

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1117/2017

Shri S.N. Mane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. The Tribunal by order dated 16.08.2019 had brought to the notice of learned P.O. that as per Respondents' own contention, the total amount of Rs.2,30,000/- has been recovered from the Applicant whereas, the correct amount of recovery was Rs.1,54,926/- only and sum of Rs.75,074/- was recovered in excess. Accordingly, the learned P.O. was directed to verify the correctness of the amount due and recover from the Applicant.
3. Today, on instructions, the learned P.O. fairly stated that the actual amount of recovery was Rs.1,54,926/- , but as against it, recovery of Rs.2,30,000/- was made. He, therefore, fairly concedes that sum of Rs.75,074/- (Rs.2,30,000 - 1,54,926 = 75,074) needs to be refunded to the Applicant by Respondent Nos.3 & 4.
4. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent Nos.3 & 4 to refund Rs.75,074/- within a month to the Applicant, failing which amount shall carry interest at the rate of 9% p.a. from the date of order till recovery.
5. The O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

19.08.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.08.2019.

O.A.No.629 of 2017

A. H. Dhande .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the retirement order dated 30.06.2017 whereby the Respondent No.2 i.e. Project Officer, Palghar has passed the order of retirement of the Applicant on attaining the age of superannuation w.e.f. 30.06.2017. However, the Applicant contends that the retirement order is illegal in view of correction of date of birth in service record by Head Master in service book. His date of birth was initially recorded as 01.07.1959, however, the Head Master seems to have corrected it as 07.09.1960. The Applicant contends that in view of corrected date of birth, he was due to retire at the end of September, 2018 but he has been prematurely retired w.e.f. 30.06.2017.

3. Per contra, learned P.O. submits that O.A. is not maintainable for the reasons that the Applicant has not made any representation against premature retirement and secondly, the correction in date of birth in service record was got done by the Applicant by his misrepresentation as well as by forcing the Head Master in October, 2016.

4. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant realizing that he has not made representation before filing of O.A. and submits that he be permitted to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation with the Respondent No.2.

5. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation with the Respondent No.2.

6. Respondent No.2 shall decide the representation, if any, made by the Applicant within a month from today on its own merit and appropriate order thereon be passed within two months from the date of receipt of the representation, it shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks from the date of order.

7. If the Applicant is felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail recourse of law as permissible to him.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member/1

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.08.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No. 804 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K. S. Deshpande</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, it needs to be mentioned that due to sheer lack of coordination or negligence, the Applicant is left without posting and kept in abeyance for more than ten weeks. By order dated 31.05.2019, he was transferred from the post of Medical Officer, Yerwada Central Prison, Dist. Pune to Medical Officer, Shelpimpalgaon, Tal. Khed, Dist. Pune. Accordingly, the Applicant was relieved from the present post but when he went to join at the place of posting given to him, he was told that another person namely Dr. Rajendra Mohite was already posted there by order dated 11.10.2018 and already joined on 20.10.2018. Accordingly, the District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Pune forwarded the report to the Government on 29.07.2019, pointing out the position and requested for necessary orders. Thereafter, the Applicant had also made representation to the Government on 30.07.2019 stating out the facts that the post at Shelpimpalgaon is already filled in and, therefore, requested to post him at Nashik, Taloja, Ratnagiri or Thane. However, till date no action is taken. It is on this background, the Applicant is constrained to approach this Tribunal.</p>

3. It is rather surprising that the Government was not aware that the post at Shelpimpalgaon was already filled in by giving posting to Dr. Mohite. As such, lack of coordination or negligence is obvious. Apart even after the report made by the District Health Officer, Pune dated 29.07.2019 as well as representation made by the Applicant on 30.07.2019, no remedial measures taken by the Government to rectify its own mistake.
4. In view of above, the Respondent is directed to consider the representation made by the Applicant on 30.07.2019 for posting him at Central Prison, Nasik, Taloja, Ratnagiri or Thane and to pass appropriate order within two weeks from today.
5. At the same time, the Respondent is directed to file Affidavit as to how the Applicant was given posting at Shelpimpalgaon by transfer order dated 31.05.2019 though the said post was already filled in by giving posting to Dr. Mohite. Affidavit be also filed within two weeks.
6. In the meantime, no coercive steps be taken against the Applicant to vacate the service quarter given to him at Yerwada.
7. Hamdast granted.
8. S.O. to 04.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.08.2019.

