

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1081 of 2023 with O.A. No.547 of 2024</p> <p>R.D. GhunkikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. takes pain to explain provisions of 'Rule 5' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' and justify action taken by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, District Sindhudurg' to issue order of 'Suspension of Applicant' on 16.04.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. who is representing the 'Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department (Forest)' alongwith 'Shri Bhagwan Sawant, Deputy Secretary Revenue and Forest Department (Forest)' are is thereupon informed that there does exist fine distinction between 'Statutory Powers' vested with 'Disciplinary Authority' under 'Rule 4(1)' and 'Rule 5' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'. The 'Statutory Powers' under 'Rule 4(1)' relates only to 'Suspension'; while those under 'Rule 5' relate to imposition of both 'Minor Penalties' and 'Major Penalties' under 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.</p> <p>4. The present O.A. No.547/2024 raises substantive issues of law as already mentioned in 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 11.07.2024. The Orders passed in (i) O.A. No. 237/23 and (ii) O.A. No.279/21 do not assist in resolving the larger issues raised in O.A. No. 547/2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

with regard to competence in law of 'Disciplinary Authority' when exercising 'Statutory Powers' under 'Rule 2(c)' read with 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979'.

5. The indulgence of 'Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department (Forest)' is thus sought as addressing the question of competency under law when 'Disciplinary Authority' is required to pass order of 'Suspension' under 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979' deserves greater 'Application of Mind'; given the fact that scope of 'Statutory Powers' vested with 'Disciplinary Authority' under 'Rule 2(c)' read with 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' is evidently conservative; unlike wider scope of 'Statutory Powers' vested with 'Disciplinary Authority' under 'Section 2(c)' read with 'Rule 5' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.

6. The 'Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department (Forest)' is therefore expected after diligent 'Application of Mind' to give opinion in writing about this issue as it relates to 'Suspension' of Applicant by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, District Sindhudurg' on 16.04.2024. The exercise of 'Statutory Powers' by any with 'Disciplinary Authority' must always be in accordance with 'Rule 2(c)' read with 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'. Hence action taken by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, District Sindhudurg' under 'Rule 2(c)' read with 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' purportedly as 'Disciplinary Authority' must to pass the 'Scrutiny of Law'; as otherwise it will survive as classic example of mutilated application of 'Rule 2(c)' read with 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.

7. S.O. to 25.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.538 of 2024</p> <p>Y.R. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO based on information received from officer present on behalf of 'Additional Commissioner; Tribal Development, Thane' states that 'One Man Committee' has been constituted on 02.07.2024 under 'Deputy Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' to enquire into the reasons of undue delay in implementation of Transfer Order dated 31.05.2023 of Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned PO then states that 'Fact Finding Report' of 'One Man Committee' is expected to be received within next 15 days. Hence 'Two Weeks' time be granted for submission of 'Fact Finding Report' by 'One Man Committee' and further appropriate action thereupon by 'Additional Commissioner; Tribal Development, Thane'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.07.2024

O.A. No.789 of 2023 with O.A. No. 790 of 2023

S.M. Medhe

P.A. Sawant

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No. 789/2023 with 790/2023 has diligently undertaken an exercise which was infact expected to done much earlier by 'Agriculture Department' itself; as per contents of 'Para 5' of GR dated 13.12.2022 to revise the 'Seniority List' of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services, Group-B'; but had not even been initiated and therefore resulted in Applicants being allotted 'Revenue Divisions' of Nagpur and Amravati instead of entitlement for allotment of Nashik and Pune.

3. Learned PO is informed to forward this 'Set of Documents' presented by learned Advocate for Applicants to 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department' and seek confirmation with reference to 'Para 5' of GR dated 13.12.2022 as to whether the placement based on this simulated revised 'Seniority List' of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services, Group-B'; can be considered for Applicants to be given re-allotment to Nashik Division and Pune Division.

