

Shri A.S. Athavale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard by consent.

3. This OA can be disposed in terms of prayer (b), which reads as follows:

“(b) By a suitable order/direction, this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.2 to forthwith take decision on the report dated 25.12.2015 (Exhibit-G) made by the Respondent No.1 in the matter of seeking guidance whether or not the Petitioner can be appointed in the post of Police Constable and accordingly the Respondents be directed to communicate the decision to the petitioner all within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the order of the Hon'ble Tribunal.”

4. Decision be taken within six months from the date of receipt of this order.

5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed to both parties. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order.

6. Applicant shall be free to take hamdast.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,)
Chairman
18.9.2017

DATE: 18/9/17

For the Tribunal: A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
Shri. S. K. Kamoshkar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Advocate: B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Adv/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad

P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

OA is disposed of.

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.402 OF 2017
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.859 OF 2017**

Shri Venkat G. Mekale.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.)...**Respondents**

Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

P.C. : R.B. MALIK (VICE-CHAIRMAN)


DATE : 18.09.2017

ORDER

1. This Misc. Application can be disposed of at this stage itself. I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer (PO) for the Respondents.

2. The learned PO wanted time to file the Affidavit-in-reply, but regard being had to the nature and facts of

2



the MA and a Circular to which a reference will be presently made, I do not consider it necessary to protract this MA.

3. The Applicant a suspended Deputy Commissioner of Sales Tax is posted at the time of suspension at Mazgaon. The order of suspension provides *inter-alia* that his posting during the period of suspension would be at Raigad. He impugns this particular part of the order of suspension and seeks a direction that he be posted at Mazgaon only during the period of suspension. My attention is invited to a GAD Circular dated 19th March, 2008 with particular reference to Para 2 thereof. It may safely be reproduced hereinbelow.

“An officer under suspension is regarded as subject to all other conditions of service applicable generally to Government servants and cannot leave the station without prior permission. As such the headquarter of a government servant should normally be assumed to be his last place of duty. However, where an individual under suspension requests for a change of headquarters, there is no objection to a competent authority changing the headquarters, if it is satisfied that such a course will not put Government to any extra expenditure like grant of T.A. etc. or any other complication.”

4. Ms. Suryawanshi, the learned PO had in the morning session requested the matter to be kept back

because according to her, there was another Circular which was contrary to the one above referred to. She now relies upon a GAD G.R. of 14th October, 2011 but that is applicable when the suspended employee is reinstated after the suspension is rescinded and the issue of his posting comes up for consideration. That is not applicable to the present facts. A direction that the Applicant should not, during the period of suspension try to interfere with the contemplated enquiry can be given.

5. The Misc. Application is accordingly allowed. The Applicant be reposted at Mazgaon within a period of one week from today with a direction that he should not interfere with the enquiry, if it goes underway in any manner whatsoever. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
18.09.2017

18-9-17

Mumbai
Date : 18.09.2017
Dictation taken by :
S.K. Wamanse.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.218 of 2017

Shri U. V. Deshmukh ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

A copy of a communication from the Collector, Thane to the Applicant dated 13.09.2017 proposing a punishment of "सक्त ताकीद" and asking him to show cause is taken on record along with the reply dated 16.09.2017, final decision thereon is yet to be taken. In the context hereof, this O.A. is being required to be De-part heard and it is hereby De-part heard and placed before the successor bench.

The Collector, Thane is directed to take a final decision on the basis of the reply already submitted within two weeks from today.

S.O. to 04.10.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 18.9.17
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Smt. R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: <Vice-Chairman>

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Ms. S. Suryawanshi

CAO/P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. P.O. O.A. is de-part heard.~~

S.O. to 4/10/17

Hamdast:

FH
[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.33 of 2017 with
O.A. No.419 of 2017

Shri Dr. C.D. Pandit & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Regard being had to the facts ^{involved} informed and also the fact that these matters will not conclude before 31.10.2017. These Original Applications are hereby De-part heard. The learned Advocate for the Applicants insists on interim orders in O.A. No.419/2017.