O.A.No.803 of 2019

Dr. W. B. Mujawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is seeking direction for implementation of Government's decision dated 19.07.2019 whereby the Applicant has been posted as Medical Officer, Health Unit, Tasgaon, Dist. Sangali as, Civil Surgeon, Sangali declined to get the Applicant joined on the ground that the said post falls within the jurisdiction of Respondent No.2 i.e. Medical Education & Drugs Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai. The Applicant is, therefore, seeking implementation of order of Government either to post her at Tasgaon or Koregaon which is presently vacant. Though the period of more than one month is over, the Respondents have not taken any step and, therefore, the Applicant is left without posting.
3. In view of the Government decision dated 19.07.2019, the Applicant is required to be posted immediately but the period of one month is lapsed due to non coordination between the departments.
4. In view of above, learned P.D. is directed to take instructions and to see the Applicant is posted without any loss of time.
5. Two week time is granted to Respondents for issuing appropriate posting order either at Tasgaon or Koregaon from today.
6. With the above directions, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.
7. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.863 of 2018</p> <p>A. Jethwani <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. R. Sadasivan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.569 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M. V. Mengarti</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Leave note of the learned Counsel for the Applicant is on record.</li> <li>3. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record. Respondent Nos.3 to 6 are Private Respondents though served, but absent.</li> <li>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission along with O.A.No.570/2019.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 03.09.2019.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.717 of 2019</p> <p>W. B. Waghmare ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week's time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any, and also to clarify whether any dues are still outstanding in view of the reply filed by the Respondents today.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.370 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>L. T. Rathod</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Rane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under tying and the same will be filed during the course of the day and latest by tomorrow. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.796 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. T. Morale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.09.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 09.09.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.391 of 2019</p> <p>A. H. Nikalaje <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Drs. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.08.2019.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.797 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. B. V. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., The Applicant has challenged his transfer order from the post of Medical Superintendent Rural Hospital, Mahad, Dist. Raigad to Medical Superintendent Rural Hospital, Dhebewadi, Dist. Satara contending that it is mid-term transfer but not in consonance with Section 4(4) (ii) and 4(S) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005'). The Applicant prayed for interim relief.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that in impugned order dated 03.08.2019, the Applicant is shown transferred on request but in fact, he never made any such request, therefore, the transfer order is ex-facie unsustainable.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered file for perusal of the Tribunal which shows that the matter was placed before Civil Services Board (CSB) for the transfer of some other Medical Officers and was placed before the Hon'ble Minister for approval. However, at that level, the Hon'ble Minister inserted names of so many Medical Officers stating that they have requested for transfer and accordingly, the Applicant is shown transferred from Mahad to Dhebewadi. However, interestingly no application or representation of the Applicant for request transfer is forthcoming. Besides, there is no approval of CSB for such mid-term transfer. As such, prima-facie, impugned order is not in consonance with Section 4(4)(II) and 4(5) of 'Act, 2005'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In view of above, I am satisfied that interim relief deserves to be granted.
6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that the Applicant is not yet relieved.
7. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief as per prayer clause 10(a) is granted.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.09.2019.
9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
14. S.O. to 16.09.2019.
15. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1144 of 2018</p> <p>P.P. Pednekar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 23.08.2019 for hearing before admission.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.897 of 2018  
(Subject : Compassionate Appointment)

V.V. Patil ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.I. Pathan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The father of the Applicant died in 2003. This Tribunal had passed the orders in O.A.No.339 of 2015. The relevant portion of the same is as under :-

"11. No paraphrasing and / or elaboration is necessary or needed for the above quotes. We may not be able to do better than the expression that the said paras are couched in. We must however reiterate that the cases of the said Shri Pawar and the said deceased were completely at Par. Death was not a disabling factor even for his heirs to claim whatever was due to him viz. family pension and post retiral benefits to the extent of admissibility."

