ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440/2020 (Shri Sitaram D. Kolte & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 22.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P. 43/2019 IN M.A. 97/2012 IN O.A. 817/2011 (Shri Dharampal U. Dethe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

A.B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.9.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P. 14/2022 IN O.A. 367/2019 (Shri Arvind D. Sulakhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 21.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 308/2020 (Shri Ranjeet T. Bhorje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Ameya N. Sabnis, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri M.B. Ubale, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 22.9.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2021 (Sadat Begum Arifoddin Siddique V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.S. Choudhari, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 23.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81/2021 (Shri Sadashiv B. Gitte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 23.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 299/2021 (Nagorao W. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.B. Sandanshiv, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.S. ware, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 26.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339/2021 (Shri Arun S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned CPO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 5 to 7. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 26.9.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.
- 4. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2021 (Swapnil S. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.K. Shejwal, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622/2021 (Arjun R. Deshmukh (Gawali) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.V. Gore, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 637/2021 (Shri Ravindra G. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.B. Choudhari, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45/2022 (Shri Sanjay D. Pathrut & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Anand Deshpande, learned counsel holding for Shri M.D. Narwadkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1, 3 & 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 28.9.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 54/2022 (Shri Bhagwat S. Mane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 109/2022 (Shri Vinod H. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Smt. Sharwari M. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137/2022 (Shri Abhijit S. Bhapkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**leave note**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 6.10.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111/2022 (Shri Pandurang V. Hande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----**OFFICE ORDER** TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora.

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151/2022 (Shri C.V. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152/2022 (Shri Duryodhan S. Wankhede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

. .

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144/2022 (Dr. Dayanand P. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Await service of notice.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164/2022 (Nasiroddin Ajamoddin ShaikhV/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 10.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211/2022 (Smt. Smita R. Achme V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 10.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 270/2022 (Shri Suresh M. Kadam alias Suresh B. Sharma V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Shreyas Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

- 2. Await service of notice for respondent no. 1.
- 3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 295/2022 (Shri Bhagwan K. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351/2022 (Taher Ali Mohd. Ali Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362/2022 (Dr. Ashok S. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 1.10.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403/2022 (Shri Pandit S. Taparse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned C.P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426/2022

(Urmila S. Phule @ U.P. NarwadeV/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 12.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by remaining respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 525/2022 (Shri Somnath B. Satbhai V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned C.P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.
- 4. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544/2022 (Shri Jagdish N. Yengupatla V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

. .

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.N. Pagare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594/2022 (Shri Bharat C. Padvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18-08-2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE: 18.08.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 740 OF 2022 (Shashikant N. Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per: - Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-

- 1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **13.09.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **13.09.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE: 18.08.2022

M.A. No. 330/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1196/2022 (Vaibhav V. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per: - Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-

- 1. Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **29.09.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **29.09.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2020 (Satish N. Badade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

COPAN : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2020 (Akhil Ahmed Mukheed Ahmed Kazi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer and learned Advocate for respondent No. 7, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 6 & 7.
- 3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371 OF 2020 (Sanjay B. Bhandarkawathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.P. Yenegure, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 3.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
- 4. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps on behalf of respondent No. 3.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 494 OF 2020 (Yashwant L. Mohite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

- 2. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2020 (Priyadarshi S. Maske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 121 OF 2021 (Sukhdev S. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent No. 2. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 in accordance with law.
- 3. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2021 (Bhanudas E. Tarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 147 OF 2021 (Ratnaprabha T. Hingade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2021 (Ravindra N. Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 611 OF 2021 (Sayyed Salim Sayyed Yaqub V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Indranil Godsay, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2021 (Bahu M. Dudhare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2021 (Narandra Krishna R. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER T

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

OFFICE ORDER

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 2.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4.
- 4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 796 OF 2021 (Dinesh A. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 1.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps on behalf of respondent No. 1.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40 OF 2022 (Madhav B. Nilawad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2022 (Sumitrabai V. Adke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.G. Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent Nos. 2 to 7.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2022 (Babasaheb A. Awdhut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2022 (Dr. Saurabh P. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 07.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2022 (Bhaskar D. Hire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2022 (Dr. Datta M. Dhanve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 (Leave Note).