The matters be placed before the successor bench on 03.10.2017.

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAHVAGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE: (Vice-chairman)

~~Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. P.O. As. are De-Part heard~~

S.O. to 3/10/17.

FH
[Signature]

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

7

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.577 of 2017

Shri D.R. Sor ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This is a matter relating to transfer of Driver. I had perused a file on the earlier date and it was clear that the impugned order at least prima-facie would run into the teeth of the Provisions of Transfer Act. Therefore, a case for interim relief is made out even though the Applicant has been relieved, pending O.A. until further orders he will have to be reposted from the post he has been transferred from. It is, therefore, directed that the Applicant be reposted at the place where he has been transferred from within a period of one week from today and shall be allowed to continue till further orders. With this interim relief, the Original Applications stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-Reply to 25.09.2017.

With this, the impugned order vides Exb. 'A', page 17 of the Paper Book is stayed till further orders.

S.O. to 25.09.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 18/9/17
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: *(Vice-Chairman)*

Shri D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

holding for Archana

B.K.
Affidavit to 25/9/17
S.O. to 25/9/17.

Hamdast

(Signature)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/9/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>Ms. Shridevi Kotka</u> holding for M.V. Thorat. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> CRO/P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. to O.A. is De-part heard. adj. to 18/10/17.</p> <p>FH [Signature]</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.888 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.S. Chavan ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms Shridevi Kotka holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The request for adjournment on behalf of the Applicant will have to be granted. The Original Application is hereby De-part heard and adjourned to ¹⁸10.10.2017. (6)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 18.9.17 Vice-Chairman 18.09.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 94 of 2017

Shri A. R. Thakur ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Niranjan Deshpande holding for Shri M.K. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Ms S.Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks an adjournment. This Original Application is hereby De-part heard and adjourned to 16.10.2017.

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Niranjan Deshpande
holding for M.K. Deshpande
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
holding for S. Suryawanshi

Adj. To: 16/10/17

O.A. is de-part heard.

FH
[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

18.9.17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.871/2017

Mr. V.H. Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA is placed before me for consideration of interim relief. The Applicant has been transferred admittedly before completion of three years at his present posting. The said order is dated 31.5.2017. It is also an admitted position that the Applicant would have completed three years on 11th August, 2017 but at the time, the impugned order was issued, he had not completed three years. Ms. Gohad, the learned PO while strongly opposing the grant of interim relief contended that, all the employees who were similarly placed as the Applicant and had completed almost three years, have been transferred and the results would be deleterious, if the employees who have completed almost three years or about to complete three years fully are retained at the same place.

In OA 694/09 (Vijay K. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr., dated 23.6.2009), this Tribunal presided over by the then Hon'ble Member (J) in Para 10 was pleased to hold that, there can be nothing like almost, etc. and period exactly of three years has got to be elapsed before a valid transfer governed by the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (Transfer Act) is effected. That being the state of affairs and there being nothing on record to suggest that this Judgment was borne in mind by the transferring authority, I am of the opinion that the case for interim relief had been made out. Even if the Applicant may have been relieved, he will have to be reposted. By way of interim relief, it is hereby directed that the Applicant be reposted to the place he had been transferred from within a period of one week from today and as Respondent No.3 is posted in his place, the said Respondent No.3 be given some other posting. The interim relief in this line is granted till further orders and the impugned order in so far as it relates to the Applicant is stayed. The OA stands adjourned for reply to 10th October, 2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM

~~Hon'ble Mr. R.S. VADGAONKAR~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Mr. B.H. MALIK~~ Vice-Chairman

~~APPEARANCE~~

Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

~~C.P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. D. 10/10/17

Hamdast.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 18.9.17
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. 634/17

DATE: 18/9/17.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (P/B)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: C.T. Chaudhate

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: A.B. Ichave SPL Counsel

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
with K.B. Buse for as no 1

Adj. To.....

Shri R. Kamalakar for
Respondent no 1 & 2 absent.

Reply filed by
Respondent no 1.

Learned order passed in
Tribunal Column

Admit
Liberty is granted for
early hearing.

Learned sp. counsel for state has
tendered affidavit in reply, for the
the Respondent no. 1. It is taken
on record.

Admit.

Response if any be filed within
four weeks.

Liberty is granted for early hearing.



Sd/-

Ujjwal

18/9/2017

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNA
MUMBAI

MA/RA/CA No _____ of 20

IN _____ of 20

Original Application No _____

PARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, of Coram,
Amendments, Tribunal's orders, of
Directors and Registrars orders

Tribunal's orders

O A No 227/17.

4/9/2017

D/B not available.
Board discharged.
Adj to 18/9/2017 at the
request of adv. for the app!

[Signature]

18/9/17.

Coram- Hon' Justice Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri B.D. Bardi was telecast
adv for the app'ant
Shri A.K. Bajaj was telecast CPO
for Res. 415
Chaitali Kale is Shri N.
P. Deshpande adv for the
Respondent no. 1, 2.
Shri G.S. Jadhav adv for
the app. 6.
At the request of
adv for Respondent no. 1, 2
S.O to 11/10/17.

[Signature]

At the request of Adv for Resp
No. 1, 2 S.O to 11/10/2017.

Sd/-
[Signature]
15/9/2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O A No 409/17.

18/9/17.

Coram - Hon'ble J.J. Jadhav (C) (DIB)


Shri J.P. Potbhare Adv.
for the applicant

Miss S. S. Sawant P.O.
for the respondents.

~~Shri~~ at the request
of learned. Spl. Counsel
for the respondents Mr
Shri G. Shukla. S.O. No
2019/17

At the request of learned
Spl. Counsel for the Resp no 1.
Shri G. Shukla, so to
20/9/2017.

Sd/-


G. Shukla
18/9/2017

Recd. 1

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O A NO 42/17

DATE: 14/9/17
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

K.R. Jagdale

Shri/Smt.: G.S. Shukla, spl. counsel
with shri K.B. Dhise, P.O. for
the Resps

Addl. Repls filed by Resp
and it is taken on record.
Adj. to 18/9/2017 at the
request of P.O.

RTE

DATE: 18/9/17.
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (P/B)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt.: K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt.: G.S. Shukla, spl. counsel,
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
with K.B. Dhise for Res. 1
Adj. To: 20/9/17

At the request of learned
special counsel shri
G. Shukla for the Respondent
no. 1,
SO to 20/9/17.

At the request of learned
special counsel shri G. Shukla for the Respm #1,
so 20/9/2017.

Sd/-
[Signature]
18/9/2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.770 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Soundane ... Applicant V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bāndiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Prasad Shinde, Section Officer, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>This Original Application is being heard from time to time on the issue of interim relief. It is clearly indisputable position that the transfer orders were issued at the time the Civil Services Board was not even constituted. The learned P.O. repeatedly insisted on the fact that concurrence of the Hon'ble Minister and Hon'ble Chief Minister was taken. However, going by the essence of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's judgment in the matter of <u>T.S.R. Subramanian & Ors. V/s. Union of India & Ors. 2014 (1) SLR 1 (SC)</u>, it will have to be held that prima-facie at least there is a reasonable ground that the case of interim relief is made out.</p> <p>The learned P.O. relies upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Chairman in <u>O.A. No.19 of 2016 (Shri Sampat T. Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors.)</u>, dated 07.06.2016. It is not necessary for me to read in detail the factual aspect thereof and it would be suffice to</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
-2-

mention that in para 15, it has been clearly held that consultation of Civil Services Board has imperative need in the matter, rest of it was peculiar to the fact situation such as it obtained therein. I prefer not to make any detailed comment in the matter for the purposes of interim relief. Though the Applicant has been relieved but even then he will have to be reposted and this direction even of a mandatory nature can be given at interlocutory stage. It is, therefore, directed that the Applicant be reposted at the place where he has been transferred from within a period of one week from today and shall be allowed to function there till further orders of this Tribunal. The order herein impugned qua the Applicant is, therefore, stayed as mentioned just now. The directions be complied with. The Original Application stands adjourned for Rejoinder on 09.10.2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 18/9/17

