

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.449/2024</u></p> <p>H.M. Ajabe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.R. Thombare, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the issue of maintainability of OA was raised based on Section 15(2) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.</p> <p>3. The learned PO submits that Local Bodies like Zilla Parishad is not notified by this State and therefore, service matters of ZP workers should not be considered before this MAT. This statement is accepted.</p> <p>4. We direct learned Advocate for Applicant to approach appropriate forum, if he wants to.</p> <p>5. In view of above, OA stands disposed off. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center"> <i>skw</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024 <i>skw</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.514/2024

S.R. Nikam & Anr.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicants in this OA who aspired to be for the post of Male Attendant in Mental Hospital, Ratnagiri in Kolhapur Region praying that 'Corrigendum' dated 13.03.2024 be quashed and set aside pursuant to Advertisement dated 15.03.2023.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that because of 'Corrigendum', the eligibility criteria has changed and 'Select List' is prepared.

4. We direct Applicant to serve notice on the Department.

5. Considering the Corrigendum and the facts mentioned therein, we direct Respondents to maintain 'Status Quo'.

6. Issue Notice made returnable on 23rd April, 2024.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.330 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Naik & Anr.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that in respect of Applicants in O.A. No. 124/2024, O.A. No. 125/2024 and O.A. No. 150/2024; as the 'Special I.G.P. (Administration)' in office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' has already called concerned 'Police Inspectors' for verification of all relevant documents regarding their claims of 'Home District'; therefore 'Special I.G.P. (Administration)' in office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' may be informed to also grant 'Personal Hearing' to Applicant in this O.A. No.330 of 2024.</p> <p>3. The 'Special I.G.P (Administration)' in office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' after giving 'Personal Hearing' to Applicants in O.A. No. 124/2024, O.A. No. 125/2024 and O.A. No. 150/2024; may also give 'Personal Hearing' within 'One Week' to Applicant in this O.A. No.330 of 2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1488 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KalewadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that inspite of all efforts made to seek requisite information from office of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department' in respect of observations & directions issued in (i) 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 02.01.2024 (ii) 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 30.01.2024 and (iii) 'Para 2' and 'Para 3' of Order dated 28.03.2024; it has not been possible to accordingly file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>3. The fact is that on account of requisite information not being submitted on time by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department' has impeded expeditious consideration of the deserving case of Applicant.</p> <p>4. The Revenue & Forest Department Order dated 27.05.2022 to post Applicant on promotion to post of 'Deputy Superintendent of Land and Records' in 'Raigad District' is 'prima facie' passed in contravention of 'Rule 7' of the 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' and without appreciation of the provisions of 'Section 2(y)' and</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

'Section 20 (2)' of 'The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act 2016'.

5. The 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue) Revenue & Forest Department' is thus directed that 'One Post' of 'Deputy Superintendent of Land and Records' be kept available in 'Nanded District' or adjoining 'Hingoli/ Parbhani/ Latur Districts' of 'Chatrapati Sambhaji Nagar, Revenue Division' till disposal of this 'O.A. No. 1488 of 2023'.

6. S.O. to 25.04.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Date: 19.04.2024

O.A. No.113 of 2024

R.H. Shinde

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant now states that as per directions given in 'Para 6' & 'Para 7' of Order dated 01.02.2024; the 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has since cancelled earlier Order dated 29.01.2024 to transfer Applicant from post of 'Sub-Inspector State Excise' in 'M/s. Winspri Enterprises, Mumbai Suburb District' to 'M/s. Mazda & Co. FL-1, Saravli, Palghar District'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further mentions that Applicant had been permitted to continue on post of 'Sub-Inspector State Excise' in 'M/s. Winspri Enterprises, Mumbai Suburb District' as per Judgment dated 31.01.2024 in O.A. No.540/2024. Further; Applicant was not required to be transferred to 'M/s. Mazda & Co. FL-1, Saravli, Palghar District' as per 'Para 6 (vi)' of directions in 'Election Commissioner of India' letter dated 21.12.2023. Thus 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' must regularize the period of 'Compulsory Waiting' of Applicant from 31.01.2024 to 05.03.2024.

4. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State' is accordingly directed to forthwith regularize the period of 'Compulsory Waiting' of Applicant from 31.01.2024 to 05.03.2024; taking into due consideration that Applicant will be retiring on 31.05.2024.

5. S.O. to 14.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.286 of 2024 with O.A. No.331 of 2024 with O.A. No.325 of 2024</p> <p>R.U. Gumane H.S. Kenjale S.B. HandalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant implores that 'Interim Relief' be urgently granted in O.A. No.286/2024 by submitting copy of latest 'Disability Certificate No.MH2590119880775408 dated 04.03.2024' of 'Wife' of Applicant and then comparing it with earlier 'Disability Certificate No.MH25100619880052916 dated 04.02.2019'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant forcefully stresses that overall 'Medical Condition' of 'Wife' of Applicant who was earlier found afflicted with 'Locomotor Disability' alongwith 'CVA' with 'Right Hemiparesis' to extent of 48% as per 'Disability Certificate No.MH25100619880052916 dated 04.02.2019' has sharply deteriorated because as per latest 'Disability Certificate No.MH2590119880775408 dated 04.03.2024', of 'Wife' of Applicant it has been certified that she is suffering from 'Locomotor Disability' to extent of 60% and 'Mental Illness' to extent of 99%.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon refers to the other cases which are O.A. No.330/2024, O.A. No.331/2024 and O.A. No.325/2024 and mentions that Applicant in O.A. No.325/2024 was posted to 'Bund Garden Police Station' but he was never relieved from 'Control [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Room' by 'Respondent No.3' – 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'. The Applicant in O.A. No.325/2024 is still serving in 'Control Room' which is 'Functional Department' and thus was not required to be transferred by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.325/2024 then mentions that O.A. No.325/2024 may therefore be tagged along with O.A. No.331/2024 as both challenge 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024.

6. The 'D.G.P, Maharashtra State' is thus informed to urgently intervene in case of Applicant in O.A. No.286/2024 who is 'Care Giver' to his completely bedridden 'Wife' as per provision of 'Section 2(d) of 'The Right of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016'.

7. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' to forthwith issue order to transfer Applicant on any 'Vacant Post' of 'A.P.I' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'; by considering the severeness of his 'Personal Hardships' as also contents of 'Home Department' letter dated 09.03.2024 by which the representations of Applicant has been forwarded to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.

8. S.O. to 24.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

W.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.463/2024

S.M. Dabade & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. We direct Smt. Gita Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, GAD to remain present.

3. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1406/2023 with O.A.1450/2023,
O.A.1477/2023 and O.A.1549/2023

Dr. S.H. Darveshi & Anr.

Dr. M. Nayyar & Ors.

Dr. S.M. Sonawane & Ors.

M.A. Patil.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Daksha M. Punghera, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 10th June, 2024.

SH
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SH
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Final Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.26/2024

K.D. Kale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 3rd May, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.32/2024</u></p> <p>A.S. More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 3rd May, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>skw</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>skw</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.250/2024 in O.A.513/2024

B.J. Supanar & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.552/2024

**S.P. Patil
Vs.**

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.P. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant to serve the copy of OA to the office of learned CPO.
3. Issue notice returnable on 25.04.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 25th April, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.530/2024

S.B. Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 06.05.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 06th May, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.227/2024</u></p> <p>M.A. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20th June, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.305/2024 with O.A.437/2024

**Dr. R.J. Thorat & Anr.
Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Daksha M. Punghera, lerned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 10th June, 2024.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.251/2024 in O.A.514/2024

S.R. Nikam & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.353/2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patki, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.521/2024

S.A. Bhosle ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant who is a widow of deceased Government Servant prays that the appeal dated 10.02.2021 which is pending before Appellate Authority of the Applicant against order of punishment dated 29.01.2021 is to be decided.

3. We direct Appellate Authority to decide the appeal dated 10.02.2021 within 'Six Weeks' from the date of uploading of this order and it be communicated to the Applicant within 'One Week'.

4. The OA is disposed off with these directions. No order as to costs.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.443/2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Bhujbal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.322/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. D.B. Owhal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri A. Raktade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that Respondent No.4 is recommended against Open Female Category and not under EWS.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-MPSC and State Government both are directed to file a 'Short Affidavit' whether Respondent No.4 has at any stage given the benefit of reservation in EWS Category.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.383/2024 with O.A.493/2024</u></p> <p>K.N. Tadvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents, Shri Rajesh Tekale a/w Ms. Amruta Wanve, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in both OAs, Shri Krutik Chavan, learned Advocate with Shri S. Valimbe, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 in OA 383/2024 and Shri R. Khot, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 in OA 493/2024. 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks to furnish the copy of Chapter 5 of 'School Games Federation of India'. 3. Shri Krutik Chavan, learned Advocate and Shri S. Valimbe, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 submit that they want to withdraw their appearance on behalf of Respondent No.5 i.e. Smt. Mayuri Kapse. Shri Krutik Chavan, learned Advocate produces communication of husband of Smt. Mayuri Kapse. It is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit No.1'. 4. We direct the learned Advocates for Respondent No.5 to produce e-mail to be sent by the party Respondent No.5 herself. 5. Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned Advocate undertakes to file Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.3 - MPSC in both matters. He will file Vakalatnama within two days. 6. The Applicant in OA 493/2024 challenges the appointment of Respondent No.5 i.e. Suraj Shinde as Deputy Collector as preference given

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

for Deputy Collector as he found meritorious in Sports Category of Group-A. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.

7. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Respondent No.5 has played the game below 16 i.e. Cricket in International Level in 2006. He submits that this Competition is not organized by any Federation having affiliation with International Olympic Association or World School Championship or International School Federation and that is the requirement of amended GR dated 10.10.2017 and recruitment is of 2023.

8. We direct Department of Sports to verify the Certificates of Respondent No.5 in both the matters as per rules and GR dated 10.10.2017 which are applicable to the Advertisement.

9. We further direct Director of Sports to verify whether sports played by Respondent No.5 were organized by the Competent Organization as per requirement.

10. S.O. to 7th May, 2024.

S/1-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

S/1-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024 </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.11/2024

Dr. S.R. Katke

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant who is 59 years old and retired as Medical Officer (Gynocologist) Sub-District Hospital, Nilanga, Latur challenges the order dated 31.05.2023 about her retirement. She further prays that she be allowed to work till she attains the age of 60 years as per proviso made in the Notification.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant prayed that Applicant's age to be extended as per order passed by Hon'ble High Court on 05.10.2023 in Writ Petition No.11453 of 2023 & other connected Writ Petitions in the matter of Dr. Mahendra V. Phalke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra.

4. We have directed the Respondents to make statement that whether the case of Applicant is similarly situated with Medical Officers who are the Petitioners in Writ Petition No.11453 of 2023 and whether Applicant is covered under order dated 05.10.2023 in above Writ Petition.

5. The learned CPO on instructions from Vasant Gaikwad, Under Secretary, Public Health Department confirms that the case of Applicant is covered under order dated 05.10.2023 in Writ Petition No.11453 of 2023.

6. Thus, we grant 'Interim Relief' as per Paras 13 and 14 of Writ Petition No. 11453 of 2023, which is reproduced below :-

"13. Accordingly, there shall be an interim relief in terms of prayer clause (f).

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

"(f) Pending the hearing and final disposal of present Petition, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to allow the Petitioners to continue in their services in case their services are deemed to be relieved with effect from 31.05.2023 by giving effect to the 2nd part of the proviso of Rule 10 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982."

14. We make it clear that the continuation of the Petitioner after they are so reinstated under the interim order till they attain the age of 60 years will be subject to the outcome of this Petition. The question of the Respondents' power, in case the Petitioners fail in their challenge, to pass necessary orders in respect recovery / adjustment of the Pay/Wages paid to them for the services rendered under the interim order, is kept open."

