

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.385 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.K. Hankare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 21.09.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha/Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.51 of 2022</b></p> <p>N.Y. Chavan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned C.P.O. by way of last chance to file reply. If reply is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.865 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.V. Gavhane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 30.08.2022 Applicant appeared before the Medical Board in JJ. Hospital and the report is awaited.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.312 of 2022 with O.A.No.577 of 2022</b></p> <p>R.N. More &amp; Ors. (O.A.312/2022) S.S. Bhagat &amp; Ors. (O.A.577/2022) .....Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.312/2022. She submits that reply is already filed in O.A.No.577/2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that reply is G.A.D is not yet filed though last chance was granted to G.A.D to file reply.</p> <p>4. Admit. If reply is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.349 of 2022 with O.A.No.428 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.D. Thorat (O.A.349/2022) S.A. Kamble (O.A.428/2021) ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.349/2022, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.428/2021 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed reply in O.A.No.349/2022 on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that pleading in O.A.NO.428/2021 are already complete.</p> <p>4. Admit and adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 with O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022 with O.A.No.830/2022</b></p> <p>V.R. Sarole &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar Assoc, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.775/2022, O.A.No.776 /2022, O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778 /2022, O.A. No.779/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 &amp; O.A.No.796 /2022, Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.830/2022, Ms. Amrita Chaware, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.777/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.775/2022 and 776/2022 reply of Respondents No.3 and 5 respectively filed today by learned C.P.O. Taken on record.</p> <p>4. In O.A.No.790/2022 and O.A.no.791/2022 learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar files reply on behalf of Respondent No.9 and 4 respectively.</p> <p>5. Reply is yet to be filed in O.A.No.793/2022, O.A.No.776/2022.</p> <p>6. We direct the parties to complete the pleadings.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 23.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.09.2022
	<p align="center"><b>C.A.No.14 of 2022 in O.A.No.343 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.G. Rajput &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that by order dated 15.09.2022, 5 posts are filled up as per the Rules. Out of 16 Applicants, 4 Applicants, i.e. Applicants No.1, 5, 7 and 13 are promoted. Pursuant to order dated 29.10.2021 in M.A.No.421/2021 State is expected to fill up the vacant posts by maintaining the quota as per existing Recruitment Rules.</p> <p>3. Therefore, we call upon the Respondent-State to furnish the details of the vacant posts available as on 29.10.2021.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.30 of 2021 in O.A.No.970 of 2014</b></p> <p>V.D. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned C.P.O. by way of last chance to comply the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 19.09.2022 Tribunal's orders <b>C.A.No.47 of 2021 in O.A.No.280 of 2017 with O.A.No.241 of 2019</b></p> <p>V.V. Punathil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter was referred to the office of Accountant General and submitted for sanction and subsequently RTI was also filed to find the states of the proposal. The pension was sanctioned by A.G. office on 25.08.2022 and thereafter papers has been forwarded to the Satara office.</p> <p>3. For further complied adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.253 of 2022 to O.A.No.258 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.L. Salunkhe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that papers are sent to the Government for approval. Medical Education and Drugs Department is directed to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance to file reply. If reply is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without reply</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.452 of 2022 in O.A.No.321 of 2018</b></p> <p>State of Maha &amp; Ors. ....Appli (Org. Resp) Vs. S.R. Sonawane . ....Respd(Org. Appli(</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Respondent)</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed by the State seeking two months time for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 16.06.2022. M.A. is filed on 25.06.2022. Thus two months will get over on 25.09.2022. The order of reinstatement of the Original Applicant was to comply within one month i.e. till 16.07.2022. However now three months will be over. Thus in all fairness we extend time of compliance till 30.09.2022 and direct the Respondents to comply the order of reinstatement of the Original Applicant till 30.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.09.2022 for reporting compliance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.09.2022
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.225 of 2022</b></p> <p>N.V. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance to file reply. If reply is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without reply</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.468 of 2022</b></p> <p>V.A. Bhosale . . . . .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. . . . .Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Naik learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 10.10.2022. Reply of Respondent No.4 is awaited.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.441 of 2022 in O.A.No.729 of 2022</b></p> <p>G.N. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.104 of 2022 in O.A.No.373 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.K. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today G.A.D is filing affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1063 of 2015</u></p> <p>C.V. Alsatwar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that on the last date i.e. on 7.9.2022, letter dated 18.10.2021 was produced by the Ld. CPO. The said letter is taken on record and marked Court Exh.1 for identification. By the said letter Under Secretary, Home Department has informed the Director General of Police that applicant Shri Chandrakant V. Alsatwar is junior to 3 officers i.e. S/Shri Ganesh Nandanwar-Respondent No.6, Bharat Worlikar and Deepak Makam. Hence, nobody has superseded the applicant and he cannot be granted deemed date of promotion.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in view of this he wants to challenge the said letter dated 18.10.2021 and therefore he wants to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>4. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  19.9.2022 19.9.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.908 of 2019 with M.A.No.497 of 2019</b></p> <p>A.K. Gogavle &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate prays that O.A. be adjourned in view of the pendency of the similar matter before the Hon'ble High Court. He submits that the Hon'ble High Court has adjourned the matter on 22.09.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, O.A. is adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.09.2022</p> <p><b>C.A.No.45 of 2019 in O.A.No.651<sup>651</sup> of 2018</b></p> <p>R.V.Somane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that order of this Tribunal has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.05.11.2019 and stay has been granted.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 19.09.2022 Tribunal's orders C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017</p>
	<p>A.A. Pawar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete. Learned P.O. submits that order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. However, learned Advocate wants to argue the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above adjourned to 10.10.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 19.09.2022**

