

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2016  
(Subject : Recovery)****DISTRICT : THANE****S.B. Sawant****.... Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.... Respondents.**

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN****DATE : 19.09.2016.****ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This case is called out for Final Hearing. This O.A. is opposed by filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.4 and 5, which is sworn / affirmed by Shri Ramesh Balaram Ghadavle, Assistant Police Commissioner to Police, Mumbai based on authorization from Respondents No.4 and 5.
3. Perusal of affidavit reveals that crucial averments contained in grounds no.7.2, 7.4 and 7.6, to 7.9 have been replied in an evasive manner. Ordinarily the evasive reply has to be construed to be admission and the O.A. ought to proceed which may eventually entail to its being allowed.
4. After hearing the parties, what transpires is that the present case involves the issue relating to public ex-chequer as well as legal grounds such as discrimination and non-observance of principals of natural justice.

5. Therefore, it is considered appropriate and necessary in the interest of justice that Respondent No.5 ought to file his own affidavit.
6. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents was directed to furnish the name of the Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration).
7. Learned P.O. has furnished the following name :-  
Shri Anupkumar Singh, Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration).
8. Shri Anupkumar Singh, Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration) is directed as follows :-
- Call for the papers of papers O.A. and read those himself.
  - Focus on the ground 7.2 to 7.9, averred in the O.A. and file his own affidavit answering facts and other questions involved in the case, and averred in these paragraphs.
  - It is hoped that while answering he would deal with each ground, point and line contained therein.
9. It is expected that affidavit be filed within one week.
10. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad states that at least two weeks time may be granted which will enable her to contact the concerned officer and secure the affidavit.
11. It is hoped that, if Respondent No.5 finds that discriminatory treatment is given to the applicant and if corrective action is taken, appropriate orders be passed. In case the Respondents decide to continue to contest the O.A., an affidavit be filed in terms of directions given in the foregoing paragraph No.8.
12. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
13. For final Hearing adjourned to 20.10.2016. Part Head.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

prk

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders  
C.A. No.53 of 2015 in O.A. No:697 of 2012

Shri D.M. Barmase ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for  
the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. PO on instructions states that the writ petition  
to be filed by the State is ready. However it is not filed  
and would be filed within one week.

3. For reporting further steps as may be taken,  
adjourned to 14.10.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman  
19.9.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 19/9/2016  
Smt. Archana B.K. (Chairman)  
Archana B.K.  
V.P. Potbhare  
Archana B.K.  
Adj. To: 14/10/16



versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
C.A. No.47 of 2016 in O.A. No.883 of 2014


Shri M.G. Shaikh ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana  
B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO on instructions states as follows:

- (a) That 90% of the amount of gratuity is paid to the applicant.
- (b) A proposal for payment of remaining gratuity and release of pension is furnished to the Accountant General on 14.9.2016.
- (c) Necessary persuasion would be made in the office of AG and outcome would be reported.

3. S.O. to 21.9.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi,  
Chairman  
19.9.2016

(sgl)

DATE: 19/9/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.G. Shaikh: Appl. in person Present

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/9/16.



O.A.921/2016

Ms. N.S. Chaugule

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks urgent relief. The Applicant came to be reverted to the post of Clerk from the post of Awal Karkun by the order of 27.16.2016. That order came to be made in a D.E. The details whereof are not necessary to be set out herein at the moment. As of today, I am not so disposed as to grant any interim relief though liberty is reserved for the Applicant to renew the said request at an appropriate time in future. In the meanwhile, it appears that the Applicant has preferred an administrative appeal against the order of her reversion and therein, she has applied for interim relief on 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 which was more than two months ago. A copy thereof is at Page 114 of the Paper Book. I direct the appellate authority being the Respondent No.2 i.e. Divisional Commissioner to decide the issue of interim relief within four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicant within one week thereafter.

Issue notice returnable on 24.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 17  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL~~

(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. Savita Suryawanshi

~~CPD/P.O. for the Respondents~~  
Affidavit of Service filed  
by Applicant.

Adj. To: S.O. to 24/10/16.

Hamdast.

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.09.2016.

O.A.No.713 of 2015 with O.A.No.714 of 2015

K.P. Aghav ..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Both matters are Admitted. To come up in due course. S.O. to 06.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri H. Hemachandrar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: B.A. Bandiwadekar

For Respondent/s:

Archana B.K.

Both matters are admitted.

To: 6/12/16

*[Handwritten signature]*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.09.2016.

O.A.No.5 of 2016

K.V. Dwivedi .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that the Applicant's claim which require adjudication is relating to entitlement of interest towards delayed payment, which the Applicant has calculated to Rs.5,92,919/-.

3. It is seen that the manner in which calculation of interest is done is not furnished.

4. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar prays for leave to amend for placing on record the statement of calculation showing how the figure Rs.5,92,919/- is arrived.

