C.P.NO.47/2023 IN O.A.NO.582/2017 (Sanjay B. Kokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Ganesh R. Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. produced communication dated 16-01-2024 received to the office of CPO on record. It is contended that the order passed by this Tribunal is being complied with and in the circumstances, 4 weeks' time is sought. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 20-02-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

M.A.No.504/2023 in O.A.NO.579/2021 (Sunanda P. Khobragade @ Sunanda P. Shende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri G.R.Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A., Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for applicant in O.A.No.579/2021, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shailendra S. Kulkani, learned Counsel for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 31-01-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.864/2023 (Amarsing R. Hazari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned PO submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents will be filed. If reply is filed during the course of the day, it be taken on record.

3. S.O. to 07-02-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1011/2023 (Kanchan Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri M.V.Bhamre, learned counsel for the applicant is **absent.** Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no.5, are present.

3. S.O. to 05-02-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.493/2018 (Rajendra K. Shimpi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri H.A.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. In the order dated 30-05-2023 the amount of Rs.2000/- was directed to be deposited as costs with Bar Association of this Tribunal. However, applicant deposited the amount with the Registry in the office and that has gone to the account of the Government. In view of the submission of the learned Counsel for the applicant clause 3 of the said order stands corrected as under:

"Amount of Costs shall be deposited with the Registry in the office."

3. Issue fresh notice to respondents, returnable on 20-02-2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

=2= O.A.NO.493/2018

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 20-02-2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.716/2022 (Dr. Pravinkumar Govande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no.4, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. Learned Counsel for the applicant fairly concedes for taking the reply on record. In the circumstances, reply is taken on record. Copy be given to the learned Counsel for the applicant as well as the CPO.

3. S.O. to 27-02-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.913/2023 (Bhausaheb R. Sarawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Due opportunities are already availed by the respondents, however, learned P.O. again prays for time for filing reply. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that enquiry proceedings are being pressed further without filing reply. In view of the above, following order is passed:

Two weeks' time is granted for filing affidavit in reply, till then respondents shall not proceed with the enquiry.

4. S.O. to 01-02-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.857/2023 (Prakash Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Sudhir R. Barlinge, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities is present.

2. None present for the applicant. The record shows that on last two occasions also no one caused appearance for the applicant.

3. However, in the interest of justice matter is adjourned till 31-01-2024. If the matter is not proceeded further on the given date, same shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.60/2024 (Eknath C. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Two departmental enquiries are initiated against the applicant; one on 17-03-2021 and another on 25-01-2022. Applicant has submitted his reply to both the chargesheets. It is the grievance of the applicant that since then the enquiry proceedings have not been proceeded further and there is absolutely no progress in the said proceedings.

3. It need not be stated that departmental enquiry if initiated that has to be completed within stipulated period and as expeditiously as possible. There are Government Circulars and G.Rs. prescribing time limit of 6 months for completing the departmental enquiries. Said limit has expired. In

=2= O.A.NO.60/2024

the present proceedings applicant has prayed for directions against the respondents for completion of departmental enquiries against him in a time bound manner. After having considered the request so made in the O.A., it appears that the present O.A. can be disposed of with the consent of the learned P.O. appearing in the matter by giving directions to the respondents to complete both the departmental enquiries within stipulated period. Learned P.O. has consented for passing such order and to dispose of the O.A. finally. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Both departmental enquiries initiated against the applicant shall be completed in all respects within 6 months from the date of this order.

[ii] O.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

[iii] Applicant shall ensure that enquiry proceedings are not stalled or delayed at his instance.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

M.A.NO.02/2024 WITH REVIEW ST.NO.2230/2023 IN O.A.NO.509/2020 (Dr. Nomani Muhammad Mufti Tahir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which according to me are just and sufficient, delay caused of 120 days for preferring the present Review Application stands condoned. M.A.No.02/2024 stands allowed and disposed of.

3. Review Application be registered in accordance with rules, after removal of office objections, if any.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

REVIEW ST.NO.2230/2023 IN O.A.NO.509/2020 (Dr. Nomani Muhammad Mufti Tahir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of Review Application, issue notice to respondents, returnable on 05-02-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 05-02-2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

C.P.NO.48/2023 IN O.A.NO.479/2021 (Lotan D. Vishi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has sought time to file affidavit in reply in the matter. Due opportunities are availed by the respondents, however, in the interest of justice last chance is granted till 21-02-2024.

3. S.O. to 21-02-2024.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622/2023 (Shobha B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned C.P.O. has sought time to file affidavit in reply in the matter. Due opportunities are availed by the respondents, however, in the interest of justice last chance is granted till 21-02-2024.

3. S.O. to 21-02-2024.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.602/2023 (Datta Sambhaji Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Nikhil Sonawane, learned Counsel holding for Shri C.R.Thorat, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri N.S.Kadam, learned Counsel for the respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3. Same is taken on record. Copy is given to the learned Counsel for the applicant. Learned P.O. undertakes to supply copy of the reply to the learned Counsel for respondent no.4.

3. S.O. to 20-03-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

M.A.NO.85/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1790/2021 (Anil B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By filing the O.A. annexed with the M.A., quashment of termination order dated 13-05-2019 is sought. It is the contention of the applicant that before the limitation for filing the O.A. expires, Corona Pandemic had begun and in the the applicant could not circumstances, take necessary steps for filing the O.A. within stipulated period. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that if the delay is not condoned, applicant may lose an opportunity of prosecuting his matter on merit and since it relates to the termination of services of the applicant, it is the question of his survival. In the circumstances, prayer is made for condonation of delay.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the reasons as are assigned by the applicant are not just and

=2= M.A.NO.85/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1790/2021

sufficient to condone the delay. Applicant has not submitted any reason for not filing the O.A. before commencement of Corona Pandemic since ample time was available in the intervening period. Learned P.O. in the circustances has opposed for condoning the delay and prayed for rejecting the M.A.

4. After having considered the submissions of the parties and after having gone through the contents of the M.A., it appears that the reasons as are stated by the applicant are just and sufficient to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- [i] M.A. is allowed.
- [ii] Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.
- [iii] O.A. be registered and number in accordance with law after removal of office objections, if any.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

O.A.ST.NO.1790/2021 (Anil B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of O.A., issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18-03-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 18-03-2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

C.P.NO.07/2023 IN O.A.NO.535/2019 (Nitin D. Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri D.R.Irale Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Counsel Learned for the petitioner on instructions submits that the order passed by this Tribunal, contempt of which is alleged in the present petition, has been complied with by the respondents. Contempt Petition thus has become infructuous. Learned Counsel in the circumstances has prayed for disposal of the Contempt Petition. In view of submission of learned Counsel for the petitioner, the following order is passed:

Contempt petition stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

M.A.NO.266/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO.941/2023 (Sarpa K. Vsave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Ganesh R. Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks only one week's time to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 24-01-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.372/2023 (Raosaheb S. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be given to the other side.

3. Matter be fixed for hearing on 06-03-2024.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.373/2023 (Dhanraj P. Kankriya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be given to the other side.

3. Matter be fixed for hearing on 06-03-2024.

YUK ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2021 (Shivraj N. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.1.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.02.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.1.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2022 (Kiran Santosh Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 18.1.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.02.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 18.1.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1109 OF 2023 (Prashant Shantirappa Auti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Karlekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 05.03.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 1109/2023

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. The learned C.P.O. appears for all the respondents and seeks time for taking instructions.

8. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

M.A.ST.NO. 1516 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1517 OF 2023 (Ashok W. Gosavi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Umakant B. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 05.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

Later On:-

3. Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri U.B. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant is present.

4. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1879 OF 2023 (Ashok B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Pratiksha C. Kale, learned counsel hodling for Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 23.02.2024 for filing M.A. seeking condonation of delay.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2020 (Laxman B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matter is reserved for order.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2022 (Shital A. Gundewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 6 & 8, are present.

2. This is part heard matter.

3. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.02.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2023 (Bijusing D. Gusinge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. This is part heard matter.

3. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in sur-rejoinder along with spare copy for the applicant. The same is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 26.02.2024 for further hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 71 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2465 OF 2019 (Sujata R. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.R. Jayabhar, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. This Misc. Application is of the year 2020.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 27.02.2024 as a last chance for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

Later On:-

4. Shri D.R. Jayabhar, learned counsel for the applicant is present.

5. S.O. to 27.02.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

M.A.NO. 403 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1539 OF 2021 (Uttamrao S. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant is requested to file synopsis of events to explain as to how the delay has been caused in filing the Original Application.

3. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 569 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO. 583 OF 2021 (Late Jitendra M. Panje through L.Rs. Manjusha J. Panje & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.02.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 259 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 976 OF 2023 (Maheboob Khan Usman Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.P. Pawar Pathrekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay caused in filing the Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that by filing the Original application the applicant has challenged the order dated 25.03.2021 directing thereby the applicant to deposit the rent along with fine of the Government quarter which allegedly not vacated by him.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the said order was passed and communicated on 25.03.2021 and due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic and consequential lockdown declared in the State of Maharashtra, he could not take legal advice so also approached this Tribunal within time.

//2// M.A. 259/2023 in O.A.St. 976/2023

5. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the applicant has suffered from pecuniary loss. He is retired employee and entire family is depending upon his pension. The applicant also suffered from his ill health during the said period. Learned counsel submits that delay is not intentional and deliberate one and there is no inaction on the part of the applicant. The application thus deserves to be allowed.

6. Learned P.O. has strongly resisted the application on the ground that the applicant has not satisfactorily explained the delay. There is no substance in the application and the application is liable to be rejected.

7. It appears that the applicant is at present 63 years old and he is a retired employee. The applicant came to be retired in the year 2018 and the impugned order came to be passed in the year 2021. The applicant was suffering from ill health and thus not in a position to vacate the Government quarter. Further the applicant could not approach his counsel and file the Original Application within

//3// M.A. 259/2023 in O.A.St. 976/2023

time due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic and consequential lockdown declared in the State of Maharashtra. In view of same, there is no inaction on the part of the applicant and the applicant is prevented from sufficient cause to approach this Tribunal within time. Thus the delay deserves to be condoned. However, the applicant is required to be saddled with costs. In view of same, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application by imposing costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs. 100/- (One Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

<u>O R D E R</u>

The Misc. Application No. 259/2023 is allowed in following terms:-

(A) The delay of 1 year and 3 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to costs of Rs. 100/-(One Hundred only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the

//4// M.A. 259/2023 in O.A.St. 976/2023

Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

(B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 976 OF 2023 (Maheboob Khan Usman Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.P. Pawar Pathrekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 07.03.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.St. 976/2023

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. The learned P.O. appears for all the respondents and seeks time for taking instructions.

8. S.O. to 07.03.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 386 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1372 OF 2023 (Rohit D. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel holding for Shri S.G. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.02.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

M.A.NO. 571 OF 2023 IN O.A.NO. 989 OF 2023 (Pradip B. Ekshinge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri O.D. Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 5 to O.A. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Misc. Application may be heard along with Original Application if the Original Application is heard expeditiously.

4. In view of above, list the M.A. along with O.A. on 31.01.2024 for final hearing. The applicant is at liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2023 (Rajendra U. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during course of the day the affidavit in rejoinder will be filed.

3. S.O. to 23.02.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2019 (Vitthal G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri Siddharth Shinde/M.B. Sandanshiv, learned counsel for the applicant, **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. None present for the applicant. Even on the last date the absence of the applicant and his counsel was marked.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 18.03.2024 for passing orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2018 (Bhagwan L. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has filed a **leave note**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.02.2024 for final hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258 OF 2023 (Subhash N. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri A.S. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent No.2, is **absent**.

2. The affidavit in reply has already been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

3. The respondent No.2 has not filed the affidavit in reply.

4. List the matter for admission hearing on 07.03.2024.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2023 (Chandershekar P. Nikam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 13.02.2024 as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1012 OF 2023 (Mahendra S. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 01.02.2024.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

M.A.NO. 420 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1554 OF 2022 (Eknath M. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Pawar- Pathrekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 25.01.2024.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 2023 (Dr. Nitin R. Agrawal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents along with spare copy for the applicant. The same is taken on record.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 23.02.2024. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder, if so desires.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

M.A.NO. 368 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1513 OF 2023 (Shankar N. Narawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 along with spare copy for the applicant. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. submits that the respondent No.3 adopts the affidavit in reply filed by other respondents.

4. List the matter for hearing on 06.03.2024. The applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1048 OF 2022 (Ajaykumar B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri B.S. Deokor, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

None present for respondent No.4 though duly served.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits affidavit in rejoinder on behalf of applicant. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. Pleadings are completed. List the matter for admission hearing on 07.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2023 (Avinash S. Panpatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 08.02.2024 for hearing.

3. The Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 330 OF 2022 (Datta B. Nannaware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned counsel holding for Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is only filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of remaining respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 13.02.2024. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGIINAL APPLICATION NO. 675 OF 2023 (Ramdas H. Paithane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mohit R. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during course of the day the affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents will be filed.

3. List the matter for hearing on 23.02.2024. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder, if so desires.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2022 (Baburao M. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.02.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2021 (Pralhad S. Sonune Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. This matter is of the year 2021. On the last date and so also on the several dates the absence of applicant and his counsel is marked.

3. In view of same, S.O. to 18.03.2024 for passing orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 487 OF 2020 (Usha R. Bahirat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shirish M. Kamble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By order dated 08.01.2024 this Tribunal has allowed the Misc. Application filed by the applicant and directed the respondents not to publish the advertisement calling thereby the applications for the post of Police Patil of village Jununwadi, Tq. Jintur Dist. Parbhani and in case, if the advertisement is published, no effect shall be given to it till further order.

3. Learned P.O. submits that in compliance with the said order dated 08.01.2024 as above, the Assistant Collector, Selu has informed the Collector, Parbhani by communication dated 05.01.2023 that the said village Jununwadi has been excluded for filling up the posts of Police Patil in terms of the order passed by this Tribunal. Learned P.O. Placed on record the copy of said communication dated

//2//

05.01.2023. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 13.02.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1036 OF 2022 (Sumedh D. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gawale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.02.2024 for hearing with liberty to file affidavit in reply.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 644 OF 2022 (Dr. Bhausaheb N. Chalak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.S. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.03.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2022 (Naghath S. Popalwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.N. Janakwade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 07.03.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2022 (Vijay M. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.02.2024 for hearing.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2022 (Dilip B. Bharaskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None present for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. On several days the absence of applicant and his counsel is marked.

3. Learned P.O. is requested to take specific instructions as to whether due to laps of time this matter has become infructuous or not.

4. S.O. to 30.01.2024 for passing order/for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883 OF 2023 (Ajay B. Kandere Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 06.02.2024 for filing affidavit in reply.

ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024 sas

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2022 (Dr. Saurabh P. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant for some time.

3. It appears that during Covid-19 due to one untoward incident the applicant came to be suspended by the respondent No.5 who is Incident Commander/Administrator, Government Medical College, Jalgaon.

4. Learned counsel has vehemently submitted that the appointing authority of the applicant is the respondent No.1 and as such, the order of suspension is illegal and thus liable to be set aside.

5. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take specific instructions as to whether the respondent No.5 was empowered with special powers during the Covid-19 period including the administrative action such as suspension etc.

6. S.O. to 08.02.2024 for hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 581 OF 2017 (Mangal S. Kathar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent, S.O. to 15.03.2024 for final hearing.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant is requested to file written notes of arguments, since the present matter is old one of the year 2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580 OF 2018 (Amol R. Sakruskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.V. Wadkar, learned counsel holding for Shri В. for Shamsunder Patil. learned counsel respondent Nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, as a last chance, S.O. to 01.02.2024 for final hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2018 (Shailendra H. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Wadkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, is **absent**.

2. In compliance with the order dated 12.10.2023, learned Presenting Officer submits additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 along with certain documents. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 18.03.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 361 OF 2021 (Madhukar G. Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 01.02.2024 for final hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2020 (Sharad M. Panchange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 01.02.2024 for final hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362 OF 2019 (Neelabai R. Done & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 27.02.2024 for final hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52 OF 2021 (Dr. Rekha G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, is **absent**.

2. Pleadings completed. List the matter for admission hearing on 05.03.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2021 (Raosaheb B. Jangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 01.03.2024 for final hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2022 (Shital Ajay Gundewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 18.01.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 6 to 8.

2. Learned counsel appearing for both the parties submits that the parties are exploring the possibility of amicable settlement. The applicant is the widow of brother of respondent No. 7 and after death of her husband, instituted 03 Civil Suits and also filed Motor Accident Claim on account of accidental death of her husband.

3. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 26.02.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 18.01.2024