.2016.

11. By way of last chance, S.O. to 22.11.2016.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)

Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
C.A. No.52 of 2015 in O.A. No.315 of 2014

Shri S.E. Pawar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. PO states as follows:


- (a) Required steps have been complied with.
- (b) Office of AG has issued order revising pension and ordering commutation.

3. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he would wait till monetary benefits are actually credited to his account and make a statement.

4. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

(sg)


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman
 19.10.2016

19/10/16
 Concom. Hon'ble Shri
 A.H. Joshi
 (Chairman)
 Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar
 Adv for the Applicant
 Smt K.S. Gaikwad PO
 for the Respondents.
 Heard
 order passed in
 Tribunal Gmum.
 Sob 21/11/16.


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.55 of 2016 in O.A. No.930 of 2014
<p>19/10/16 Coram - Hon'ble Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar Adv for the Applicant Smt Savita Suryawanshi PO for the Respondents</p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal Coram SO to 24/10/16.</p>	<p>Smt. S.A. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she has examined the matter and discussed with the contemnor and states that proper Govt. orders would be issued for preventing the contemnors from neglecting of the orders / notices of the Tribunal on the next date and prays for time till 24.10.2016.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.10.2016.</p> <p>(sg)</p>


 Sd/-sh
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman
 19.10.2016

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's ordersTribunal's orders
C.A. No.31 of 2016 in O.A. No.914 of 2013

Dr. Smt. H.B. Hankare

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO, on instructions from Shri Umesh Rathod,
Section Officer, Public Health Department, states as
follows:

(a) The Secretary incharge has signed the
papers and matter is forwarded for orders
of Hon'ble Minister.

(b) One weeks time may be granted.

(c) On the next date outcome will be reported.

3. S.O. to 25.10.2016.

Sgtl
(A.H. Joshi,)
Chairman
19.10.2016

(sgj)

[P.T.O.]

19/10/16

Coram - Hon'ble Smt.
A.H. Joshi
(Chairman)

Miss S.P. Manchekar
Adv for the Applicant
Smt K.S. Gaikwad PO
for the Respondents

Heard
order passed
in Tribunal Column
S.O. to 25.10.16.

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
C.A. No.85 of 2013 in O.A. No.788 of 2012

Shri R.T. Patil

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel
for the Respondents.

2. Shri Khaire, Ld. Special Counsel for the
respondents prays for one weeks time for filing of
application for modification or order.

3. He prays for adjournment of hearing to
26.10.2016.

4. S.O. to 26.10.2016.

(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman
19.10.2016

(sgj)

19/10/16

Coram - Hon Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri D.B. Khaire
Special Counsel for
the Respondents.

Shri R.T. Chandratre
Adv for the Applicant
is absent.

S.O to 26/10/16.



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.99 of 2014 in O.A. No.684 of 2011

Shri D.N. Jadhav

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states as follows:

- (a) That the credit of five years of service for entitlement of pension is granted in favour of the applicant by order dated 17.10.2016 and a copy whereof is given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.
- (b) Steps for revising the pension are also taken and papers are submitted to the office of the Accountant General, Mumbai.
- (c) Time be granted for reporting further compliance.

3. For reporting further compliance adjourned to 21.11.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

19.10.2016

(sgj)


[P.T.O.]

19/10/16

Coram - Hon' Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant
Smt K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents.

Heard
order passed in
tribunal column
so do 21/11/16.



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
C.A. No.66 of 2015 in O.A. No.499 of 2014

Shri A.V. Joshi
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states as follows:

- (a) That the proposal for revising the pension is furnished to the office of Accountant General.
- (b) The matter would be followed up and pursued and the outcome will be reported on the next date.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

sd/-
(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman
19.10.2016)

(sgj)

19/10/16

Coram - Hon' Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri C.T. Chandratre
Adv for the Applicant
Smt Savita Suryawanshi
P.O for the Respondents.

Heard
order passed in
Tribunal Column
S.O to 21/11/16.

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>19/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>P.S. Pathak</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>S.O. to 24/10/16.</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.52 of 2016</p> <p>S.V. Varunjikar Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO is being instructed by Shri P.R. Rathod, Administrative Officer, Bandra.</p> <p>3. I have carefully perused the order dated 14.9.2016 made by the Hon'ble Chairman and in compliance with para 5(e) thereof, ^{With in fact is} not full compliance but still the learned CPO has informed that the meeting of Suspension Review Committed took placed on 04.10.2016 and it has been decided that the suspension of the applicant should continue. A copy of the said letter being placed on the said record. The matter will now for be heard on merit. However, the respondents are directed to submit an affidavit to explain the reasons for continuing with the suspension of the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(R.B. Malik) 19/10/16</u> Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 19/10/16</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Don. Mr. Smt. RAJIV AGARWAL (1700 - Chairman)</p> <p>Don. Mr. R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCES:</p> <p>Shri. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 21/10/16.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.739 of 2016 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.R.S. Munir Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Despite the fact that last chance was given for reply, the reply is not forthcoming.</p> <p>3. The Learned P.O. seeks further adjournment.</p> <p>4. I have perused at the request of Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant, the document at Annexure 'A-11', page 70 of the Paper-Book which makes serious allegation and, therefore, this OA brooks no delay at all. Still ^{yielding} willing to persuasion ^{of} to learned PO, the OA stands adjourned to 21.10.2016 and it is made clear that regardless of whether reply is filed or not apart from proceedings to the next stage in this OA, I may have to seriously consider ⁱⁿ in granting interim relief.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.10.2016.

O.A.No.1019 of 2015

J.V. Patil

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer
for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has filed a
leave note.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that there
are similar cases which are pending.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to
furnish the list of case numbers in order that all the similar
cases can be taken up for hearing simultaneously.

5. S.O. to 20.10.2016.

(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman

prk

19/10/16

Coram - Hon Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Smt K.S. Gaikwad P.O.
for the respondents.

Smt Punam Mahajan
Adv for the Applicant
his leave note.

so to 20/10/16.

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>19/10/16</u> Coram - Hon Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Shri P.S. Bhavake Adv for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit CPO for the Respondents Heard order passed in Tribunal Coram. S.O to 22.11.16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.444 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. R.V. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs. ...Respondents</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri P.S. Bhavake states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) He wants to cross check whether the copies of record given to him relate to entire record or some documents are still not supplied.</p> <p>(b) He prays for leave to amend and undertakes to carry out the amendment within one week.</p> <p>3. Applicant is put to notice that if amendment is not carried out within 10 days, the O.A. shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. If amendment is carry out, amended portion be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Respondents shall file reply to the amended portion on or before 18.11.2016.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>19/10/16</u> Coram - Hon' Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>- Shri B.R. Deshmukh Adv for the Applicant, Shri A.K. Rajpurohit CPO for the Respondent no.1 to 6 Shri S.A. Masal Advocate for the Res. no 7 is absent Shri D.M. Bhajanwade for Respondent no 7 in person present.</p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal column 5010 30/11/16.</p>	<p>Date : 19.10.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.307 of 2016</p> <p>B.R. Khedkar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 6.</p> <p>2. Shri S.A. Masal, the learned Advocate for Respondent no.7 is absent. Shri D.M. Bhajanwade, Respondent No.7 is present in person.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for four weeks time on the ground that he could not make up his mind as regards need for amendment.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 30.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>