5. Leave to amend for placing on record statement of calculation is granted.

6. Learned Advocate undertakes to carry out amendment within two weeks. Time as prayed is granted.

7. It is seen that Respondents are not served after amendment. Amendment O.A. be served along with fresh notice for final disposal returnable on 06.12.2016.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

9. S.O. to 16.12.2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

OFFICE:

Hon'ble. Justice (Chairman)

Hon'ble. Justice (Member) A

Signature:

B.A. Bandiwadekar

Signature:

A.J. Chougule

Signature:

Adj. To: 16.12.2016. Steno copy &

Hamdast allowed.

19/9

prk

Sd/-  
A.H. Joshi, J.J.  
Chairman

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.09.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.96 of 2016</p> <p>V.R. Naik ..... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri B.S. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.12.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

DATE: 19/9/16

BY:

Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCES:

Shri. None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. A.J. Chougule

C.R.O./T.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 5/12/16

*HT*  
*Shk*



(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.09.2016.

O.A.No.737 of 2012

V.R. Naik

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sonkawade, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 with Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 06.12.2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

COPIES

Hon. J. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. J. A. H. Joshi (Member)

APPLICANT

~~A.D. Sonkawade~~ None for appl.

APPLICANT

A.D. Sonkawade holding

COPIES FOR THE RESPONDENT/S

for D.B. Khaire, Spl. Counsel for R.1.

Adj. To with Shri A.J. Chougule.

Adj. to 6/12/16

14/9/16

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.429/2016**Shri A.B. Nimbalkar****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Anand Sonawane, Assistant Desk Officer, Home Department. The learned P.O. seeks further time to comply with the directions in Para 3 of the Hon'ble Chairman's order of 16.6.2016. I can justifiably turn down the request because the time of three months has already elapsed. However, the last chance is granted and the matter is kept on 23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL~~~~(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.M. Kolge

(skw)

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Ms. Saurita Suryawanshi~~C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To

S.O. to 23/9/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

19.09.2016

9.16



(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 19/9/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri S. K. R. Jagdale  Advocate for the Applicant  <del>Shri S. Ms. N.G. Gohad</del>  C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><del>Adv. To M.A. is Allowed.</del>  </p>	<p><b><u>M.A.300/2016 in O.A.793/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.P. Khairnar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-   (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  19.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.793/2016**Shri A.P. Khairnar & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 17.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2016

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL~~~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

P.O. for the Respondents

Affidavit of service filed  
by Applicant.Adj. To S.O. to 17/10/16

(skw)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>19/9/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  <del>Shri <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u></del>  C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><b>Adj. To:</b> <u>S.O. to 17/10/16.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.918/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Kum. A.S. Shinde</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 17.10.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2016</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <u>(R.B. Malik)</u>  Member (J)  19.09.2016</p> <p align="right">16</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.929/2016**

**Shri D.B. Ghanghaw ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 17.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2016

Sd/-

~~(R.B. Malik)~~  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~  
~~(Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

~~C.P.O./N.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Ag. To~~ S.O. to 17/10/16.

9-16

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.919/2016**Shri H.R. Jadhav****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief on any other date in future.

Issue notice returnable on 03.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~~~Vice-Chairman~~

Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/S. Ms. S.P. Manchekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/S. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. / C.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 3/10/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.359/2016**

**Shri P.D. Yasatwar ... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Heard. Perused Para 5 of the Affidavit-in-reply (Page 39 of the Paper Book). The learned P.O. undertakes to file on record a copy of the G.R. of 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 1994 of the Finance Department and a copy to the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Compliance by the next date.

S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble R.B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCES:

~~Shri. Ms. S.P. Manchekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri. Smt. A.B. Kololgi~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to S.O. to 23/9/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

19.9.16

(skw)



(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.175/2016****Shri A.R. Parab****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder and it is possible that the matter may be worked out. Admit.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Adjourned for hearing to 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2016.

DATE: 19/9/16CORAM:~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:Shri M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad~~~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~~~Adj. to~~S.O. to 22/9/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

9/16

(skw)

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.925/2016

Smt. A.D. Avhad & Ors. ... Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The request for four weeks time made by the learned P.O. is rejected. The matter be placed for consideration of interim relief on 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2016.

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble J. Rajiv AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble J. R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar (skw)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri M.G. Gohad

~~Presenting Officer~~ for the Respondents

Adj. To 22/9/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
19.09.2016

*[Handwritten signature]*

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.357/2016 in O.A.925/2016**

**Smt. A.D. Avhad & Ors. ... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

DATE: 19/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

~~Ch/O/A.G.~~ for the Respondents

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

19.09.2016

AGT. M.A. is Allowed.

*[Signature]*