

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023 O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U. Rajwade @ Dr. U.R. BadoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she needs time to take instruction from the Respondents before grant of Interim Relief as the copy of the O.A. has not been served to the Respondent Nos.1 to 4.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentioned that the Tribunal in (i) Nagpur Bench by its Order dated 17.07.2023 in O.A. No.784 of 2023 & 5 other O.As (ii) Aurangabad Bench by its order dated 06.07.2023 in O.A. No.550 of 2023 and 14 other O.As has already granted Interim Relief to the Applicants who are working in various Government Medical Colleges. The learned Advocate for the Applicant further stated that the Tribunal in Mumbai Bench by its Order dated 21.07.2023 in O.A. No.880 of 2023 and O.A. No.884,885, 888 of 2023 has granted Interim Relief to the Applicants serving as Associate Professors in Grant Medical College, Mumbai and stayed their Transfer orders and permitted Applicants to be continued at the respective present posts in Grant Medical College Mumbai. The Mumbai Bench by its Order dated 25.07.2023 in O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.882 of 2023 and O.A. No.883 of 2023 has also granted Interim Relief and permitted Applicants to be continued in their present posting at Grant Government Medical College, Mumbai</p> <p>4. The Applicant in O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 are serving on posts senior to the Applicants in the above mentioned O.As. The Applicant in O.A. No.1033 of 2023 is Professor and Head of the Department of Pediatric Grant Medical College and</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Group of Hospital while Applicant in O.A. No.1034 of 2023 is Professor and Head of the Department of Anesthesia; Grant Government Medical College and Sir J J Group of Hospital. Therefore in concurring with the view already taken by the Tribunal across Mumbai, Aurangabad & Nagpur Benches in these similar matters of Transfer of Applicants serving in Government Medical Colleges and in particulars that Applicants being Head of the Departments their Mid Term Transfer can have adverse effect on the working of the Pediatric Department and Anesthesia Department of large Public Hospital like Grant Government Medical College; Interim Relief is granted to the Applicants and their Transfer Order dated 10.08.2023 stayed and they are permitted to be continued at their existing posts in Grant Government Medical College till returnable date.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2023.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. This two O.As. be tagged along with O.A. No.881/2023 and Others on next date i.e. 04.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraborty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.08.2023