3. The said judgment also considered the Applicant eligible for compassionate appointment.

4. Accordingly, the Applicant submitted application on 14.07.2016 for Compassionate Appointment. According to the Applicant even though number of representations have been made no decision has been taken by the Respondents.

5. Respondent No.3 is therefore directed to consider the case of the Applicant by enlisting her name on the waiting list within a period of two months.

6. O.A. is therefore allowed partly in terms of prayer 9 which read as under :-

"The Respondents be directed to appoint the Applicant as a clerk in the E.S.I. Scheme forthwith."

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

19/8/19


Coram - Hon'ble P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

Shri S.B. Gaikwad for  
Shri M.I. Pathan Adv  
for the Applicant  
Shri A.J. Chougule P.O. for  
the Respondents.

Head  
order passed in  
Tribunal Column  
O.A. is partly allowed  
no order as to costs.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>19/8/19            Coram - Hon'ble P.N. Dixit (V.C.-D)            Shri K.R. Jagdale Adv            for the Applicant            Shri A.J. Chougule P.O            for the Respondents            Heard            accordingly O.A. is            disposed off as withdrawn.  </p>	<p>Date : 19.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1047 of 2017            (Subject : Deemed date of promotion)</p> <p>J.D. Ade ..... Applicant            Versus            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during the hearing on instructions from the Applicant, learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, permission is granted and O.A. is disposed off as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/-            (P.N. Dixit)            Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./G.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appéarance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.667 of 2019

R.L. Tayade ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 30.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

---

Date : 19.08.2019

M.A.No.1 of 2019 in O.A.No.2 of 2019 with  
M.A.No.20 of 2019 in O.A.No.54 of 2019

R.S. Bhagwat (M.A.1/2019 in O.A.2/2019)

G.A. Tilekar (M.A.20/2019 in O.A.54/2019)

..... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 23.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

M.A.No.336 of 2019 in O.A.No.599 of 2019

M.P. Shinde ..... Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole seeks adjournment for filing reply.
3. Adjourned to 06.09.2019.

Sd/-  
 (P.N. Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman

prk

Date: 19/8/19.  
 Coram: Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A>  
~~Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhakar <VIC-B>~~  
 App: Shri C. J. Chaudhary  
 Advocate for the Applicant is absent.  
 Shri/Smt S. D. Dole for Archana B.K.  
 C.P.O./P.O for the Respondents.  
 Adj to 6/9/19.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

M.A.No.396 of 2019 in O.A.No.594 of 2019

G.B. Lokhande ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. M.A. is for amendment and the same was allowed on 30.07.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.594 of 2019

G.B. Lokhande ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents seeks two weeks for filing reply to the amended paragraphs.
3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant seeks permission to serve the amended portion to the Respondents.
4. By consent adjourned to 16.09.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

M.A.No.239 of 2019 in O.A.No.436 of 2019

B.K. Kalekar ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 06.09.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

---

Date : 19.08.2019

M.A.No.185 of 2019 in O.A.No.347 of 2019

P.A. Gangarde ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 16.09.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N.'Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./G.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.367 of 2019

A.S. Chavan ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule adjourned to 06.09.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.525 of 2019

Dr. R.B. Nirmale ..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 06.09.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.796 of 2018</p> <p>S.V. Mohite ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sangeeta Dongare, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 26.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.529 of 2019

L.Z. Pawar

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri U.B. Bhosle for the Applicant mentions that he will be filing rejoinder during the course of the day.

3. Adjourned to 23.08.2019 for hearing before admission.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.598 of 2019

A.B. Deshpande ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 23.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.514 of 2019

P.H. Pharande ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 23.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.08.2019

O.A.No.630 of 2019

D.M. Gavhane ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole adjourned to 30.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N! Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.596 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. Choudhari ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 23.08.2019 for hearing before admission.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>