

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.138 of 2021 with O.A. No.139 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Koli R.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.138 of 2021 with O.A. No.139 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Koli R.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.563 of 2020 to O.A. No.566 of 2020</p> <p>M.A. Divekar Z.A. Zadbuke A.A. Jadhav A.A. TalaskarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. Admit</p> <p>4. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.361 of 2018</p> <p>A.R. GholaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Viraj Hake, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has already been given Appointment and hence cause of action no longer survives.</p> <p>3. In view of above, matter is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.912 of 2015</p> <p><i>S.G. Deshpande & Ors.</i>Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38 and Shri Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for State.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale has filed Additional Affidavit today.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has filed fresh Affidavit-in-service dated 15.07.2022 which is taken on record and marked as Exhibit – 1. However, till date none of the 5 Respondents have engaged counsel of their choice, only one date is given to file the appearance.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale informs that Sr. Counsel Shri Sakhare has given date for hearing as 18,19,25 or 26 August 2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.126 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. M.B. BansodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri. C.V. Dhaurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to remove objections.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is also directed to serve the copy of O.A. to the Office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. This matter is fixed on 22.07.2022 along with O.A. No.127/2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.620 of 2019</p> <p>S.C. BachhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Earlier by Judgment dated 10.08.2017 by the Tribunal, G.R. dated 17.03.2017 and the communication dated 20.01.2017 issued by Respondent No.1 – State of Maharashtra cancelling the selection process is quashed and set aside. The record shows that offence was also registered at C.R.111/2017 under section 406, 420,,467, 468, 471 read with 34 of I.P.C. In respect of the same process of filing of 730 posts of Agriculture Assistants pursuant to the advertisement dated 29.12.2015 was issued.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-Reply of the State is must in this matter.</p> <p>4. Four weeks time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.686 of 2018</p> <p>D.D. RawateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 19.07.2022

M.A. No.378 of 2018 in O.A. No.686 of 2018

D.D. RawateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed on 24.07.2018 on account of delay of 19 days.
3. Learned C.P.O. opposed for the condonation of delay.
4. This M.A. is allowed for the reasons mentioned in the M.A.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submit that by new notification dated 12.01.2022 the Respondents have applied 20 criteria out of which 11 are additional criteria to earlier criteria mentioned in G.R. of 2021. He further submitted that all the 11 criteria which are additional in notification of 2022 are specified in O.A.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to verify these 11 criteria which are subsequently added in notification dated 12.01.2022.</p> <p>7. Any further appointments made will be subject to the outcome of this O.A. The order passed in this O.A. be brought to the notice of the persons who are already appointed.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p>9. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.118 of 2020</p> <p>R.R. SolankeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that during the pendency of this O.A. the grievance of the Applicant has been redressed and hence learned Advocate seeks permission to withdraw the O.A</p> <p>3. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.07.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.213 of 2018 with O.A.No.214 of 2018</p> <p>A.A. Walvekar (O.A.213/2018) V.S. Suryawanshi (O.A.214/2018)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that it is the matter of two minutes depending on the chart which is prepared by him. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. Copy of the chart it handed over to learned P.O. to take instructions whether the present Applicants are similarly situated as the Petitioners in the Writ Petition No.5721/2019.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.08.2022. Learned P.O. to verify the same.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 19.07.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.82 of 2016</p> <p>R.R. MistryApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Akshay R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Sujcet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 19.07.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.27 of 2021</p> <p>Y.G. LawandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. To be removed from the category of HOB. Adjourned to 13.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pik</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.804 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. Kale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. files affidavit in sur rejoinder. Taken on record. Copy served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.08.2022 with liberty to mention in case of urgency.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.719 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that though the Applicant is retired he prays for declaration that the action taken by the M.P.S.C. in appointing Respondent No.6, Dr. Satish D. Pawar and Respondent No.7, Dr. Smt. Archana V. Patil is illegal and so far as this declaration is concerned the matter survives. There is order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of staying the order of the Hon'ble High Court and so the O.A. is also stayed which is filed pursuant to the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Hence, we would keep this matter after eight weeks as the Hon'ble Supreme Court is in seized of the matter.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.12 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges order dated 17.09.2019 passed by Respondent under which he failed to promote the Applicant to the post of Deputy Superintendent, State Excise (Group B). Applicant further prays that he be given deemed date of promotion from 17.10.2018 when he was first superseded.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.309 of 2017 with O.A.No.369 of 2017</p> <p>P.B. Wankhede (O.A.309/2017) S.S. Gaikwad (O.A.369/2017)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. In O.A.No.309/2017 none appeared for the Applicant and in O.A.No.369/2017 Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A.No.309/2017, Applicant, Mr. P.B. Wankhede working as visiting Lecturer in Sir J.J. School of Arts during the period from June 2013 to November 2013 prays that his service be regularized and he be granted all the benefits and permanency on the post of Lecturer. Applicant also seeks injunction restricting the respondents for terminating the services and taking adverse action. In this matter interim relief was not granted on 24.04.2017 when the matter was heard on it. However the issue was kept open.</p> <p>3. Similarly, Applicant in O.A.No.369/2017 was working as Lecturer with Respondent, Sir J.J. School of Arts during the period from 20.10.2016 to 30.04.2017 prays that his job as Lecturer with Sir J.J. School of Arts should be continued. No interim relief was granted in this matter.</p> <p>4. Thus in both the matters, the Applicants though seek continuity of their jobs and their services are not to be terminated. They also seeks relief against the Director of Higher and Technical Department so also the Director of Sir J.J. School of Arts.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. placed on record letter dated 12.06.2017 which is regarding the review of the work of both the Applicants. The said letter is issued by Mr. V.D. Sabale, Sir J.J. School of Arts, Mumbai. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>6. On perusal of the said letter we found that there is reference of O.A.No.309 of 2017, Applicant, Mr. P.B. Wankhede and O.A.369 of 2017, Applicant, Mr. S.S. Gaikwad. It explains that Applicant in O.A.No.309/2017 working as Lecturer for a total period of six months and 10 days during the period from 21.10.2016 to 30.04.2017. Further he can be given appointment in the interest of students. However, there is no further information either from learned P.O. or from the Applicant in O.A.No.309/2017.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. submits that Applicant in O.A.No.309/2017, Mr. Wankhede was given appointment subsequently after April 2017. This fact is mentioned in the affidavit-in-reply filed by the State.</p> <p>8. So far as Applicant in O.A.No.369/2017 is concerned he has worked for a total period of 6 months and 8 days during the period from 24.10.2016 to 30.04.2017. However, feedback given by the students is not satisfactory and hence the appointment is not given to him in the interest of students.</p> <p>9. As none appeared today, these two O.A.s are kept tomorrow under the caption of 'For Dismissal'</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. Usha YadavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and her Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and her Counsel are not attending the matter regularly and they are absent on various dates and today also they are absent.</p> <p>3. Thus, it appears that they are not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. O.A. is, therefore, dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>5. The Applicant's Advocate appeared and requested to restore the matter <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he was held up in other matter.</p> <p>6. In the interest of justice, the order of dismissal is recalled.</p> <p>7. On request of learned P.O., adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>8. S.O.to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A.06/2022 in O.A.666/2019</u></p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Shri S.P. Hadake ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms. S.H. Badlani, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This R.A. is filed along with M.A.177/2022 to condone the delay. M.A. is allowed by separate order and delay is condoned. Therefore, R.A. has to be heard finally.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply in R.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2nd August, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 19.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.177/2022 in R.A.06/2022 in O.A.666/2019

