

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1252 OF 2024**  
**(Ganesh B. Mahadik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Head Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed before this Tribunal a copy of order dated 15.10.2024 passed in O.A. 1338/2024 by the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai. It appears that one G.R. Rathod has filed the said O.A. against the order of transfer dated 11.10.2024. In the said O.A., respondent No. 4 is Mr. Deepak Santosh Patil. In para No. 9 of the said order, it is observed by Tribunal that “the applicant and respondent No. 4 both have not joined their transferred post, the post of R.O. M.I.D.C. is to be kept vacant till further orders of the Tribunal. Applicant and Respondent No. 4 not to join on their transferred post and if at all any other person is working on the post, he/she may continue on the post. Status quo to be maintained.”

//2//

O.A. No. 1252/2024

3. In the instant matter, by impugned order of transfer the applicant came to be transferred from Jalna to Ambad. In view of the directions of the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai to maintain status quo, the said Deepak Patil, who has occupied the post at Ambad would remain there till further orders passed in connection with O.A. No. 1338/2024. The applicant in the instant case is already relieved. However, he did not join on the transferred place. At present due to the aforesaid order of status quo, the applicant cannot join.

4. In view of the same, the parties are directed to maintain status quo as on today and if the post occupied by the applicant, before issuance of impugned transfer order, is still vacant, he may be permitted to work there till the next date of hearing.

5. S.O. to 21.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 979 OF 2022**  
**(Chagan Y. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Yogesh Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, is present. Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, is **absent**.

2. It appears that no affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondents, though Shri S.B. Mene, appeared for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. S.O. to 20.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2022**  
**(Anant A. Kendrekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jitendra Chavan, learned counsel holding for Shri Akshay Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 288 OF 2024**  
**(Chandrakant V. Nandanwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Kalpana Kulkarni (Sonpawale), learned counsel holding for Shri S.P. Sonpawale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2024**  
**(Arjun M. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not willing to file any rejoinder affidavit.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 21.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 910 OF 2024**  
**(Jalba A. Tekale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned counsel Shri Asif Ali holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, submits that he has instructions to appear for respondent No. 3 and thus seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 22.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 415/2024 in O.A. No. 783/2024  
(Suman B. Nandre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Kande, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 06.12.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1175 OF 2024**  
**(Sudarshan B. Tupe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmkh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri U.B. Bondar, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1176 OF 2024**  
**(Omprasad R. Ramawat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 283/2024 in O.A. No. 723/2024**  
**(Sambhaji S. Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Dheple, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 568 OF 2024**  
**(Madhusudan H. Lathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 10.12.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2024**  
**(Prasad P. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 11.12.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 524/2024 in O.A. No. 1056/2024  
(Bharat M. Shete Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Sangita Sonpethkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2024**  
**(Sangita V. Parate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Yogesh Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 12.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1239 OF 2024**  
**(Bhausahab S. Ghotul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 28.11.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1276 OF 2024**  
**(Emam Najir Mirza Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to call original record particularly the minutes of Civil Service Board meeting.

8. S.O. to 28.10.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1277 OF 2024  
(Suresh G. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Leave to replace Annexure A-1.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 717 OF 2024**  
**(Vasant B. Galphade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Boadade, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri P.B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on communication in writing from the applicant, which is marked as document 'X' for identification, seeks leave to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that by order dated 09.10.2024 the applicant is promoted on the post of Additional Commissioner of Water Conservation-cum-Chief Engineer, Soil and Water Conservation Regional Area, Nagpur. Copy of the order dated 09.10.2024 placed before this Tribunal by learned P.O. is also marked as document 'X-1' for identification.

4. In view of the same, nothing survives for further consideration in the present Original Application. Leave granted. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1273 OF 2024**  
**(Sadanand S. Kurunde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Head Shri Vaibhav Dhage, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to delete the name of respondent No. 3 from the array of respondents. Learned counsel has made it clear that no relief is sought against the respondent No. 3.

3. In view of the same, leave granted. The applicant shall delete the name of respondent No. 3 forthwith.

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14.11.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing

//2//

O.A. No. 1273/2024

duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2018**  
**(Shailendra H. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned counsel for respondent No. 5.

2. The present matter is reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 795 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Vishwanath S. Biradar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel holding for Shri V.P. Golewar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 to the amended O.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant today itself.

3. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 20.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2023**  
**(Vishwajit V. Udate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits a copy of application / representation submitted by the applicant before the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Latur dated 16.10.2024. However, there is no endorsement on it as to whether the same has been received by the office of Superintendent of Police, Latur.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instructions from the concerned respondent/s in this regard.

4. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. No. 428/2023 in O.A. St. No. 1403/2023  
(Gautam L. Paikade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.D. Jarare, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024

**M.A. No. 264/2024 in O.A. No. 835/2023**  
**(Bhanudas D. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Leave to correct the prayer clause of M.A., since the applicant wants to delete the present respondents of the O.A. and to substitute them with the names of other respondents as detailed in para No. 5 of the present M.A. seeking amendment.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024

**M.A. No. 480/2024 in O.A. No. 1019/2024**  
**(Milind B. Sawwase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the M.A. seeking amendment in the O.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 12.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2024**  
**(Jeevan S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matter is not on board. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant it is taken on board.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the address of respondent No. 3 has been changed.

4. In view of the same, leave to correct the address of respondent No. 3.

5. Issue notice to respondent No. 3 on the corrected address, returnable on 18.11.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

//2//

O.A. No. 411/2024

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2024**  
**(Ananda K. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. S.O. 22.11.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 123 OF 2024**  
**(Dr. Chitrlekha S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sachin B. Munde, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 7, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 28.10.2024 as a last chance.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 914 OF 2024**  
**(Nagnath P. Ahange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 11.11.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO. 03/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1973/2023**  
**(Dr. Shilpa N. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Kiran Jadhavar, learned counsel for respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side. Learned P.O. submits that the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are adopting the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No.3.

3. List the matter for hearing on 11.12.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 784 OF 2023**  
**(Bibihazara G. Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant is not willing to file any rejoinder.

3. In view of above, list the matter for admission hearing on 03.12.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 162 OF 2018**  
**(Baliram B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 163 OF 2018**  
**(Prmod R. Kachare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in both the O.As., is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 27.11.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 610 OF 2022**  
**(Bhanudas A. Kalhapure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.1. Leave granted since not objected by other side. The reply is accepted and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696 OF 2022**  
**(Sarjerao V. Thombre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Leave granted since not objected by other side. The reply is accepted and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2023**  
**(Dr. Shaikh Salim Gulab Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 28.10.2024. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NOS. 896/2018, 551/2021, 145/2023,  
690/2023 AND 691/2023  
(Madhukar D. Madarase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2018**  
**(Kailas D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2019**  
**(Madhukar R. Dusane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 in O.A.No. 946/2018 and for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in O.A.No. 139/2019, is **absent.**

2. S.O. to 04.12.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2022**  
**(Sidram M. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 18.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.P. Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 06.12.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1158/2024**  
**(Priyanka Umaji Shelke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 12.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1071/2024**  
(Dr. Preeti Sudhir Badade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1072/2024**  
(Dr. Krishnakumar P. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & rs.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 in O.A. No. 1071/2024 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235/2024**  
(Nitesh Devkumar Mahajan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. On instructions, learned counsel appearing for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application stating that the purpose of filing Original Application is served. Hence, the following order is passed: -

**ORDER**

The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**T.A.NO. 26/2024 (W.P.NO. 7052/2024)**  
**(Kiran Madhavrao Salve Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kiran Madhavrao Salve, party in person and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Party in person seeks time to file application seeking condonation of delay. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 831/2021**  
(Anand Bhimrao Gayake Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Supriya R. Bhilegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent No. 8, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar communication received by respondent No. 9 along with documents therewith and the same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4 has already been filed. Learned Presenting Officer submits that no separate affidavit in reply is to be filed on behalf of other respondents. Other respondents are adopting the affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 2 to 4. It would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

4. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651/2024**  
(Vidyanand Ramlal Dethe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)  
DATE : 18.10.2024  
ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Nivrutti S. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Despite having granted last chance till today respondents have failed to file affidavit in reply. However, in the interest of justice one more last chance is granted or else the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 346/2023**  
**(Digambar Bhgoji Dahe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jangada, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** in the office of this Tribunal. Learned counsel submits that despite due opportunities granted to the respondents they have not filed affidavit in reply.

3. In view of above, list the matter for hearing without affidavit in reply of the respondents on 22.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 172/2024**  
(Akshay Dhananjay Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455/2024**  
(Mahadev Balaji Kapse Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Koshti, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 172/2024, Shri Kazi, learned counsel holding for Smt. Priyanka Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 455/2024 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both these matters, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to file additional affidavit on record in O.A. No. 455/2024. Granted. Office to accept additional affidavit even before the next date of hearing.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 455/2024 to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255/2024**  
(Shweta Sundar Kadam Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.U. Jagtap, learned counsel holding for Shri M.S. Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is not to be filed. List the matter for hearing on 20.11.2024

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 750/2024**

**(Dr. Harichandra T. Kokani Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Raut, learned counsel holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1108/2023**  
**(Baban K. Kale & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Jayshree Dongre, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Despite having adequate opportunities to file affidavit in reply, it has not been filed by the respondents and today again time is sought. Learned counsel for the applicant has opposed for granting any more time. Hence, request is rejected.

3. List the matter for hearing on 20.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647/2024**  
**(Mahesh Namdev Jaybhaye Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that addresses of private respondents are not yet received. Learned Presenting Officer submits that within a week addresses of private respondents will be given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 25.10.2024

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107/2020**

**(Dr. Abdul Mubashir Abdul Majid Siddiqui & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**SPEAKING TO MINUTES**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that inadvertently in the clause (i) of operative part of order passed in O.A. No. 107/2020 on 07.08.2024 one date has been remained to be mentioned i.e. in respect of applicant No. 16 viz. Dr. Venkatesh Vishwambhar Khadke, which is '07.12.2019'. The prayer is, therefore, made for inserting the said date after the date 15.01.2020.

3. The necessary correction be carried out by mentioning the date as '07.12.2019' after the date mentioned as '15.01.2020'. The corrected copy be issued accordingly to the parties.

4. The motion stands disposed of. No costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1255/2024**

**(Kirti Shivajirao Ruikar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)  
DATE : 18.10.2024  
ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sumant S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel seeks one week's time to remove the office objection. Granted.
3. S.O. to 25.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 03/2024**

**(Dr. Balasaheb Bapurao Suroshe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present case is not on board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.

3. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Hence, the following order is passed: -

**ORDER**

The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1154/2024**

**(Aslam Ahemad Khan Iqbal Khan Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**



**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. In view of the fact that respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply the remark 'await service category' be removed.

4. S.O. to 13.11.2024

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO. 34/2024 IN O.A.NO. 526/2022**  
**(Sachin D. Dahihande Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Since order passed by this Tribunal has not been complied with, the applicant has preferred the present application alleging contempt of the order passed by this Tribunal on 01.11.2023 in O.A.No. 526/2022. Notices were issued for giving opportunity to the respondents to explain their side. Accordingly respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply. The only contention seems to be that the respondents are intending to file Writ Petition against the order passed by this Tribunal. Till today however, nothing has been communicated, whether any writ petition has been filed or any further order is passed by the Hon'ble High Court. In the circumstances, we see no reason for protracting the matter further. It prima facie appears that the respondents have not complied with order and have

not given any satisfactory explanation for not complying with the said order.

3. In the circumstances, *prima facie*, it appears that the case is made out for proceeding against the respondents under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act. Hence, we pass the following order: -

### **ORDER**

The present contempt petition be registered and numbered as per Rule 5 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 in the register in Form No. I and the registry shall cause a notice to respondent No. 2 in Form No. III appended to the Contempt of Courts Rules, 1996 to appear before the Tribunal in person or through an Advocate on 21.11.2024 to show cause against the present proceedings.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO. 446/2024 IN O.A.NO. 867/2024**  
**(Shaikh Atikh Shaikh Mehtab Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A./intervenor, Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 in O.A., are present.

2. Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. has filed rejoinder affidavit to the reply filed by respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for further consideration on 13.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till then

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO. 553/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2153/2023**  
**(Priyanka Yuvraj Shetty Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered addresses of private respondents and the same are taken on record. Order of issuance of notice is already passed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the names of respondent Nos. 5 & 6. Leave granted.

4. The necessary amendment be carried out within a week.

5. Notices be issued on given addresses of respondents in M.A., returnable on 27.11.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 27.11.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520/2022**  
**(Satish Bhaskar Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Not on board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks extension of time to carry out the amendment. One week's time is granted.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**M.A.NO. 172/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 892/2024**  
**(Shaikh Mohammed Ismail Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 13.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 671/2024**  
**(Asaram Baburao Satonkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 350/2024**

**(Karuna Gangadhar Ghule & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 18.10.2024-HDD