M.A.NO.408/2019 IN O.A.NO.661/2017 (Shailendra Kapse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN (<u>D</u>.B. MATTER) <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

> Heard Shri P.G.Tambede learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G.Ambetkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

> 2. This M.A. has been filed for transferring the case at Principal Bench at Mumbai for adjudication as Division Bench was not available. Since the Division Bench is available during this week there is no need to transfer the matter to Mumbai. Hence, M.A. is rejected. There shall be no order as to costs.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.174/2017 (Dr. Madhav Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 01-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.09/2018 IN O.A.NO.929/1999 (Narayan Wakchaure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16-10-2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.808/2019 (Vandana Nikumbh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 23.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.795/2019 (Yogita Markad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## FICE ORDER IRIBUNAL S ORDERS

# CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.M.Bhokarikar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is challenging the order dated 07-08-2018 issued by the respondent no.3 fixing seniority in the service from the date of entry in the service.

3. The applicant has claimed seniority in the service from the date of filing of the application with the competent authority for enrolling her name in the waiting list of the eligible candidates to be appointed on compassionate ground i.e. from 10-06-2008.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has filed application for getting appointment on compassionate ground on 10-06-2008 but her name was not recorded. Therefore, she approached this Tribunal by filing O.A.No.598/2013, which was decided on 16-07-2015. He has submitted that on the basis of direction given by this Tribunal in the O.A. name of the applicant was included in the waiting list and her seniority was considered from the date of filing of application i.e. from 10-06-2008. He has submitted that thereafter, the applicant was appointed as Group-D employee by order dated 05-07-2018 and she joined service on 09-07-2018. Her date of appointment i.e. 09-07-2018 is considered for the purpose of seniority. He has submitted that the respondents ought to have considered her seniority from 10-06-2008 i.e. when she filed application for getting appointment on compassionate ground to the concerned department.

=2=

5. Learned PO has submitted that the applicant has been appointed by order dated 05-07-2018 and she joined service on 09-07-2018 and that date has been considered for seniority as per the rules. Therefore, the respondent no.3 has rightly communicated the applicant in that regard by communication dated 07-08-2018. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the O.A. 6. On perusal of the record it reveals that the applicant has been appointed as Peon on the establishment of respondents by order dated 05-07-2018 on compassionate ground. She has joined duty on 09-07-2018. She entered in the service on 09-07-2018. Therefore, the same date will have to be considered for considering her seniority in the service as per the rules.

=3=

7. There is no provision or rule to consider the seniority of the employees who are appointed on compassionate ground from the date of filing the application for compassionate appointment with the department in which the deceased employee was serving. Therefore, there is no merit in the contentions raised by the applicant in that regard. There is no merit in the O.A. Hence, it deserves to be rejected.

8. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraphs O.A. stands rejected with no order as to costs.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1853/2019 (Raviraj Darak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that he intends to file detailed reply by taking instructions from the respondents. Therefore, he has sought time.

3. In view of the statement of the learned P.O. time is granted. Meanwhile, respondents shall maintain status quo as on today.

4. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.10.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 18.10.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.468/2018 (Ravikiran M. Ghante V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

#### <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Amol T. Jagtap learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**. Shri Nagesh Talekar and Shri Pankaj Bharat learned Advocate for respondent no.3 are **absent**.

Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the respondents do not want to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A.

3. S.O. to 21-10-2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.14/2019 (Sahadeo Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

FFICE ORDER IRIBUNAL 5 ORDERS

# CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Savita Solunke learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Previously on many occasions learned P.O. sought time to file reply.

3. In the interest of justice time is granted till next date to file reply of respondents subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/-.

3. S.O. to 03-10-2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.372/2019 (Dr. Sanjay Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019 ORAL ORDER :

> Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

> 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 16-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.373/2019 (Gajendra Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 16-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.632/2019 (Ashok Prakashkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

### <u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019 ORAL ORDER:

Shri D.M.Pingle learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**. and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None is present for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 05-11-2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

M.A.NO.430/2019 IN O.A.NO.670/2019 (Kaushalyabai Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. has been filed for restoration of the O.A. which was dismissed in default. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed. The order of dismissal passed in the O.A. is set aside. O.A. be restored to its original number.

3. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.670/2019 (Kaushalyabai Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri C.V.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. After restoration of the O.A. to its original number, issue fresh notices to respondents returnable on 22.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

- 8. S.O. to 22.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.817/2019 (Kamlakar Randive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### -----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

### <u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 16.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=2=

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 16.10.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 198 OF 2019 (Shri Achut R. Kulkarni and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **<u>CORAM</u>** : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**<u>DATE</u>** : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 719 OF 2016 (Shri Pandurang M. Chandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

-----

1. Heard Shri L.H. Kawale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.M. Chate, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 30.09.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 51 OF 2017 (Shri Deelip M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698 OF 2017 (Shri Jalamsing D. Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2019 (Shri Madhukar B. Dhabe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2019 (Shri Vishvas S. Thore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

-----

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2019.

## ACTING CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2018 (Shri Rajendra S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 03.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 858 OF 2018 (Shri Sudhakar D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 21.10.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

M.A. No. 439/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1864/2018 (Sau. Sangita S. Patil @ Alka M. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 21.10.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569 OF 2019 (Shri Bapusaheb B. Sase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.S. Dixit, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.09.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2019 (Shri Umesh B. Shahane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri A.P. Sonpethkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 452 OF 2019 (Shri Shivaji D. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri Joslen Menezez, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Amruta J. Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.10.2019.

#### ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 777 OF 2019 (Smt. Suhasini C. Seth V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 487 OF 2018 (Shri Ramchandra L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2019.

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2019 (Shri Ashok R. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**<u>DATE</u>** : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5 and Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 23.10.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

#### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 292 OF 2019 (Shri Jagdish B. Dabhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

-----

1. Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2019 (Shri Ramesh G. Verule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

-----

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**<u>DATE</u>** : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

-----

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2019.

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208 OF 2019 (Shri Bhaskar P. Dole and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
S.O. to 17.10.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2019 (Shri Vijay M. Lad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN. <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri D.T. Devane, has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record. He seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5. Time granted.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. No. 417/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1785/2018 (Shri Dangal S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.10.2019.

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 169 OF 2019 (Shri Pandurang S. Borgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.10.2019.

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 887 OF 2018 (Dr. Sumant N. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.10.2019.

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 327 OF 2019 (Shri Pushpak R. Kachve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

-----

## CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 782, 786, 788 & 789 ALL OF 2018 (Shri Rohidas G. Pawara and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 18.09.2019.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents in all these O.As.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 15.10.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 18.09.2019

## **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 836 OF 2019 (Sajidbanu Gulamnabi Shahpure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# OFFICE ORDER

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

## **<u>CORAM</u>** : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**<u>DATE</u>** : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents has submitted that he intends to take instructions from the respondents and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. The respondents are directed to maintain statusquo as on today.

4. S.O. to 23.09.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.ST.NO.1496 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.25 OF 2018 (Sachin R. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## 

## <u>CORAM</u> : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> : <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on
4.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

## // 2//

### C.P.ST.NO.1496/19 IN O.A. NO. 25/18

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 04.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

## VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.95 OF 2017 (Balu J. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## ------

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Shri Avinash K. Shejwal, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghae, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. Applicant as well as the learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.

3. Hence, the matter is dismissed for want of prosecution.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.105 OF 2017 (Nagesh S. Mapari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> : <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 & 2. None appears for the Respondent Nos.3 to 15.

2. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799 OF 2017 (Mangesh S. Vishwasu V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 19.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN(A)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.471 OF 2018 (Raosaheb K. Pangre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

#### \_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.612 OF 2018 (Pradeep K. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# FFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u> : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> : <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions received from the Applicant states that the Applicant does not want to proceed with the Original Application and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, learned Advocate for the Applicants seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Permission granted to withdraw the Original Application.

4. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.618 OF 2018 (Sharad D. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O for the Respondents submits that the representation is made by the Applicant dated 14.5.2018 and order issued by this Tribunal on 27.08.2019, the Respondent No.2 is considering the matter and it is expected to communicate its decision shortly.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 10.10.2019.

## VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

M.A.NO.447 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.682 OF 2019 (Rahul S. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# FFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to enclose the seniority list dated 24.8.2017 and make the typographical correction in prayer clause 'B'.

3. Permission granted to do the same.

4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant shall carry out the amendment within a week.

5. Misc. Application is allowed.

## VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.682 OF 2019 (Rahul S. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 14.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN(A)** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.820 OF 2018 WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.617 OF 2018 (Rohit S. Mhaske & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## OFFICE ORDER

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of the Applicants in both the Original Applications. The same is taken on record. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in both the O.A.s, present.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that affidavit-in-reply has been filed in O.A.No.820 of 2018.

3. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents in O.A.No.617 of 2019.

4. Time as prayed for is granted.

5. S.O. to 21.10.2019.

## VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.854 OF 2018** (Bhima S. Chakale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## **CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A)** DATE : 18.9.2019 **ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 2. affidavit-in-rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

To come up for final hearing in due 3. Admit. course.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is at liberty to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder, if necessary.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.110 OF 2019 (Ashok M. Randhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.135 OF 2019. (Prabhakar M. Kathar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## 

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 19.9.2019.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.152 OF 2019** (Harshal M. Nagare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## **CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A)** : 18.9.2019 DATE **ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Yogesh B. Bolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Advocate Learned for the Applicant on instructions received from the Applicant states that the Applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and he wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Accordingly, permission granted to withdraw the Original Application.

4. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.339 OF 2019 (Dr. Kishor S. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.09.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.340 OF 2019 (Dr. Kishor S. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN (A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: (D.B. MATTER)

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.09.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.575 OF 2019 (Dr. Sachin D. Shekde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Shri Santosh C. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.09.2019.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

M.A.NO.37 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.155 OF 2019 (Dr. Jaya P. Dighe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## 

<u>CORAM</u> : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> : <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 4.10.2019.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

M.A.NO.466 OF 2018 IN C.P.ST.1672 OF 2018 IN

O.A.NO.650 OF 2007 (Dadarao G. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN(A) <u>DATE</u> : 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 20.09.2019.

## **VICE CHAIRMAN(A)**

O.A.NO.335 OF 2019 WITH M.A.NO.443 OF 2019 (Hanumant V. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## DFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.9.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>: <u>(D.B. MATTER)</u>

Heard Shri V.R. Dhorde, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant in Original Application. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing on 19.9.2019.

## VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713/2017 (Shri Venkat D. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that vide order dtd. 16.9.019 the Tribunal has directed to produce the concerned original record before the Tribunal for perusal. However, the concerned original record is sent to the Pay Verification Unit, Aurangabad and, therefore, at present she is unable to produce the same.

3. In the circumstances, the present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to keep the concerned record ready as and when the present case comes before the Division Bench for final hearing.

## VICE CHAIRMAN ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 44/2013 (Dr. Rashmi P. Dhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

Shri Abasaheb D. Shnde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Applicant and her learned Advocate are absent when the case is called out for hearing. Though the present case is of the year 2013, still the applicant and her learned Advocate are absent today. It reveals from the record that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present case.

3. In the circumstances, the present original application stands dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

## VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 535 AND 575 BOTH OF 2013 (Smt. Shubhangi A. Shejul & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. With the consent of both the sides, the present original applications are removed from the board for time being and the same be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

3. Both the sides to take update instructions in the present cases.

## VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572/2013 (Smt. Vijaya B. Malave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to keep the concerned original record ready before the Tribunal on tomorrow for perusal.

3. S.O. to 19.9.2019.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2014 (Shri Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN DATE : 18.09.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate Holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.9.019 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 391/2014**

(Pathan Kjaj Khan Ibrahim Khan and another Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.G. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the res. No. 4 in his affidavit in reply in para no. 4 has stated as follows :-

"4. With regards to contents in para No. 3 it is submitted that Director, Medical Education & Research, Mumbai i.e. Respondent No. 2 had been submitted a proposal to the Government on 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2008 for regularization of their services who are working on 29 days purely temporary basis in various institutes under this Directorate. Basis on this the Respondent has submitted a revise proposal on 15.4.2014 to the Government. This proposal is under consideration of Government.

