M.A.NO.504/2018 IN O.A.NO.665/2018 (Zubair Ahmed Abdul Gafoor V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.K.Chavan learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 04-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 04-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.761/2018 (Shivaji Dadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.P.Dhoble learned Advocate holding for Shri Anand V. Patil (Indrale) learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that he is going to file application for amendment and he prayed for time to file M.A. Time is granted. In the meanwhile, case be removed from the board.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.951/2018 (Surekha Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate holding for Smt. Jayshri P. Reddy learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.952/2018 (Shivaji Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate holding for Smt. Jayshri P. Reddy learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.953/2018 (Archana Nagrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate holding for Smt. Jayshri P. Reddy learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.967/2018 (Digambar Tigote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri Rajaram B. Muley learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is going to file application for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A. Hence, the case be removed from the board.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.32/2017, 199/2017 and 610/2017 (Smt. Subhadrabai Sonwane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.K.Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents in respective cases. Shri M.K.Bhosle learned Advocate for respondent no.5 in O.A.610/2017 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-03-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.425/2017 (Prasad Pawar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that she has not received instructions from the respondents that she has communicated the order of the Tribunal dated 29-11-2018. Therefore, she sought time to make submission in that regard. Time is granted as a last.

3. S.O. to 15-01-2019. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.213/2017 (Kaniram Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the

applicant has filed leave note. Shri D.R.Patil learned

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 04-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.698/2017 (Jalamsing Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the

applicant has filed leave note. Shri B.S.Deokar

learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 07-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292/2018 (Bhavana Thakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the

applicant has filed leave note. Smt. M.S.Patni

learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 07-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.611/2017 (Nagnath Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the

applicant has filed leave note. Shri D.R.Patil learned

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 07-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.795/2017 (Dr. Anil Pandhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Affidavit in rejoinder has also not been filed by the applicant.

3. Hence, S.O. to 15-01-2019 for hearing.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.271/2018 (Sudhakar Goswami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Y.P.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 06-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.287/2018 (Dr. Ritibhushan Garhwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate

for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply

on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 05-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 798 OF 2017 (Shri Shrikant D. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Reply of respondent no.3 is already on record. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 11-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 800 OF 2017 (Shri Bhaskar B. Ubarhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Reply of respondent no.2 is already on record. Learned PO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 11-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 801 OF 2017 (Shri Sadanand M. Gupta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. All the respondents i.e. respondent nos.1 to

3 have already filed affidavit in reply on record.

3. S.O. to 11-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 802 OF 2017 (Shri Rajabhau S. Tat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. All the respondents i.e. respondent nos.1 to

3 have already filed affidavit in reply on record.

3. S.O. to 11-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2017 (Shri Nandakumar L. Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has already filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. She seeks time to file reply of other respondents.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 858 OF 2017 (Shri Shriniwas K. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It seems from the record that affidavit in reply of respondents is not filed though ample opportunities are granted.

3. Hence, S.O. to 11.02.2019 for filing reply.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 859 OF 2017 (Shri Vasant V. Tandulje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has already filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. He seeks time to file reply of other respondents.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 860 OF 2017 (Shri Bhaskar B. Ubarhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has already filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. She seeks time to file reply of other respondents.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.182/2018 (Vithal Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Respondents have produced documents along with affidavit in reply but the documents are not legible. Therefore, respondents are directed to produce legible copies of the documents on the next date.

3. S.O. to 08-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.348/2018 (Bhanudas Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

$\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{DATE}}$: 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the

applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to

file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 30-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.405/2018 (Nilima Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.G.Kanade learned Advocate for the

applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to

file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 05-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.641/2018 (Balam Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ravi Bangar learned Advocate for the

applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.4. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 08-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.748/2018 (Sanjay Godgodwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for

the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply

on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 05-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.787/2018 (Shahadeo Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the

applicant is absent. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply

on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.855/2018 (Baban Jatale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 and 2.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.B.Mene appears and states that he will file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent nos.3 and 4 and he seeks time file affidavit in reply.

3. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side. He states that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary at present.