7. Issue notice returnable on 09.08.2024.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. S.O. to 09th August, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 19.04.2024

O.A. No.367 of 2024

S.S. Salunke & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' during the course of day and further states that 'SP, Raigad' has since cancelled the Order dated 19.03.2024 of 'Applicant 1' and 'Applicant 3' in O.A. No. 367 of 2024.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>19.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 242 & 789/2017</u></p> <p>S.K Bolbhat S.K Pawar</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Dr K.N Deshpande, Assistant Director, Pune and Shri A.N. Khadasane, Superintendent from the office of the Respondents is present. However, on account of Election duty, they are unable to prepare the reply to the rejoinder filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.6.2024. If reply is not filed, then the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>19.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 701/2023 in O.A 870/2021</u></p> <p>A.R Ishware & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shashikant Jagtap i/b S.V Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.224/2024</u></p> <p>G.P. Bhagat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20th June, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.215/2024

M.S. Nalawade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Dnyanesh Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 26th April, 2024.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.190/2024

M.A. Shaikh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant absent. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Admit.
3. S.O. to 19th June, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SKW
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.187/2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is challenging the order of termination dated 08.01.2024. OA is filed on 08.02.2024.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07th May, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 19.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 19.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.185/2024

S.B. Raul & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 12th June, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.157/2024

B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.132/2024

S.U. More & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 26th April, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SB
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.34/2024

N.V. Salgar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 3rd May, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

SB
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1414, 1436 & 1437 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Shirsat D.R. Karkhele S.D. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for MPSC-Respondent No.3 in OA No.1414/2023 & for Respondent No.1 in OAs No.1436 & 1437/2023 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent-MPSC has filed an application dated 19.4.2024 for speaking to minutes of the judgment and order dated 17.4.2024 passed in the above OAs. Ld. Advocate for MPSC submits that in para 7 of the judgment the words "Ld. CPO refutes the contentions" should be corrected as Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the MPSC refutes the contentions". In the same para the words "She pointed out that" be corrected as "He pointed out that" and "She further pointed out that" be corrected as "He further pointed out that". In page 9 the date of pronouncement is mentioned as '17th April, 204" and the same be corrected as "17th April, 2024".</p> <p>3. The corrections be carried out and read accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Final Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.411/2024

H.R. Gosavi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. In this matter, the Applicant who applied for the post of 'Photographer-cum-Artist' in the Department of Medical Education and Research has secured 3rd rank in 'Merit List'. However, he was declared ineligible on the ground that Applicant has not submitted Certificate of Applied Arts. The Applicant therefore prayed that the list of eligible candidates dated 11.01.2024 and Select List dated 06.03.2024 be quashed and set aside.

3. The learned PO on instructions submits that Diploma or Degree in applied Arts is the requirement for appointment to the post of 'Photographer-cum-Artist'.

4. The learned Advocate for Applicant points out Serial No.21 of Advertisement dated 10.05.2023 specifying the eligibility for the post of 'Photographer-cum-Artist', which states as under:-

"S.S.C./equivalent Examination

And

Degree/Diploma in applied Art of a Statutory University or institute/school of Arts recognized by Govt.

And

Possesses experience of not less than one year as Artist/photographer/Artists-cum-photographer in an advertising agency or in publicity department of Govt. gained after acquiring the mentioned qualification.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Prerence will be given to the candidates possessing exceptional qualification/experience or both."

5. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant holds Diploma in Fine Arts and Advnced Diploma in Video Production. He submits that Recruitment Rules are not available.