M. A.No.199 of 2023 in O.A.No.462 of 2020

Shri Dilip Nalwad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent
2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 2 years, 6 months and 16 days caused in filing O.A.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that cause of action arose on 28.06.2016. The Applicant made representation on 13.08.2019 to the Respondents. O.A. was filed on 05.12.2020 and representation is still pending.
4. Learned P.O. relied on Affidavit in Reply. She opposes the submission of the learned Counsel that cause of action arose in 2016. She further stated that the judgment cited by learned Counsel in **(2008) 8 SCC 648 (Union of India & Ors. V/s Tarsem Singh)** is not applicable in this matter as the delay starts from 2014-2016 and O.A. filed in 2020. She further states that mere making of representation does not condone the delay.
5. However, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice, the delay is condoned.
7. Misc. Application is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A.No.145 of 2023 in O.A.No.200 of 2023</p> <p>D. S. ChikkhaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A . J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p>M. A.No.136 of 2020 in O.A.No.201 of 2020</p> <p>I. R. ShahapurkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. A. Naik, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.804 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.S. WakchoureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. is adjourned for filing Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondents, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.881 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. UmateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for continuation of interim-relief already granted by the Tribunal on previous dates.</p> <p>3. In view of above, request made by the Advocate for the Applicant is granted and interim-relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.945 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to leave to amend to add Respondent No.2 in the O.A. 3. Permission of amendment to add Respondent No.2 in the O.A. is granted. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. 5. Learned P.O. states that he will file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, if so necessary. 6. S.O. to 25.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.504 of 2023</p> <p>A.B. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered order passed by the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur dated 17.06.2023 ^{he} revoking the Order of Suspension of the Applicant and is posted at the Control Room, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that order passed by the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur dated 17.06.2023 is already implemented and the Applicant has also joined at Control Room, Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. Since the grievance of the Applicant has been redressed, O.A. needs to be disposed off</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.828 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.G. Nankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he be allowed to amend the O.A. No.828/2023 so as to challenge the order of Respondent No.4 who has been posted and has already joined on the post of Lecturer, Ratnagiri. Further, the learned Advocate for the Applicant also states that by order dated 10.08.2023 the Applicant stands transferred to Pune.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Applicant is at liberty to join the place of posting as per order dated 10.08.2023 as her name was included in the list of Officer who were liable for Transfer. However, in case she wants to challenge the order dated 10.08.2023 or order in respect of posting of Respondent No.4, she can file separate O.A.</p> <p>4. O.A. No.828/2023 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023.</p> <p>O.A.No.26/2022 with M.A. 201/2022 with O.A.428/2022 with O.A.696/2022 with O.A.697/2022 with O.A.698/2022 with O.A.952/2022 with O.A.306/2022 with O.A.1089/2022</p> <p>Shri A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B. K. and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these O.A. the Applicants are seeking transfer from the establishment of C.P. Mumbai, C.P. Ratnagiri, C.P. Navi Mumbai and C.P. Railway to different Districts in terms of inter-district policy.</p> <p>3. From last few dates, this group of O.As are adjourned in view of challenge to the order passed by this Tribunal in connected O.As. before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this group of O.A.s is adjourned.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.423 to 426 of 2023</p> <p>A. M. Kamble & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is already filed. He requested for time to file reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 18.08.2023
	<p>M.A.No.382 of 2022 in O.A.No.657 of 2023</p> <p>R. N. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.647 of 2021</p> <p>J. U. ShenoyApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel, the matter is adjourned to 07.09.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.326 of 2022 with O.A.No.863 of 2022</p> <p>N. N. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is person is absent. Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person has sent leave note dated 17.08.2023. It is taken on record. In leave note Applicant in person stated as follows :- <i>" I, the Applicant further submit that I do not like and want to add anything more in my written notes of arguments. Therefore, it is my humble submission that the learned P.O. may please be heard in my absence and above O.A. may please be closed for judgment."</i></p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 22.08.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is directed to procure the copy of O.A. which has been inadvertently displaced.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.131 of 2023</p> <p>G. I. HangargeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri I. G. Hangarge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.307 of 2023</p> <p>G. D. Johar & Anr.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gao⁰gil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 18.08.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.673 of 2023</p> <p>S. M. SaidApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The O.A. is admitted and kept for final hearing on 08.09.2023. 3. S.O. to 08.09.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.517 of 2023</p> <p>R. S. ChendkeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Ğadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.08.2023