On the basis of said proposal Government letter dated 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 has directed to submit the information such as list of institute and those who had filed the court cases for permanency. Copy of the letter dated 5.7.2014 is annexed here at Annexure R-1. In view of the above letter the respondent No. 4 submitted information to the Respondent no. 2 as per letter dated 2.8.2014 along with the original seniority list of 414 persons and revised seniority list of 279 persons. In both the seniority list the petitioners name are appeared. In the Original list at serial No. 43 and revised list at Sr. No. 31 of petitioner No. 1 and at Sr. No. 342 and in revised list at sr. No. 230 of the

#### <u>::-2-::</u> 0.A. NO. 391/2014

petitioner No. 2. Copy of letter dated 2.8.2014 is annexed here at Exhibit R-2."

3. He has submitted that since the respondents have included the name of the applicants in the proposal regarding regularization of services and the said proposal has been sent to the Government, the very purpose of filing the Original Application is served. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the Original Application.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the Original Application be disposed of in view of contentions of res. No. 4 in para no. 4 of the affidavit in reply.

5. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate for the and learned Presenting Officer for the applicants respondents and the statement made by the respondents in their affidavit in reply, it reveals that, the purpose of filing the Original Application is served as the respondents included the name of the applicants in the list of January, 2008 and the cases of both the applicants regarding regularization of their services is under consideration of the Government. Therefore, the very purpose of filing the Original Application is served and nothing remains therein for adjudication.

6. In the circumstances, the present Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43/2015 (Shri Ramchandra G. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### <u>CORAM</u>: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri T.G. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, the present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 257/2015 (Shri Dnyanoba G. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.G. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, the present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563/2014 (Shri Tushar B. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

None appears for the applicant. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Applicant and hhis learned Advocate are absent when the case is called out for hearing. Though the present case is of the year 2014, still the applicant and his learned Advocate are absent today. It reveals from the record that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present case.

3. In the circumstances, the present original application stands dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948/2016 (Shri Hemant J. Bichkewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with prayer clauses 'A' and 'B' mentioned in para 35 of the O.A. Therefore, he sought leave of the Tribunal to delete the prayer clauses 'A' and 'B' of the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

3. On amendment the grievance of the applicant remains only regarding the order dtd. 5.7.2016 issued by the Police Inspector, Nanded transferring him from B.D.D.S., Nanded to the Police Station, Sindkhed. The subject matter of Transfer is assigned to the Single Bench. Hence, the present case is removed from the board of Division Bench. The Registrar of this Bench is directed to place the matter before the appropriate Bench.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 2960/2018) (Shri Mangesh R. Datar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer is directed to file additional affidavit of the concerned respondent. The said reply be filed within a period of two weeks and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594/2018 (Dr. Kavita K. Shende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN DATE : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer,
S.O. to 19.9.2019. <u>High on Board</u>. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439/2013 (Shri Sakharam G. Choughule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record copy of order dtd. 27.4.2015 issued by the concerned respondent. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. With the consent of both the sides, the present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 545/2015 (Shri Rajesh P. Unhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### <u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, the present case is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing during the next sitting of the Division Bench.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 603 TO 609 & 780 ALL OF 2017 (Shri Jaideep A. Limbale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh and Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective cases and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has placed on record copy of communication dtd. 21.8.2019 issued by the concerned respondent. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. With the consent of both the sides, all these cases be placed on board on 18.10.2019, with liberty to both the sides to circulate the cases before the due date, if contingency arises. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 791/2018 (Shri Gajanan T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

#### <u>CORAM</u>: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN AND P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 & 4 (**absent**).

2. The applicant has made following prayers in the present O.A. :-

"(A) To hold and declare that, the seniority list prepared by the respondent No. 2 dated 17.7.2018 thereby showing the applicant at Sr. No. 142 on the basis of acquiring degree qualification is bad, void and illegal and therefore be quashed and set aside. Even the final seniority list dated 11.10.2018 prepared on the basis of G.R. dtd. 6.6.2017 may also be declared void and illegal and therefore be quashed and set aside.