4. S.O. to 07-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

M.A.NO.333/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.1192/2017 (Mahadev Gulmire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Suchita Dhongde Heard Smt. learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Officer Deshpande learned Presenting for respondents and Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for respondent nos.2, 4 and 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

M.A.No.427/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1525/2017 (Rama Bhutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the

applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 25-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.435/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1550/2017 (Baburao Dumnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the

applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer

for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

M.A.No.33/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1844/2017 (Akshay Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Anil M. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the

applicant is absent. Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting

Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Case be kept

for passing appropriate order on 06-02-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.116/2018 IN O.A.St.No.443/2018 (Hallalikar Ramchandra V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the

applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.188/2018 IN O.A.No.77/2018 (Manohar Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting
Officer for respondents.
2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a

most last chance.

3. S.O. to 25-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.328/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1063/2018 (Kantilal B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Ram Deshpande learned Advocate holding for Shri V.M.Lomte learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply

on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 25-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.363/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1019/2018 (Bhagwan Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri A.S.Bayas learned Advocate for the

applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U.Yadav learned

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 18-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.501/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1556/2018 (Jagannath Hanwate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.V.Gujar learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 31-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562/2012 (Namdeo Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri T.K.Rathod learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhay Rathod learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The matter is pretty old and fixed for final hearing. The applicant is aware about the said fact and he has not kept his Advocate present.

3. Shri T.K.Rathod learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhay Rathod learned Advocate for the applicant prayed to grant a short adjournment. Since the matter is pretty old, in my view, it will be in the interest of justice to adjourn the case subject to payment of costs of Rs.1000/- before due date.

4. S.O. to 15-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.627/2012 (Duryodhan Mate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.D.Shinde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed some documents, those are taken on record.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 08-01-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58/2017 (Maruti Kakde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. files communication dated 17-12-2018 alongwith a document received from respondent no.1, which are taken on record.

3. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.277/2017 (Shaikh M. Kareem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri P.G.Tambde learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Jadhavar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced copy of bill along with order of A.G. sanctioning gratuity amount, commutation amount to the applicant, and the said order is dated 14-09-2016. These documents are taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought time to take instructions from the applicant as to whether the applicant has received the said amount. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 30-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932/2017 (Walmik Kande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On perusal of record, it reveals that paging is not made correctly. Learned Advocate for the applicant shall correct the paging.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submitted that during the pendency of the O.A. appeal preferred by the applicant before the Special Inspector General has been decided and his appeal has been dismissed. Therefore, he wants to amend the O.A. and wants to challenge the said order. Hence, he sought leave of the Tribunal to make amendment. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant to carry out amendment within 2 weeks.

4. S.O. to 11-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.121/2018 (Rajendra Jagdale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply

on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 08-02-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18-12-2018 bpp

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2017

[Shri Sunil B. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant and since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 12.02.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137 OF 2017

[Shri Namdeo S. Arsale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

 In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 06.02.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2017

[Shri Md. Kamran Md. Abrar Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.

2. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 05.02.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 118 OF 2018

[Shri Sharad G. Jade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O.
 to 04.02.2019 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 743 OF 2016

[Shri Rakesh D. Barela Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. On 16.10.2018 the learned Advocate for the applicant made a statement that he would file copies of orders passed by the Tribunal in similar cases. The present O.A. was, therefore, adjourned to 29.10.2018 at his request. On 29.10.2018 however, the applicant or his counsel remained absent. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.01.2019 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2016

[Smt. Anjanabai H. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.

2. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. Learned Presenting Officer Shri S.K. Shirse submits that on the last date the present O.A. was allotted to Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer. However, today Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. is on leave. Therefore, he seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 20.12.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 497/2018 IN O.A.NO. 771/2018

[Shri Shrihari D. Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri T.J. Poul, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This M.A. No. 497/2018 has been filed by the applicant for restoration of the O.A. No. 771/2018.

3. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. No. 497/2018, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the O.A. No. 771/2018 is restored to its original file.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771 OF 2018

[Shri Shrihari D. Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri T.J. Poul, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement that the interim relief was granted on 15.10.2018 in the present O.A. The applicant has taken instructions and he came to know that the concerned respondents have not taken any steps, as the order of grant of interim relief was served upon them.

3. In the circumstances, upon restoration of the present O.A. issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.01.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 771 OF 2018

duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. Interim relief granted earlier by this Tribunal vide order dated 15.10.2018 in terms of prayer clause '10' of the present O.A. shall continue until further order.

10. S.O. to 23.01.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 18.12.2018-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2018

[Shri Vasant S. Bagde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

_____ _____

OFFICE ORDER _____

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of **Division Bench.**

DATE : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 24.01.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2018

[Shri Pramod V. Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.P. Dube, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. It is to be noted that more than reasonable opportunities are already granted as can be seen from the earlier orders. In the circumstances, only in the interest of justice, S.O. to 07.01.2019 with forewarning that in case no affidavit in reply is filed on the next date, without any further reference to the Tribunal costs of Rs. 25,000/- shall be imposed on the concerned respondents for non-filing of the affidavit in reply.

3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 18.12.2018-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2018

[Shri Dnyanoba B. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.01.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 36, 37 & 47 ALL OF 2018

[Shri Manohar D. Late & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench no final hearing can be taken today though all these cases were adjourned for hearing.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants however, points towards the fact that the respondent, Special Inspector General of Police, Nanded has called for the names of the suitable candidates for promotion and applicants apprehended that they may be again superseded by placing reliance on the earlier Government Resolution as can be found from the record. In the circumstances, all these OAs be kept for final hearing on 17.01.2019 with an interim relief that in case any list of employees for promotion is prepared by the Special Inspector General of Police,

:: - 2 - ::

O.A.NOS. 36, 37 & 47 ALL OF 2018

Nanded, the effect to the same shall not be given until further orders.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961 OF 2017

[Shri Manoj J. Agale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Yogesh Jaybhaye, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suvidh S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file M.A. for filing documents etc. It is to be noted that additional affidavit is already filed along with certain documents, still the applicant continues with the similar prayer.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 07.02.2019 for taking steps, if any as may be deemed fit by the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741 OF 2018

[Shri Prakash H. Khaparde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS _____

> **CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of **Division Bench.**

: 18.12.2018. DATE

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed on record a copy of communication dated 17.12.2018 received to him and the same is taken on record and document 'X' for the marked as purpose of identification.

3. According to the aforesaid communication and according to the learned Chief Presenting Officer, still the sanction of the competent authority is awaited for reinstatement of the present applicant at Government Ayurvedic College, Osmanabad. It is to be noted that the orders dated 26.09.2018 and 29.10.2018 are speaking orders. More specifically vide order dated 29.10.2018 interim stay to the effect and execution of the orders dated 20.10.2018 & 19.10.2018 passed by the concerned respondent was granted, still the concerned respondents are seeking time for taking

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 741 OF 2018

corrective steps. In view of the said stay granted in the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 03.01.2019 with a caveat that in case no positive steps are taken, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 'C', which runs as under, shall stand granted until further orders.

"C) Pending hearing and final disposal of the present Original Application, the respondent may kindly be directed to allow the applicant to work as Dean, Govt. Ayurveda College, Osmanabad, by ordering to keep the impugned order of suspension promulgated vide G.R. dated 14.9.2018 at Annexure 'A-4' in abeyance."

4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2018

[Shri Dnyanoba B. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 06.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 930 OF 2018

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant points towards select list at Annexure 'E', page-27, which would show that the Open Category candidate for the post of Peon, Messenger etc, as included respondent Nos. 7, 8 & 9 have secured marks as 86.87 and below the same. He further points towards the select list of the OBC candidates, which would show that one Mr. Gunjal Savata Ramnath & Mr. Sude Parmeshwar Gopal, ought to have been selected by the concerned respondents on their own merits in open category. Resultantly, respondent No. 9 viz. Mr. Lokhande Chandrakant Dattarao and the applicant had secured equal marks i.e. 58.50. Therefore, the present applicant being senior in age as can be seen from Annexure 'F', page-29, the applicant ought to have been placed at Sr. No. 1 and the respondent No. 9 Mr. Lokhande Chandrakant Dattarao, ought to have been placed at Sr. No. 2

O.A. NO. 930 OF 2018

i.e. vice-a-versa and thus, the applicant would be entitled for selection / appointment as number one candidate in OBC category.

He further submits that respondent Nos. 6, 7 9. & 8 are appointed vide appointment order dated 5.11.2018, Annexure 'M', page-48. However. according to information of the applicant respondent No. 4, Mr. Sude Parmeshwar Gopal, being already in Government service on higher post may not join the present posting, the said post is In the circumstances, the concerned vacant. respondents may appoint now respondent No. 10, Mr. Pathak Amol Ashokrao from the present open candidates waiting list, interim relief is required to be granted for such appointment.

10. Considering all these facts on record, presently, without going into the merit of the case, it is directed that until further orders respondent No. 10, Mr. Pathak Amol Ashokrao shall not be appointed from open category waiting list candidates.

11. S.O. to 06.02.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 18.12.2018-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2017

[Shri Jeevan B. Sutar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed short affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.01.2019 for filing additional reply, if any by the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 15/2014 IN O.A.NO. 1128/1999

[Shri Revnath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time. It is to be noted that on the last date similar request was made by the learned P.O. However, in the interest of justice, as a last chance, S.O. to 11.01.2019 either for compliance or to pass order in the contempt petition.

3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 489 & 492 BOTH OF 2017

[Shri Mahadu R. Munmane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Ms. Rebekah Damel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases. Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 30.10.2018 received to her from the concerned respondents and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. She submits that in view of the rule no exemption can be granted regarding the condition of 5 years' service period for promotion and further issue regarding acceptance of the Z.P. employees for considering the selection is pending before the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in the review. In view of this fact, S.O. to 30.01.2019 for further hearing in the matter on the above line.

VICE CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 18.12.2018-HDD

O.A.NOS. 104 & 105 BOTH OF 2018

[Dr. Nandkishor D. Karwa & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 17.01.2019 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 505/2018 IN O.A.NO. 246/2018

[State of Maharashtra Vs. Smt. Vaishali V. Sangale]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN [The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicant in M.A. / respondent in O.A. and Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the respondent in M.A. / applicant in O.A.

2. It appears that in the interim order dated 05.06.2018 between the words "Open Category" one word i.e. female by making Open Female Category Candidate was required. In view of the dispute in the present application, the interim relief granted earlier vide order dated 05.06.2018 is required to be modified.

3. In view of the above discussion, the interim relief is modified and it is hereby clarified that the directions not to act on the basis of the recommendation shall be applicable only as regards Open Female Category Candidates and not further.

:: - 2 - ::

M.A.NO. 505/2018 IN O.A.NO. 246/2018

4. Accordingly, the present M.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 954/2018 (Rajendra D. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 7.2.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. From the documents produced on record, it though in regard the appears that first departmental enquiry (A.12 page 65) no final decision is taken by the concerned respondents, still the second enquiry is initiated on the ground of different alleged incidents after the lapse of 4 from date of alleged incident years after superannuation of the applicant. In view of above facts, the concerned respondents are directed to file a report regarding the steps taken by them for completion of the first enquiry.

9. S.O. to 7.2.2019.

10. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 958/2018 (Ramprasad S. Lahoti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. files on record copy of communication received to him from the respondent M.P.S.C., which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. In response to the query raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 14.12.2018 the said communication is filed by the concerned respondent. In the order dtd. 14.12.2018 a query was raised by the Tribunal as to how a cutoff date regarding upper age limit can be fixed against the rule 6 of M.C.S. (Provision of Upper Age Limit for Recruitment by Nomination) Rules, 1986.

3. By the said communication (document 'X') it is brought to the notice of the Tribunal that so far as the post of Deputy Collector is concerned the recruitment rules for the said posts provides fixing a particular date for counting upper age limit and, therefore, according to the respondent M.P.S.C. the application of the

::-2-:: O.A. NO. 958/2018

present application on the same ground cannot be accepted.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant points out that the advertisement (A.11) is not solely for the post of Deputy Collector but it is for various posts like Deputy Superintendent of Police, assistant Director of Maharashtra Finance and Account Services, Deputy Director (Industries) etc. Now he files on record copy of Deputy Superintendents of Police and Assistant Commissioners of Police (Unarmed) (Recruitment) Rules, 1980, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purpose of identification. It appears from the said recruitment rules that no cutoff of date regarding upper age limit is provided therein.

5. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 6.2.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

<u>::-3-::</u> 0.A. NO. 958/2018

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. In the circumstances, by way of interim relief the respondent M.P.S.C. is hereby directed to accept the application of the present applicant by R.P.A.D.

12. S.O. to 6.2.2019.

13. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961/2018 (Appasaheb M. Zanje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8.1.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

<u>::-2-::</u> 0.A. NO. 961/2018

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Liberty is granted to the applicant to move the Tribunal for interim relief, in case in the meantime the list of candidates to be interviewed is published by the respondents and name of the present applicant does not find place therein.

9. S.O. to 8.1.2019.

10. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 962/2018 (Shubham V. Berad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Though it appears that the contention of the present applicant on the apprehension that he may not be considered for Open category as he has paid the concessional fees, the same is against the declaration dtd. 5.3.2018 made by the M.P.S.C. (Annex. A. 3 page 34). However, his apprehension that he being reserved category candidate, he may not be considered from Open category candidates, requires consideration.

3. On the above sole ground, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8.1.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper

book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. Liberty to move for interim relief in case any exigency arises, is hereby granted.

10. S.O. to 8.1.2019.

11. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 500/2018 IN O.A. ST. 2040/2018 (Valu Waman Deshmukh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicants (**leave note**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 15.1.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512/2018 (Ashok L. Supekar (Died) through L.R. Smt. Lalita wd/o Ashok Supekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

: 18.12.2018

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.201

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. He seeks time for taking corrective steps on the line of order dtd. 27.9.2018. At his request, S.O. to 22.1.2019 for reporting the corrective steps taken by the concerned respondents on the line of order dtd. 27.9.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 700/2012 (Atharoddin Azaroddin Siddique V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply of the respondents would be filed. It be taken on record and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.2.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 165/2017 (Dr. Sunil P. Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply of the respondents would be filed. It be taken on record and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.2.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813/2017 (Nagesh M. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that notices are served on the added res. no. 4 and service affidavit is also filed on record still none appeared for him. In view of absence of learned Advocate for res. no. 4, the present O.A. to proceed further without reply of res. no. 4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 14.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57/2018 (Uttam T. Dabhade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 22.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39/2018 (Ravi S. Wankhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder is not necessary in the present matter. In the circumstances, S.o. to 4.2.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590/2013 (Kaveri V. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 5 and Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for respondent no. 7. Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 8 (**leave note**). None appears for respondent no. 6.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he would file affidavit in reply of the res. no. 3 during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30.1.2019 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 805 AND 806/2018 (Nagorao V. Karbhari & Another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 in both the matters.

2. Shri R.L. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate has filed V.P. for res. nos. 4 to 6 and submits that along with notice the copy of O.A. was sent. He submits that upon receipt of copy of O.A. reply would be filed. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file reply of the concerned respondents. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.1.2019 for reply of respective respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 519 AND 534/2018 (Vishwanath U. Chaudhari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Learned P.O. submits that she would file reply of the respondents in both the matters during the course of the day. The same be taken on record and copies thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 368 AND 389/2017 (Bapu R. Lad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day she would file reply of the respondents in both the matters. It be taken on record with copies thereof to other side. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 244/2018 (Ravi H. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the rejoinder is already filed in the office. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 276/2018 IN M.A. ST. 1318/2018 IN O.A. 111/2013 (Gajanan M. Shikare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 and Shri S.K. Sawangikar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the res. no. 4 has filed affidavit in reply. Learned P.O. and learned Advocate for res. no. 3 seek time for filing reply of the concerned respondents. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.1.2019 for filing reply by the res. nos. 1 to 3.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 93/2017 WITH M.A. 409/2014 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014 (Manushree B. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.,1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152/2007 (Vijaykumar B. Rathi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that incorrect submissions are being made by the State and, therefore, some time may be granted for producing the relevant documents to controvert the said facts. At his request, S.O. to 14.1.2019 for filing documents by the applicant and also for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 35 AND 445/2015 (Ganesh J. Anmod & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

In view of non availability of Division Bench, S.O.
 to 24.1.2019 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285/2018 (Meera J. Dolas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Smt. Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned P.O. for the respondents. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383/2018 (Meraj Begum Syeed Abdul Khalak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 6.2.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440/2018 (Shivaji D. Sirsat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 18.12.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day she would file reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. It be taken on record and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN