

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.116 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>P.S. Joshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of the Home Department GR dated 22.06.2023 by which approval has been granted to 'Revised Staffing Pattern' for establishment of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and further draws attention to contents of its 'Annexure' to indicate that in all there are offices of 24 'R.T.O.'s.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then mentions that 'Annexure' specifically shows that there are '30 'Sanctioned Posts' of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' out of which '1 Post' each is assigned to offices of '24 'R.T.O.' and remaining '6 Posts' of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' have been assigned to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Therefore: the inference to be drawn is that '1 Post' each of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' have been attached individually to the officers who are serving on these posts of '24 'R.T.O.'s.</li> <li>4. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in this regard on behalf of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</li> <li>5. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to clarify if the posts of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' are not attached individually to officers serving on these posts of 24 R.T.O.'s. If it is not so, then to specify the designation of officer under 'R.T.O. Thane' including 'Deputy R.T.O.'s or A.R.T.O.'s, to whom the post of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' has been attached; as there is no such mention in 'Office Order' dated 25.04.2023 of 'Deputy R.T.O., Thane' which only directs Applicant to work in 'Old Office Building' of 'R.T.O. Thane'.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 04.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.367 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Salunke &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states on basis of information received, that 'Transfer Orders' dated 24.02.2024 of 'Special IGP, Konkan Range' were issued on grounds that concerned 'PSI's' were serving in their 'Home Districts', which in case of Applicants is 'Raigad District'. However it now appears that Applicant No. 1 and Applicant No.3 though serving in their 'Home District.' were occupying posts in 'Functional Departments' at the time of issue of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 which are (i)'Control Room' at 'Raigad' &amp; (ii) 'Reader' to 'SDPO Shrivardhan'. However Applicant No.2 was posted in 'Police Station'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon submits that based on this 'Additional Information' received from 'Special IGP, Konkan Region'; the 'Applicant No. 1' and 'Applicant No. 3' who were serving on posts in 'Functional Departments' at the time of issue of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 be thus permitted to continue to serve at their earlier posts; while Applicant No. 2 would be required to join at new place of posting as per 'Transfer Order' dated 22.02.2024 of 'Special IGP, Konkan Region'. However, the grievance of Applicant No. 2 be heard in due course as per provisions of Section 22(N) of Maharashtra Police Act 1951 read with 'Administrative Guidelines' of 'Home Department'.</p> <p>4. The 'Applicant No.1' and 'Applicant No.3' though serving in 'Home District' were evidently holding posts in 'Functional Departments' at the time of issue of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 and thus are permitted to continue on their posts which are (i)'Control Room', at 'Raigad' &amp; (ii) 'Reader' to 'SDPO; Shrivardhan'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.03.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.408 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>M.S. Gaikwad</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was working on post of 'Education Officer (Primary), Z.P., Sangli' and has been posted as 'Divisional Joint Secretary' in 'Maharashtra State Board of Secondary and Higher Secondary, Divisional Education Board' at 'Ratnagiri' by 'Transfer Order' dated 15.03.2024 of 'School Education and Sports Department'.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant was posted on promotion to post of 'Education Officer (Primary), Z.P., Sangli' by 'Transfer Order' dated 23.02.2022 of 'School Education and Sports Department'. Therefore, Applicant is yet to complete 'Normal Tenure' of '3 Years' as per provisions of 'Section 3(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then refers to 'Transfer Order' dated 15.12.2022 of 'School Education and Sports Department' by which 'Respondent No.2' upon promotion had been posted as 'Assistant Commissioner' in 'Maharashtra State Examination Council' at 'Pune' but subsequently by 'Transfer Order' dated 29.12.2022 of 'School Education &amp; Sports Department' soon came to be transferred to post of 'Education Officer (Plan), Z.P., Pune'.</li> </ol>

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant draws attention to provisions of 'Rule 8' of 'Maharashtra Government Apartment of Revenue Divisions for appointment of nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021 which makes it mandatory to complete '3 Years' tenure in allotted 'Revenue Divisions' before any 'Government Servant' can be considered as being eligible for transfer under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

6. Learned Advocate for Applicant also mentions about 'Specific Guidelines' issued by 'G.A.D.' Circular dated 11.02.2015 to restrain 'Mid-Term Transfers' being effected even on requests made by 'Government Servants'.

