

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 369 OF 2021

DISTRICT : SANGLI

Shri G.S Chavan)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt K.S Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

DATE : 18.11.2021

ORDER

1. The applicant prays that the impugned order dated 29.4.2021, passed by the Respondent no. 1, reverting the applicant from the post of Laboratory Technician to the post of Laboratory Assistant, be stayed.

2. The applicant was appointed to Class-IV post as Laboratory Assistant on 18.11.1989 from disabled reserved category. However, when he was 3 years old, he got disability of both the eyes. On 26.3.2013, he was promoted to the post of Laboratory Technician. For eight years, he worked as Laboratory Technician.

3. In the Certificate dated 8.7.2019 issued by the Medical Authority, Sangli, it was observed (A) He is a case of Blindness, (B) The diagnosis in



his case is RE ADHERENT LEUCOME LE ARTIFICIAL EYE BALL RE BCVA CFCF LE BCVA NO PL. He was sent before the Medical Board and in the Medical Certificate dated 18.3.2020, it is observed that he is not fit for sputum microscopy (because of severe visual handicap). Thereafter, he was given show cause notice on 21.7.2020, wherein it was mentioned as to why he should not be reverted under Rule 27(d) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981. He filed his reply to the show cause notice on 7.8.2020. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant joined on the lower post of Laboratory Assistant under protest.

4. Rule 27(d) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1982 reads as under:-

“27. When transfer to a post carrying less pay is permissible.....

(d) where the medical certificate granted under Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, certifies the person to be fit for service of a less laborious character than that which he has been performing.

5. Earlier, the applicant has produced Certificate from Government Hospital, Sangli, dated 3.11.2011, wherein it is observed that disability in two eyes is shown as 90%.

6. Following queries were made to the learned Presenting Officer:-

- (a) Whether the Medical Certificate of the applicant prior to 2011 is available with the Department or whether the Department has conducted the medical fitness of the applicant before giving him promotion to the post of Laboratory Technician in the year 2013?
- (b) Whether any memo or show cause notice was issued to the applicant regarding his unsatisfactory performance as a Laboratory Technician?
- (c) Whether the Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Board dated 18.3.2020 is under Rule 27(1)(d) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981, is issued as per Form No. 26 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

7. Learned P.O informs on instructions that there is no such record of any Medical Certificate about his disability with the Department. Further no memo or show cause notice was issued to the applicant in respect of his performance as Laboratory Technician after 2013 till 2019. Learned P.O further informed that the Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Board was only a fitness Certificate and it was not acquired under the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

8. In view of the above, the impugned order dated 29.4.2021, issued by the Respondent no. 1, reverting the applicant from the post of Laboratory Technician to the post of Laboratory Assistant is hereby stayed and the applicant is to be brought back to this original post as Laboratory Technician.