**O.A.No.931 of 2022 with M.A.No.554 of 2022**

R.U. Bhosale & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.09.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. If any orders of promotion are issued in between it will be subject to outcome of this O.A.
9. Adjourned to 23.09.2022. To be shown under the caption 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.483 of 2022</u></p> <p>Magan G. Prajapat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that advertisement was issued by Respondent No.2 - Commissioner of Police, Thane on 21.8.2019. The applicant applied in the general category though he was belonging to the OBC category as there was no reservation shown for OBC. Corrigendum to advertisement was issued on 3.2.2020. Written examination was held on 24.10.2021 and result of the first examination was declared on 2.11.2021. The applicant made representations on 3.11.2021 and 23.4.2022. Physical test was held from 3.11.2022 to 7.1.2022. The respondent no.2 has not decided the representation.</p> <p>3. In this matter we call upon respondents no.2 and 3 to make positive statement whether this particular instruction was published on the website of the respondents:</p> <p>“उमेद्वारांना सुचित करण्यात येते की, ऑनलाईन अर्ज भरण्यास सुरु करण्यापुर्वी, संबंधित घटकाच्या जाहिरातीमध्ये नमूद केलेल्या प्रवर्गनिहाय रिक्त पदांची खातरजमा करुन अर्ज भरण्यास सुरुवात करावी. उमेद्वार, घटकातील ज्या प्रवर्गातील अर्ज भरण्यास पात्र आहे, त्या प्रवर्गामध्ये रिक्त पदे नसतांना अर्ज सादर केल्यास भरतीच्या कोणत्याही टप्प्यावर त्याची उमेदवारी रद्द करण्यात येईल व याकरिता उमेद्वार स्वतः जबाबदार असतील. अशा प्रकारे अपात्र ठरलेल्या उमेद्वारास आवेदन शुल्क परत दिले जाणार नाही.”</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Respondent No.2 is directed to file simple affidavit stating whether the above quoted instructions are issued by him and he is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>5. We suo motu add Additional Director General of Police, Training as party respondent. The applicant is directed to carry out the amendment forthwith and serve all concerned.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.9.2022. Part heard.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>19.9.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>19.9.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.187 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.G. Petkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant died in the month of April 2022 and thereafter he has no instruction about his heirs so as to implead them in the O.A.</p> <p>3. The period of 90 days from the date of death is already over. Legal heirs seem not interested for impleading them in the matter. O.A thus abates and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.622 of 2020 with O.A. No.623 of 2020</p> <p>M.R. Ahire M.P. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. In the interest of justice matter is adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant and his Advocate. If they fail to remain present on next date, matter will be dismissed in default.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.573 of 2022</p> <p>B.T. Lohar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. 10.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.712 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. 26.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2020 with O.A. No.398 of 2021 with O.A. No.172 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.B. Batte Dr. B.M. Dahiphale Dr. S. V. Kachare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 424/2020, Shri U.V. Bhosle, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 398/2021, Shri K.R. Jagdale, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 172/2022, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition No.2303/2019, <i>State of Maharashtra v/s. Dr. Rajesh Gaikwad</i> is not yet decided, and further submits that the Government pleader will take circulation for early hearing. She therefore requested for three weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p>4. These group of O.As. can be kept along with other group of O.As. i.e. 80/2021, 81/2021, 632/2021, 1047/2021, 1048/2021 and 1049/2021 together, since, the issue involve in all these O.As are common.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.611/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri J.V. Lilke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Speaking to Minutes</u></b></p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for Speaking to Minutes for some minor correction in Para No.4 of the Order dated 15.09.2022.</p> <p>3. While typing order, reference of Rule 4(2) is made inadvertently and it is under 4(1)(a) and (c) of 'D &amp; A Rules of 1979'. Therefore, the sentence "Respondent invoked Rule 4(2)(1)(a) and (c) both of 'D &amp; A Rules of 1979' should be corrected as "Respondent invoked Rule 4(1)(a) and (c) both of 'D &amp; A Rules of 1979' from Page No.3, sentence No.2 of the order. It be corrected accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>19.09.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 19.09.2022

O.A. No.690 of 2022

P.S. Tikawade .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri T.A. Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The perusal of record particularly relief Clause reveals that the main relief sought by the Applicant is against the order passed by the Government dated 08.02.2022 thereby dismissing his appeal filed against the order dated 05.11.2018 whereby compulsory retired.