(B) To direct the Respondent No. 2 to prepare the fresh seniority list of Group – D employees working under him as per their date of appointment / joining and accordingly give promotions."

3. So far as prayer clause (A) is concerned the Government has issued Notification dtd 11.6.2019 and

Clerk-Typist, amended the Group 'C' in the Offices, Government outside Greater Mumbai (Recruitment) Rules, 2017. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since the Government has issued Notification on 11.6.2019, the applicant is not pressing the prayer clause (A).

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in view of notification dtd. 11.6.2019, the res. nos. 2 & 3 are going to prepare fresh seniority of the employees of Group D employees in view of amended rules and therefore three months time is required therefor. He has submitted that by giving reasonable time to the respondents for the said purpose, the present O.A. may be disposed of.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has no objection to dispose of the O.A. with directions to the res. nos. 2 & 3 to prepare fresh seniority list in view of notification dtd. 11.6.2019.

6. In view of submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer, the present O.A. is disposed of a with direction to the res. nos. 2 & 3 to prepare fresh seniority list of Group (D) employees in view of amended rules namely the Clerk-Typist, Group 'C' in the Government Offices, outside Greater Mumbai (Recruitment) Rules, 2019 on or before 30.11.2019. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN ARJ ORAL ORDER 18.09.2019 **ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 406/2014 (Mangal S. Inami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN<br/>AND<br/>P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMANDATE: 18.09.2019.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.G. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the res. No. 4 in his affidavit in reply in para no. 4 has stated as follows :-

"4. With regards to contents in para No. 3 it is submitted that Director, Medical Education & Research, Mumbai i.e. Respondent No. 2 had been submitted a proposal to the Government on 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2008 for regularization of their services who are working on 29 days purely temporary basis in various institutes under this Directorate. Basis on this the Respondent has submitted a revise proposal on 15.4.2014 to the Government. This proposal is under consideration of Government.

On the basis said proposal of *Government letter dated* 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 has directed to submit the information such as list of institute and those who had filed the court cases for permanency. Copy of the letter dated 5.7.2014 is annexed here at Annexure R-1. In view of the above letter the respondent No. 4 submitted information to the Respondent no. 2 as per letter dated 2.8.2014 along with the original seniority list of 414 persons and revised seniority list of 279 persons. In both the seniority list the petitioners name are appeared. In the Original list at serial No. 159 and revised list at Sr. No. 90 of petitioner. Copy of letter dated 2.8.2014 is annexed here at Exhibit R-2."

#### <u>::-2-::</u> 0.A. NO. 406/2014

3. He has submitted that since the respondents have included the name of the applicant in the proposal regarding regularization of services and the said proposal has been sent to the Government, the very purpose of filing the Original Application is served. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the Original Application.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the Original Application be disposed of in view of contentions of res. No. 4 in para no. 4 of the affidavit in reply.

5. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate for the Presenting Officer for applicant and learned the respondents and the statement made by the respondents in their affidavit in reply, it reveals that, the purpose of filing the Original Application is served as the respondents included the name of the applicants in the list of January, 2008 and the case of the applicant regarding regularization of his services is under consideration of the Government. Therefore, the very purpose of filing the Original Application is served and nothing remains therein for adjudication.

6. In the circumstances, the present Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815/2019 (Shri Rajendrakumar P. Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri M.P. Gandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4.10.2019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

C.P. 40/2019 IN O.A. 798/2019 (Shri Rajendrakumar P. Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):

Heard Shri M.P. Gandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4.10.2019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68/2019 (Dr. Shripati K. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Request of the learned Advocate for the applicant to file rejoinder is rejected.

3. The O.A is hereby admitted and it be placed before the D.B. for final hearing in due course of time.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737/2019 (Shri Valu W. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 7.10.019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 775/2019 (Shri Shashikant S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri Sushil Irale Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.10.019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

M.A. 384/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1627/2019 (Shri Shriram C. Pachpute & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly the accompanying O.A. as they are similarly situated employees and are agitating the similar cause.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he has no objection for granting permission to the applicants to sue jointly the accompanying O.A.