~~MEMORANDUM~~

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

(Vice-Chairman)

~~Shri. A. V. Bhandari~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. K. S. G. Gokulnath

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 9/10/17

Hamdast

[Signature]

(vsm)

[Signature]
18/9/17
(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.639 of 2017

Shri H. J. Nazirkar ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

See the order dated 23.04.2017. I am informed that the matter in the Hon'ble High Court has been adjourned for a longer date, be it as it may but I do not think that this matter could be heard finally and decided before 28.09.2017 and therefore, it is De-part heard and adjourned for hearing to 10.10.2017.

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

(Vice-chairman)

Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.
G.A. Bandiwadekar
for R. No. 3.
Adj. to: 10/10/17.

De-part heard.

FH
[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

(vsm)

7

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.870 of 2017

Smt. R.R. Agnihotri ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 16.10.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 16.10.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon. Jc. Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon. Jc. Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

<Vice-Chairman>

~~Shri M.B. Kadam, holding~~

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

~~Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule~~

~~CPO/P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To: S.O. to 16/10/17.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.364 & 365 of 2017

Shri S.G. Warkhede & Anr. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicants informs that he does not want to file Rejoinder.

The Original Applications ^{are} have admitted and appointed for final hearing on 10.10.2017.

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.As. are admitted

S.O. to 10/10/17.

FH
[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Vice-Chairman
18.09.2017

18/9/17

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/9/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> (P/B) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>N.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>19/9/17 at 11:00</u> <u>request of Cd P.O.</u> <u>at 3:00 p.m.</u></p>	<p>Date : 18.09.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.452 of 2017</p> <p>C.J. Gudewar & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 19.09.2017 at 3.00 p.m..</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/9/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> (015) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 20/9/17 at He request of learned Advocate for the Applicant. Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p>	<p>Date : 18.09.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.889 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. A. NajmuddinApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for two day's time to prepare and address the court.</p> <p>4. In view of the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 20.09.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) [Signature] Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/9/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> (010) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : B. A. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K. B. Bhise C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 19/9/17 at the request of ld po. at 3:00 p.m.</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.42 of 2017 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>Dr. V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO, S.O. to 19.9.2017 at 3.00 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 18.9.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/9/17</u> (01B)</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>P. B. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Miss. Savita Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>18/4/18 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</u></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.18 of 2017 in O.A. No.165 of 2016</u></p> <p>Smt. R.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states, on instructions, that the order passed in OA is carried before Hon'ble High Court and hearing may please be adjourned,</p> <p>3. Hence, S.O. to 18.4.2018 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, ) Chairman 18.9.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/9/17</u> (DIB)</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>19/9/17 at He</u> <u>request of Ld Pro.</u> <u>at 3:00 p.m.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>C.A. No.34 of 2012 in O.A. No.846 of 2009</p> <p>Dr. Major P.Y. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO, S.O. to 19.9.2017 at 3.00 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, JJ) Chairman 18.9.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.621 of 2017

Shri G.B. Bhangare & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

DATE: 18/9/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (P.B.)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 30/11/17.

order passed by
Tribunal Column.
issue notice
returnable on
30/11/17.

Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate
for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 30.11.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at
this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not
be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve
on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing
duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete
paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that
the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage
of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11
of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)
Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and
alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed
post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and
produced along with affidavit of compliance in the
Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to
file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The question of jurisdiction is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
18.9.2017

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/9/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (01/13) Hon'ble Shri M. Kamesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Dr. Gunraton Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>Heard</u></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.282 of 2017 in O.A. No.621 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri G.B. Bhangare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p>
<p>order passed in tribunal column. MA disposed off accordingly.</p>	<p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, JM) Chairman 18.9.2017</p>

(sgj)