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 642 OF 2022 (Gopal M. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 604/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2158/2019 (Venkat S. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 117/2020 in O.A. St. No. 320/2020 (Pamabai D. Wanve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 158/2021 in O.A. St. No. 593/2021 (Kishor J. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri N.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 80/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1811/2021 (Vilas K. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 143/2022 in O.A. St. No. 75/2022 (Sudhir S. Bramhne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 156/2022 in O.A. St. No. 621/2021 (Bhimrao S. Bilappatte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.L. Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.294 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1224 OF 2021 (Shivam K. Thavare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORDER

This application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 374 days caused in filing the Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned communication dated 23.08.2019 issued by the respondent No.2, thereby rejecting the claim of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground stating that the third child is born to the deceased Government servant after cutoff date of 31.12.2001 seeking and consequently appointment on compassionate ground.

2. The applicant is the eldest son of the deceased Government Servant namely Keshav Shankarrao Thavare, who died in harness on 04.06.2012 while working in the office of the respondent No.3 i.e. the Assistant Director, Auditor, Local Finance, Parbhani,

Dist. Parbhani. After his death, the applicant after attaining the age of majority made application on 09.01.2017 seeking compassionate appointment. However, his claim for compassionate appointment is denied by the impugned communication dated 23.08.2019 stating that third child is born to the deceased Government servant after cutoff date of 31.12.2001. The applicant seeks to challenge the said communication bv filing accompanying O.A.St.No.1224 of 2021. However, there is a delay. It is contended that the cause of action for filing the Original Application arose during the Covid-19 Pandemic Situation. The delay is not deliberate. The applicant is good case on merit. He further seeks condonation of delay.

3. The application is resisted by filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3, thereby denying the adverse contentions raised in the application and contenting that there is no merit in the case of the applicant for compassionate appointment. In fact, the rejection of

claim was communicated to the applicant by earlier communication dated 04.01.2018 (page No.13 of P.B.). The said fact is suppressed by the applicant. Moreover, no sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay. Hence application is liable to be dismissed.

- 4. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri V.V. Ingale, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.
- 5. From the annexures annexed by the respondents along with their affidavit-in-reply, it appears that before issuances of impugned communication dated 23.08.2019, the applicant was informed about the rejection of claim by earlier communication dated 04.01.2018. The reference of the said communication dated 04.01.2018 also seems to be there in the impugned communication dated 23.08.2019. In view of same, it may be said that the cause of action initially after of impugned arose one vear communication dated 04.01.2018.

- 6. It is a case of compassionate appointment. If the earlier communication dated 04.01.2018 issued by the respondent No.3 is taken into consideration, there can be delay of more than what is stated by the applicant. So far as third child is concerned, the applicant has stated that one of the children of the deceased Government i.e. the daughter is mentally ill. In view of same, the case of the applicant is required to be considered on merit, which can be done only by registering in the Original Application.
- 7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, refusing to condone the delay is likely to result into defeating the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1000/- (One Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass

the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 294/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
 - (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.197 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.945 OF 2018 (Musaddig Ahmed Madni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORDER

This application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 1770 days i.e. 4 years, 2 months and 24 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking relief of deemed date of promotion and also seeking direction against the respondent No.1 to decide the fresh proposal submitted by the respondent No.2 dated 21.08.2012 within stipulated period.

2. The applicant is seeking deemed date of promotion on the post of Senior Social Welfare Inspector w.e.f. 17.08.1986 with all consequential benefits. Earlier the applicant filed T.A.No.60/1993 in W.P.No.1715/1988 which came to be decided by order dated 11.06.2003, thereby representation made by the applicant seeking deemed date of promotion was

directed to be decided within stipulated period. The said representation was decided only in the year, 2011 and more particularly it was rejected by order dated 30.06.2011. The applicant again made representation dated 03.04.2012 seeking reconsideration and the same was rejected vide impugned communication dated 21.08.2012 which is sought to be challenged by filing the accompanying Original Application.

- 3. It is the contention of the applicant that after the said rejection, he made various applications during the period of 2013 to 2017 but in vain. In view of the same, there is a delay. Hence, this application for condonation of delay.
- 4. The application is resisted by filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3, thereby they denied adverse contentions raised in the application and contended that, in fact, the applicant ought to have challenged earlier rejection order dated 30.06.2011. In view of same, the limitation would start from that date and as such there is more delay than what is pleaded by the applicant. No sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of

said delay. The applicant also no case on merit about the deemed date of promotion. Hence the application is liable to be rejected.