O.A.No.970 of 2023

P. V. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.09.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 15.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.517 of 2023 in O.A.No.769 of 2023</p> <p>Shri U. S. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent 2. This M.A. is filed for amendment and for addition of private respondent as Respondent No.3 which is given place sought by the Applicant. The grievance of the Applicant is for not giving choice posting as per the options in terms of G.R. dated 11.07.2000 and 06.08.2002. 3. The proposed amendment seems necessary for adjudication of O.A. 4. Allowed to amend the O.A. 5. Accordingly, M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.539/2023 in O.A.No.1009/2023 with M.A.No.540/2023 in O.A.No.1010/2023</p> <p>Dr. R.K. Vrishid & Ors.Applicants Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Sakolkar along with Mr. S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. M.A.No.539/2023 and M.A.No.540/2023 are moved by learned Advocate seeking transfer of O.A.No.1009/2023 with O.A.No.1010/2023 to Aurangabad Bench under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the cause of action arose at Aurangabad. However, the matters were filed at M.A.T. Mumbai with permission on the ground that no Bench is available at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad on 11.08.2023. Learned Advocate has submitted that as the Bench is now available at Aurangabad matter is fixed on 21.08.2023, hence O.A.Nos.1009 & 1001/2023 be transferred to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.As are allowed to be transferred at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad. Hence, M.A.Nos.539 &540/2023 stands allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.412/2020 with O.A.No.413/2020</p> <p>V.V. Tamhankar & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 01.09.2023 HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 18.08.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.327/2016</p> <p>M.M. Kathe & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks permission to issue public notice to all the added respondents as per Section 520 of the CPC.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Learned Advocate further states that he would issue public notice in Marathi newspapers such as Samana and Lokmat.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>
--	---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1021/2023</u></p> <p>Dr R. Karhade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Respondents be directed to extend the age of retirement of the applicants till 60 years as has been done for various Doctors by various Government Resolutions and Notifications dated 23.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Mr Kendre, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O state that the applicant has not served the hardcopy of the Original Application till today upto 3.40 pm. Learned C.P.O further seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel submits that the copy is served on the Department today at 3.57 pm.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.8.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>11. S.O to 23.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023 with M.A 364/2023 in O.A 619/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.D Nargude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023, Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents in M.A 364/2023 in O.A 619/2023 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 619/2023</p> <p>2. After hearing the parties, we are of the view that there is no willful disobedience on the part of the Respondents in implementing the order of this Tribunal dated 11.1.2023. However, the Contempt Application is kept alive as O.A 619/2023 along with M.A 364/2023 are pending. These two matters are required to be decided first.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.8.2023. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 928/2023</u></p> <p>Y.U Bhise & Anr ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sangram Chinappa a/w Shri Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Respondent no. 1, be directed to reserve two posts of Talathi one each at Sangli and Satara for Transgender persons.</p> <p>3. This matter to be tagged along with O.A Nos 1121/2022 and 401/2023.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 820/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Doifode & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Katkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the Deputy Director, Sanjay Gandhi National Park, has given Certificate dated 17.8.2023 to the applicant. Same is taken on record and marked as Exh.1. It shows that the applicant is not eligible as the applicant has not joined before 30.6.2004.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.8.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 716/2023</u></p> <p>Shri V.R Awade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 734/2023</u></p> <p>D.M Bhoir & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri R.G Panchal learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 1.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 845/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.P Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 22.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Alkn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 565/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Sakate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A. Chaware, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2, by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Aktiv</small></p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 665/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.D Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 22.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 335/2023 in O.A 379/2021</u></p> <p>Madhuri N. Gujar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the Original Application No. 379/2021, the applicant prays that the seniority list dated 3.10.2019 and the final seniority list dated 4.8.2022 be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. The present Misc Application is filed by the applicant to stay the execution and implementation of the further proceedings in pursuance to the order dated 21.3.2023, issued by Respondent no. 2 and with a further prayer to hear the Original Application peremptorily finally on such fixed date.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O opposes the prayer.</p> <p>5. Considered the submissions. The applicant states that the promotion from the post of Talathi to Circle Officer should be stayed till the final disposal of the Original Application. The services of Circle Officers are very much required in the Revenue Department. Therefore, we are not inclined to grant stay to the promotion of other officers from the post of Talathi to the post of Circle Officer. However, we make it clear that if any promotions to the post of Circle Officer is issued, that will be subject to the outcome of the present Original Application and the same should be intimated in the promotion orders.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>7. O.A 379/2021 to be placed on Board on 8.9.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 530/2023 in O.A 1021/2023</u></p> <p>Dr R. Karhade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 51/2023 in O.A 1212/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Vadar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply making a specific statement about the vacancies of Awal Karkun available in Sangli District.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Ako</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	18.08.2023
	<p><u>C.A 73/2023 in O.A 119/2019 with O.A 705/2020 & O.A 576/2020</u></p> <p>A.T Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that as the applicant is found short of 3 years' experience and the Hon'ble Minister has confirmed the same, she is found not eligible for appointment. Therefore, the order of the Tribunal cannot be implemented.</p> <p>3. Under such circumstances, it appears that the submission of the learned C.P.O is correct. Nothing remains in this Contempt Application. It is to be noted that the applicant appears in persons and on last two - three occasions though she was not present, the matter was adjourned.</p> <p>4. We keep this matter on Monday, 21.8.2023 under the 'Caption of Dismissal'.</p> <p>5. S.O to 21.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1026/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Rajkumar Gaiwade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the amendment to the Maharashtra Civil Service (Pension) Rules, dated 24.2.2022 to the extent of time limitation of its operation till 31.5.2023, wherein the applicants are being made to illegally retire on the said date.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 22.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.08.2023</p> <p><u>M.A 531/2023 in O.A 1026/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Rajkumar Gaiwade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	18.08.2023