4. In the circumstances, the present M.A. is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs. The applicants are permitted to sue joint the accompanying O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fess by each of the applicants.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1627/2019 (Shri Shriram C. Pachpute & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 4.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 4.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

M.A. 370/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1525/2019 (Shri Sandip B. Chitte & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly the accompanying O.A. as they are similarly situated employees and are aggrieved by the same cause.

3. Perused the misc. Application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons mentioned in the misc. application, it is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs. The applicants are permitted to sue jointly the accompanying O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fess by each of the applicants.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1525/2019 (Shri Sandip B. Chitte & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 4.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 4.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

M.A. 392/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1257/2019 (Shri Ramdas G. Gangadhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.V. Gujar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the M.A. no. 392/2019 has been filed for condonation of two years', six months' and twenty days' delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. However, the learned Advocate for the applicant is unable to explain any reason for such delay. He is, therefore, directed to satisfy the Tribunal on the point of above said delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 14.10.2019.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 434/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1793/2019 (Dr. Santosh N. Mokale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. During the course of hearing today, the learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the misc. application and the original application. The request of the applicant is granted.

3. Accordingly, the misc. application and the original application itself stand disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 445/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1855/2019 (Shri Bansidhar V. Golhar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Present Misc. Application has been filed by the seven applicants for permission to sue jointly the accompanying O.A. as they are similarly situated employees and are aggrieved by the same cause.

3. Perused the misc. Application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons mentioned in the misc. application, it is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs. The applicants are permitted to sue jointly the accompanying O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fess by each of the applicants.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1855/2019 (Shri Bansidhar V. Golhar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicants for inclusion the order of Hon'ble High Court dtd. 11.9.2019 passed in writ petition No. 11194/2019 is hereby granted.

3. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 9.10.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 9.10.2019.

11. The impugned order dtd. 8.8.2019 issued by the res. no. 4 directing recovery against the applicants is hereby stayed till the next date i.e. 9.10.2019, in view of the order mentioned in Para no. 2.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. ST. 1650/2019 (Shri Gurunath S. Purohit Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that permission may be granted to him to remove the office objections including the necessary amendment. Permission as sought for is granted.

3. S.O. to 3.10.2019.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1681/2019 (Kum. Sushma E. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to file M.A. for condonation of delay caused in filing the Original Application. Leave as sought for is granted. The misc. application for condonation of delay be filed by the next date.

3. S.O. to 3.10.2019.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1731/2019 (Dr. Suresh H. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Shri B.R. Sable, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Though the learned Advocate for the applicant has sought circulation of the present case, neither the applicant nor his Advocate remained present when the case is called out.

3. In view of above, the present case be listed on board on 20.9.2019 for dismissal.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

**O.A. 412/2019** 

\_\_\_\_\_

(Mohd. Faiz Mohd. Ibrahim Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri Sushil Irale Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9.10.2019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. 417/2019

(Shashikant D. Guntorkar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri Jahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.10.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. 463/2019

(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1, 2, 4, 5 & 7 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 & 6.

2. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate has filed reply of res. nos. 3 & 6. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 9.10.2019 for filing reply of rest of the respondents.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 57/2019 IN O.A. 667/2018 (Sanchit S. Deshpande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 7.10.2019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. 119/2019 (Godavari A. Latpate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri A.P. Shejul, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6 (**absent**). None appeared for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that today the concerned respondents are depositing the costs imposed by the Tribunal and also filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. The said reply be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 19.9.2019.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. 299/2019 (Rahul T. Pol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he has received parawise remarks from the concerned respondents and he would file affidavit in reply on the next date. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 26.9.2019.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NOS. 304 AND 305 BOTH OF 2019 (Pandharinath M. Ahire & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

## <u>CORAM</u>: P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 18.09.2019. ORAL ORDER (D.B. matter):

Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. Learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply of respondents in both the cases. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.10.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicants in respective cases.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN