

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION 571 OF 2016

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri C.K Yerunkar

)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...**Respondents**

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 18.11.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Smt S.S Jawade, Medical Superintendent, ESIS Hospital, Nasik who is holding charge of Medical Superintendent, ESIS Hospital, Satpur, Nasik, as Shri R.M Pawar, Medical Superintendent has proceeded on leave on 16.11.2016 is present. Shri P.N Shirdhankar, Administrative Officer, in the office of Commissioner, ESIS, Mumbai, is present on behalf of Mrs C.M Kakade, Director, Administration, ESIS, Mumbai who has since been transferred and the present incumbent has gone for some training on 15.11.2016.
3. It appears that the order of Hon. Chairman dated 29.8.2016 in this O.A has given directions to these two officers and the next date was 16.11.2016. Both these officers did not comply with the directions of this Tribunal to file affidavit on the points



mentioned in para 8 of the order and have either proceeded on leave and training. The conduct of these two officers leaves much to be desired.

4. Respondents have also not filed affidavit in reply answering each and every point as directed in para 8 of the order dated 29.8.2016.

5. Learned C.P.O further stated that Smt C.M Kakade, Director, Administration, ESIS was repatriated to her original post sometime by end of October, 2016 and one Shri Patrud has joined as Director, Administration. The fact remains that Smt Kakade did not comply with the order of this Tribunal for atleast a month. Cost of Rs. 2000/- each is imposed on Smt Kakade and Shri R.M Pawar.

6 The present two officers is directed to file affidavit in reply on each and every point as directed in para 8 of this Tribunal's order dated 29.8.2016 and also on the points raised in the O.A.

7. Learned C.P.O states on instructions from Shri P.N Shirdhankar, Administrative Officer, in the office of Commissioner, ESIS, Mumbai, that papers have been submitted for payment of pension and other dues on 16.11.2016.

8. S.O to 2.12.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Place : Mumbai

Date : 18.11.2016

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

18.11.2016

O.A No 689/2016

Shri A.T Dhavade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 15.12.2016.

DATE: 18/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. Savita Suryavanshi~~

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is admitted.

Adj. To 15/12/16
Reply filed by R. Heb. 1, 29th.

FH
[Signature]

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

18.11.2016

O.A No 1014 /2016

Shri S.M Pankar ... Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.12.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 16.12.2016.

DATE: 18/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. E. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 16/12/16.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarkar)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

18.11.2016

O.A No 837/2016

The Association of Subordinate Service of Engineers Mah. State ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer with Shri D.B Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

Learned Special Counsel for the Respondents seeks time to file sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder filed by the Applicant, to which Smt Mahajan strongly opposed grant of three weeks' time. She states that the matter has been unnecessarily being prolonged by the Respondents. There is nothing in the rejoinder which will require three weeks' time to rebut the averments in the rejoinder. In any case, if Respondents are seeking time they should be restrained from passing any order of recovery.

Three weeks' time is granted to the Respondents as last chance to file sur-rejoinder if need be. If no sur-rejoinder is filed, it will be presumed that Respondents are not in a position to file sur-rejoinder and the matter will be heard finally.

During this period, if orders of recovery are passed, learned Advocate for the Applicant is at liberty to mention the same and seek interim relief.

S.O to 5.12.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 18/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Smt. Archana B.K.~~

~~C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To S.O. to 5/12/16.~~

~~Hamdast.~~

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman P.T.O.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.11.2016 <u>O.A No 1076 /2016</u></p> <p>Dr Neelam N. Bansode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar states that Applicant was placed under suspension as she was arrested in a case under Prevention of Corruption Act along with one other person. By order and judgment dated 15.4.2015, both the Applicant and her co-accused were acquitted by the Learned Special Judge, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar stated that the co-accused was immediately reinstated and the suspension period was also treated as duty period and arrears paid. However, in the case of the Applicant she was not reinstated for eight months and after intervention of this Tribunal she was reinstated. She had submitted a representation dated 7.7.2015 requesting that her suspension period should be treated as duty period.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks that she requires time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. Affidavit in reply should be filed before next date. The issues which are raised by the learned Advocate which are mentioned above should be separately covered in the affidavit file by the Respondents.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.12.2016.</p>

AA

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O 16.12.2016.

DATE: 18/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lowkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./F.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 16/12/16.

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.84 of 2016
in
O.A.No. 631 of 2015

Smt S.P. Malusare ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Presenting Officer being instructed by Shri Shivaji N. Bolbhat, Executive Engineer.

We have perused the affidavit-in-reply in light of the order made by this Tribunal presided by one of us (Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman), dated 12.4.2016 in the disposed O.A., whereby in fact the respondents were directed to consider the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment as per rules. Annexure CR-2 of the affidavit-in-reply filed today, shows that the name of the applicant Ms Suvarna Malusare has now been enlisted in the waiting list at Sr. No. 36^A vide the order of 17.9.2016.

The order of the Tribunal has been complied with. The ^{case} issue of the applicant be considered whenever her Sr.No. is reached.

Contempt Application is accordingly disposed of. No order to cost.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
18.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
18.11.2016

(vsm)

DATE: 18/11/16

GRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by R.No. 122.

C.A. is disposed

Adj. To
of

[Signature]

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/11/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S.Y. Deopujari</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>2</u></p> <p>Adj To. <u>8/12/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.11.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.371 of 2016 in O.A.No.443 of 2014 (Nagpur Bench)</p> <p>R.D. Wardhane ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.Y. Deopujari, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Shri Chaudhari, the learned Advocate states that he is representing Respondents No.3 to 11 in the O.A. and have instructions to represent them in present M.A..</p> <p>3. By consent of all parties, this M.A. is allowed. Interim of prayer and O.A.No.443/2014 (of Nagpur Bench) is transferred to Mumbai for hearing and disposal with O.A.No.711/2014 (Mumbai).</p> <p>4. All parties waive notice upon transfer, and undertake to appear suo motu before this Tribunal on 08.12.2016.</p> <p style="text-align:right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi,) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

M.A. No.127 of 2016 in O.A. No.756 of 2015
Shri R.S. Pawar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states that he has received instructions from the Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department that various processes and departments are involved in the decision making in the issue involved in this case and any commitment cannot be made as to the time frame within which the process will be completed

3. Prima facie if department has taken decision in departure to the settled position and/or standing directions, offering excuses and asking for time without explaining exact steps required to be taken and time needed for each step, refusing to give any commitment of time frame exhibits improper attitude.

4. Ld. CPO states that he would speak to the Secretary personally and make a statement on 24.11.2016.

5. Ld. CPO is directed to produce papers as to action taken by the SD&E Department.

6. S.O. to 24.11.2016.

7. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 18/11/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri/Smt. : A.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 24/11/2016

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
18.11.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/11/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM :</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE :</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/12/2016.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.155 of 2014 in O.A.No.107 of 2014</p> <p>P.R. Phulpagar ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The sanction for withdrawal and deposit of amount of Rs.2,83,068/- is granted by the Government by order dated 17.11.2016.</p> <p>(b) Bill will be submitted to Treasury Office today and deposite will be made within 10 days.</p> <p>3. For reporting compliance, adjourned to 01.12.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p><i>J</i></p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> </div> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE :</u> 18/11/2016</p> <p><u>CORAM :</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE :</u> Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1056 of 2015</p> <p>A.A. Jagdale ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents adjourned to 22.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.711/2014

Shri S.B. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Shriram Kulkarni with Mr. Sachin Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1, Shri S.Y. Deopujari in MA 371/16 for the Applicant, Shri J.K. Bhusari, the learned Advocate for Respondents in MA 371/16 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondents 2 & 3.

It seems that although the progress was made in both these matter ~~different~~, but formal order of issuance of notice has remained to be made and I direct notice to issue and the learned PO do waive service hereof.

Issue notice returnable on 08.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 8th December, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.11.2016

DATE: 18/11/2016

CORAM: Shri R.B. Malik (mlj)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Shriram Kulkarni with
Mr. S. Chavan
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1.
Shri S.Y. Deopujari, adv. for appl.

Adv. to in MA No. 371/16.
Shri J.K. Bhusari Id. Adv. for Rops
in M.A. 371/16.
Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for R. 2 & 3.

(skw)

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notices, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appealance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.671/2016**Shri P.P. Puranik****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Shri I.R. Kulkarni with Smt. Shilpa Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant informs that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 18/11/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. I.R. Kulkarni with Smt. Shilpa

Desai, Adv. for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

A 4. To Admit Liberty to mention granted.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.11.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.916/2016

Shri M.B. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is taken on record. The learned PO requests for an order in terms of Para 7 of the Affidavit-in-reply filed today, most probably in the light of the Judgment of the Bench of the then Hon'ble Chairman in OA 526/2004 with MA 164/2005. As of today, after hearing the submissions of both the Advocates for some time, I am of the opinion that there is still a scope for hearing the submissions on the grant of interim relief for which the OA is adjourned to 29th November, 2016. On that day, the request of the Respondents shall also be considered.

S.O. to 29th November, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

18.11.2016

18.11.16

DATE: 18/11/2016

CORAM: Shri R.B. Malik (MB)

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

(skw)

Adj. To 29/11/2016

RBF

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri R.B. Malik (m/j)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member)A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. :.....<u>non for the appl</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. :.....<u>K.S. Gaikwad</u>..... C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>25/11/16</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>skw</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.222/2016</u></p> <p>Dr. M.U. Shetsandi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This OA is admitted and it is directed that it be placed before the 2nd Division Bench along with OA 392/2016 on 25th November, 2016.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>11.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.11.2016</p>

skw

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A.91 of 2016 in O.A.311/2016

Shri A.D. Sawant ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 02.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 2nd December, 2016.

DATE: 18/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. Savita Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 2/12/16.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice Chairman