- 5. I have heard at length the argument advanced by Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.
- 6. Considering the application and affidavit-in-reply, *prima-facie* it seems that similar rejection order was passed on 30.06.2011 before passing of impugned order dated 21.08.2012. After passing of order dated 30.06.2011 by the respondent No.1, the applicant had made representation on 03.04.2012. In view of the same, the limitation would start from 30.06.2011 and not from 21.08.2012 as sought to be contended by the applicant. In view of that, the delay is about 5 year and 5 months.
- 7. It is a case of deemed date of promotion. The applicant is fighting for his legitimate rights. It also appears that the applicant after passing of impugned order made various representations during the period of 2013 to 2017. In view of same, as per settled

principle of law, this is a fit case to consider liberally. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, refusing to condone the delay is likely to result into defeating the cause of justice at the threshold. From the nature of reliefs sought for by the applicant, it cannot be said that somebody else would likely to be affected in case relief is granted to the applicant. In view of same, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 5 years and 5 months caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.2000/- (Two Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 197/2018 is allowed in following terms:-

(A) The delay of about 5 years and 5 months days caused in filing the accompanyingO.A. under Section 19 of the AdministrativeTribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned

subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2,000/(Two Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

(B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 18.08.2022

M.A.NO.195 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.727 OF 2021 (Pathan Mahebub Ahemad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORDER

By this application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 1140 days caused in filing the Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned communication dated 05.05.2021 passed by the respondent No.3 thereby denying the benefit of compassionate appointment to the applicant and seeking compassionate appointment.

2. The father of the applicant was in service with the respondent No.3 as Laborer since 01.06.1985 onward. His services were regularized on 01.10.1988. He died in harness on 16.10.1998. Subsequently, the mother of the applicant also died on 04.07.1999. Thereby the applicant became orphan at the age of three years. The applicant attained the age of majority on 16.06.2013. In the year, 2014 he passed his 12th

examination. He made application for compassionate appointment only on 10.04.2015. His application came to be rejected vide communication dated 05.05.2021. After making application, the applicant made several representations seeking compassionate appointment.

- 3. It is contended that the cause of action for filing the Original Application arose after lapse of one year after his first representation dated 10.04.2015. In view of same, there is delay. However, the said delay is not deliberate or intentional. Hence, this application for condonation of delay.
- 4. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri S.N. Pawde, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.
- 6. If the impugned order dated 05.05.2021 rejecting the claim of the applicant on compassionate ground is

taken into consideration, there is no delay as a accompanying Original Application along with delay condonation application is filed on 30.06.2021. However, when his application for compassionate appointment is made after attainment of majority on 10.04.2015, he was not communicated anything. Therefore, the applicant said to have made representations from October, 2019 till 10.11.2020. In view of same, limitation would start after lapse of one year from the date of his first application dated 10.04.2015. At appears that the applicant was not attentive immediately after filing of his application for compassionate appointment. But that cannot be said to be deliberate. Thereby he had nothing to gain. The applicant is an orphan. In the circumstances, the case of the applicant is required to be considered sympathetically on merit.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, refusing to condone the delay is likely to

result into defeating the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 1140 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,000/- (One Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 195/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 1140 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

M.A.NO.419 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1799 OF 2021 (Nilabai Piraji Mamulwar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORDER

This application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 4 years 4 months and 26 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking compassionate appointment and seeking direction to the respondent Nos.2 to 4 to decide the representation made by the applicant No.1 and direct to include the name of the applicant No.2 in place of applicant No.1 in waiting list prepared for appointment on compassionate ground.

2. The applicant No.1 is widow and the applicant No.2 is son of the deceased Government Servant named Piraji Moglaji Mamulwar, who died in harness on 11.05.1999 while working as a Peon (Class-IV) in the office of respondent No.4.

3. After the death of the Government Servant, the applicant No. 1 i.e. the widow filed application on 01.05.2000. Her name was taken in the waiting list. However, till she crossed the age of 40 years, she was not appointed. The applicant No.2 i.e. the son of the deceased Government servant attained the age of majority on or about 08.05.2009. After the applicant No.1 become age barred in the year, 2008 she made application dated 15.05.2008 to the respondent No.2 for getting compassionate appointment to her son i.e. the applicant No.2 in her place. The name of the applicant No.2 was not taken in the waiting list. Representations made pursuing the were compassionate appointment. Ultimately, the applicants filed proceedings before the Civil Court seeking compassionate appointment which came to be decided being rejected in 2021 on 08.02.2021. Thereafter, the accompanying Original Application along with this delay condonation application was presented on 21.12.2021. In the circumstances it is contended that the delay is not deliberate or intentional. Hence this application.

- 4. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants on one hand and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.
- Perusal of facts on record would show that the 6. applicant was pursuing the remedy seeking compassionate appointment by filing proceedings in the Civil Court during the period of 2016 to 2021. That can be considered as seeking relief bona-fide. However, such proceedings were rejected by order dated 08.02.2021. During the period of 2012 to 2016, it appears that the applicants were pursuing the matter by making various representations. The applicant No.2 attained the age of majority on or about 08.05.2009. In view of same, some negligence can be attributed to the applicants being not very attentive during the period of 2009 to 2012. However, the said

negligence cannot be said to be deliberate or intentional one. Thereby the applicant had nothing to gain.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. The applicants are seeking compassionate appointment for the applicant No.2 who is son of the deceased Government servant. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, refusing to condone the delay is likely to result into defeating the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 4 years, 4 months and 26 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicants. I compute the costs of Rs.1,500/- (One Thousand Five Hundred only) on the applicants and proceed to pass the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 419/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

(A) The delay of about 4 years, 4 months and26 days caused in filing the accompanying

O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,500/-(One Thousand Five Hundred only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

(B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.270 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.845 OF 2022 (Chaus Aslam Chaus Alimuslim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Krishna P. Rodge, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.271 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.847 OF 2022 (Sakharam V. Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Krishna P. Rodge, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.272 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.849 OF 2022 (Faruq Mehmood Qudri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Krishna P. Rodge, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.727 OF 2022 (Laxman N. Mahure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Ms. Aparna S. Sapate, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Head Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.666 OF 2019 (Mahendrasing N. Girase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Nitin Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mayur V. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Gajendra D. Jain, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 submitted that he supporting the respondent No.1 who has passed the order of appointment of the respondent No.2 on the post of Police Patil.
- 3. The respondent No.1 has already filed affidavitin-reply. The matter is pertaining to appointment of the respondent No.2 on the post of Police Patil. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.11 OF 2021 (Ravikant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,2 & 5 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.238 OF 2021 (Pandurang H. Bhalerao & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

- 2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No1 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.239 OF 2021 (Khanderao M. Ajegaonkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 has filed a **leave note**.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.240 OF 2021 (Ranjeet Singh B. Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 has filed a leave note.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.241 OF 2021 (Prakash S. Shegokar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.242 OF 2021

(Shaikh Mohamad Farukh Mohamad Mastan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.243 OF 2021 (Pandurang R. Bellale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

- 2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.244 OF 2021 (Venkat R. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

- 2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.245 OF 2021 (Sunil R. Dharmadhikari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.254 OF 2021 (Datta D. Waghmare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

- 2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seeking benefit of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.369 OF 2021 (Naushadbee Ibrahim Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.449 OF 2021 (Dr. Arun S. Shirurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451 OF 2021 (Dr. Suresh M. Betkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515 OF 2021 (Mansab Gaus Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

COPAM · Hon'hle Shri V D Dongre Member (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of several opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. The learned P.O. for the respondents placed on record the copies of four communications made to the respondents pursuing the filing of affidavit-in-reply, but there was no response from the respondents. The said copies are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.
- 4. The matter is pertaining to correction in date of birth. The applicant is retired from service w.e.f. 31.05.2021 vide order dated 08.07.2021 (page No.37 of P.B.). It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 5. The burden is upon the applicant to produce on record the necessary documents, if any which will be necessary to decide the present Original Application.
- 6. S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.728 OF 2021 (Shaikh Abdul Gafur Md. Sarwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shr A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The matter is at admission stage without affidavit-in-reply of the respondents as they have failed to file it in spite of grant of several opportunities.
- 3. Today, learned C.P.O. for the respondents submits that the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is ready and he seeks permission to file the same.
- 4. In view of above, in the interest of justice, permission is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.
- 5. The affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.
- 6. Learned C.P.O. deposited extra copy of reply for the applicant.
- 7. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.729 OF 2021 (Ramdas L. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to annual increment falling due on 1st of July i.e. immediately after next day after retirement of the applicant. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.09 OF 2022 (Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.56 OF 2022 (Sidram M. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.P. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Pleadings are complete upto affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 3. The matter is pertaining to releasing of salary for specific period. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.459 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.618 OF 2019 (Dhondiram G. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.M. Pingale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Uddhav L. Momale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.563 OF 2019 IN O.A.St.NO.1421 OF 2019 (Manoj A. Dusane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.232 OF 2020 IN O.A.St.NO.321 OF 2020 (Bismilla Daut Tadvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.A. Kale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.318 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1373 OF 2020 (Anil G. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.91 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.327 OF 2021 (Bhaginath C. Malikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.748 OF 2017 (Parasram N. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 to 6. Shri S. S. Bhuse, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.116 OF 2018 (Sanjay M. Deokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.467 OF 2021 (Dr. Mohan P. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri Vinayak P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.113 OF 2022 (Vijay B. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.698 OF 2017 (Jalamsing D. Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.335 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.200 OF 2018 (Dinkar G. Shahane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter is not on today's board. It is taken on board at the request of learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. This application is made seeking amendment in the Original Application.
- 4. The Original Application is filed seeking directions against the respondents to verify and fix the pay of the applicant as per order dated 22.11.2016 (Exh. 'D') and as per letter of respondent No.5 dated 07.03.2016 (Exh. 'C' collectively) and to pay the pension and pensionary benefits as per said verification within stipulated time.
- 5. In the Original Application, in fact the applicant has referred to objection dated 29.12.2016 raised by the respondent No.4 i.e. the Pay Verification Unit.
- 6. By the proposed amendment, the applicant is raising pleading assailing the said objection being not tenable and consequentially seeking to amend prayer clause in respect of said objection.

- 7. Learned P.O. for the respondents opposed the submission made on behalf of the applicant.
- 8. Considering the nature of proposed amendment I found that the same is not going to change the nature of Original Application as the proposed amendment is by way of specific assailment and challenge to the objection raised by the Pay Verification Unit. In fact the proposed amendment would be just and necessary to determine the real question of controversy between the parties. Hence I proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

- (A) The Misc. Application No.335/2022 in O.A.No.200/2018 is allowed.
- (B) Amendment as prayed for is granted.
- (C) The applicant to carry out the amendment within the period of one week from the date of this order and to serve the copy of amended O.A. on the other side.
- (D) No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATIOIN NO.200 OF 2018 (Dinkar G. Shahane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents to the amended O.A., if any.
- 3. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.475 OF 2018 (Shivkanya S. Bharti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 24.08.2022 for rehearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.488 OF 2019 (Shaikh Ajmal Shaikh Abdulla V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kunal A. Kale, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.982 OF 2019 (Govind Y. Bharsakhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.1073 OF 2019
(Abdul Jabbar Abdul Rahim Shaikh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Additional affidavit filed on behalf of all the respondents is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.56 OF 2020 (Anil S. Barkul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kishor D. Khade, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.137 OF 2020 (Lalit G. Pandule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.597 OF 2020 (Amol S. Shidore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandip R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.07 OF 2021 (Arun W. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.288 OF 2021 (Shubham K. Shreebhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.314 OF 2021 (Govind A. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.09.2022 for final hearing.
- 3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.513 OF 2021 (Manik G. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.761 OF 2021 (Pradeep B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

COPAM · Hon'hle Shri V D Dongre Member (I)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri N.N. Desale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

- 2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.09.2022 for final hearing.
- 3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.163 OF 2022

(Dr. Suhas S. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri R.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 08.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.989 OF 2019 (Raviraj R. Darak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.253 OF 2021

(Shaikh Nabi Shaikh Muqbul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

CORAM : Hon ble bill V.D. Dongle, in

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Vikram S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION.379 OF 2021 (Ravindra B. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri N.L. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that he would file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.3 during the course of the day.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.
- 4. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.391 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.450 OF 2021 (Dr. Pratap P. Ege V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 18.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of several opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. In view of the same, the matter will proceed further without affidavit-in-reply.
- 4. S.O. to 19.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641 OF 2022 (Amarsing S. Kamthekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned counsel for the applicant Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor from the list of the respondents. Leave granted as prayed for. The applicant shall delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor forthwith.
- 3. It is brought to our notice that the notices in this matter have already been issued. In view of the fact that the name of the Hon'ble Governor now stands deleted, the said notice is recalled.
- 4. It has to be stated that while ordering issuance of notice in the present O.A. inadvertently it could not be noticed by this Tribunal also that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent in the present matter or else before issuance of the notice itself the counsel for the applicant would have been required by us to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor from the array of respondents. Whenever, it was noticed that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent, this Tribunal has

invariably directed the parties to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor. In the present matter neither it was brought to our notice by the learned counsel for the applicant nor by the learned Presenting Officer that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent No. 3 in the present matter. In fact, while scrutinizing the O.A., office must have noticed the aforesaid fact and objection must have been raised at the initial stage and the said fact must have been distinctly noted down by the office in the scrutiny sheet. The office also did not seem to have taken the due care and lastly only because of inadvertence this Tribunal also could not notice the said fact. Today, when it is brought to our notice and the leave is sought for deleting the name of Hon'ble Governor, leave has been granted and the notice has also been recalled.

- 5. We regret for the inconvenience caused to the Hon'ble Governor.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer shall communicate this order today itself to the office of the Hon'ble Governor.
- 7. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736 OF 2022 (Dr. Pradeep A. Shendge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant belongs to Open Category and possesses the qualifications of M.B.B.S. and diploma in Orthopedics. In the year 2010 respondent No. 1 had issued a Government Resolution for filling in the posts under D.M.E.R. on ad hoc basis till finalization of policy of recruitment of permanent Medical Officers. In the year 2012 and more particularly on 24.1.2012 an advertisement was issued by respondent No. 4 for filling in 15 sanctioned vacant posts of Medical Officers, Group-A (Class-II) in the Swami Ramanand Tirth Rural Government Medical College and Hospital at Ambajogai. Respondent No. 1 had prior to the issuance of the said advertisement has constituted committee for undertaking the selection process for various posts in Government Medical Colleges. The said committee was comprised of highly qualified official/s from the Medical Education Department and the other officials concerning the relevant subject and representative of the Socially Backward Class. The applicant had appeared before the said committee and participated in the selection

process undertaken by it. The applicant was selected and appointed on the subject post and is working continuously on the said post till this date.

- 3. It is the grievance of the applicant that now the Recruitment Rules are framed and according to the said Recruitment Rules the process of recruitment has been commenced by issuing advertisement in that regard. In the said advertisement for the Open Class Category candidates upper age limit is prescribed as 38 years. Applicant has crossed the said age limit and hence cannot apply for the said post. It is further the grievance of the applicant that even after serving for more than 10 years, he has not been regularized and is now under the threat of discontinuation.
- 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice that similarly situated other candidates have approached the Single Bench of this Tribunal with a request for regularization of their services and today itself the judgment is delivered by the Single Bench directing the respondents to regularize their services of the applicants therein. In the aforesaid circumstances, the applicant has filed the present O.A. with a prayer for regularization of his services with consequential benefits. The applicant has prayed for interim relief thereby requesting to direct the respondents to keep one post vacant till decision of the present O.A. and not to discontinue the applicant till then.
- 5. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the applicant was having an option to file the Original Application with a prayer for regularization of his services as were filed by the similarly situated other Medical Officers. Learned counsel further submitted that however,

in view of the subsequent developments, more particularly that the recruitment process has now commenced and if the new recruitees are appointed the natural consequence of it will be retrenchment or removal of the present applicant. Learned counsel further submitted that in the circumstances the applicant has approached this Tribunal with the prayer of regularization, as well as, for restraining the respondents from discontinuing the services of the applicant and to keep one post vacant till decision of the present Original Application.

- 6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. It is further submitted that for want of information the statement also cannot be made on behalf of the respondents as about the progress in the recruitment process.
- 7. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer.
- 8. From the record it is quite evident that the applicant was duly selected by the Divisional Selection Committee in the year 2012 and since then he is discharging his duties on the subject post. From the record it also reveals that at the relevant time the recruitment of the applicant was made on the basis of the G.R. dated 10.8.2001 r/w G.R. dated 1.7.2010. It is further not in dispute that at the relevant time there were no Recruitment Rules framed insofar as recruitment in the Medical Colleges are concerned. Undisputedly Recruitment Rules are framed in March, 2022 and thereafter for the first time the recruitment process has been commenced. The applicant

obviously cannot participate in the said selection process for the reason that he has crossed upper age limit prescribed for applying for the subject post. In the similar facts and circumstances, the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 2046/2010 had granted relief to the similarly placed candidates. It further appears that when the applicant had worked on the subject post for more than 10 years, his services need to be protected. As such, we find that there is prima facie case made out by the applicant and if no interim relief is granted his rights are likely to be prejudicially affected. It is to be further stated that in fact, the appropriate remedy for the applicant would have been to file the O.A. only with a prayer of regularization of services as has been done by his colleagues. However, the reason for not adopting the said course has been explained by the learned counsel. In the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order: -