3. Thus challenge being to the punishment of compulsory retirement ~~and~~ it pertains to Division Bench, Office shall place the matter before Division Bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.927 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.J. Khairnar .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. In this O.A. on 16.09.2022 the Tribunal has passed order directing the learned Advocate for the Applicant to specify how the O.A. is maintainable. This O.A. is filed for direction to release gratuity and regular pension in view of pendency of criminal case before CJM, Dhule, in terms of Rule 130(1)(c) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982 gratuity and regular pension is not payable till the decision of criminal case prosecuted or D.E. Thus, it appears that the claim of the Applicant for gratuity and regular pension is premature in view of Rule 130(1)(c) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>4. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that on this point about entitlement of Government servant to gratuity and regular pension during the pendency of criminal case, the decision rendered by the Tribunal are conflicting. He therefore intends to move before Hon'ble Chairperson for deciding the issue by Hon'ble Division Bench.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.742 of 2020</p> <p>S.K. Darekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.405 of 2022 with O.A.No.448 of 2022 with O.A.No.449 of 2022 with O.A.No.455 of 2022 with O.A.No.456 of 2022</b></p> <p>C.M. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board. Learned Advocate produces the order dated 14.09.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.10723 of 2022 directing the Tribunal to decide these matters finally till 29.09.2022. She submits that matters are related to primary teachers wherein result has been declared. The cut-off marks fixed for MES is 74 and for DTC is 150. According to learned Advocate the Applicants neither fall in DTS nor MES. They are from 3<sup>rd</sup> category and they should have been given separate cut-off marks.</p> <p>3. In view of this, other similarly situated matters, if any, are to be clubbed together along with present O.A. Pleadings in these matters are ready. Rejoinder filed in O.A.No.405/2022 is adopted in other matters to.</p> <p>4. In view of this all the matters are to be clubbed and taken tomorrow at 20.09.2022 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 19.09.2022

O.A. No.928 of 2022

S.B. Koravi .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate along with Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 20.05.2022 to the extent of posting at Sangli with direction to Respondent to accommodate him at Mumbai or nearby Mumbai.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.926 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>D.A. Chavan .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is Police Inspector and was subjected to punishment of withholding of increment for two years with cumulative effect by order dated 23.05.2021 issued by Respondent No.2 – The Additional Commissioner of Police. Being aggrieved by it the Applicant had preferred appeal dated 24.06.2021 before appellate authority i.e. Respondent No.1. However, appeal is not decided, and therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A. for limited direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. Though the period of one year of appeal is over it is not decided by Respondent No.1 which was required to be decided within reasonable time. The Applicant grievance is that he is in zone of promotion and punishment may come in his way. Therefore he sought direction for decision on appeal within reasonable time on its own merit.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal filed by the Applicant within three months from today in accordance to law and decision be communicated to him within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.827 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. Sonawane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.822 of 2022</p> <p>S.N. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.799 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.680 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.664 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.647 of 2022</p> <p>A.G. Sangar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.595 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Misal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Reply to amended O.A. but no Reply is filed to amended O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. Katte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to amended portion as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 19.09.2022

M.A. No.228 of 2022 in O.A. No.310 of 2022

S.S. Thakur .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his advocate both are absent.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.
4. S.O. to 26.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.09.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.927 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>B.A.K. Shaikh</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 22.08.2022 whereby he is shown transferred invoking Section 22 N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act as administrative exigencies, Public interest, a special case and request without specifying anything further.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has tendered minutes of P.E.B. wherein also it is simply stated that the Applicant with 7 other Police Inspectors are transferred on the ground of administrative exigencies, Public interest, a special case and on complaints. No specific instances or reasons for the transfer of the Applicant is mentioned in the minutes of P.E.B. Thus, under the caption of administrative exigencies etc. the Applicant and others are shown transferred.</p> <p>4. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly stated that the Applicant is relieved and private Respondents No.2 has already joined in the place of the Applicant. Therefore, at this stage I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.908/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.M. Lokhande</b>                      ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>              ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. O.A. is filed for direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the appeal dated 13.12.2021 within reasonable time.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that he will take instruction and will appraise the Tribunal within next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>19.09.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>