6. We direct learned PO to produce copy of 'Recruitment Rules'.

7. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
19.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
19.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>R.A 13/2023 in O.A 512/2022</u></p> <p>H.B Yelde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>19.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 67/2024 in O.A 567/2019</u></p> <p>S.P Aher & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O after verifying with Respondents is directed to make statement whether the applicants are similarly situated like the applicants decided by Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal dated 11th August, 2022 in O.A 325/2015 with C.A 110/2016, Laxmikant P. Raulkar & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.7/2024 in O.A.No.149/2019</p> <p>S.S. Suryawanshi & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Mr. Chougule has submitted that as per the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.149/2019 DPC meeting is conducted and further steps are taken by the Respondents. Further the file is now submitted before the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.05.2024 for reporting compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.736 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Nalawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as OPD Servant in PVP Government Hospital, Sangli has prayed in prayer clause (b) and (c) as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>“(b) By a suitable order/direction the respondents may be directed to grant the 2nd benefit of time bound promotion to the applicant w.e.f. 19.7.2014 alongwith arrears.</i></p> <p align="center"><i>“(c) By a suitable order/direction the respondents may be directed to grant the 3rd benefit of time bound promotion to the applicant w.e.f. 19.7.2020 alongwith arrears.”</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has made representations dated 2.7.2022, 2.8.2022, 13.9.2022 and 29.9.2022 and the same may be decided within one month.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO refers to para 6 of the affidavit in reply dated 7.12.2023 filed by Dr. Ramdas B. Hazare, Dental Surgeon, PVP Govt. Hospital, Sangli which reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>“With reference to para 6.7 I say and submit that as per service record of the applicant, his caste if ‘Mang’ as per FD GR dated 1.7.2011 annexure A point no.13, it is necessary for employee to submit the caste validity certificate from the appropriate authority to provide the benefits of time bound promotion. The matter was communicated with</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

officials of Directorate of Medical Education and Research, Mumbai orally, the officials mentioned that if a candidate was appointed on compassionate grounds in open category the caste verification is not necessary. Accordingly, the office of PVPGH, Sangli had issued an office order dated 31.10.2023 provided second time bound promotion w.e.f. 19.7.2014."

5. Ld. PO submits that second time bound promotion is granted.

6. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to decide the representations of the applicant within a period of two months from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within one week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medhā Gadgīl)
Member (A)
19.4.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1584 & 1585 of 2023</u></p> <p>Sainath C. Kalekar & Ors. S.A. Waratkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Amita Chaware, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that Old Pension Scheme may be made applicable to the applicants. She states that the case of the applicants is squarely covered by the judgment and order dated 28.2.2024 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.1075/2023 & Other group matters Dr. H.P. Khobragade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. She also refers to GR dated 2.2.2024 issued by the Finance Department.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to take instructions whether the applicants are similarly situated.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1460 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.N. Surwase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1377 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.D. Khade & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays that the representations of the applicants dated 6.12.2022, 1.2.2022, 1.2.2023 and 27.4.2023 for compassionate appointment be decided within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to decide the abovementioned representations of the applicant within two months from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within one week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1337 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Turbadkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 1.2.2024 of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.996 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.N. Walunj ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.5.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.914, 921, 922, 947, 956 & 1347 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.E. Kerure D.B. Kadge & Ors. S.M. Wazarkar B.U. Patil D.N. Mirajkar Dr. K.U. Methekar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Shaileshkumar Pralhad Adhav, Joint Commissioner (Gr. Mumbai Division) and Shri Santosh Nemichand Kale, Assistant Commissioner (Head Office) are present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>4. It is made clear that no further extension will be granted in view of the fact that the OAs are filed in August, 2023 and applicants have not received their pensionary benefits.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.4.2024. Part Heard. FOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.238 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgā) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.117 of 2024 in O.A. No.238 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of one year and 10 months. The applicant who is working as Clerk seeks deemed date of promotion. He states that there is continuous cause of action. The cause of action arose on 7.9.2019. He states that due to COVID-19 there is delay which may be condoned.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files reply dated 3.4.2024 of respondent no.3. Ld. PO opposes the MA and submits that there is inordinate delay of 4 years 9 months and 13 days which is not explained.</p> <p>4. In the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MA and there is recurring cause of action, the delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.819 of 2023 in O.A. No.1620 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.S. Lokhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states that copy of MA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate to serve the Ld. CPO.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.6.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.526 of 2023 in O.A. No.1013 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.S. Jawak ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri H.P. Randhir, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the office objections have been removed. Registry to take note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.551 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.P. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.547 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Ghunkikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief. Ld. PO to take instructions. The prayer for interim relief will be considered on the next date. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.544 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.531 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.B. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gaekgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.512 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.G. Parekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.379 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.B. Borse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.03 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 18.04.2024 to 'Affidavits-in-Reply' filed on behalf of Applicant filed by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' and 'Additional D.G. A.T.S. Maharashtra State'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.392 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. MugalikaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.279 of 2024</p> <p>R.A. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.3' – 'Commissioner of Police, Solapur City'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. further seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'. Time as prayed for is granted tot learned P.O. 4. S.O. to 03.05.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.98 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.4' - 'Civil Surgeon, Hatkangle, District Kolhapur.' It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1350 of 2023</p> <p>T.B. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Tambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri R.A.Agashe, learned Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant has since joined on the post of 'B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti, Rajapur, District Ratnagiri'. Therefore grievance of Applicant is completely redressed and hence the O.A. No.1350/2023 may be disposed off.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. also agrees with this proposition of learned Advocate for Applicant that O.A. No.1350/2023 can be disposed off; based on 'Transfer Order' dated 11.03.2024 of 'Rural Development Department'.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.1350/2023 is therefore disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs.'</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.257 of 2024 in O.A. No.545 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. Gengale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.257 of 2024 in O.A. No.545 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.257 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.257 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Date: 19.04.2024

O.A. No.545 of 2024

S.R. Gengale & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 30.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.877 of 2023 with O.A. No.1617 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date in' O.A. No.877/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

19.04.2024

M.A 247/2024 in O.A 414, 522 & 527/2023

A.V Malwad & Ors	... Applicants
A.Z Momin	...
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors	... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. The present Misc Applications are filed seeking relief under Sec 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, transferring cases from one bench to another bench.
3. Learned counsel submits that the matters be heard by the same Single Bench because all the matters are filed by the same applicant. One matter is relating to transfer by way of attachment and the second matter is regarding suspension.
4. Misc Application is allowed.
5. The Original Applications No. 414, 522 & 527/2023 be placed before the Single Bench of Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Hon'ble Member (A) on 26.4.2024.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 90/2024 in O.A 1691/2023 with M.A 116/2024 in O.A St. No. 1657/2023 (Aurangbad Bench)</u></p> <p>R.D Panchal & Ors G.S Rathod & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p>... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter was called out at 10.20 am. Learned counsel Mr S.G Talhar not present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Misc Applications is not served on the office of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.4.2024. Matters be placed under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1349/2023 with O.A.No.1237/2023 with O.A.No.1184/2023</p> <p>K.N. Sangale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1349/2023, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1237/2023, Mr. Dipak Bhalerao h/f. Mr. Mukesh Dongarge, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1184/2023, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.B. Deore a/w. Mr. Jitendra Hugare a/w. Mr. S.J. Pawar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.5 to 9 in O.A.No.1237/2023 & Mr. S.B. Deore a/w Ms. Suchita J. Pawar a/w. Mr. Jitendra Pagare, learned Counsel for Respondents No.4 to 8 in O.A.No.1349/2023 & O.A.No.1184/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that till date Respondents No.1, 2 and 3 has not filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Deore has relied on order dated 20.06.2023 passed in O.A.No.659/2023 with M.A.No.393/2023 in M.A.No.394/2023, Yogesh M. Savale Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. and states that Applicants in the said case are similarly situated as Applicants in the present case.</p> <p>4. We direct Respondent-State to verify this position within two weeks and accordingly file reply.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhalerao has submitted that Applicant No.1 has given in writing that his name is to be deleted from the array of Applicants. It be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A for identification.</p> <p>6. In view of above, name of Applicant No.1, 'Mr. Dipak Sarjerao, Mengane' is to be deleted from the array of Applicants.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.985/2017</p> <p>M.N. PastapureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humare i/b. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.06.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.7/2024 in O.A.No.149/2019</p> <p>S.S. Suryawanshi & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Mr. Chougule has submitted that as per the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.149/2019 DPC meeting is conducted and further steps are taken by the Respondents. Further the file is now submitted before the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.05.2024 for reporting compliance.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1578/2023</p> <p>J.S. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day she will file sur-rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 24.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1100/2023</p> <p>B.P. Chaudhari & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.4 to 9.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.05.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1381/2023</p> <p>N.V. PatangraoApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humare i/b. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 03.05.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1551/2023</p> <p>A.S. SadaphuleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Reply is filed. 3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing. 4. Adjourned to 02.07.2024. <p align="center">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p align="center">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>