ORDER

- (i) Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.09.2022.
- (ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (iv) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions

such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- (v) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- (vi) The respondents are directed not to discontinue the services of the applicant until further orders of this Tribunal.
- (vii) S.O. to 29.09.2022.
- (viii) Steno copy and hamdust granted to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.315/22 IN REV.ST.1163/22 IN O.A. 707/19 (Rama M. Shinde (Died) Through LRs. Vimal R. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAW - Hawitta Taratina Chail D.D. Dawa

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned counsel for the applicant Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641 OF 2022 (Amarsing S. Kamthekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned counsel for the applicant Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor from the list of the respondents. Leave granted as prayed for. The applicant shall delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor forthwith.
- 3. It is brought to our notice that the notices in this matter have already been issued. In view of the fact that the name of the Hon'ble Governor now stands deleted, the said notice is recalled.
- 4. It has to be stated that while ordering issuance of notice in the present O.A. inadvertently it could not be noticed by this Tribunal also that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent in the present matter or else before issuance of the notice itself the counsel for the applicant would have been required by us to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor from the array of respondents. Whenever, it was noticed that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent, this Tribunal has

invariably directed the parties to delete the name of the Hon'ble Governor. In the present matter neither it was brought to our notice by the learned counsel for the applicant nor by the learned Presenting Officer that the Hon'ble Governor has been added as respondent No. 3 in the present matter. In fact, while scrutinizing the O.A., office must have noticed the aforesaid fact and objection must have been raised at the initial stage and the said fact must have been distinctly noted down by the office in the scrutiny sheet. The office also did not seem to have taken the due care and lastly only because of inadvertence this Tribunal also could not notice the said fact. Today, when it is brought to our notice and the leave is sought for deleting the name of Hon'ble Governor, leave has been granted and the notice has also been recalled.

- 5. We regret for the inconvenience caused to the Hon'ble Governor.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer shall communicate this order today itself to the office of the Hon'ble Governor.
- 7. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737 OF 2022 (Dr. Monali T. Bhorge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts this Tribunal has granted interim relief in O.A. No. 614/2022. The copy of the said order is placed on record by the applicant.
- 3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer does not dispute the fact as are stated and further fact that in the cases of similarly situated candidates this Tribunal has granted interim relief as has been submitted by the applicant. In view of the submissions so made the following order is passed: -

ORDER

- (i) MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview subject to outcome of the present O.A.
- (ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.09.2022.

- (iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- (vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- (vii) Steno copy and hamdust granted to both parties.
- (viii) S.O. to 28.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736 OF 2022 (Dr. Pradeep A. Shendge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . Hamible Instice Chai D.D. Dane

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant belongs to Open Category and possesses the qualifications of M.B.B.S. and diploma in Orthopedics. In the year 2010 respondent No. 1 had issued a Government Resolution for filling in the posts under D.M.E.R. on ad hoc basis till finalization of policy of recruitment of permanent Medical Officers. In the year 2012 and more particularly on 24.1.2012 an advertisement was issued by respondent No. 4 for filling in 15 sanctioned vacant posts of Medical Officers, Group-A (Class-II) in the Swami Ramanand Tirth Rural Government Medical College and Hospital at Ambajogai. Respondent No. 1 had prior to the issuance of the said advertisement has constituted committee for undertaking the selection process for various posts in Government Medical Colleges. The said committee was comprised of highly qualified official/s from the Medical Education Department and the other officials concerning the relevant subject and representative of the Socially Backward Class. The applicant had appeared before the said committee and participated in the selection

process undertaken by it. The applicant was selected and appointed on the subject post and is working continuously on the said post till this date.

- 3. It is the grievance of the applicant that now the Recruitment Rules are framed and according to the said Recruitment Rules the process of recruitment has been commenced by issuing advertisement in that regard. In the said advertisement for the Open Class Category candidates upper age limit is prescribed as 38 years. Applicant has crossed the said age limit and hence cannot apply for the said post. It is further the grievance of the applicant that even after serving for more than 10 years, he has not been regularized and is now under the threat of discontinuation.
- 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice that similarly situated other candidates have approached the Single Bench of this Tribunal with a request for regularization of their services and today itself the judgment is delivered by the Single Bench directing the respondents to regularize their services of the applicants therein. In the aforesaid circumstances, the applicant has filed the present O.A. with a prayer for regularization of his services with consequential benefits. The applicant has prayed for interim relief thereby requesting to direct the respondents to keep one post vacant till decision of the present O.A. and not to discontinue the applicant till then.
- 5. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the applicant was having an option to file the Original Application with a prayer for regularization of his services as were filed by the similarly situated other Medical Officers. Learned counsel further submitted that however,

in view of the subsequent developments, more particularly that the recruitment process has now commenced and if the new recruitees are appointed the natural consequence of it will be retrenchment or removal of the present applicant. Learned counsel further submitted that in the circumstances the applicant has approached this Tribunal with the prayer of regularization, as well as, for restraining the respondents from discontinuing the services of the applicant and to keep one post vacant till decision of the present Original Application.

- 6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. It is further submitted that for want of information the statement also cannot be made on behalf of the respondents as about the progress in the recruitment process.
- 7. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer.
- 8. From the record it is quite evident that the applicant was duly selected by the Divisional Selection Committee in the year 2012 and since then he is discharging his duties on the subject post. From the record it also reveals that at the relevant time the recruitment of the applicant was made on the basis of the G.R. dated 10.8.2001 r/w G.R. dated 1.7.2010. It is further not in dispute that at the relevant time there were no Recruitment Rules framed insofar as recruitment in the Medical Colleges are concerned. Undisputedly Recruitment Rules are framed in March, 2022 and thereafter for the first time the recruitment process has been commenced. The applicant

obviously cannot participate in the said selection process for the reason that he has crossed upper age limit prescribed for applying for the subject post. In the similar facts and circumstances, the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 2046/2010 had granted relief to the similarly placed candidates. It further appears that when the applicant had worked on the subject post for more than 10 years, his services need to be protected. As such, we find that there is prima facie case made out by the applicant and if no interim relief is granted his rights are likely to be prejudicially affected. It is to be further stated that in fact, the appropriate remedy for the applicant would have been to file the O.A. only with a prayer of regularization of services as has been done by his colleagues. However, the reason for not adopting the said course has been explained by the learned counsel. In the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order: -

ORDER

- (ii) Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.09.2022.
- (ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (iv) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions

such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- (v) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- (vi) The respondents are directed not to discontinue the services of the applicant until further orders of this Tribunal.
- (vii) S.O. to 29.09.2022.
- (viii) Steno copy and hamdust granted to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

O.A.NOS. 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 470, 471, 472, 473,

O.A.NOS. 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474 & 475 ALL OF 2020 (Ganesh B. Choudhari & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

Shri S.G. Sharma, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4, 8, 10 to 16 & 19 in O.A. Nos. 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 470, 471 & 475 all of 2020 (**absent**). None appears for rest of the respondents.

- 2. Pleadings are complete. List the present case for hearing on 30.9.2022.
- 3. In the meanwhile if the applicants want to file rejoinder affidavit it would be open for them to file the same. If rejoinder affidavit is filed by the applicants they shall serve the copy of the same in advance on the other side.
- 4. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 168 OF 2022 (Shirish R. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . II. ... II. II. II. Chail D.D. Dane

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.N. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents has already been filed. He further submits that the applicant does not wish to file any rejoinder affidavit. In view thereof, list the present case for hearing on 22.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768 OF 2019 (Balasaheb T. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 14.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2018 (Sahebrao D. Deshmukh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent S.O. to 13.9.2022. **High on board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2017 (Smt. Sayyad Jarinabai Raisoddin & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Hence, the following order: -

ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 7/2021 IN O.A.NO. 768/2017 (Rajan Anna Lengde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 (absent).

2. S.O. to 28.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

MA.NO. 141/21 WITH M.A.NO. 121/21 IN O.A.NO. 295/19 (State of Maharashtra & Ors. V/s. Maharashtra Rajya Hangami Hivtap Prayogshala Karmachari Sangathana, through its President, Bharat Mulujirao Tangade)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 18.8.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. No. 141/2021/respondents in O.A., Shri Vinod Patil, learned counsel for applicant in M.A. No. 121/2021 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for applicant in O.A./C.P., are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time up to 25.8.2022 for submitting the required information. Time granted as prayed for. It is clarified that no adjournment may be granted thereafter.
- 3. S.O. to 25.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN