

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 462/2022 IN O.A. NO. 16/2022**  
**(Dr. Ganesh S. Tekale & Anr. Vs. Vd. Piyush K. Gandhi & Ors. & State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicants in the present M.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. / applicants in O.A. and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent nos. 7 to 10 in present M.A. / respondent nos. 4 to 7 in O.A.

2. By filing the present Misc. Application the applicants are seeking intervention in the O.A. No. 16/2022 and have also prayed for modification of the interim order, which is coming in their way for their promotion. The learned counsel for the applicants submitted that in the O.A. on 22.9.2022 this Tribunal has passed interim order thereby directing the respondents not to pass the orders of promotion to the post of Associate Professor in Rasashastra (रसशास्त्र) until further orders from this Tribunal. According to the applicants in the O.A., their seniority has been ignored and they are shown junior and as such prayer was made for restraining the respondents from passing the order of promotion to the post of Associate Professor in Rasashastra (रसशास्त्र).

3. Smt. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel submitted that the intervenors are senior to the respondent nos. 1 to 3 in present M.A. / applicants in O.A. and to respondent nos. 7 to 10 in the present M.A. / respondent nos. 4 to 7 in O.A. and this fact may not be disputed by the said respondents. The learned counsel for the intervenors submitted that since blanket order has been passed restraining the respondents from passing the promotion order to the post of Associate Professor in Rasashastra (रसशास्त्र) though the intervenors are senior and entitled for promotion, they are deprived from such promotion. She therefore prayed for modification in the order dated 22.9.2022 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.

4. Shri Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. / applicants in O.A. was fair in submitting that the intervenors are senior to the applicants in O.A. Similar fairness has been shown by Shri Kulkarni, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 7 to 10 in the present M.A. / respondent nos. 4 to 7 in O.A.

5. The intervenors are thus admittedly senior to the respondent nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. / applicants in O.A. and respondent nos. 7 to 10 in the present M.A. / respondent nos. nos. 4 to 7 in O.A. The intervenors are therefore certainly made out a case for allowing their intervention in the present matter and it is accordingly allowed. Though the intervenors are admittedly senior to the applicants and respondent nos. 4 to 7 in O.A., they are not getting the promotion because of the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 22.9.2022. The said order

therefore deserves to be modified. In the result the following order is passed :-

**ORDER**

(i) Insofar as the order passed by this Tribunal on 22.9.2022 is concerned, it is clarified that the said order shall not be an impediment for grant of promotion in favour of the intervenors, if they are otherwise eligible for the said promotion.

(iii) The present M.A. thus stands allowed in the aforesaid terms however without any order as to costs.

(iii) O.A. to come on board on 21.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. ST. NO. 1818/2022 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1819/2022**  
**(Shri Vasant S. Tupkari & Ors. Vs. Vd. Piyush K. Gandhi & Ors. & State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants seeks leave to withdraw the present M.A. & accompanying O.A. on instructions insofar as the applicant nos. 5 to 13 are concerned with liberty to file application at principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai. In view of the submission so made the following order is passed :-

**ORDER**

(i) The present M.A. & accompanying O.A. stand disposed of since withdrawn insofar as the applicant nos. 5 to 13 are concerned with liberty as prayed for. There shall be no order as to costs.

(ii) The present M.A. & accompanying O.A. shall proceed further insofar as applicants nos. 1 to 4 are concerned. There shall be no order as to costs.

(iii). For the reasons stated in the M.A., and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the

applicant nos. 1 to 4 have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

(iv) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1819/2022**  
**(Shri Vasant S. Tupkari & Ors. Vs. Vd. Piyush K. Gandhi & Ors. & State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the applicants submitted that the applicants are the candidates duly selected in the selection process carried out in pursuance of the advertisement published in the year 2007. The learned counsel further submitted that after having been appointed in the year 2007 some of the applicants were made permanent in the year 2014 and some in the next few years and they are discharging their duties on the said posts till today. The learned counsel submitted that the Government has now directed the Commissioner of Health Services to conduct the enquiry against 47 employees including the present applicants and complete it within the period of 2 months and to pass necessary orders.

3. The learned counsel further submitted that at the relevant time though the advertisement was published for filling 76 posts, in fact, 123 persons were given appointment. It seems to be the allegation that the employees, who have been appointed in excess of the advertised posts,

have been appointed illegally and some foul play is suspected in their appointments. The learned counsel submitted that the applicants have now put in services for more than 15 years. It is further submitted that the allegations as are leveled against them are false. The learned counsel submitted that in the advertisement there was a specific clause that the appointing authority may increase or decrease the number of advertised posts. The learned counsel submitted that as per the then prevailing situation more appointments were required to be issued. He further submitted that in any case after having put in services for 15 years it would be unjust and improper to cause harassment to the applicants alleging that the appointments were illegal or obtained by illegal means. The learned counsel therefore prayed for the following relief :-

*“(A) This original application may kindly be allowed;*

*(B) The impugned communication/letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 issued by Respondent No. 2 i.e. Additional Secretary, Public Health Department, Maharashtra State may kindly be quashed and set aside to the extent of the applicants and for that purpose, issue necessary orders;*

*(C) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the further process in pursuance of the impugned communication/letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 issued by Respondent No. 2 may kindly be stayed and for that purpose, issue necessary orders;*

*(D) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondents authorities may kindly be restrained from terminating the services of the applicants in pursuance of the impugned communication/letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 issued by Respondent No. 2, and for that purpose, issue necessary orders;*

*(E) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondents authorities may kindly be directed not to take any coercive action against the present applicants in pursuant to the impugned communication letter dated 15.09.2022 issued by the respondent no. 2 i.e. Additional Secretary, Public Health Department, Maharashtra State and for that purpose issue necessary orders;*

*(F) Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit may kindly be granted in favour of the applicants."*

4. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has opposed for grant of any interim relief. The learned C.P.O. submitted that in the enquiry made, it has been noticed that all over the State excess appointments were made and in the preliminary enquiry it is also noticed that some illegal modes were adopted in securing the appointments. In such circumstances the respondents cannot be prevented from conducting the enquiry and from taking necessary action against the errant employees.

5. I have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants and the learned C.P.O. for the respondents. It seems to be the allegation that excess number of employees, who came to be appointed, have used some illegal means in securing the appointments. As has been submitted by the learned C.P.O. such appointments are not restricted to one District, but all over the State and it was a big scam which has been now surfaced and the Government has therefore taken decision to conduct enquiry and take necessary actions.

6. I have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants and the learned C.P.O. for the respondents. Prima-facie evidence



has been placed on record by the applicants showing that they are discharging duties as a Government servant since year 2008 i.e. for about 14 years. Perusal of the order dated 15.9.2022 reveals that the enquiries are directed to be completed within 2 months. From the documents, which are filed on record, there is reason to believe that the applicants had undergone through the selection process and were selected and appointed in the year 2008 and undisputedly are working with the respondents since last about 13-14 years. In view of the fact that after having received some information about the mal-practice allegedly adopted at the relevant time, the Government has decided to take the appropriate action. Neither the contentions raised on behalf of the applicants can be discarded nor the submissions advanced for the respondent State can be ignored. Having regard to the fact that the applicants are discharging their duties since last 15 years, I deem it appropriate to pass the following order :-

**ORDER**

**(1) The respondents though are not restrained from conducting the enquiry against the applicants, the respondents shall not pass final order till filing of their affidavit in reply in the present matter.**

(2) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 5.12.2022.

(3) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(4) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(5) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(6) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(7) S.O. to 5.12.2022.

(8) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.201 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.828 OF 2022**  
**(Gajanan Purushottam Rohankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**O R D E R**

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 1 month and 6 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned order dated 15.07.2019 (part of Annex. 'A-6'collectively) issued by the respondent No.4 i.e. the Project Officer, Integrated Tribal Development Project, Kinwat, Dist. Nanded, thereby deleting the name of the applicant from the waiting list as the deceased father of the applicant was working in Group 'B' category to whom the scheme of compassionate appointment was not available.

2. The father of the applicant namely Purushottam Chintaman Rohankar, who was in the office of the respondent as 'Grahpal' and was posted at Kinwat.

He died in harness on 14.08.2010. As per the policy of the Government, the applicant applied. The applicant made representations dated 18.08.2010, 18.09.2010 and 20.09.2010 (Annex. 'A-2' collectively) seeking compassionate appointment. He also furnished requisite documents in the year 2010 itself. The name of the applicant was included in the waiting list of the candidates for giving appointment on compassionate ground.

3. Thereafter, the applicant made several representations, but he did not get appointment. In the year 2018, when the applicant noticed that his name is not in the waiting list, he made application dated 19.03.2018 (part of Annex. 'A-5' collectively) seeking retention of his name in the waiting list. Upon that impugned communication dated 15.07.2019 (part of Annex. 'A-6' collectively) was issued by the respondent No.4 stating that the name of the applicant was deleted as his deceased father was getting pay scale of Group 'B' category. Being aggrieved by the said communication, the applicant filed the

accompanying Original Application on 04.05.2022.  
However, there is a delay.

4. According to the applicant, the post held by his deceased father was of 'Grahpal' which is Class -III post. His father was working in Tribal Area and therefore, as per Government scheme, he was getting higher pay scale of one step promotional post i.e. the pay scale of Group 'B'. In fact the deceased father of the applicant was not working in the category of Group 'B'. In view of the same, the impugned communication is not legal and proper and is liable to be quashed and set aside.

5. It is further stated that the cause of action for filing the present Original Application under Section 19 arose in July 2020, when Covid-19 pandemic situation was going on. The period of pandemic situation is required to be deducted as per the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court. Hence, according to the applicant there is delay only of one month and six days. Moreover, meanwhile, the mother of applicant got seriously ill. She was suffering from heart disease and

was hospitalized. Hence, there is delay which is sought to be condoned.

6. In spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.

7. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri K.P. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondents on other hand.

8. The applicant is challenging the impugned communication dated 15.07.2019 (part of Annex. 'A-6' collectively) issued by the respondent No.4 which shows that the name of the applicant was deleted from the waiting list of the candidates for giving compassionate appointment on the ground that at the time of death, the father of the applicant was getting salary in the pay scale of Group 'B' employee. The scheme of compassionate appointment is not available to heirs of the Group 'B' deceased Government servant.

9. It is contention of the applicant that the deceased father of the applicant was getting higher pay scale of one step promotional post of Group 'B' as he was working in Tribal Area. In fact the applicant's deceased

//5//

M.A.201/2022 In  
O.A.St.828/2022

father was working in Group 'C' category as 'Grahpal'. The said aspect of the matter is required to be considered which can be gone into only by taking into consideration the Original Application after its registration. However, there is delay.

10. Considering the date of impugned communication, the cause of action for filing the Original Application arose in July, 2020. At that time, Covid-19 pandemic situation was going on. In this regard, learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the copy of decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court dated 10.01.2022 passed in **M.A.No.21 of 2022 In M.A.No.665 of 2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3 of 2020**. As per the said decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court, the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 is stood excluded in computing limitation. Moreover, the period of 90 days further from 01.03.2022 is also to be excluded. Hence, the period upto 31.05.2022 is required to be excluded. The accompanying Original Application along with delay condonation application filed on or about 04.05.2022 i.e. within the pandemic

//6//

M.A.201/2022 In  
O.A.St.828/2022

situation itself. In view of the same, in fact there is no delay. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (A) The Misc. Application stands disposed of observing that there is no delay in filing the Original application.
- (B) The Registrar is directed to register the Original Application by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (C) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.18 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.64 OF 2021**  
**(Asef Aslam Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**O R D E R**

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 1 year, 7 months and 13 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned communication/order dated 24.05.2018 (Annex. 'A-4') issued by the respondent No.2, thereby denying appointment on compassionate ground to the applicant stating that his brother is already in a Government service and as such the applicant is ineligible to get such appointment.

2. The father of the applicant namely Aslam Jainoddin Shaikh died in harness on 17.07.2017 while working as Civil Engineer Assistant with the respondent Nos.2 & 3. The applicant, thereafter, made

//2//

M.A.18/2021 In  
O.A.St.64/2021

application dated 15.02.2017 (Annex. 'A-2' in O.A.) seeking compassionate appointment and also filed requisite documents such as affidavit regarding heirs and Legal representatives and consent letter of other legal representatives. From those documents, it was disclosed that his elder brother named Arshad Aslam Shaikh is in Government service. The applicant's said elder brother is married and residing separately for many years and is not taking care of his mother and family members. In view of the same, the mother of the applicant made representation dated 30.07.2018 (Annex. 'A-5') explaining the same and seeking compassionate appointment to the applicant. It is, however not considered. In view of the same, according to the applicant he has good case on merit. However, there is delay in approaching this Tribunal for seeking relief. Before approaching the Tribunal, he made representations dated 01.01.2019, 07.01.2020, 03.03.2020, 05.08.2020 and 27.10.2020. However, those are not considered. Hence, this application for condonation of delay.

3. Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3 by one Prashant Bhaskarrao Jadhav working as Executive Engineer with the respondent No.3, thereby he denied the adverse contentions raised in the application and it is contended that there is no merits in the case of the applicant as the brother of the applicant is already in Government service. In view of the same, the applicant is ineligible for getting compassionate appointment. No sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay. Hence, application is liable to be rejected.

4. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondent No.1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 on other hand.

5. The accompanying Original Application is filed on or about 13.01.2021 challenging the impugned communication dated 24.05.2018 (Annex. 'A-4') issued

by the respondent No.2, thereby denying compassionate appointment to the applicant stating that he is ineligible as his elder brother is already in Government service. Even one year limitation in filing the Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunal Act expired on 24.05.2019. It appears that before approaching the Tribunal, the applicant had prepared representation dated 01.01.2019 to the respondent No.2. Subsequently also, he filed representations dated 07.01.2020, 03.03.2020, 05.08.2020 and 27.10.2020. In view of the same, it cannot be said that the applicant was totally negligent in not taking steps. In the circumstances, the delay of 1 year, 7months and 13 days said to be marginal. The delay cannot be said to be deliberate and intentional one.

6. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. The applicant is seeking compassionate appointment. Considering the scheme of compassionate appointment, there is no bar in getting compassionate appointment on the ground that another heir and legal

//5//

M.A.18/2021 In  
O.A.St.64/2021

representative is in Government service. The applicant can explain the situation that despite his brother being a Government servant still the family requires the compassionate appointment. That is permissible in the scheme. In view of the same, the case of the applicant is required to be considered on merit. In such situation, refusing to condone is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 1 year, 7 months and 13 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.500/- (Five Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 18/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 1 year, 7 months and 13 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only) by the applicant. The

//6//

M.A.18/2021 In  
O.A.St.64/2021

amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.39 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.37 OF 2021**  
**(Azizkhan Yusufkhan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**O R D E R**

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 6500 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking direction against the respondents to reinstate the applicant on the post as before with continuity in service and with full back wages or in the alternative seeking direction against the respondents to decide his representation dated 20.05.2000, 01.08.2000 and 26.09.2012 in that respect.

2. The applicant was appointed as Junior Clerk on ad-hoc basis by giving 29 days appointment on 19.10.1992. By giving one day break, his services were continued till 31.08.1997 with another employee named Manik Dadarao Pawar. Services of both of

them were discontinued after 31.08.1997. The applicant's Co-employee Manik Pawar approached Labour Court and filed ULP No.28 of 1997 against the order of discontinuation. That was allowed by the Labour Court by order dated 14.12.2010 directing the respondents to reinstate the said Manik Pawar on his post as before with continuity in service and with full backwages. The respondents challenged the said order/award by filing revision (ULP) no.5 of 2011 before the Industrial Court, Latur. The Industrial Court partly approved the said order of the Labour Court vide order dated 25.11.2011, thereby granting 50% back wages in place of full back wages.

3. Being aggrieved by the said order, the respondents filed Writ Petition No.2694/2012 before the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court by order dated 25.07.2012 dismissed the said Writ Petition. In view of the same, Manik Pawar was reinstated with continuity in service and 50% backwages.

4. After discontinuation, the applicant filed O.A. No.389/1997 before Maharashtra Administrative



Tribunal bench at Aurangabad. The applicant was allowed to withdraw the said Original Application with liberty to file fresh O.A. Thereafter, the applicant filed O.A.No.123 of 1998. The said O.A. was dismissed by order dated 05.08.2009 on the ground that Government has absorbed and continued the services of the employee prior to 08.08.1988.

5. It is further submitted that by order dated 10.01.2000, the respondent No.2 directed all the Divisional Social Welfare Offices and District Social Welfare Officers in the State of Maharashtra to continue the services of the employees appointed on ad-hoc basis after 08.08.1988 by giving appointment orders of 29 days till the Government takes decision. The name of the applicant was included in the proposal sent by the department for getting benefit of reinstatement of Manik Pawar.

6. The applicant made representation dated 26.09.2012 requesting for similar treatment. The respondents, however, did not take any action. Therefore, the applicant filed Writ Petition No.6354 of 2020 seeking continuity of service and full back wages.

The Hon'ble High court disposed of the said Writ Petition by order dated 23.09.2020 observing that the applicant has alternate remedy before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. Accordingly, the accompanying Original Application is filed by the applicant on 07.01.2021. There is delay of about 6500 days caused in filing the said Original Application. However, it is not deliberate. The applicant was expecting similar treatment. Hence, this application.

7. Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 4 by one Shivanand Mingire working as Deputy Commissioner, Social Welfare Department, Aurangabad, thereby denying adverse contentions raised in the application. It is submitted that the applicant sought similar remedy by filing Original Application No.123/1998 before this Tribunal, which is disposed of by order dated 05.08.2009. The applicant did not challenge the said order and filed present Original Application which is not maintainable. The applicant has no case on merits. There is huge delay which is not explained by the applicant. The expectation of the applicant for reinstatement is not

liable and proper. Hence, the application is liable to be rejected.

8. The applicant filed affidavit-in-rejoinder thereby denying the adverse contentions raised in the affidavit-in-reply and placing on record letter dated 09.03.2000 issued by the Regional Social Welfare Officer, Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad to the respondent No.2 i.e. the Commissioner, Social Welfare Department, Pune, thereby stating that the list of Junior Clerks appointed after 08.08.1988 was already submitted in which letter there is reference of the name of the applicant.

9. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondents on other hand.

10. It is the case of the applicant that he was appointed as Junior Clerk on ad-hoc basis as per order dated 19.10.1992. The proposal for consideration the name of the employees appointed after 08.08.1988 was submitted in which list there is name of the applicant.

In view of the same, according to the applicant previous Original Application filed by the applicant in the year 1998 has not bear in and it does come in the way of preset litigation. Prima-facie there is substances in the contentions raised by the applicant. The applicant has also stated that similarly situated employee was reinstated. The applicant has sought alternate remedy for consideration of his representation made in the year 2012. No doubt there is considerable delay. However, considering the fact that in the proposal the name of the applicant was there, it would be just and proper to allow the applicant to agitated his case.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. Thereby the applicant had nothing to gain. In view of the same, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 6500 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.4000/- (Four Thousand only)

//7/

M.A.39/2021 In  
O.A.St.37/2021

on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 39/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 6500 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 4000/- (Four Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.407 OF 2019**  
**(Sadhana U. Borse @ Sadhna S. Pachpol V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 5. Shri H.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 5.

3. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.167 OF 2022**  
**(Rupesh S. Nagrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.340 OF 2021**  
**(Popat B. Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Smt. S.P. Chate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.3.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

4. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.341 OF 2021**  
**(Prashant S. Pardhi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Smt. S.P. Chate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.516 OF 2021**  
**(Vishwasrao V. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.11 OF 2022**  
**(Sahebrao D. Wankhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.R. Kardile, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.732 OF 2022**  
**(Avinash H. Samudre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**M.A.NO.228 IF 221 IN O.A.ST.NO.775 OF 2021**  
**(Jagannath T. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Alkesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**M.A.NO.288 IF 221 IN O.A.NO.491 OF 2019**  
**(Satish S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Alkesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.836 OF 2022**  
**(Shravan Y. Khairnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol S. Sawant, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing requisite amendment application. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.936 OF 2022**  
**(Ajay M. Bhonge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishnu Y. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing requisite amendment application. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1059 OF 2019**  
**(Kerba N. Jetewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1061 OF 2019**  
**(Kerba N. Jetewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.353 OF 2020**  
**(Shivraj V. Kangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sandip C. Swami, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.512 OF 2020**  
**(Shubash L. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Santosh C. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to challenge to the order dated 22.07.2020 (Annex. 'A-6') issued by the respondent No.3 refusing to continuity in service. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.374 OF 2021**  
**(Pradeep K. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.444 OF 2021**  
**(Shivkumar A. Pohal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.777 OF 2021**  
**(Mohd Usman Khan Mohd. Jafar Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.J. Dahad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing requisite amendment application. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.55 OF 2022**  
**(Yogesh L. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing requisite amendment application. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.449 OF 2022**  
**(Sachin R. Gupta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**M.A.NO.158 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.593 OF 2021**  
**(Kishor J. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Nilesh J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for passing necessary order.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**M.A.NO.440 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.314 OF 2021**  
**(Govind A. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.202 OF 2017**  
**(Ashok B. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.434 OF 2019**  
**(Namdeo S. Ghone V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.236 OF 2021**  
**(Vikram B. Garje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292 OF 2018**  
**(Bhavana H. Thakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543 OF 2019**  
**(Shivprasad C. Potpalliwar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh R. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.982 OF 2019**  
**(Govind Y. Bharsakhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.43 OF 2020**  
**(Baliram M. Malhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.R. Kardile, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.75 OF 2020**  
**(Jitendra V. Sarde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175 OF 2021**  
**(Ajay R. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.689 OF 2021**  
**(Ajay R. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

2. The present matters be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.229 OF 2021**  
**(Balbirsingh Jagannath Prasad Tyagi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.270 OF 2021**  
**(Nanda V. Solanke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2021**  
**(vishwanbhar V. Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2021**  
**(Kautik Y. Kachole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2021**  
**(Shripatrao B. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.393 OF 2021**  
**(Anjanabai M. Ingale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.583 OF 2021**  
**(Jitendra M. Panje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.586 OF 2021**  
**(Pakash I. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.729 OF 2021**  
**(Ramdas L. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. K.P. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2022**  
**(Nagesh D. Harne & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.69 OF 2022**  
**(Sudhakar G. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.70 OF 2022**  
**(Ramkisan K. Mante V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71 OF 2022**  
**(Sampat D. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.72 OF 2022**  
**(Arjun M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.161/2021**  
**(Vikas Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G.Tambde, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30-11-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.472/2021**  
**(Dr. Rahul Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.R.Kedar, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30-11-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on  
behalf of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.394/2022**  
**(Heena Chand Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B.Jadhav, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 01-12-2022 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.444/2022**  
**(Bibhishan Hawale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-12-2022 for filing affidavit in rejoinder  
as well as affidavit in reply on behalf of remaining  
respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.547/2022**  
**(Ganesh Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Khedkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-12-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.824/2022**  
**(Pravin Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-12-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.534/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2024/2019**  
**(Subhash Selukar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. states that she will file affidavit in reply in M.A. on behalf of respondent nos.1, 4 & 7 during the course of the day. She also undertakes to serve copy of the same on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.60/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.2451/2019**  
**(Priti Sangolkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri R.D.Khadap, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.93/2022 IN O.A.NO.297/2013**  
**(Prakash Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.171/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.405/2022**  
**(Dhanraj Kankriya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for  
the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30-11-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on  
behalf of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 18-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**M.A. No. 463/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1779/2022**  
**(Chakardhar P. Wadje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Smt. Suchita Dhongade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **14.11.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **14.11.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**M.A. No. 464/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1803/2022**  
**(Sunil T. Kandere V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Smt. Suchita Dhongade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **14.11.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **14.11.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843 OF 2022  
(Krishna E. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1, Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for resp. No. 4.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 today filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record, of which learned Advocate for the applicant has received copy in advance yesterday and copy of the said affidavit in reply is also served in advance to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, matter is adjourned to 09.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit with a direction to furnish the copy of the said rejoinder affidavit in advance to the respondents. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 404/2022 in O.A. No. 330/2022  
(Datta B. Nannaware & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.G. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 427/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1518/2022  
(Sachin R. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 382/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1465/2022  
(Madhukar S. Muneshwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 284/2022 in M.A. St. No. 635/2022 in O.A. St. No. 536/2022  
(Anna B. Holkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 167/2022 in O.A. St. No. 553/2022  
(Agatrao N. Kolekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 in M.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 563/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1421/2019  
(Manoj A. Dusane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.11.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 407/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1458/2019  
(Savita U. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753 OF 2022  
(Shravankumar P. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739 OF 2022  
(Gajendra T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 734 OF 2022  
(Shrimant M. Murkute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 644 OF 2022  
(Dr. Bhausahab N. Chalak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 617 OF 2022  
(Amol N. Manore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.K. Sant, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2022  
(Baliram B. Cahvan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 275 OF 2022  
(Sandip D. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2022  
(Ravindra V. Tarkas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259 OF 2022  
(Rahul Kumar M. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2022  
(Sakharam B. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pinlge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2022  
(Anant A. Kendrekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Akshay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2022  
(Sumitrabai V. Adke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.G. Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicants (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765 OF 2021  
(Shivaji R. Wayal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. R.L. Jakhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764 OF 2021  
(Rajendra R. Pal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. R.L. Jakhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 665 OF 2021  
(Shankar S. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2022. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 650 OF 2021  
(Prakash T. Vaichal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Kawre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the rejoinder affidavit is not filed on behalf of the applicant. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without rejoinder affidavit in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2021  
(Dr. Arun B. Morale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri Promod Pisal, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for admission. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 593 OF 2021  
(Gajanan P. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 5 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 4.

3. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2021  
(Bhagwan S. Naik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 409 OF 2021  
(Govind R. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Priay Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2021  
(Shankar B. Ghogare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 266/2021 in O.A. St. No. 942/2021**  
**(Mangilal Nathu Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORDER**

The present Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 14 years and 7 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking pension and pensionary benefits together with regularization of services since 19.08.1983.

2. The applicant joined services as Muster Assistant on 19.08.1983. His services were terminated on 04.12.1989. He challenged the said order by filing complaint (ULP) No. 376/1992. Interim relief was granted in that matter vide order dated 09.04.1993, which order was confirmed by the Industrial Labour Court by the order dated 06.06.1996. The said complaint (ULP) allowed by the Labour Court, Dhule by the judgment and order dated 22.12.1998. As per the G.R. dated 21.04.1994, Muster Assistants were absorbed in the service. There are various decisions of Court absorbing the Muster Assistants in Government service since the date of initial appointment. In view of the same, the applicant has good case on merits.

3. By filing the accompanying O.A., the applicant is seeking the said relief. However, before filing the

accompanying O.A., the applicant made representation dated 26.11.2018 to the respondent No. 4. The same is not decided. The applicant is sole bread earning member of his family. His family is depending upon him. Due to COVID-19 pandemic situation, there was lockdown from March 2020 onwards till about June, 2021. The delay is not deliberate or intentional. Hence, the present Misc. Application.

4. The affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 by one Rajendra S/o Shankar Chaudhari, working as Naib-Tahsildar, in the office of District Collector, Nandurbar. Thereby he denied all the adverse contentions raised by the applicant in the present M.A. and contended that the applicant has no case on merits and no sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay. Hence, the present Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.

5. The applicant filed his additional affidavit further giving reasons for condonation of delay.

6. I have heard the arguments advanced by Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on the other hand.

7. After going through the rival pleadings, it is evident that the applicant is seeking relief of regularization of services from 19.08.1983, as well as, grant of pension and pensionary benefits. He was

//3//

M.A. 266/2021 in  
O.A. St. 942/2021

appointed as Muster Assistant. It appears that the applicant was fighting litigation in the Labour Court during the year 1992 to 1999. The case of the Muster Assistant is required to be considered in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal **No. 6531-6533/2022 (Arising Out of SLP (c) Nos. 6039-6041/2016)** in the matter of **Shaikh Miya S/o Shaikh Chand Etc. Etc. Vs. The State of Maharashtra**. In view of the same, though the delay is of considerable period, the same is required to be considered sympathetically and liberally.

8. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Refusing to condone delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. If the case of the applicant is considered, the same is not going to affect the rights of any other Government servants. In these circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay by imposing the moderate costs of Rs. 2000/- (Rs. Two Thousand Only) on the applicant. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 266/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (i) The delay of 14 years and 07 months caused for filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned

//4//

M.A. 266/2021 in  
O.A. St. 942/2021

subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2000/- (Rs. Two Thousand Only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 288/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1063/2020**  
**(Pratap S. Sontakke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORDER**

The present Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 6 years and 2 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking relief against recovery of excess payment.

2. The applicant retired on superannuation as Police Naik w.e.f. 31.05.2012. He received the impugned letter dated 08.04.2012, whereby recovery of excess amount on account of wrong pay fixation was contemplated. The same is recovered from the pensionary benefits of the applicant to be received by the applicant. The applicant belongs to Group-C category. The applicant was not knowing about the fact that such recovery cannot be done from Group- C Government servants. After coming to know about it, he made representation dated 02.03.2020 to the respondent No. 3. However, the same is not considered. Hence, he filed the accompanying O.A. along with the present Misc. Application. The delay is not deliberate or intentional one. Hence, the present Misc. Application.

3. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents.

4. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on the other hand.

5. Considering the facts of the case, it is evident that the present matter is pertaining to recovery of excess amount from pensionary benefits to be received by the applicant. The applicant belongs to Group-C category. In view of the case law of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No. 11527/2014 arising out of S.L.P. (C) No. 11684/2012 & Ors. (State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc.)** reported at **AIR 2015 SC 596** the recovery of excess amount from Group-C and D Government servants is impermissible. In view of the same, the case of the applicant is required to be considered and that can be done after registration of O.A.

6. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Refusing to condone delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In these circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay by imposing the moderate costs of Rs. 1500/- (Rs. One Thousand Five Hundred Only) on the applicant. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 288/2020 is allowed in following terms:-

- (ii) The delay of 6 years and 02 months caused for filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1500/- (Rs. One Thousand Five Hundred Only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order.
  
- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 09/2021 in O.A. St. No. 05/2021**  
**(Vilas Bhaurao Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**ORDER**

The present Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 730 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking monetary benefits as per G.R. dated 29.09.2003 based on the principles of "equal pay for equal work".

2. Initially the applicant was appointed as daily wager since 05.01.1982. He was brought on CRT on 01.12.1987 on the post of Mukadam. He, however, performed work on the higher post i.e. Clerk, though he was appointed as Mukadam. The applicant retired on superannuation from the post of Karkoon w.e.f. 30.04.2020. In the circumstances, the applicant is entitled for monetary benefits as per the G.R. dated 29.09.2003 based on Equal Pay for Equal Work. However, there is delay in filing the accompanying O.A. seeking relief of benefits of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. The applicant is also seeking direction against the respondent No. 1 to consider the proposal submitted by the respondent No. 2 from time to time. The delay is not deliberate or intestinal. The applicant was expecting that the Government would take

appropriate decision, as the said benefits were given to other employees, who approached this Tribunal by filing O.As. In view of those decisions, the applicant was expecting that he would get the said benefits on parity basis. However, no action is taken by the respondents in that regard. Hence, the present M.A.

3. The affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 1 by one Nileshkumar S/o Vanaji Javare, working as Sub-Divisional Engineer, Punad Right Bank Canal Sub-Division, K. Phata, Taluka Kalwan, Dist. Nashik. Thereby he denied all the adverse contentions raised by the applicant in the present M.A. and no sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay. Hence, the present Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.

4. The short affidavit is also filed on behalf of the applicant contenting as to how the applicant has better case on merit.

5. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on the other hand.

6. The applicant retired on superannuation w.e.f. 30.04.2020. The accompanying O.A. is filed on 04.01.2021. The applicant is seeking benefits as per the G.R. dated 29.09.2003. Record shows that last order was passed in that regard on 10.06.2009, in

//3//

M.A. No. 09/2021 in  
O.A. St. No. 05/2021

which the applicant is seeking modification. It also appears that the proposals in this regard were submitted by the respondent No. 2 to the respondent No. 1 on 17.11.2007, 03.10.2016 and 11.12.2020. In view of the same, the case of the applicant is required to be considered on merits.

8. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. Refusing to condone delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In these circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay. I proceed to pass following order:-

**ORDER**

1. The M.A. No. 09/2021 is allowed.
2. The delay of 730 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of. The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s, if any.
4. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 84/2022 in O.A. St. No. 124/2022**  
**(Govind Babarao Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.10.2022.**

**O R D E R**

The present Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 5 years and 18 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking refund of recovered amount, which was paid to the applicant on account of excess payment due to wrong pay fixation. The said excess amount of Rs. 63,482/- was recovered from the pensionary benefits of the applicant.

2. The applicant stood retired on the post of Assistant Police Sub-Inspector on 31.08.2015. The applicant was not having knowledge that such recovery from Group-C and D servants was impermissible. The applicant belongs to Group- C category. The delay was not deliberate or intentional. Hence, the present misc. Application.

3. The affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 1 by one Vasim Hakim Hashmi, working as Police Inspector (Charge of Home Dy. S.P.), in the office of Superintendent of Police, Hingoli. Thereby he denied all the adverse contentions raised by the applicant in the present M.A. and contended

//2// M.A. No. 84/2022 in  
O.A. St. No. 124/2022

that the applicant has no case on merits and no sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay. Hence, the present Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.

4. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on the other hand.

5. Upon perusal of the pleadings, it appears that the applicant retired on superannuation on 31.08.2015 from the post of Assistant Police Sub-Inspector, which is Group-C category post. After his retirement an amount of Rs. 63,482/- is deducted from his pensionary benefits being excess amount paid to the applicant on account of wrong pay fixation. The case of the applicant prima-facie appears to be covered under the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No. 11527/2014 arising out of S.L.P. (C) No. 11684/2012 & Ors. (State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc.)** reported at **AIR 2015 SC 596.**

6. No doubt there is delay in filing the accompanying O.A. seeking relief against recovery. However, the said delay cannot be said to be deliberate one. The applicant had no knowledge of benefits available to him. By condoning the delay what highest can happen is that the matter will be decided on merits. The pay fixation of the applicant is required to be examined.



7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. Refusing to condone delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In these circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay by imposing the moderate costs of Rs. 1500/- (Rs. One Thousand Five Hundred Only) on the applicant. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 84/2022 is allowed in following terms:-

- (iii) The delay of 5 years and 18 days caused for filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1500/- (Rs. One Thousand Five Hundred Only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 902 OF 2022  
(Anil V. Mule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N. Chavan, learned Counsel for the  
applicants (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is  
present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
07.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 16/2020 in O.A. No. 886/2018  
(Baliram D. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. No. 17/2020 in O.A. No. 883/2018  
(Sawairam D. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the  
applicants in both the case and Shri I.S. Thorat,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondent  
authorities in both the cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 02/2021 in O.A. No. 984/2018  
(Jaiprakash L. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Anita Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.12.2022 for necessary compliance by respondent No. 4.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906 OF 2016  
(Dr. Atul R. Ladwanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G. Talhar, learned Counsel for the applicants (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri H.P. Shirsagar, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 02.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**Review 04/2017 in O.A. No. 223/2014  
(Kakasaheb B. Zalte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondent  
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 02.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 453/2018 with O.A. No. 454/2018  
(Balasaheb R. Mendhekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the cases (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 12 in O.A. No. 453/2018, are present.

2. S.O. to 05.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2018  
(Shubhangi M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for  
Shri Avishkar Shelke, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771 OF 2018  
(Shrihari D. Ghongare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.  
Shri M.V. Bharuka, learned counsel for respondent  
No. 4, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 05.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 42/2019 with O.A. No. 43/2019  
(Ramraje G. Pawar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the cases and Shri V.R. Bhumkar & Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 09.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177 OF 2019  
(Vinodkumar S. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 304/2019 with O.A. No. 305/2019  
(Pandharinath M. Ahire & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Harish Bali, learned Counsel for the  
applicants in both the cases and Shri I.S. Thorat,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondent  
authorities in both the cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 669 OF 2019  
(Raju B. Rasede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 06.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757 OF 2019  
(Dr. Munna Afreen Abdul Gaffar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2019  
(Dr. Satish V. Totawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2019  
(Rajendrakumar P. Barhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel for holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Special counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2020  
(Sudarshan N. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2020  
(Chunilal M. Yawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 350 OF 2021  
(Shaikh Chand Badshaha V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 652 OF 2021  
(Sadashiv N. Pohandulkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2021  
(Mahesh G. Satkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondent  
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 731 OF 2021  
(Sunil S. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195 OF 2022  
(Yohan S. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2022  
(Alka T. Pagare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 456/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1919/2018  
(Lakshman K. Nirmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for  
Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the  
applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer  
for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Kedar,  
learned counsel for respondent No 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 362/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1539/2019  
(Prakash W. Bhambre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Harish Bali, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 373/2019 in O.A. No. 980/2018  
(Narayan K. Vyas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 103/2020 in O.A. St. No. 146/2020  
(Ramchandra N. Palmate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 200/2020 in M.A. No. 506/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1951/18  
(Sajed Khan Ajiz Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for respondent No. 7, are present. Shri C.B. Gore, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 25/2021 WITH M.A. No. 206/2020 IN O.A. No. 1100/2019**  
**(Shri Yogesh S. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. G.K. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. No. 25/2021 & for respondent Nos. 85 to 101 in M.A. No. 206/2020 (**Absent**). Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for applicant in M.A. No. 206/2020 & O.A. No. 1100/2019, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 102 to 105 in M.A. No. 206/2020 and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present. Shri Sachin Randive, learned counsel for respondent No. 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 28 to 32, 34 to 37, 39, 40 & 42 in M.A. No. 206/2020, Shri Amol P. Ghule Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 81 to 84 in M.A. No. 206/2020 & Shri S.S. Tope, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 12 & 15 in M.A. No. 206/2020, are **absent**,

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 343/2021 in O.A.No.692/2017  
(Bhanudas R. Watane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for hearing. Interim relief  
granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 402/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1631/2021  
(Prakash H. Bhamare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 144/2022 in O.A. No. 496/2021  
(Prathamesh S. Vaidhya & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the respondent No.10 in O.A.No.496/2021, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 210/2022 in O.A. No. 12/2022  
(Dr. Ganesh G. Kalyankar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the  
applicants and Shri B.S Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680/2013  
(Vilas R. Gandhane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the  
applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201/2016**  
**(Sayyed Habib Sayyed Abdul Rahim & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the  
applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78/2017  
(Shivaji S. Dahale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82/2017  
(Shaikh Rabbani Shaikh Dadubhai V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108/2017  
(Kishor P. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the  
applicant, is **absent**. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is  
present.

2. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 253/2017  
(Sanjay P. Jagtap & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri L.S. Shaikh, learned Counsel holding for Shri D.A. Bide, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 581/2017  
(Mangal S. Kathar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 423/2018  
(Rameshwar S. Gopal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri N.R. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 02.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107/2021  
(Sukhdeo T. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
(This matter has been placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 02.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 58 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Sanjay K. Muley & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.M. Ghongde, learned counsel holding for  
Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the  
applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought  
time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 1.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 108/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 323/2022**  
**(Manik Sahadu Desale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has tendered across the bar copies of orders passed by the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in O.A. No. 538/2022 and order passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 329/2022. Learned counsel has annexed the copy of memo of the said OAs along with the said orders. Learned counsel has pointed out that in both the aforesaid OAs the prayers are same as are made in the accompanying O.A. St. No. 323/2022. Learned counsel submitted that since cause of action had arisen in the month of March 2021 when the last request was finally rejected by the authority, in fact, there is no delay in filing the accompanying O.A. and if at all there is delay, in view of the fact that the applications with similar prayers have been entertained by the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at

Mumbai and Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal, the delay may be condoned.

3. I have gone through the OAs preferred before the Principal Bench of this Tribunal at Mumbai, as well as, Before Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal. It is true that the similar prayers are made in the accompanying OA by the present applicant as are there in the aforesaid OAs. Principal Bench, as well as, Nagpur Bench have taken cognizance of the matter and issued notice to the respondents in the aforesaid matters and no issue of delay has been raised in both the OAs. In view of the facts as aforesaid though in my opinion the delay has occasioned in filing accompanying O.A., since the relief sought relates to year 1995, I am inclined to condone the said delay, so that the applicants may have an opportunity to prosecute their matter on merits. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The delay occasioned in filing accompanying O.A. is condoned. The accompanying O.A. be registered in accordance with law.

(ii) Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 323 OF 2022**  
**(Manik Sahadu Desale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE :** **18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for  
Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the  
applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of O.A., issue notice to the  
respondents, returnable on 30.11.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once  
and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on  
respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly  
authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book  
of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case  
would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of  
admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of  
the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)  
Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and  
alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed  
post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and  
produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry  
before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of  
compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 30.11.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 461/2022 IN O.A.NO. 505/2022**  
**(Shaikh Nasir Shaikh Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present application has been filed with the prayer that the name of the legal heir of the deceased applicant be taken on record, since the legal heir intends to prosecute the matter further. The present application is filed within the period of limitation. The application is, therefore, allowed. The necessary amendment be carried out within a week. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. List the O.A. No. 505/2022 for further consideration on 2.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 358 TO 361 ALL OF 2018**  
**(Haseeb Ur Rehman Khan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.10.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 660 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Sunil D. Longne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajinkya Reddy, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not received the copy of the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of all the respondents. Learned Presenting Officer undertakes to give copy of the said affidavit in reply to the learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 6.12.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 460/2022 IN O.A.NO. 553/2020**  
**(The State of Maha. & Ors. V/s. Bhujang S/o. Manik Khedkar)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. and for the respondents in O.A. and Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent in M.A. and for applicant in O.A. No. 553/2020.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that in the present matter this Tribunal has imposed cost of Rs. 5000/- for non-filing of the affidavit in reply by the respondents within stipulated time. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there was no deliberate attempt to commit the delay in filing affidavit in reply, however, because of communication gap in between two offices the affidavit in reply could not be filed.

3. Learned C.P.O. in the circumstances has prayed for recall the said order of imposing cost. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that after having given due opportunities to the respondents,

since affidavit in reply was not filed, this Tribunal has consciously imposed cost on the respondents.

4. I have carefully considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties. Cost of Rs. 5000/- was imposed for omission and commission on the part of the respondents in filing affidavit in reply to the O.A. There may be substance in the submission made by the learned Presenting Officer. However, fact remains that hearing of the O.A. has been prolonged because of the commission on the part of the respondents. In the circumstances, though I am not inclined to waive the entire amount of cost, I deem it appropriate to reduce it to Rs. 1,000/-.

5. Accordingly, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2020**  
**(Bhujang S/o. Manik Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 2.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 665 OF 2022**  
**(Kalpana Prabhakar Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Santosh B. Bhosle, learned counsel for applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 606 OF 2022**  
**(Rajesh Sundarlal Parhe & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prateek Sabde, learned counsel for applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 29.11.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1076 OF 2019**  
**(Ramling S. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that though the matter has been filed in the year 2019, the affidavit in reply has not yet been filed by the respondents. Learned P.O. undertakes to file affidavit in reply on or before 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022. The request is accepted. No affidavit in reply will be accepted beyond 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 and the matter will be heard without their affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 11.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 22/2022 IN O.A.NO. 25/2021**  
**(Shankar P. Dange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar a copy of communication received to his office from the respondents' office. After having perused the said communication, the following order is passed: -

**ORDER**

- (i) The respondents are directed to place on record minutes of the DPC meeting by tomorrow.
- (ii) S.O. to 19.10.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 759 OF 2022**  
**(Manisha Arun Rashinkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri O.D. Mane, learned counsel for applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Arguments of the learned counsel appearing for the applicant are already heard. Learned Presenting Officer to advance his arguments on the point of interim relief.

3. The present case be placed **first on board** on 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2021**  
**(Madhuri B. Panzade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jagdish K. Bansod, learned counsel for applicant, Shri S.K. Shrise, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 5.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 677 OF 2022**  
**(Amrish K. Bhusane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.M. Ghongde, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Dargad, learned counsel for applicants, Shri S.K. Shrise, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has submitted fresh address of respondent No. 5 and has prayed for reissuance of the notice to the said respondent on the new address. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

(i) Reissue notice to the respondent No. 5 on the fresh address submitted by the applicant, returnable on 6.12.2022.

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 6.12.2022.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2021**  
**(Dipali R. Pinjarkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Alkesh Raju Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application stating that the purpose of filing the same has been served. In view of the submission so made on behalf of the applicant the following order is passed:-

**ORDER**

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2022**  
**(Mahendra K. Wadgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mahendra K. Wadgaonkar, Party in person  
and Shri S.K. Shrise, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Party in person has placed on record the copy of  
the order dated 25.7.2022 passed by the Hon'ble  
Bombay High Court at Principal Seat in Public Interest  
Litigation No. 68/2019 and the same is taken on  
record and copy thereof is given to the other side.

3. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 328/2021 IN O.A.NO. 42/2013**  
**(Rana Pratapsingh N. Chauhan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri  
M.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for applicant and  
Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 9.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589 OF 2020**  
**(Anil V. Karbhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
(The present case is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 18.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Deshmukh, learned counsel for applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that today he has received the copy of the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of all the respondents. Learned counsel submits that he will go through the reply and will file rejoinder affidavit, if it is so required. The matter shall be listed for hearing on 1.12.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

3. S.O. to 1.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 18.10.2022-HDD