(Aqvocate ,..,...)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and otners

.... respondent :

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A.61/2016 in O.A.488/2016

Shri M.K. Bahaddarpure ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Snri Napplicant, the learned Chief Presenting Office for the Respondents.

This application for contempt arises in the context of an interim order made by the Hon'the Chairman on 9.6.2016. The Paragraph 8 thereof read in the context of Prayer Clause 10(a) for which interim relief was granted would read as follows, if read together.

"8. Therefore, from whatever has, oriminately facie, Applicant has made out the case for grant of interim relief. Hence, interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause at paragraph 10(a).

The paragraph 10(a) of prayer clause is reproduced herein below for the sake of reactive reference and convenience –

"10(a) That this Hon'ble Tribunal pleased to stay the effect and operation or impugned transfer orgers 31/5/2016, qua Petitioner the Respondent No.3, and Respondent No.2 to allow the Petitioner reairect as Senior Police Bundgarden Police Station, Pune City

The fact that the Respondent No.3

Kulkarni is at present working as Semor Parallel Bundgarden Police Station is not seriously disputed. The learned C.P.O. on instruction from Mr. Roshrao Suryawanshi, Dept Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter-2. Fill informs that as between the Applicant and the IP.

1917116

H.K. Raj pyrohit-

1954e contempt native returnable on and nugust, 2016.

Respondent No.3, the Respondent No.3 being senior is working as Senior Inspector and he also refers me to a judgment in OA 722/2011 (Shri D.M. Kurulkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and 3 ors., 20.3.2013 rendered by the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman.

Now, in so far as governing order in this particular matter is concerned, it is clear that the interim order in terms of Prayer Clause 10(a) has been issued and the same is current. spite of this clear position, the order has not been given effect to in the sense that the 3rd Respondent Shri Kulkarni continues to be post of Senior Inspector Bundgarden Police Station while the Applicant is working in the same Police Station. The order has clearly been violated. If there is anything other than this, it could be explained in a · properly filed Affidavit-in-reply, but once a notice of contempt dated 2nd July, 2016 was served on the Commissioner of Police, Pune City and also on the Additional Chief Secretary, Department, there was sufficient time for compliance. I am, therefore, satisfied that a Contempt Notice needs to be issued.

Issue Contempt Notice returnable on 2nd August, 2016. It be registered as a Contempt

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J) 19.07.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TREPRINAT MUMBAI

| Original Application No. | of 20 | A Market |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | | Applicant/s |
| (Advocate |) | |
| | versus | |
| The State | of Maharashtra and others | |
| | | Mescondon/s |
| (Presenting Officer |) | |
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's, orders | Tribansl | 's ល្អទាំមា |

O.A.699/2016

Shri I.A. Bhise ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It will not be appropriate at this stage to make any detailed comments on the pith of the matter. However, it does appear ex-facie that the events have so happened as to create a situation whereby some of the candidates could be saved from the axe while those like the Applicant had to bear the brunt and in this connection, the report of the Director of Sports, a copy of which is at Page 34(37) of the paper book is very pertinent. I, therefore, make it very clear that the Respondents must come forward with a proper Affidavit on the next date. The liberty to seek interim relief is reserved for the Applicant.

Issue notice returnable on 2.08.2016

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by nana delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 02.08.2016. The learned P.O. $\alpha\sigma$ waive service.

19.07.2016

(skw)

DATE: CORAM

Hon'ble :

APPEA Shriffin

Advoca

Shi /S H. F. Fohad C.P.O / ... spondent/s

Adj. To. 218)16.

O.A.710/2016

Shri A.C. Sonwane Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 02.08.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 8th August, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (.1) 19.07.2016

Kke

(Member) 🛧 1

10 Min

. manutantre

. : | : |_a

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

| man Application No. 1988 1988 of | 20 | Distri | RICT | |
|--|-------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Applicant/s | |
| | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | . ve | ersus | | |
| Who State a | .f Mal | narashtra and others | | |
| the State of | 11 - TATEIT | iarasiira and others | | |
| | | | Respondent/s | |
| nung Officer | ******** |) | | |
| rotes, Office Memoranda of Coram | | Tribunal's ord | ers | |
| | | | | |
| | | O.A.275/2 | 2016 | |
| | ı | Shri R.S. Katkar Vs. | Applicant | |
| | : | The State of Mah. & or | s Respondents | |
| | | | | |
| | | Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. | | |
| | • * | Affidavit-in-Rejoin record. Admit. Adiour August, 2016. | | |
| | | ٠ | | |
| Company & A. A. | 1 | | Sd/- | |
| | , | | (D. D. M1:1-) | |
| 1) Mach (Chairman) 12. Mach (Chairman) 22. Mach (Chairman) | | | (R.B. Malik) Member (J) | |
| — who higher (Member) A | İ | | 19.07.2016 | |
| in A Mandiwadeler | | (skw) | | |
| 4 Goral | | | | |
| 1 8,1142 | 1 | | | |
| HE | · | | | |

... Respondents

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

| Contabolication No. | of 20 | | DISTRIC | r |
|--|---------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | | | Applicant/s |
| | | | | |
| | versu | S | | |
| The Star | te of Mahara | shtra án | d others | |
| | | | | Respondends |
| Ting Officer | ************* | | } | |
| Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram. Investance. Erlbünal's orders or Investions and Registrat's orders | | 1 | Tribunal's orders | |
| | İ | | 0 Å F00/00 | 16 |
| | ; | | O.A.539/20 | 10 |
| | Sh | ri D.S. | Adkmol | Applicant |

٧s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Ms. Gohad seeks further adjournment saying that she has not received instructions in this matter. This is a case where the Applicant has been dismissed from service and in that context, sufficient time has been given for the Affidavit-in-reply and if the Respondents have not even cared to give instructions to the learned P.O. I do not think any further indulgence needs to be shown. The OA is, therefore, formally admitted and kept for hearing making it clear, however, that on the next date when the matter is taken up for hearing, if the Affidavit-in-reply will be filed, it will be taken on record but no adjournment will be given.

In this set of circumstances, therefore, a fixed date is given as 9th August, 2016 and it be placed before the 2nd Bench. Hamdast

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 19.07.2016

+ / y - m, - ;

(skw)

1 Inchi (Chairman)

- J. Dalvi

when the second

3116 Hampast

HE.

2G0 (A) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl. MATTER MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

| na Application No. | of 20 | | E | DISTRICT |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|--|
| | | 1 | | Applicant/s |
| | | | | |
| | *************************************** | | | |
| | versus | | | |
| The Stat | e of Maharas | htrá an | id others | |
| | | | | Respondent/s |
| | | | | Heabouden za |
| nting Officer | | |) | |
| crotes, Office Memoranda of Coram. conneurance, Tribunal's orders or corrections, and Registrar's orders | | ************************************** | Tribunal's | orders |
| | , De | e M 1 | O.A.267 | |
| | Dr. | | Nilange & 2 s. | 27 Ors Applicants |
| | The | | of Mah. & | ors Respondents |
| | | | | |
| | | Hea | rd Smt. | Punam Mahajan, the |
| | | | dvocate for | the Applicants and Shr |
| | | | igule, the le spondents. | earned Presenting Office |
| 717116 | ļ | Affic | lavit-in-Rei | oinder is taken or |
| gri g. ri. joshi (Chairman) | reco | | | tv to mention granted. |
| Member (Member) A | ľ | | | |
| | 1 | | | Sd/- |
| bush and Dialeman | | | | |
| mam Mahajan | | | | (m = 35 mm) 1 1 1 |
| | | | | (R.B. Malik) |
| -1. Changule | | | | Member (J) |
| | (skw | ì. | | (R.B. Malik) (R.B. Member (J) 19.07.2016 |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.07.2016

O.A No 427/2016

A.S. Garkwal Shri B. Sapkale

... Applicant

Vice-Chairman

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri SS Saplede Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned P.O stated that copy of the Original Application has not been served on the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Dere stated that he will ensure that copy is served on the Respondents before next tate.

S.O to 16.8.2016.

Akn

Advocate for the Applicant

Hon'ble Shri. RAMV AGARWAL

Hon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Shri/Shit M. G. G. G.

(Vice - Chairman)

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

DATE: 19/7/16

CORAM:

5.0. to 16/8/16

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.07.2016

O.A No 625/2016

Shri S.B Burange

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri N.P Dalvi, learned advocate 101 the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Original Application is being near along with another O.A filed by the Applicant. bearing O.A no 1008/2015, in which reply has been filed.

As both the matters are interconnected. ... will be necessary to hear them together.

Learned C.P.O seeks tour weeks' time to Inc Very long date is given with a cieur understanding that if no reply is filed on the next date, the matter will be heard finally without waiting for the reply.

Vice-Chairman

S.O to 16.8.2016

Advocate for the Applicant Shri Shi Mall. R. C. D. D. C. P. G. 440. To: the Respondents

i vice - Chairman)

COA1008(15)

DATE: 19/7/16

Hou'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Hon'ore Seat K. is. MALIK (Member)

CORAM:

APPEARANCE:

Akn

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.07.2016

O.A No 621 & 622/2016

Shri K.B Jagtap

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.n. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents to 3 and Shri Shaikh, learned advocate 10. Respondent no 4.

Shri Shaikh files affidavit in reply on benan of Respondent no. 4. Learned Advocate Snc. Bandiwadekar stated that he does not wish to me rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing or. 25.7.2016, high on Board.

Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Siri. RAIIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman) ... (Member)

Advisors " and Applicant Sm/Ean : Anchorne

C.P.O7 P.O. for the Respondents

0. A. es admitted

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram-Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's ordersMA No.284/16 in OA No.655/15

Shri D.L. Anuse

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Kespongents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate Respondents No.5 & 6.

- 2. Issue notice returnable on 28.7.2016.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposar at this stage and separate notice for final disposar need not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date or nearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Kule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna. (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such a limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand deriver speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(R B Malik)

(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

19.7.2016

(Rajiv Agarwa) Vice-Chairman

19.7.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 19716

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
risa ble Shri. R. MALIK (Member)

APPEAR AREA S.

Adverse to the Assessment Mahagan

Shrist R. B. Buse

CPO18.0. for the Respondents No. 1 to4

A. V. Bærdi wædelkær

-Adj. To four A. NO. 526.

5.0.+0 28/7/16.

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and otners

.... Respondent

(Presenting Officer...,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.238/2016 in O.A.447/2016 with M.A.239/2016 in O.A.448/2016 with M.A.240/2016 in O.A.462/2016 with M.A.244/2016 in O.A.496/2016

Shri M.V. Gaikwad & Ors. ... Applicants The State of Mah. & ors. ... Kespondents

Heard Smt. Punam Manajan, the learn. Advocate for the Applicants and Snri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Other for the Respondents.

These four MAs were reserved for order The prepared orders were not ready learned Advocate for the Applicants was enough to inform me in advance that these MAs could be withdrawn and in that better written applications of the Applicants seems withdrawal of these MAs are filed. The ican. CPO says that he has got notning to say if. matter. The MAs are, therefore, anowed to withdrawn and are disposed or with no order to costs.

The OAs are adjourned to 25th July, 2011

(R.B. Maliki Memper 10. 19.07.201c

(skw)

allowed.

| (A dreagate) | |
|--------------|--------|
| Auvocate | ٠. |

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

| entzi |
|-------|
| |
| |
| |

O.A.711/2016

Shri R.B. Sutar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Manajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Snr A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.

As of today, I direct the learned P.O. to take instructions on the time that will be taken to decide the representation of 12.5.2016 (Annexure 'A-6', Page 26 of the Paper Book) either on oral instructions or an Affidavit.

Adjourned to 25th July, 2016.

Soll - 7110 (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 19.07.2016

(skw)

B.D.M.L

DATE:

Olimana Olahaisu

AJ. chryye

Ac, 251716.