

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 545 OF 2023
(Vaishali A. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.09.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2023
(Kishan I. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 799 OF 2023
(Sheetal N. Ebitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 984 OF 2023
(Dr. Chandrakant R. Tammewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 01.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 249 OF 2024
(Amreen Begum Shaikh Abdul Aziz Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 389 OF 2024
(Sainath P. Pujarwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398 OF 2018
(Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. S.A. Ghate-Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.07.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2018
(Nirmala S. Bhandari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.07.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580 OF 2018
(Amol R. Sakruskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 616 OF 2018
(Kokane G. Revana Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.N. Ansari, learned counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.07.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2018
(Baban D. Gadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.07.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 603 to 609 & 780 OF
2017**
(Jaideep A. Limbale & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Pradhumna B. Chandel, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 31.07.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1091 OF 2023
(Bharti Dinkar Sarwade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri L.V. Sangit, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 05.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier
to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

M.A.NO.563/2023 IN O.A.NO.526/2023

(Angad R. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**O.A.NOS.1037, 1038, 1055 TO 1067, 1072 TO 1075 ALL
OF 2023**

(Sanjay S. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

O.A.NO.757/2023

(Yashwant Katkar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant in M.A. No.563/2023 (**absent**). Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri U.L.Momale, learned Counsel for applicants in all these OAs, are present.

Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 in O.A. Nos. 1055, 1056, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1063, 1066 & 1067 and the same are taken on record and copy thereof have been served on the learned counsel for the applicants in all these OAs

2. S.O. to 29.07.2024. Interim relief if any granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 2023
(Sagar Subhash Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
**(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 09.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 376 OF 2022
(Abhilash Vilas Gore Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
**(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.T. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri O.Y. Kashid, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 02.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 03 OF 2022
(Sunil Sandu Ingle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2022
(Manisha Chhatrabhuj Panchal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashish S. Hazari, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 09.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 466 OF 2024
(Vikas Daulatrao Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri U.S. Mote, learned counsel holding for Shri Bharat R. Waramaa, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 925 OF 2023

(Dr. Suhas M. Pawar & 5 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered short affidavit of respondent No. 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicants.

3. S.O. to 13.08.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

T.A.NO. 1/2024 (W.P.NO. 15796/2023)
(Bhatu Ramchandra Nikumbhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and respondent No. 3 and the same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 05.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

T.A.NO. 2/2024 (W.P.NO. 15798/2023)
(Shivaji Tukaram Gadhari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
**(The present matter is placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and respondent No. 3 and the same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 05.08.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024-HDD

REV. APPLICATION NO. 01/2024 IN O.A. 509/2024
(Dr. Nomani Muhammed Mufti Tahair Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the review applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Tribunal in the body of the judgment delivered on 14.09.2023 in Original Application No. 509/2020 has accepted the contentions of the applicant in toto and has recorded an unambiguous finding that the grounds on which the study leave was cancelled by the respondents were unsustainable. Learned counsel further submitted that in respect of the further request, which was also made by the applicant in prayer clause (B) itself seeking further declaration that the applicant is eligible for study leave for the period from 15.07.2015 to 14.07.2017 and Earned Leave for the period from 15.07.2017 to 14.06.2018, the Tribunal had expressed its view that for the said reliefs the

::-2-::

Review 01/2024 IN
O.A. 509/2024

remedy for the applicant was to make a detailed representation to the respondents and the Tribunal may direct the respondents to consider the said representation in light of the observations made by the Tribunal. Learned counsel submitted that such view was expressed by the Tribunal, however, that part is not reflected in the order part of the judgment. Learned counsel further submitted that readiness of the applicant for making refund was based on undertaking given by the applicant. The said undertaking is part of record. Learned counsel further submitted that without reference to that undertaking the order part (ii) may be interpreted differently and to remove that lacuna the averments in that regard are necessary. Learned counsel, in the circumstances, prayed for passing appropriate order.

3. Learned counsel relied upon the following judgments in support of his contentions:-

1. S.Madhusudhan Reddy Vs. V. Narayana Reddy and Ors., 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 685;

2. State of W.B. and Ors. Vs. Kamal Sengupta and Anr., 2008 AIR SCW 4294; and

3. Board of Control for Cricket, India and Anr. Vs. Netaji Cricket Club and Ors., AIR 2005 S.C. 592.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submitted that apparently there appears no mistake in the order passed by the Tribunal and the reliefs which are not granted in the order are ordinarily deemed to be rejected by the Tribunal. In the circumstances, according to learned P.O. there seems no reason for considering the request for review as has been made by the learned counsel for the applicant.

5. I have duly considered the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and the respondent authorities. I have also gone through the judgments relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicant. On perusal of the order passed by the Tribunal and the discussion made in the body of the judgment in light of the pleadings and prayers in the Original Application, there appears substance in the argument made by the learned counsel for the

::-4-::

Review 01/2024 IN
O.A. 509/2024

applicant. Study leave was granted for one year and it was subsequently cancelled and that order was challenged. But that was not the only prayer made by the applicant and from the discussion made in the body of the judgment it is clear that the said prayers were also under consideration. The applicant had prayed for directions to the respondents for declaration to hold him eligible for study leave also for remaining period as well for Earned Leave for remaining period.

6. The study leave for the first year was initially granted by the respondents and it was subsequently cancelled on the ground that since the applicant had not rendered the services for continuous period of 05 years, was not entitled for such relief. From the record it was evident that the respondents while reckoning the period of 05 years has not considered the period during which the applicant was working on the same post, but on ad-hoc basis. This Tribunal has taken a view that even the said period was liable to be considered as the period of service rendered by the applicant and on such reasoning

::-5-::

Review 01/2024 IN
O.A. 509/2024

the order, whereby the study leave was cancelled by the respondents, was set aside. Once the applicant is held entitled for the study leave obviously it cannot be only for the first year and is liable to be granted for the further period also. However, since the order, which was under challenge, was pertaining to first year of study leave, the said order was set aside by the Tribunal. Insofar as the study leave for the further period is concerned, since there was no express denial this Tribunal has expressed a view that for the study leave of the next period the applicant shall make a fresh representation and the respondents in the circumstances shall consider his said request/representation having regard to the findings recorded by the Tribunal in regard to the eligibility of the applicant for such study leave. Similar course was to be adopted insofar as the request for Earned Leave of the said period is concerned. It is true that in the order part the same aspects have remained to be incorporated. In the circumstances, it appears to me that the applicant has certainly made out a case for review of the order passed by this Tribunal on 14.09.2023. Insofar as

::-6-::

Review 01/2024 IN
O.A. 509/2024

the submission in regard to undertaking submitted by the applicant to the respondent authorities, as well as, before this Tribunal during course of the hearing is concerned, the same has to be referred in clause (ii) of the order under review.

7. Though the learned Presenting Officer has canvassed the principle that the prayers, which are not granted are deemed to have been rejected cannot be applied in the present matter for the reason that in the body of the judgment necessary observations are made accepting the contentions of the applicant. In the aforesaid circumstances, the Review Application deserves to be allowed. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

(1) The following clauses may be added in order passed on 14.09.2023 :-

(i-a) Respondents shall consider the request of the applicant for grant of Study Leave to him for the further period from 2016 to 2017,

::-7-::

Review 01/2024 IN
O.A. 509/2024

as well as, for grant of Earned Leave for the period from 15.7.2017 to 14.7.2018 in accordance with rules in that regard and having regard to the observations made by the Tribunal in the body of the order.

(ii-a) the applicant shall in terms of his undertaking submitted on 6.2.2017 read with his representation dated 31.1.2018 shall refund the amount, which he may not be entitled to receive during the period of Study Leave within the period of 08 weeks from the date of this order if so required.

(2) The Review Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 370 OF 2021
(Jyoti K. Mote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.07.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2023
(Shaikh Rashid Shaikh Mohammad Hanif & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to go through the same and file rejoinder, if any. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 06.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2023
(Dr. Prashant R. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri R.T. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 05.08.2024. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682 OF 2023
(Dr. Suresh G. Ghule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Deepak K. Rajput, learned counsel for respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 05.08.2024. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 966 OF 2023
(Pratam M. Pandagale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Y.V. Kakade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted, no reply has been filed on behalf of respondents.

3. In view of above, list the matter for admission hearing on 22.08.2024 with liberty to other side to file reply, if any, till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1009 OF 2023
(Bharti V. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted, no reply has been filed on behalf of respondents.

3. In view of above, list the matter for admission hearing on 03.09.2024 with liberty to other side to file reply, if any, till then.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310 OF 2024
(Rajesh D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2024.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 459/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1626/2023
(Suresh N. Maske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents to M.A.

3. S.O. to 09.08.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

**M.A.NO. 283 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 723 OF 2024
(Sambhaji Shankarrao Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents in M.A., returnable on 05.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// M.A. 283/2024 in
O.A.723/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 05.09.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 675 OF 2024
(Amol U. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Kotkar, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Even though the circulation is sought, none present for the applicant.

3. The office has also raised the objection that the Original Application is barred by limitation.

4. In view of above, S.O. to 25.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 693 OF 2024
(Thakur Kisandas Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 01.08.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.693/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 01.08.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694 OF 2024
(Amit Anant Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 01.08.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.694/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 01.08.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 708 OF 2024
(Shobhabai M. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 25.07.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016
(Sanjay T. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25.07.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 157 OF 2022
(Ravindra P. Bahadare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Desh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is a part heard matter.
3. Arguments are concluded.
4. S.O. to 02.08.2024 for production of citations by the applicant.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2024
(Ramesh Dagdu Navsupe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.N. Farooqui, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 09.08.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 595/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 09.08.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2023
(Raziabee Shaikh Mohammed Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25.07.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 303 /2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 708/2022
(Nagnath M. Adamankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.M. Kamble, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

M.A.NO. 400/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1748/2023
(Dipak B. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is a delay of 5 months and 18 days occurred in filing the Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out that by filing the accompanying Original Application the applicant is seeking quashing and setting aside the order dated 18.03.2021 passed by the respondent No.2 thereby treating the suspension period of the applicant from 17.10.2015 to 20.12.2017 (26 months) as it is.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was serving as a Police Naik and during his tenure he has subjected to criminal prosecution wherein he was acquitted. However, at

//2// M.A. 400/2023 in
O.A.St. 1748/2023

the same time the departmental enquiry was also initiated against him in which he was inflicted the punishment of removal from service. However, in the appeal, the said punishment was reduced into stoppage of one increment and in consequences thereof the applicant came to be reinstated in service w.e.f. 10.10.2019. However, the applicant remained out of service from 27.05.2019 to 10.10.2019.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has made representation to the office of respondent No.2 and sought permission to file an appeal against that order before the Secretary, Home Department or before the Hon'ble Tribunal. However, the respondent No.2 has rejected the said application by order dated 26.08.2021. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it was not necessary to seek permission from the respondent authorities. However, the period of 18.03.2021 to 26.08.2021 is lost is pursuing the remedy nonexistent in law. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is no intentional or deliberate delay as such and there is no inaction on the part of the applicant.

//3// M.A. 400/2023 in
O.A.St. 1748/2023

6. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly resisted the application on the ground that there is an inordinate delay in approaching this Tribunal for which the applicant has failed to tender the satisfactory explanation. Learned P.O. submits that there is no substance in the application and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. It appears that though by impugned order dated 18.03.2021, the respondent No.2 has treated the suspension period of the applicant as it is, however, the delay occurred in approaching this Tribunal is not on the part of the applicant. The applicant in the same month of the year 2021 has filed an application seeking permission from respondent No.2 to prefer an appeal before the Secretary Home Department or before the Hon'ble Tribunal though it was not necessary. However, the precious time was spent in pursuing the same and finally the said application was rejected. There is no inaction on the part of the applicant and there is no intentional or deliberate delay as such. Thus considering the

//4// M.A. 400/2023 in
O.A.St. 1748/2023

entire facts of the case, I am inclined to condone the delay subject to some costs. I compute the costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 400/2023 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of 5 months and 18 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only). The amount of costs to be paid to the Bar Association of this Tribunal and after depositing the said amount, the applicant shall produce the receipt before the office of this Tribunal.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (C) The Misc. Application is accordingly disposed of.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1748/2023
(Dipak B. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.09.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.ST.1748/2023

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 18.09.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

**M.A.NO. 119 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 219 OF 2022
(Dattu G. Tarate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Lute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is a delay of 3 years, 5 months and 26 days occurred in filing the Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out that by filing the accompanying Original Application the applicant is seeking quashing and setting aside the order passed by the respondent No.2 dated 10.06.2019 refusing thereby pensionary benefits to the applicant.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that on 23.05.2019 the applicant has suffered from the chest pain and sweating and he was admitted in Roplekar Health Care Centre Pvt. Ltd. upto 27.05.2019 and the final diagnosis is IWMI, delayed Presentation. CAG:- TVD, EF 70% Successful PTCA

//2// M.A. 119/2024 in
O.A.No. 219/2022

done to RCA-PLV with 1 DES. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that thereafter the applicant remained outdoor patient and under treatment till 25.05.2020. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered medical papers to that effect. The same are accepted.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that thereafter due to Covid-19 pandemic outbreak, the applicant could not approach this Tribunal immediately. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has also directed to condone the delay occurred due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic and declaration of lockdown and extension of the same from time to time. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is no intentional or deliberate delay. Thus the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application may be condoned.

6. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly resisted the application on the ground that there is an inordinate delay and the same is not satisfactorily

//3// M.A. 119/2024 in
O.A.No. 219/2022

explained. The application is devoid of merits and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. On careful perusal of the medical papers it appears that before one month of passing of impugned order the applicant has suffered from chest pain and sweating. On 23.05.2019 he admitted in Roplekar Health Care Center Pvt. Ltd. and remained as indoor patient till 27.05.2019. He seems to have been undergone various investigations. It further appears from the medical papers that the applicant thereafter remained on follow-up treatment for long period till 25.05.2020.

8. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No. 3 of 2020** has passed the orders time to time in the backdrop of the outbreak of Covid-19 and the declaration of the lockdown in connection thereto and thus extended the period of limitation applicable to the proceedings before the Courts/ Tribunals. It appears that as per the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 has been excluded

//4// M.A. 119/2024 in
O.A.No. 219/2022

for the purpose of limitation as may be prescribed under any general or special laws in respect of all judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings.

9. There is no intentional or deliberate delay as such. The applicant has been prevented from sufficient cause to approach this Tribunal within stipulated time. Thus, considering the entire aspect of the case, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application, subject to payment of costs by the applicant. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 119/2024 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of 3 years, 5 months and 26 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only). The amount of costs to be paid to the Bar Association of this Tribunal and after depositing the said amount,

//5// M.A. 119/2024 in
O.A.No. 219/2022

the applicant shall produce the receipt before
the office of this Tribunal.

(B) The Original Application to come up for
hearing on 09.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 856 OF 2022
(Chandrakant V. Sadakal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Hazari, learned counsel holding for Shri N.B. Narwade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 02.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718 OF 2024
(Rahul A. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents have been duly served and service affidavit to that effect will be filed in the office during course of the day.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 09.08.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1018 OF 2022
(Mangilal N. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02.08.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2021
(Pralhad S. Salgare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Salunke, learned counsel holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615 OF 2022
(Kiran A. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned P.O. for some time.

3. It appears that the Joint Director, Medical and Research, Mumbai has submitted the proposal to the Government i.e. the Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai and the Director health Services, Mumbai on 13.04.2022 (Annexure 'A-16') for inclusion of Master of Public Health- Nutrition candidates for the posts under Public Health Department/ National Health Mission and other nutrition program. However, the said proposal is still pending.

//2//

O.A. 615/2022

4. It is the case of the applicant that the applicant has taken study leave for the said Master of Public Health Nutrition course for the period of two years and the said study leave has been rejected solely on the ground that the said subject is not in the syllabus of PHD.

5. It is the further case of the applicant that though the applicant has not been given specific permission by the department, however, it appears that the department has relieved the applicant to complete the said course.

6. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take specific instructions as to what is the present status of said proposal dated 13.04.2022 (Annexure 'A-16') as referred above.

7. S.O. to 01.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2023
(Bhaginath C. Malika vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 23.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250 OF 2023
(Popat D. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Leave granted.

3. The affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is accepted and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to go through the same and file rejoinder, if necessary. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 26.08.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 261 OF 2023
(Vidya S. Ghorpade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Salunke, learned counsel holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 18.07.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307 OF 2023
(Sarika B. Wandhekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.R. Markad, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 07.08.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 511 OF 2023
(Shankar W. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 18.07.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri O.D. Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 05.08.2024 as a last chance for hearing.

MEMBER (J)