9. S.O to 3.1.2022.

Sd/-

/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 18.11.2021
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.910 of 2021</p> <p>V.M. RandiveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged transfer Order dated 11.11.2021 whereby he is shown transferred on request from Karmala Police Station, Karmala to Solapur Taluka Police Station, Solapur. The Applicant contends that he has not requested for transfer at any point of time, and therefore, impugned transfer order is illegal.</p> <p>3. This matter was adjourned for admission in morning session but on request of learned P.O. time was granted for taking instruction and matter was kept in afternoon session.</p> <p>4. In afternoon session learned P.O. submits that transfer order dated 11.11.2021 was issued wrongly and it has been withdrawn by issuing order dated 18.11.2021 ^{qua -} to applicant. She has tendered copy of order, it is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievances that the Applicant is frequently sent to another Station under the garb of training. In so far as this issue is concerned it is not subject matter of this O.A. since O.A. is restricted to the transfer order dated 11.11.2021. In this behalf the Applicant may avail further legal remedy as may be available in law.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.666 of 2021</p> <p>V. R. KambleApplicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4. 2. Respondent No.4 has already filed reply. 3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance. 4. S.O. to 03.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.584 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. MaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A. Khot holding for Shri Sachin Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., three days time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.717 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.759 of 2021</p> <p>R. N. GaikwadApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- √√ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.775 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. FawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="right">Tribunal's orders Date: 18.11.2021</p>
	<p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G.S. KaranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This matter was initially placed before Division Bench on 22.10.2021 and interim relief was granted till next date. Notices has been issued returnable on 18.11.2021. 3. Thereafter by office dated 22.10.2021 matter pertaining to Recovery with Time Bound Promotion are assigned to Single Bench. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievance that since it is returnable today, Registry ought to have listed the matter before the Tribunal for continuation of interim relief but despite request it was not listed. Therefore matter was called out from Office and accordingly placed before me. 5. Registrar is therefore directed to take note of it and issue necessary circular to that effect. 6. Interim relief is continued till the filing of Reply. 7. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 8. S.O. to 02.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1183 of 2019</p> <p>S.H. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Advocate Shri M.A. Jadhav has instructed him to take adjournment stating that due to personnel difficulties he is unable to remain present. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present. This O.A. was dismissed in default and later it was restored. However in the interest of justice adjourned shortly with specific direction that no further time will be granted and learned Advocate should remain present for argument without fail otherwise matter will be dismissed and will not be restored again. S.O. to 22.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.653 of 2021 with M.A. No.348 of 2021 & M.A. No.349 of 2021</p> <p>P.K. Shelar Yogesh Bhandalkar (M.A.348/21) Dnyaneshwar Arote (M.A.349/21)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Shri Pradeep Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.348/2021 and for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.349/2021, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Tribunal granted interim relief which is continued till today.</p> <p>3. O.A. is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Interim relief is continued till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.329 of 2020</p> <p>M.H. MhaldarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted. 3. For hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 02.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.575 to O.A.No.579 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Panchal R.R. Kolse A.R. Shinde R.B. Lanjekar P.B.Patil ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel along with Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.576/2021 and Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 in O.A. Nos.575/2021, 577/2021 and 579/2021.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have already filed in all O.As</p> <p>3. Today, Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.575, 577 & 579/2021 has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.576/2021 has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Insofar as Private Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.578/2021 is concerned, he was served with the notice but absent.</p> <p>6. Original Applications are ready for hearing, hence adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.475 of 2019</p> <p>D.T. LawateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is a Part Heard matter but today learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to join Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as Respondent No.2. On the ground that he is a necessary part. According to him it is on the basis of G.R. dated 23.03.1994 issued by Finance Department and other Departments ^{above} giving relief of Pay protection to employees who were initially serving in Zilla Parishad but later appointed in State Government services. 3. Learned P.O. also concedes that Finance Department is necessary party to clarify the position. 4. In view of above, allowed to join Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as Respondent No.2. Amendment be carried out within a week. After amendment notices be issued to Respondent No.2 returnable on 08.12.2021. 5. Issue notice to newly added Respondent No.2 before admission returnable on 08.12.2021.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D. K. Doke ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri V. V. Joshi , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since reply is already filed, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 175 of 2020</p> <p>M. J. MohiteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Since pleading is complete, the matter is adjourned for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 09.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.560 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. ChajchidakApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. B. Sayyad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.2. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, 2 and 4 is already filed. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 13.12.2021. <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S. J. PendharkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. 02.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.785 of 2021</p> <p>J. H. DhotrekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. The matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 13.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.819 of 2021</p> <p>V. R. KatkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 25.11.2021. <p align="right"> \ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. A. TrimbakeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. G.A. Bandiwadekar learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but same is not filed. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file reply in the meantime but well in advance. 4. S.O. to 13.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.388 of 2021 in O.A.No.790 of 2020</p> <p>S. U. KulkarniApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O., three days time is granted for filing reply in M.A. and O.A. 3. S.O. to 22.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.149 of 2020</p> <p>A. S. Sonawane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Since pleading is complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. 4. In the meantime, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about the proposal of transfer of quarter in the name of Applicant which is already under consideration of the Government and apprise the Tribunal about the same. 5. Interim relief to continue till next date. 6. S.O. to 06.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 672 of 2021</p> <p>A. G. SangarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel, the matter is adjourned to 03.12.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.680 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. Rathod ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard D. B. Khaire , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for fling rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 03.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.436 of 2021 in O.A.No.687 of 2021</p> <p>D. J. ParmekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply to M.A. as well as O.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 580 of 2021</p> <p>V. S. MaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard S.R. Ghanvat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.589 of 2021</p> <p>G. R. WaghmodeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.662 of 2021</p> <p>M. B. PatilApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.852 of 2021</p> <p>N. K. MujawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want of file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 894 of 2021</p> <p>V. D. Salok & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants have challenged the order dated 29.09.2021 whereby recovery of excess payment is sought on the ground that their pay has been wrongly fixed by contending their initial service in Zilla Parishad. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 26.11.2021. 10. The issue of interim relief is kept open. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.489 of 2021 in O.A. No. 894 of 2021</p> <p>V. D. Salok & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A.No.489 /2021 is allowed. <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 904 of 2021</p> <p>K. N. ShinganatheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present O.A. is filed by the Applicant for direction to the Respondents to accept a request for transfer from Mumbai to Amravai in terms of Regulation issued by Home Department dated 26.10.2017 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for eligibility of transfer after completion of eight years. The Applicant has completed nine years in Mumbai and seeking transfer to Amravati. She made various representations dated 08.02.2017, 19.07.2018 and 10.08.2021 but in vain. The Applicant has ultimately approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 16.12.2021.

