

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.536 of 2019 in O.A.No.136 of 2018</p> <p>K. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3 and Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 as well as Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 submit that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing to 02.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.888 of 2019</p> <p>G. D. GiteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1092 of 2019</p> <p>Smt. Sangita Kadam & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants are seeking interim protection to continue of police quarters in view of the notices issued by the department to vacate the same.</p> <p>3. The Applicant No.2 is appointed on the compassionate ground after the death of his father who died in harness. The Applicant No.2 had made an application for continuation of quarter allotted to his father, but no order is passed on his application. On the contrary, the department has issued notices to vacate the quarters.</p> <p>4. In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim protection against dis-possession of quarters till filing of reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p>12. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1095 of 2019</p> <p>Shri Suresh S. Mahadeshwar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants are seeking interim protection in view of the threat of dis-possession. The Applicant Nos.1, 3 and 5 were appointed on the post of Police Constable on compassionate ground after the death of deceased employee. Out of them, the Applicant No.1 and 3 have made applications for continuation of quarter allotted to deceased employee. There is no application made by the Applicant No.5.</p> <p>3. Though the Applicant Nos.1 and 3 have made applications for substitution of their names in place of the deceased for the allotment of quarter, no order is passed thereon.</p> <p>4. On the contrary, notices are issued to vacate the quarters.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In view of above, as there is immediate threat of dis-possession, I am inclined to grant interim protection against dis-possession in respect of quarter occupied by the Applicant Nos.1, 2, 3 and 4, till filing of the reply.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2019.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. 5.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p>13. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.623 of 2019 in O.A.No.1095 of 2019</p> <p>Shri Suresh S. Mahadeshwar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.623/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 229/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.A Kiture & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during final hearing learned C.P.O on instructions from Shri D. V Tawde, Under Secretary, in the office of Secretary, M.P.S.C, (Respondent no. 1) submits that because of the judicial intervention following the order issued by the Hon. High Court dated 10.1.2019 in W.P 92/2018, M.P.S.C is undertaking the exercise to identify precise number of vacancies which would remain after the revised exercise. The Home Department would also examine the same and inform the action taken by M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that the observations which have been reached by M.P.S.C and Home Department would be brought to the notice of this Tribunal on 21.11.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.11.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.854 of 2017 with O.A.855 of 2017</p> <p>G. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing.3. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant wants to file additional Affidavit on the basis of information he received under RTI subsequent to the filing of O.A. He, therefore, requested to take Affidavit on record.4. Allowed to file Affidavit.5. Final arguments are heard.6. S.O. to 19.11.2019 as Part-Heard. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.11.2019.

O.A.No.246 of 2017

G. K. AkadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing. However, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in-Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Arguments are heard partly.
4. S.O. to 19.11.2019 for further arguments.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.246 of 2017</p> <p>G. K. AkadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing. However, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in-Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Arguments are heard partly. 4. S.O. to 19.11.2019 for further arguments. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 18.11.2019.

O.A.No.251 of 2019

D. S. SabaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Drs. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. B. Nikte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. was earlier dismissed in default for non compliance of the condition order but later by order dated 16.09.2019, O.A. has been restored.

3. Learned C.P.O. appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and seeks time to file reply on their behalf. In so far as Respondent No.4 is concerned, there is no order of notice after restoration of O.A.

4. Hence, issue notice to Respondent No.4 returnable on 09.12.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 09.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.624 of 2019</p> <p>B. C. TribhuvanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of O.A., relief sought and on hearing learned Counsel for the parties, it is very much clear that relief sought pertains to promotion. It appears that promotion is denied to the Applicant because of punishment imposed upon him. As such, basically matter pertains to grant of promotion, and therefore, it is required to be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.620 of 2019 in O.A.No.1092 of 2019</p> <p>Smt. Sangita Kadam & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.620/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>18.11.2019</u> Tribunal's orders O.A 930/2018
	<p>Shri Prakash Y. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.Y. Shinde, applicant in person and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A 930/2018, the applicant has submitted an application for speaking to the minutes.</p> <p>3. In the original judgment dated 27.9.2019, his name is mentioned as Shri Prashant Yashwant Shinde. However, as per his submission, it should be Shri Prakash Yashwant Shinde.</p> <p>4. The original application is verified and the cause title of the judgment is modified as his name Shri Prakash Yashwant Shinde.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.837 of 2019</p> <p>D. K. PajaiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission and it be kept with connected O.A. No.668/2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's ordars	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1014 of 2019</p> <p>D. G. WaghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corem, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.931 of 2019 with M. A. No.514 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. MarkadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos1, 2, 3 and 5. Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Respondent Nos.3 and 4 have already filed their reply.</p> <p>3. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.5 and seeks one week's time for filing reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.898 of 2019</p> <p>M. B. AradeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.11.2019 8.10.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 525/2019</u></p> <p>Dr R.B Nirmale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.P Dalvi, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files short reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1 regarding alleged discrimination. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, S.O to 9.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.590 of 2019 in O.A.No.800 of 2018</p> <p>A.A. KulkarniApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.12.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 02.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 336/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Badiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that he has received para wise remarks and request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">18.11.2019 18.11.2019</p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 337/2019 in O.A 276/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A is for condonation of delay of 3 years and 1 month.</p> <p>3. Today, during the hearing of M.A as well as o>A, learned advocate for applicant submits that applicant has submitted his representation for deemed ate on 17.10.2018. The affidavit in reply filed by the Respondent no. 2, has no mention regarding the same.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O on instructions submits that eight weeks may be granted to decide the representation. Learned advocate for the applicant also agrees to the same.</p> <p>5. In view thereof, Respondent no. 2 is directed to consider the representation made by the applicant on 17.10.2018 regarding his deemed date.</p> <p>6. This Tribunal has not gone into merits of the same. Respondent no. 2 shall pass reasoned order on merit within eight weeks communicate the same to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. M.A is allowed and O.A is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.11.2019 18.10.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 382/2019</u></p> <p>Shri J.H Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.P Chavan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, holding for Shri Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for three weeks' time.</p> <p>3. Adj to 3.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 55 & 56/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.T Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Sine die. Liberty to circulate. No date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

akn