7. Learned P.O. produces copy of the 'File Notings' of 'School Education and Sports Department' so as to emphasize that 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority' had approved the transfers of both 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.2' in exercise of 'Statutory Powers' under 'Section 4(4)(ii)' and 'Section 4(5)' of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

8. The perusal of 'File Notings' of 'School Education and Sports Department' including the 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B.' held on 06.02.2024 reveal that transfers of both 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.2' had not been recommended by 'C.S.B.' as both had not completed their 'Normal Tenures' of '3 Years'. However, the 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority' overruled the recommendations made by 'C.S.B.' and directed 'Mid-Term Transfers' to be made for both 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.2'. However, the 'File Notings' of 'School Education and Sports Department' do not mention any plausible reasons why the recommendations made by 'C.S.B.' were not accepted and changed with directions to effect transfer of 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.2' which resulted in contravention of principles laid down in landmark Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in (2013) 15 SCC 732 (T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.).

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. The 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B.' held on 06.02.2024 also reveals that although no requests had been made by Applicant for any transfer, the Respondent No.2 had infact submitted requested for transfer from post of 'Education Officer (Plan), Z.P. Pune'.
10. The facts and circumstances of the case tilt the 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage in favour of Applicant. Hence, it is directed that 'Transfer Order' dated 15.03.2024 of 'School Education and Sports Department' is not to be implemented. Applicant is accordingly granted 'Interim Relief' to continue to service on post of 'Education Officer (Primary), Z.P. Sangli'.
11. The learned P.O. is informed to telephonically convey the orders to 'Respondent No.1 - Principal Secretary, Primary Education and Sports Department.'
12. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
13. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.04.2024.
14. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
15. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
16. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
17. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
18. S.O. to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 19.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.228 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>H.H Kharade .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per the directions in 'Para 11' of Order dated 20.02.2024 the earlier Government Order dated 31.01.2024 of Revenue &amp; Forest Department by which Applicant had been posted as 'Deputy Collector Land Acquisition No.1 Solapur' has now been cancelled by Government Order dated 29.02.2024 of Revenue &amp; Forest Department.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that by Government Order dated 29.02.2024 the Applicant has now been transferred to 'Deputation Post' of 'Deputy Collector' in 'Pune Metropolitan Region Development Authority (PMRDA)'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as grievance of Applicant has been completely redressed, thus O.A. No. 228 of 2024 can be disposed off.</p> <p>5. Learned PO concurs with the statement made by learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 228 of 2024.</p> <p>6. The O.A. No. 228 of 2024 is therefore disposed off. No Order as to Cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) 19.03.2024

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>C.A 103/2023 in O.A 347/2019</u></p>
	<p>P.A Gangarde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, matter adjourned to 30.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 19.03.2024

O.A. No.165 of 2023

V.V. Salvi

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO presents copy of Home Department letter dated 15.03.2024 by which it has been conveyed to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' that services of Applicant which had earlier been attached to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by Home Department, letter dated 01.02.2024 have now been placed back with office 'Deputy R.T.O. Kalyan; District Thane' where Applicant had been serving on post of 'A.R.T.O' as per Home Department Transfer Order dated 09.08.2021.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that although 'Interim Relief' was granted on 09.02.2024 it was not implemented promptly by Home Department. However; now belatedly Home Department letter dated 15.03.2024 has been issued to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' permitting Applicant to join back on post of 'A.R.T.O' in office of 'Deputy 'R.T.O., Kalyan; District Thane'.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that Home Department therefore be also directed to issue order to regularize the period from when 'Applicant' could not work on post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan; District Thane' Viz. from time

[P.T.O.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Applicant stood relieved on 20.2.2024 when his services came to attached to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and now up to his joining back on post of 'A.R.T.O' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan; District Thane' as per Home Department letter dated 15.03.2024.</p> <p>5. , Learned P.O. files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 19.03.2024 on behalf 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' placing on record Home Department letter dated 15.03.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.316 of 2024</p> <p>R.R. Divte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video-Conference', and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that to ascertain the claim made by Applicant that his 'Home District' is 'Ahmednagar District' more time would be required by office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' but as per information available on record in office of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'; the 'Home District' of Applicant is shown as 'Pune District'.</p> <p>3. The office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' so as to [ ] to resolve the claims of 'Home District' made by Applicant; may refer to 'Standing Instructions' in 'GR's/Circulars' of 'Finance Department' &amp; 'GAD' about declaration of 'Home Town' and 'Home Districts' by 'Government Servants'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 19.03.2024
	<p align="center"><b>O.A. No.03 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Lande</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that and 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Director General of Police (A.T.S.) Maharashtra State' was filed on 19.01.2024 and 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' as filed on 21.02.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="left">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 19.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.392 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>V.V. Mugalikar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has joined on the place of new posting which is 'Sub Divisional Police Officer, Shriwardhan, District Raigad' in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' as per 'Transfer Order' dated 28.02.2024 of 'Home Department'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Four Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**HARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.764 of 2023</p> <p>G.N. Badadare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.03.2024.</p>
	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

# MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

n No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

AGR

**MARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. 05.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>



**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.242 of 2024</p> <p>A.D. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms Aruna Ghadge, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 5 who is 'Commissioner PCMC'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**MARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No,

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1533 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Bagul .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder,' if required on behalf of 'Joint Director Vocational Education Training Nashik'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	<p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.219 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Gaurav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar h/f Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that respondents no.2 &amp; 3 be directed to decide the representation of the applicant dated 17.1.2024 in the light of various orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court with reference to GR dated 7.8.2012 and by considering the continuation of private practice by the medical officers prior to issuance of the GR, within one month and communicate the same to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the above the respondents no.2 &amp; 3 are directed to decide the said representation dated 17.1.2024 of the applicant within three months from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1503 of 2023</u></p> <p>Komal S. Gite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.4.2024 with OA No.1002/2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1461 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.V. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 1.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1410 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.1403 &amp; 1404 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.B. Kangude K.R. Mulani ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1362 of 2023</u></p> <p>U.P. Chorghe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.794 of 2023 in O.A. No.1354 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.M. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 16 months. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the cause of action arose on 6.5.2019 and the OA is filed on 18.10.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the period between 6.5.2020 to 28.2.2022 be excluded in view of order dated 10.1.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Suo Moto W.P. No.3/2020</i> due to COVID-19 Pandemic. Ld. Advocate submits that the applicant made several oral requests and send a letter dated 7.10.2022 to respondent no.3 but there is no response. Hence, the delay be condoned in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1354 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.M. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1002 of 2023</u></p> <p>Komal S. Gite ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry. along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.519 of 2023 in O.A. No.1002 of 2023</u></p> <p>Komal S. Gite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 804 days. The cause of action arose on 27.5.2021 whereby respondent no.2 informed the applicant rejected his request for compassionate appointment. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the said letter dated 27.5.2021 was served on the applicant on 17.7.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has produced copy of order dated 16.10.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. 12664/2023 filed by the applicant wherein the Hon'ble High Court has directed the applicant to approach this Tribunal. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that delay is unintentional and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 opposes the condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts, the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.406 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.355 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.J. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar h/f Shri S.N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgãil) Member (A) 19.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Transgender thereby the order passed by the Tribunal in the said O.A. and thus once it is complied with earlier at certain stage, the order cannot be recalled. Learned Counsel has submitted that in the process of challenging order dated 29.11.2023 passed in O.A.No.1121/2022 with M.A.No.244/2023 with O.A.No.401/2023 with O.A.No.928/2023. Hence, M.A. may be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that this Tribunal while deciding the judgment dated 29.11.2023 passed in O.A.No.1121/2022 with M.A.No.244/2023 with O.A.No.401/2023 with O.A.No.928/2023 the Tribunal has directed to consider the candidates for appointment in Transgender if they secure more than 50% of the marks. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that on the basis of this judgment the M.P.S.C. found that the marks obtained by the present applicants are not upto 50%, hence the Applicants cannot be considered by the M.P.S.C. However, the Tribunal has directed the Respondents to keep one post reserved for Transgender. However such reservation for Transgender is not given in order dated 29.11.2023. Learned C.P.O. therefore prays that the order be recalled on this background along with the order of keeping one post reserved</p> <p>6. Admittedly it is not a Review Application and the application for modification is as good as asking to decide the matter again. This cannot be done at the level of Tribunal, but for the applicants who have challenged the order before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>7. M.A. filed for recalling order amounts to deciding the issue afresh. We are of the view that this cannot be done at this stage at all especially when the issue is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.7209/2022. So also learned C.P.O. confirms that our earlier judgment dated 29.11.2023 passed in O.A.No.1121/2022 with M.A.No.244/2023 with O.A.No.401/2023 with O.A.No.928/2023 stating that Transgender category securing 50% should be considered while giving employment.</p> <p>8. Under such circumstances, when the issue is going to be considered by the Hon'ble High Court this matter cannot be re-decided or recalled. The impugned order, if was not acceptable to the State, the State should have challenged the order before the HC much earlier which is not done by the State, the Sealed cover which was given by the Respondents is handed over to them without opening the same.</p> <p>9. In view of the above, M.A. stands rejected</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">SD/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1518 of 2023</p> <p>N.K. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 19.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.279 of 2024</p> <p>R.A. Kshirsagar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has joined on post of 'Police Inspector' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' as per order dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that he needs sometime to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>




**HARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.395/2024 (D.B.)</u></b></p> <p><b>R.Y. Reddiyar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Pradeep, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>19.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

# HARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

n No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.181/2024 in O.A.395/2024 (D.B.)**

**R.Y. Reddiyar & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

*541-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
19.03.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 19.03.2024

O.A. No.405 of 2024

J.U. Kale .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 09.04.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)



**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.388/2024 (D.B.)**

**V.B. Kamble** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who is a 'Ward' of Ex-Servicemen should have been considered based on his merit to be included in 'Wait List' published by District Collector, Solapur.

3. The learned Advocate further states that only on the ground that he is not Ex-Serviceman himself but 'Ward of Ex-Serviceman for whom reservation is provided as per GAD GR dated 02.09.1983, his name has not been included in 'Wait List'.

4. The Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 therefore informed to clarify as to why the name of Applicant is not included in Wait List of candidates to the post of Talathi.

5. Issue notice returnable on 01.04.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders

Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024.

  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
19.03.2024

(skw)

# HARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

on No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.307/2024 (D.B.)</u></b></p> <p><b>R.V. Kothmire</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<b>Debashish Chakrabarty</b>) Member-A 19.03.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

---

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders**

---

**Tribunal's orders**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 19.03.2024**

**R.A.No.15/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021**

Dr. N.S. Padmapani .Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C along with Mr. Gidh,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned  
to 27.03.2024 at 10.30 a.m.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

SD/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 19.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022</b></p> <p>B.D. Kamble &amp; Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan prays that paragraph 4 of order dated 18.03.2024 passed in C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022 is to be recalled.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is present and has no objection for the same.</p> <p>5. In view of above, we hereby direct to recall this portion identified by learned Counsel for the Applicant. Paragraph 4 of order dated 18.03.2024 reads as below and the same <sup>is</sup> <del>be</del> recalled :</p> <p>“4. We make it clear that in view of the order dated 10.08.2023 passed in Interim Application No.7919/2023 in Writ Petition No.1548/2023, Devidas Rajaram Sangale &amp; Anr. (Applicants) between The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Petitioners) Versus State of Maharashtra, through Secretary Home Department (Respondents) along with order dated 30.03.2023 passed in W.P.No.1548 /2023, the promotion orders of the Applicants in the present matter cannot be issued because of the pending Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court and therefore we will be in the position to pass the order in the present matter as and when the Writ Petition will be decided by the Hon'ble High Court.”</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/<del>p</del></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.180 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ravindra A. Konapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that in the above matter the officer of the department has come and he seeks time to take instructions and verify.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. By consent adjourned to 26.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            19.3.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            19.3.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>19.03.2024</u> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b><u>O.A 1040/2021</u></b>
	<p>Maharashtra State Gazetted Medical Officers Federation ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O to inform after verifying whether the order dated 12.1.2004 in O.A 59/2017 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal is applicable to the facts of the present case.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>19.03.2024</u> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>O.A 1239/2023</b>
	<p>B.D Khobragade &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We call upon the Respondents to show the rule for calling objections from the candidates whose name are in the provisional Select List who are going to be promoted.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the Additional Tribal Commissioner is appointed as Observer for Election Duty and therefore seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **19.03.2024**.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>0.A.59/2017</b> <del>Tribunal's orders</del></p>
	<p>H.S Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit to the sur-rejoinder filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>19.03.2024</u> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b><u>O.A 572/2021</u></b>
	<p>A.S More ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that co-delinquents in the same departmental enquiry have filed O.A No. 1444/2023 which is listed on Board on 18.4.2024 and therefore prays that the present matter also be kept on the same day.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter be kept on 18.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>19.03.2024</u> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>O.A 742/2022</b>
	<p>Y.V Sawalakhe &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 20.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....**19.03.2024**.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b><del>Tribunal's orders</del></b> <b>G.A. 874/2023</b> <b>Through Video Conference</b></p>
	<p>A.A Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the name of the applicant is in the wait list at Sr. No. 20 from open category for appointment to the post of Junior Engineer, Water Resources Department and his name is recommended.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O on instructions from Omkar Shendure, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department submits that the Respondents have asked for option from the candidates for the Region and final orders will be issued within four weeks.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that as Code of Conduct is in operation the Respondents need to take permission from the Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State and after permission is taken, necessary orders will be issued.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>19.03.2024</u></p> <p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015</b></p>
	<p>U.N Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri P.S Shivtare, Desk Officer, submits that the file has been sent to the Accounts and Treasury Department. However, certain additional queries remained unanswered and the file has been again sent to the department on 15.3.2024.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 26.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....19.03.2024.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>C.A 90/2023 in O.A. 13/2017</b>
	<p>N.S Navale ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Darshana Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **19.03.2024**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>C.A 102/2023 in O.A. 347/2019</b> <del>Principal's orders</del></p>
	<p>P.A Gangarde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, matter adjourned to 30.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **19.03.2024**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>C.A 11/2024 in O.A. 356/2022</b></p>
	<p>A.R Kore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct that a responsible officer from the office of the Respondents to remain present on the next date and inform progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....**19.03.2024**.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>C.A 19/2024 in O.A. 417/2022</b> <del>In House Orders</del></p>
	<p>R.P Gage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad holding for V. Pradeep, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....19.03.2024.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>C.A 38/2024 in O.A. 805/2017</b> <b>(Through Video Conference)</b></p>
	<p>S.A Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **19.03.2024**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>M.A 730/2023 Tribunal's orders with M.A 731/2023 in O.A 1440/2023</b>
	<p>R.K Deorukhkar M.M More ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 86/2024 in O.A 849/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.D Bhagat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 979/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.M Kubal &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 12.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 17/2024 in O.A 641/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.S Rawle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar i/b Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that on 18.3.2024 representation is submitted to the Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time. In the meanwhile the Respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 18.3.2024 submitted by the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1085/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr K.A Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1095/2021</u></b></p> <p>S.A Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 16.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1243/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr P.S Bhandari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 30.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1265/2023</u></b></p> <p>B.B Patil Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Yogita Tembe holding for Shri A.d Sugdare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 30.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1278/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.V Shelar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard R. Musale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 15.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1317/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A Nos 1368 with O.A 1442/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr B. Lad Dr J.A Bhalearao &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Kaustub Gidh i/b Shri S. Chinnappa, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 1368/2023, none for the applicant in O.A 1442/2023 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that G.R has issued on 14.3.2024 by the Public Health Department stating that 30% of the appointment is earmarked for in-service persons who have worked under the National Rural Health Mission.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O directed to verify whether the case of the applicants is covered by the G.R dated 14.3.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>19.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 194/2024 in O.A 937/2023</u></b></p> <p>K.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Misc Application is filed seeking amendment to the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission is granted to amend the Original Application. However, the learned counsel for the applicant is directed to amend the Original Application by substituting it. Learned counsel for the applicant is further directed to serve the substituted copy of the Original Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.860 of 2022

Shailendra H. Anande ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Shraddha Dubepatil i/b. M/s. Jay & Co.,  
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar,  
learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. We have examined the copy of purshis dated 6.2.2024 in  
OA No.454/2022 filed before Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal. We  
have also examined the purshis filed in OA No.454/2022 by  
respondent no.4 National Medical Commission (NMC) clearly  
pointing out that in cases where Post Graduate qualified  
candidates were directly appointed to the post of Assistant  
Professor by the State Government, the experience of such  
students as Assistant Professor may be counted against the  
requirement of one year Senior Resident.

3. Ld. CPO produces letter dated 18.1.2024 written by  
Medical Education & Drugs Department, Mumbai to the Ld. CPO.  
Para 2 of the said letter reads as under:

*"That in the instant matter Senior Residency of one year  
has been made mandatory vide notification dated  
5.6.2017. The same is annexed herewith as Annexure 'A'.  
However, in the special circumstances, of the instant  
cases where Post Graduate qualified candidates were  
directly appointed to the post of Assistant Professor by the  
State Govt., the experience of such students as Assistant  
Professors may be counted against the requirement of  
one-year senior residency. This pursis is filed in view of  
instructions received by e-mail dated 3.5.2023."*

4. We would like to note that by order dated 31.3.2023 we  
have directed the respondents to keep one post of Assistant  
Professor of Forensic Medicine vacant.

5. In view of the above, we direct that if the applicant is  
found meritorious and otherwise eligible, he is to be recommended  
to the post of Assistant Professor as per merit. With these  
directions the OA is disposed off. No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
19.3.2024

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
19.3.2024

(sgj)