	<u>O.A 967/2023</u>
	Shri M.B Magar ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 11.5.2023 passed by Respondent no. 1.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.8.2023.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption ' for Dismissal ' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
	8. S.O to 31.8.2023.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.70/2023</p> <p>H.D. More & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter though adjourned taken up at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate O.A. is preponed and adjourned to 04.09.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.83 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. SapkalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 3. Learned P.O. seeks time thereafter to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, if any. 4. O.A. may be kept for Final Hearing on the next date. 5. S.O. to 31.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.418 of 2023</p> <p>M.M. JawadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for short time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.542 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. KoliApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. Time as prayed is granted. 4. S.O. to 28.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.636 of 2023</p> <p>M.R. SayyadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he does not want to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.625 of 2023</p> <p>N.S. KadbhaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that thereafter he may require time to file Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder. 4. O.A. is adjourned to next date for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by the Respondents. 5. S.O. to 08.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.391 of 2023</p> <p>N.D. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. two seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.768 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. PandharkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered letter of the Applicant dated 17.08.2023 requesting to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. No.768/2023 is allowed to withdraw with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.404 of 2023</p> <p>L.T. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant has been seeking re-fixation and payment of pay and allowances and convenient time has been given to the Respondents already but their Affidavit-in-Reply is yet to be filed.</p> <p>3. On last date of hearing i.e. on 20.07.2023 last chances was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. However, Learned P.O. again requested for time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1313 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. NaiyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Anjali Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. 08.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.712 of 2023</p> <p>E.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the information sought on the last date of hearing i.e. 04.08.2023 is not furnished. 3. Learned P.O. seeks some time to furnish information along with Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted. 5. S.O. to 28.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim direction given on 04.08.2023 to continue till next date and Respondents to keep One post Vacant at Sangli</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.743 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. SableApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that information has been received and the Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed within one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.538 of 2023 in O.A. No.1041 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Bajare & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1041 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Bajare & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he will serve the copy of the O.A. to the Respondents and requested to keep O.A. on 21.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to seek information for what specific reasons the order in respect of Satara District is not implemented when there were direction from Additional D.G.P (Traffic) on 24.05.2023 and by Special I.G. (Administrative) on 14.06.2023 to the Police Commissioner, Mumbai and Police Superintendent various districts including Satara.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.942 of 2023</p> <p>R.V. Shinde & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief praying to restrain the Respondents from filling the vacancies in the cadre of Deputy Collector in Konkan 1, Konkan 2, Pune and Nashik Division by transfer without prior permission of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However exact number of vacancies in Revenue Division is not available with learned P.O. Learned P.O. seeks some time to obtain the information from the Revenue Department. Considering the nature of the interim relief sought, learned P.O. is requested to obtain the information forthwith.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept on Monday i.e. 21.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.468 of 2023 in O.A. No.942 of 2023</p> <p>R.V. Shinde & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.607 of 2023</p> <p>M.A. TankiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time Two weeks to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, if any on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.697 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. R.R. TryambakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has furnished letter written by Assistant Director of Health Services, Filaria Nashik to the Joint Director of Health Services, Filaria Pune seeking appropriate order about the Application dated 12.06.2023 of the Applicant and the recommendation there upon made by Deputy Director on 21.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. will take instructions regarding action taken or proposed to be taken on this letter of the Assistant Director of Health Services, Filaria Nashik and seeks short ^{in Reply} time to file short Affidavit and also to take information about operation of the Web portal at the relevant time which suggested that the Applicant had no options but to fill ^{choices} as General State Cadre instead of MMHS.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.573 of 2023</p> <p>P.R. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant has not received Subsistence Allowance from 20.11.2007 the date of Suspension Order. The Applicant in between was served with charge-sheet on 20.01.2022 and on completion of Departmental Enquiry was dismissed by order of 04.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents is yet not filed. Therefore considering the prayer in O.A. to release Subsistence Allowance, learned P.O. is directed to ensure that the Affidavit-in-Reply is filed positively before next date of hearing. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.516 of 2023</p> <p>L.S. DondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 05.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of ~~20~~ Date: 18.08.2023

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

O.A. No. 962 of 2023

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	S.B. Chougule Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicant Tribunal's ordersRespondents.
	<p>1. Heard Smt. P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that on last date of hearing she had informed the position of two Vacant Posts at Kolhapur ^{City} and it was in this backdrop that it was directed to keep one post vacant of Deputy Engineer at Kolhapur Circle.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is informed to file Affidavit-in-Reply which must include policy decision if any of the Water Resources Department which requires all post at Dam Sites to be filled without exceptions. Further Affidavit-in-Reply must also include the names of the posts of Deputy Engineer in Kolhapur Circle including Kolhapur City which are vacant other than that of Deputy Engineer at Konya Dam Site. Respondents are further directed to keep One Post of Deputy Engineer vacant at Kolhapur Circle till the decision in the O.A.</p> <p>4. The Affidavit-in-Reply of this Respondents shall also submit Number of Posts sanctioned at all other Dam ^{Site} Sites of the Water Resources Department and how many ^{are} Vacant and Posts.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>