The State of Mah. & Ors.

**...Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)**

Vs.

Shri S.P. Hadake

**...Respondent
(Ori. Applicant)**

1. Heard Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms. S.H. Badlani, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing R.A. in respect of order passed by the Tribunal on 01.12.2020 in O.A.No.666/2019.

3. Indeed, in view of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 10.01.2022, the present R.A. will have to be held within limitation. Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition No.03/2020 passed specific order thereby giving more time to file judicial proceedings considering Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lock-down. In Clause No.(iii) of the order, it is held as under:-

"(iii) In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 01.03.2022 is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply."

4. Now turning to the facts of the present case, O.A. was decided on 01.12.2020 and R.A. was to be filed on or before 01.01.2021. Thus, limitation expired on 01.01.2021 which is during the period from 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022. As per the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court, ~~Therefore, any~~ proceedings were required to be filed within the limitation of 90 days from 01.03.2022. Whereas present O.A. is filed on 29.03.2022. This being the position, the delay has to be condoned.

5. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
19.07.2022

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.857 of 2017</p> <p>B. R. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the relief claimed for absorption as Group -C employee. The Applicant was initially appointed as ad-hoc employee and thereafter he was absorbed in service as Group-D employee. He made various representations from time to time but there was no decision on his representations.</p> <p>3. However, today when the matter is taken up for hearing, it is transpired that representation dated 19.04.2017 made by the Applicant is already considered and rejected by letter dated 15.11.2017 which is filed along with affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client has not received this communication and nothing is produced on record about communication dated 15.11.2017.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Applicant is required to challenge communication dated 15.11.2017.</p> <p>6. Two weeks' time is granted to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.338/2022</u>
	<p>Shri N.W. Borge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.H. Badlani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw OA since Applicant wants to approach appropriate forum.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 19.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

with liberty to make representation to the Government first seeking compassionate appointment as a special case in view of death of his father due to Covid-19 infection.

6. The impugned order rejecting the claim is passed by Superintendent of MLA Hostel and not by Government.

7. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to the Applicant to make representation to Respondent No.1.

8. Applicant shall make representation within a month from today and Respondent No.1 shall decide the same appropriately within two months from the date of receipt of representation.

9. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.

10. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
19.07.2022

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.194 of 2022</p> <p>S. A. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit of Shri Vijay Waghmare, Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Protection Department in pursuance to the order passed by the Tribunal on 12.07.2022 whereby show cause notice was issued to ^{to} to suo-motu contempt proceeding.</p> <p>3. In Affidavit, it is stated that now final order of punishment is passed on 18.07.2022. The Secretary has tendered unconditional apology for the delay caused in the matter. Explanation is accepted.</p> <p>4. Insofar as O.A. is concerned, it pertains to pensionary benefits <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicant is entitled for service benefits considering his post of Group -A Government servant. The Respondents considered the Applicant as Group -B Government servant.</p> <p>5. Now, the D.E. is completed and final order of punishment is passed, therefore, the Respondents are required to take further action and release Applicant's retiral benefits as per his entitlement.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. submits that the Respondents are consulting to Finance Department to find out whether the Applicant's claim for Group -A Government servant is correct. She sought two weeks time for final hearing.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file copies of depositions as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 05.07.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vsm (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.797 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel and learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.858 of 2021</p> <p>V. T. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. S. N. Vaidya, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is handled by Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. but today he is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.328 of 2022</p> <p>M. Venkaesh & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. R. Shinde holding for Shri S. N. Biradar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.388 of 2022</p> <p>L. S. LahaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought two days time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Already enough time is granted.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to Respondents to file reply within three days in the office and copy be supplied to other side.</p> <p>5. S. O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K. N. MavchiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Geeta Gavali, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. and learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.473 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. HaraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.604 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. NalavadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.221 of 2022</p> <p>A. V. GandaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Malaika Pujari holding for Shri Tajane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks' time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.271 of 2022 in O.A.No.417 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. GageApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pawar holding for Shri V. Saangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.903 of 2016</p> <p>S. B. SasteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that this O.A. has been kept on <i>sine-die</i> by detailed order passed by the Tribunal on 03.08.2017 and has further pointed out that W.P. filed against the order of this Tribunal passed in O.A.No.876/2014 is still pending. 3. Learned P.O. also concedes that W.P.is still subjudice. 4. The Tribunal in order dated 03.08.2018 has categorically observed that it will not be proper to decide this O.A. till the decision in W.P. which have bearing over the issue involved in the matter. 5. In view of above, O.A. is adjourned for four weeks. 6. Learned P.O. shall apprise the Tribunal about the status of W.P. by next date. 7. S.O. to 17.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.415 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. KhatarmalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.18 of 2019 in O.A.No.53 of 2019 with M.A. No.544 of 2021 in O.A.No.962 of 2021 with M.A.No.34 of 2021 in O.A.No.55 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. Shinde & Ors. S. M. Kalkute S. B. Savlekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Smt. Hendre, learned Counsel is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. In all these matters, enough time is granted to file reply to M.A. However, on request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.07.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.125 of 2022 in O.A.No.163 of 2022 With M.A. No.204 of 2022 in O.A.No.417 of 2022</p> <p>D. Y. Ghadage & Ors. M. A. InamdarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge holding for Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.36 of 2021 in O.A. No.1029 of 2014 (O.A. No.678/13 N'Pur) with C.A. No.37 of 2021 in O.A. No.1030 of 2014 (O.A. No.679/13 N'Pur) with C.A. No.38 of 2021 in O.A. No.1031 of 2014 (O.A. No.680/13 N'Pur) with C.A. No.39 of 2021 in O.A. No.1032 of 2014 (O.A. No.681/13 N'Pur) with C.A. No.40 of 2021 in O.A. No.1033 of 2014 (O.A. No.438/14 N'Pur) with C.A. No.05 of 2021 in T.A. No.01 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Bidkar M.D. Sundarkar S.S. Kinhekar J.G. Rohankar V.P.Ingole @ V.V. Shelke K.C. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in C.A. No.36 to 40/2021, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in C.A.05/2021, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 06.07.2022, the Hon'ble High Court states that no contempt proceedings shall be pursued by the Respondent till the next date. The Writ Petitions are fixed on 03.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.348 of 2022</p> <p>K.J. ChhoriyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.52 of 2021 in O.A. No.488 of 2020</p> <p>V.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.35 of 2021 in O.A. No.229 of 2019 with O.A. No.408 of 2022</p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. matter is adjourned for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in fresh O.A. No. 408/2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2022, H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>Date: 19.07.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.128 of 2021 in O.A. No.212 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. DawariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that delay caused in filing O.A. No.212/2021 is 1 year and 4 months.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. opposes for the condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the reason stated in the Misc. Application and in view of the order dated 09.11.2021 since grant of first Time Bound Promotion, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. O.A. No.212/2021 is adjourned to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.468 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. Patankar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Junior learned Advocate holding for Shri Khemka Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter was on yesterday's board. It was not on today's board but taken on board as mentioned by the learned Advocate for the Applicant and matter is now fixed on 29.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.348 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. KolateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that other two matters, O.A. No.347/2018 and O.A. No.348/2018 to be clubbed along with this O.A.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to club the matters on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.51 of 2020 with O.A. No.52 of 2020 with O.A. No.488 of 2019 with O.A. No.491 of 2019 with O.A. No.492 of 2019 with O.A. No.493 of 2019</p> <p>K.B. Shivsharan D.P. Patil G.R. Khandagale V.V. Alange D.L. Melge S.K. VhanmaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Chalke, learned Advocate holding for Shri Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file Reply to amended O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>