10. In the meantime, the Respondent is directed to take decision on the representations made by the Applicants without fail and to apprise the Tribunal about the same on next date.

Sd/-

W
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.204, 205, 429, 642, 693, 674, 675, 754, 755, 767 & 798 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.B. Nimgire & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.204, 205, 429, 674, 675, 754, 755, 767 & 798 of 2021, Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.642/2021 and 693/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she will file affidavits in reply during the course of the day and copies will be furnished to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate submits that OA No.895/2021 (B.A. Kosarkar), which is on today's board may be tagged with these OAs.</p> <p>4. OA No.895/2021 be tagged with the above matters.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.770 of 2021</p> <p>D.N. KiratkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17 12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1073 of 2019</p> <p>M.G. KaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan appearing for the Applicant has produced judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Lok Drahari Through its General Secretary Versus Election Commission of India & Ors reported in (2018) 18 SCC 144.</i> The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.12.2021 for final hearing. FOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.64 of 2021</u></p> <p>U.T. Sarode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply filed on behalf of respondent no.1 is taken on record. Ld. PO submits that there is no need to file reply of respondent no.2, as respondent no.1 is the main contesting party.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.1.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.733 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.R. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 6 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.8 & 9.</p> <p>2. Short affidavit is filed on behalf of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 818/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Hipparkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is pressing for interim relief to stay the implementation of the impugned notice dated 22.9.2021, by which the order of termination is not to be effected.</p> <p>3. The applicant is supposed to file his reply to the impugned notice dated 22.9.2021 by 23.11.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. Till then, no order of termination is to be passed.</p> <p>6. S.O to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.11.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 270/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 756/2021</u></p> <p>Smt Swapnal S. Jopale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amol Jagtap i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant, Mrs K.S Gaikwad,, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri Sugdare, learned counsel for the Intervenor.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is pressing for interim stay to the termination order dated 28.6.2021.</p> <p>3. Intervention Application is filed by the original complainant in this matter. The copy is furnished to the original applicant and the Respondent-State.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the intervention application.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to take instructions to the intervention application.</p> <p>6. S.O to 3.12.2021.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;"> <p>n?n 11 Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 365/2021</u></p> <p>Ms Ujwala A. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply has already been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1018 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.B. Metakari & 92 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.434 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.D. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA in view of the letter dated 11.11.2021 of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.27 of 2021 in O.A. No.1228 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.K. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that interest on GPF is also paid. She produces letters dated 21.10.2021 and 17.11.2021 to that effect issued by Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur. Both are taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, now nothing remains in the CA and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2013</u></p> <p>P.S. Sakhare & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.449/2021 in OA No.88/2020</u></p> <p>Dr. V.R. Bhailume ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for stay to the Departmental Enquiry (DE) initiated against the applicant on two grounds viz. that applicant retired on 28.2.2019 and charge sheet is given on 3.9.2019 i.e. after retirement of the applicant and so also incident of May 2009 was detected in February 2014 and thus charge sheet was issued after 4-5 years thereafter i.e. on 3.9.2019. He prays on this ground the DE to be stayed.</p> <p>3. The respondent-State to show the ground for delay in serving the charge sheet in the DE initiated from 2014 to 2019.</p> <p>4. On query Ld. PO informs that illegality and irregularity in non-payment of the GPF of the workers by the contractor was detected in 2014 for which charge sheet is given on 3.9.2019. Ld. PO to file reply to the MA explaining the delay of 4 to 5 years in giving the charge sheet.</p> <p>5. Sur-rejoinder in OA filed by the Ld. PO is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.503 of 2021 in O.A. No.911 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.K. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.911 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.K. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.909 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.M. Chandanshiv ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 7 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>