4. S.O. to 23.07.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.847/2024</u></p> <p>C.M. Fegade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who died on 03.09.2021 had been granted 1st Benefit of ACPS on 22.11.2014 w.e.f. 01.06.2012. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then mentions that subsequent to demise of Applicant on 03.09.2021 while serving on the post of 'Motor Transport Supervisor', the office of 'Additional Director of Police, Wireless & Transport, MS, Pune' passed Order dated 04.02.2022 to revoke grant of 1st Benefit of ACPS to Applicant based on the sole ground that the post of 'Motor Transport Supervisor' is an isolated post having no channels of promotion to next 'Higher Posts' of 'PSI' and 'PI'. 4. Learned PO stated that 'Police Inspector, Motor Transport Department, SRPF Group-8, Mumbai' by letter dated 02.03.2023 has quantified the amount to be recovered from 'Widow' of Applicant as Rs.4,00,451/-. 5. Learned PO then stated that Applicant who is 'Widow' of Police Officer has challenged the Order dated 04.02.2022 of 'Additional Director General of Police, Pune' belatedly, and therefore, 'Limitation Period' of One Year becomes applicable as per 'Section 21' of 'The Administrative Tribunals Act 1985'. 6. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasizes that this fact that Order dated 04.02.2022 has never been communicated to 'Widow' of Applicant within 'Limitation Period' of 'One Year' by

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="772 311 1501 381">'Additional Director of Police, Wireless & Transport, MS, Pune'.</p> <p data-bbox="772 432 1501 826">7. The 'Additional Director General of Police, Pune' is therefore informed to produce 'Staffing Pattern' of 'Motor Transport Department' as approved by Home Department to establish that the post of 'Motor Transport Supervisor' which was held by Applicant is an 'Isolated Post'. Till such time, no coercive action be taken for recover of Rs.4,00,451/- from Widow of Applicant, as per letter dated 02.03.2023 of 'Police Inspector, Motor Transport Department, SRPF Group-8, Mumbai'.</p> <p data-bbox="772 878 1433 909">8. Issue notice returnable on 13.08.2024.</p> <p data-bbox="772 947 1501 1051">9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p data-bbox="772 1089 1501 1334">10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="772 1373 1501 1540">11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p data-bbox="772 1579 1501 1823">12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p data-bbox="772 1862 1501 2068">13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p data-bbox="772 2094 1249 2125">14. S.O. to 13th August, 2024.</p> <div data-bbox="1161 2171 1473 2326" style="text-align: right;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> </div> <p data-bbox="772 2351 826 2382">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.848/2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Bhandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was granted 1st Benefit of 'ACPS' on 22.01.2015 w.e.f. 01.05.2010. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then mentions that subsequent to retirement of Applicant on 31.05.2021 after serving on post of 'Additional Director of Police, Wireless & Transport, MS, Pune' passed Order dated 25.01.2023 to revoke grant of 1st Benefit of 'ACPS' to Applicant based on the sole ground that the post of 'Motor Transport Supervisor' is an 'Isolated Posts' having no channels of promotion to next Higher Posts of 'PSI' and 'PI'. 4. Learned PO stated that 'Officer Superintendent, SRPF Group-1, Pune' by letter dated 09.05.2023 has quantified the amount to be recovered from Applicant to be Rs.2,88,771/-. 5. Learned PO further stated that Applicant has challenged the Order dated 25.01.2023 of 'Special Inspector General of Police, [Training & Specal Unit], M.S, Pune' belatedly, and therefore, the 'Limitation Period' of 'One Year' becomes applicable as per 'Section 21' of 'The Administrative Tribunals Act 1985'. 6. Learned Advocate for Applicant then emphasizes that Order dated 25.01.2023 has never been communicated to widow of Applicant within the 'Limitation Period' of 'One Year' by 'Additional Director of Police, Wireless & Transport, MS, Pune'. 7. The 'Special Inspector General of Police, [Training & Specal Unit], M.S, Pune' is therefore informed to produce 'Staffing Pattern' of 'Motor Transport Department' as approved by the 'Home Department' to establish that the post of 'Motor

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Transport Supervisor' which as held was Applicant is an 'Isolated Post'. Till such time, no coercive action be taken against Applicant for recover of Rs.2,88,771/- as per 'Officer Superintendent, SRPF Group-1, Pune' letter dated 25.01.2023.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 13.08.2024.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 13th August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.45/2024 in O.A.1577/2023

A.L. Dure ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that this OA No.1577/2023 was at stage of 'Final Hearing - Part Heard' before 'Single Bench-II' as of 06.05.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then emphasizes that 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' had given directions in 'Writ Petition No.4793 of 2024' on 16.04.2024 for expeditious hearing of this OA No.1577/2023 within 3 Weeks.

4. The 'Single Bench-III' had earlier on 10.04.2024 sought transfer of this OA No.1577/2023 to other 'Single Bench' and accordingly it was placed before 'Single Bench-II' on 29.04.2024. Thereupon, this OA No.1577/2023 was taken up for 'Final Hearing' by 'Single Bench-II' on 29.04.2024 and 06.05.2024.

5. The 'Single Bench-II' and 'Single Bench-III' are now held by same Member (A) who had earlier on 10.04.2024 sought transfer of this OA No.1577/2023. Therefore, this OA No.1577 of 2023 be now placed before other 'Single Bench' or 'Division Bench' for 'Final Hearing' and compliance of directions given by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in 'Writ Petition No.4793 of 2024' on 16.04.2024.

6. The 'Registrar' of 'Mumbai Bench' accordingly informed to place this OA No.1577 of 2024 with MA No.45 of 2024 before other 'Single Bench' or 'Division Bench'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1465 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. JathureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that no information is yet received from office of 'Additional DGP & Director and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' about completion of the exercise to ascertain how many 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operators' now serving outside 'Greater Mumbai' have consented to be transferred to 'Greater Mumbai' to serve on establishment of 'DCP PC & IT, South Zone, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. The 'Additional DGP & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' by now would have certainly completed the exercise to ascertain from 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operators' who are now serving outside 'Greater Mumbai' regarding their willingness to be transferred to 'Greater Mumbai' to serve on establishment of 'DCP PC & IT, South Zone, Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. The 'Additional DGP & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' therefore informed to depute responsible officer the rank of 'Deputy S.P. / A.C.P.' with specific information about how many 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operators' who are now serving outside 'Greater Mumbai' have consented</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

al's orders

to be transferred to 'Greater Mumbai' to serve on establishment of 'DCP PC & IT, South Zone, Mumbai'. Further the 'Additional DGP & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' to also convey by when their 'Transfer Orders' can be issued after meeting of 'P.E.B.' to be held as per provisions of 'Section 22 J-4' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.

5. S.O. to 25.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.230 of 2024</p> <p>Y.K. BangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits letter received from Revenue and Forest Department dated 18.07.2024 where in it is requested for grant further time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>3. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department' is accordingly granted time of further 'Two Weeks' to arrive at reasoned conclusion regarding willingness to review or otherwise Revenue & Forest Department Government Orders dated 15.02.2024 about transfers of 'Applicant' & 'Respondent No.2' which may thereupon be placed on record by filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2024</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.687 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. SalunkheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri R.V. Dighe, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.666/2023

Y.B. Yadav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO states that the prayers of Applicant regarding payment of 'Interest' on delayed payment of amount of DCRG, Commutation of Pension and Monthly Pension. The amount of 'Interest' claimed is mentioned in letter of Applicant dated 24.02.2023. However, it will be appropriate, if Applicant now submits his specific claim in 'Tabular Chart' for each of the 'Retirement Benefits'.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that he will inform Applicant to submit his claims for payment of 'Interest' in 'Tabular Chart' regarding delayed payment of DCRG, Commutation of Pension and Monthly Pension. He submits that Applicant will make 'Fresh Representation' within 2 Weeks to 'Joint Director of Agriculture' with copy to (i) 'Commissioner of Agriculture, Pune' and 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department'.
4. The 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department' is informed that as Applicant had retired from service long back on 30.06.2009 and that his 'PPO' was issued very belatedly on 15.06.2022 upon Order dated 18.02.2022 in OA No.48/2022, the case of Applicant regarding 'Interest' on delayed payment of amount of DCRG, Commutation of Pension and Monthly Pension deserves to be decided on priority within 4 Weeks from submission of 'Fresh Representation' along with 'Tabular Chart' by Applicant.
5. S.O. to 20th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.640/2024</u></p> <p>M.V. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant produces copy of letter from Applicant dated 'Nil' wherein it is mentioned that 'SP, Sangli' has revoked his 'Suspension Order' on 29.05.2024 and then posted him as 'Police Head Constable' in Kavathe Mahankal Police Station, Sangli District. 3. The learned PO states that he would like to place on record Order of 'SP, Sangli' dated 29.05.2024 by way of filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. 4. The grievance of Applicant now stands redressed. The OA 640/2024 is thus disposed off. No Orders as to Costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.290/2024 in O.A.341/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. K.B. Deore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant in MA No.290/2024 in OA 341/2022 states that limited prayer is to take decision on the report of 'Dean, Rajarshree Chatrapati Shahu Maharaj Government Medical College, Kolhapur' dated 08.02.2024 to release grants as per Public Health Department GR dated 18.09.2010 for payment of arrears to Applicant for the period of service as 'Heart Surgeon'.</p> <p>3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department informed to take decision within 4 Weeks about the report submitted by 'Dean, Rajarshree Chatrapati Shahu Maharaj Government Medical College, Kolhapur' dated 08.02.2024 and then inform Applicant within 2 Weeks.</p> <p>4. The MA No.290/2024 accordingly stands disposed off. The OA No.341/2022 will thus be heard after the decision is taken by 'Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.273/2024

K.G. Dhapate ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted as last chance.
3. S.O. to 1st August, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.611/2024

T.M.A.Khan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant now states that 'Principal' of 'Elphinstone College, Mumbai' has updated the 'Service Book' of Applicant on 16.02.2024 and sent to 'Pay & Accounts Office, Mumbai'.
3. S.O. to 5th August, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.574/2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Pagare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1st August, 2024 for filing 'Rejoinder' if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.425/2024

C.S. Vitekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 7th August, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.387/2024</u></p> <p>M.M. Ketkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Manvi Sharma, learned Advocate holding for Shri Harshad Sathe, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.182/2024 in O.A.398/2024

P.G. Nilje ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. For the reasons mentioned in MA No.182/2024 for Condonation of Delay, MA is allowed.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.398/2024

P.G. Nilje ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 14.08.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 14th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.167/2024

P.B. Gatkul ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Applicant in person present. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 9th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1101/2023</u></p> <p>A.J. Ghorge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri G. Jadhav, learned Advocate for Applicant is absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1305/2023

S.S. Dhainje ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 31st July, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.903/2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Kumawat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents and Shri Kiran Upasani, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 3.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9th August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As.664 & 665/2023

B.N. Rangdal ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO states that as there is issue relating to limitation, he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' and therefore seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 5th August, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.300/2023

D.D. Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant states that he is filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder and copy of the same has been served to learned PO.
3. Admit. Liberty to file 'Sur-Rejoinder'.
4. S.O. to 13th August, 2024 for 'Final Hearing'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.728/2024

S.N. Thakur ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 31st July, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

OA Nos.86 & 87/2023

B.L. Dadge ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 31st July, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.736/2024</u></p> <p>C.R. Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OA Nos.620 & 621/2023</u></p> <p>D.B. Patil S.B. Balwant ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in OA 621/2023. It is taken on Record.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in OA 620/2021. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.07.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 398/2024 in O.A 563/2024 with M.A 399/2024 in O.A 563/2024 with O.A 563/2024 with M.A 400/2024 in O.A 564/2024 with M.A 401/2024 in O.A 564/2024 with O.A 564/2024</u></p> <p>K.S Dalvi & Ors ... Applicants/ Intervenors</p> <p>N.V Chikane [O.A 563/2024] R.R Jadhav [O.A 564/2024] ... Applicants Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicants-Intervenors, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent No. 2, Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the original Applicants in O.A 563/2024 & 564/2024.</p> <p>2. Misc Application Nos 398/2024 & 400/2024 is filed by the Applicants-Intervenors who are selected along with the original Applicants seeking intervention in the Original Application Nos 563/2024 & 564/2024. Further M.A Nos 399 & 401/2024 is filed by the Applicants-Intervenors for vacating the stay granted by this Tribunal by order dated 23.4.2024, so that the way of their appointments will be cleared.</p> <p>3. In both the Original Applications No 563 & 564/2024, the Applicants applied in the category of Female Open for the various posts. However, their candidature were rejected on the ground of non-submission of Non-Creamy Layer Certificate. Learned counsel for the Applicants submitted that both the Applicants are meritorious and have secured 530.50 marks. Learned counsel for the Applicants submitted that by G.R dated 4.5.2023 production of N.C.L Certificate for open female category is done away by the State Government. However, the cut-off date mentioned in para 5 of G.R dated 4.5.2023 is held as void and unconstitutional by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal by order dated 10.5.2024 in O.A 932/2023, Saraswati K. Makne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. Learned counsel for the Applicants also pointed out that similar order dated 12.7.2024 is passed by the Principal Bench in O.A 1139/2023, Dr Sanghamitra K. Phule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned counsel Smt Mahajan for the Applicants further submitted that both the Applicants are similarly situated and they are covered by the ratio of the above two Judgments of the Tribunal dated 10.5.2024 and 12.7.2024 in O.A 932/2023 and O.A 1139/2023.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O concedes to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Applicants on the point that both the applicants are covered by the decisions of the Tribunal dated 10.5.2024 & 12.7.2024 in O.A 932/2023 and O.A 1139/2023.</p> <p>6. In view of the above we pass the following order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(a) Both the Original Applications 563 & 564/2024 are allowed, as the cut-off date mentioned in G.R dated 4.5.2023 is held illegal and unconstitutional.</p> <p>(b) The benefits are to be extended to both the applicants and their candidature should not be rejected on the ground of non-production of N.C.L Certificate.</p> <p>(c) The Respondents to consider their candidature and prepare and revise the Provisional Select List and thereafter the recruitment process to continue.</p> <p>(d) The stay granted by this Tribunal by order dated 23.4.2024 stands vacated.</p> <p>(e) As both the Original Applications are allowed, the grievances of the Intervenors-Applicants are also redressed, and the stay granted by this Tribunal by order dated 23.4.2024 is vacated, the Misc Applications seeking intervention in the Original Applications and the Misc Applications praying to vacate the stay granted by this Tribunal by order dated 23.4.2024 stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 832/2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>V.B Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for Speaking to the Minutes that in para 5 of the order dated 15.7.2024 in line no two instead of the word "qua" it should be "except". 3. Learned P.O submits to the orders of the Court. 4. Accordingly in the second line of the order dated 15.7.2024 the word "qua Applicants" should be read as "except Applicants". 5. Ordered accordingly. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 748/2024</u></p> <p>S.T Nikam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 661/2024</u></p> <p>V.B Jadhawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. S.O to 25.7.2024. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 591/2024</u></p> <p>J.S Toke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard M. Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 523/2024</u></p> <p>K.S Anantkawalas ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. The Respondent are granted time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 434/2024</u></p> <p>V.N Bodke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 380/2024</u></p> <p>V.R Nagargoje & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place final hearing on 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 245/2024</u></p> <p>K.H Borse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 208/2024 with M.A 349/2024</u></p> <p>D.K Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 6.8.2024. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 201/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.S Chhapekar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. The Respondent are directed to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1608/2021</u></p> <p>J.A Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1493/2023</u></p> <p>B.D Shelar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1381/2023</u></p> <p>N.V Patangrao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Humane holding for Ms Ranjana Todankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel pointed out to the order dated 24.5.2023 wherein the names of the applicants are mentioned that they are to be considered for appointment. This letter was written by the District Malaria Officer, Nasik to the Joint Director, Public Health Department. Learned counsel submits that in view of the above, four posts of Multi Purpose Health Workers are to be kept vacant.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondents that four posts of Multi Purpose Health Workers be kept vacant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1352/2023 along with M.A 345/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>A.A Bhosale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for P.B Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Shri R.L Adhe, S.H Kshirsagar, S.Kale, A.Wadhwa, P. Salvo P.R Jaamdhide and P. Ghuge, for private Respondents absent.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the applicants want to delete 31 private Respondents and also there are certain minor changes which the applicants seek. So also there are changes in the prayer clause.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that Misc Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Hence M.A 345/2024 is kept on Board on 23.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1205/2023</u></p> <p>S.A Jawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 525/2024</u></p> <p>R.R Khedkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1001/2023</u></p> <p>S.D Davbhat & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 699/2023</u></p> <p>Y.A Ahire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 546 & 543/2023</u></p> <p>N.R Takey & Ors Dr V.N Wahul & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Shri A.R Kapadnis, learned counsel for Respondents No 6 & 8 absent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.7.2024. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 353/2024 in O.A 481/2023</u></p> <p>R.B Mote & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for clubbing Original Applications pending before the M.A.T, Mumbai, Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench and be assigned to one Bench for final hearing.</p> <p>3. M.A to be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson on 23.7.2024 at 10.15 am.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 408/2024 in O.A 192/2022</u></p> <p>M.N Shingade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel submits that Misc Application is filed with a prayer that directions be given to the Respondents to promote the applicant on an ad hoc basis on the post of Range Forest Officer forthwith in view of paras 9 & 11 respectively of G.R dated 15.12.2017 and accordingly the D.P.C to be constituted within four weeks. 3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. 4. S.O to 25.7.2024. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.355/24 & RA.9/23 in OA.570/2017</u></p> <p>B.G. Kingare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.G. Kingare Applicant-in-person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.7.2024. HOB.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2024</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2024</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2024	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2024
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2024	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2024		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2024

O.A.No.825 of 2024 (O.A.No.33/2024 Nagpur)

With

O.A.No.826/2024 (O.A.No.483/2024 Nagpur)

Dr. M.P. Motghare

Dr. P.B. Khandate

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.S. Sirpurkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 24.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="792 1184 1049 1223">Date : 18.07.2024</p> <p data-bbox="1015 1231 1268 1268">O.A.No.245/2017</p> <p data-bbox="792 1294 1437 1355">R.R. Rathod .Applicants Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="792 1358 1478 1394">The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="792 1421 1503 1552">1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="792 1592 1503 1671">2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 22.07.2024.</p> <p data-bbox="892 1763 958 1802">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1280 1763 1346 1802">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="792 1855 1007 1934">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="1156 1855 1462 1934">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p data-bbox="792 1934 834 1960">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.381 of 2024 in O.A. No.665 of 2024 with O.A. No.1255 of 2024</p> <p>M.K. DhakaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant in M.A. No.381/2024, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 to 4 in M.A. No.381/2024, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 to 3 in O.A. No.665/2024, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1,2 & 4 in O.A. No.1255/2024, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 - Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation in O.A. No.1255/2024 & 'Special Counsel' for 'Public Health Department' in 'O.A. No.665/2024'. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.665/2024 & O.A. No.1255/2024 through Video-Conference.</p> <p>2. The M.A. No.381 of 2024 which is about Applicant therein to be impleaded as Respondent No.5 in O.A. No.665 of 2024 will be heard on 30.07.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.383 of 2024 in O.A. No.708 of 2024</p> <p>Smt. P.C. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere learned Advocate for Applicant in M.A. No.383/2024 who is Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.708/2024, Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.708/2024.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.07.2024 on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is thereupon informed that the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.07.2024 does not mention anything about implementation of 'Policy Guidelines' framed by 'Urban Development Department' based on 'Seniority List' of 'Chief Officers - Group A' for greater transparency in matters relating to their transfers based on pre-defined entitlement to various posts in different classes of 'Municipal Corporations'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Date: 18.07.2024

O.A. No.876 of 2024

S.W. KhadseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.08.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 07.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraborty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Final's orders

Date: 18.07.2024

O.A. No.851 of 2024

V.R. Chavan (Nirdhar)

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 24.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 18.07.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video Conference', Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1285 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 18.07.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1407 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. L.G. BhalshankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.323 of 2023</u></p> <p>Bhaidas N. Dhole ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Bhagyashri Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to remain present with proper instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.267 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & 20 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Tejas Deshmukh with Shri Anshuman Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants No.1 to 5 & 14, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants No.6 to 11 & 15 to 21 and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Tejas Deshmukh, Ld. Advocate states that he has received copy of reply today and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2024. HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1216 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.K. Gore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Videoconferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is already adjourned on board and scheduled on 26.8.2024. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks early date since the subject matter of the OA is appointment.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.7.2024 18.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.60 of 2024 in O.A. No.89 of 2024</u></p> <p>L.B. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has made an oral application for speaking to minutes of the order dated 16.7.2024 passed in the above matter. He states that the matter is adjourned to 6.8.2024 whereas it should have been adjourned to 23.7.2024 as one week's time is granted to comply the order.</p> <p>3. Hence, the adjourned dated of '6.8.2024' be corrected and read as '23.7.2024'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.7.2024 18.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 258/2024 in O.A 546/2024</u></p> <p>S.K Navtake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 243/2024 in O.A 518/2024</u></p> <p>A.A Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekear, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 233/2024 in O.A 1025/2021</u></p> <p>S.G Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 134/2024 in O.A 410/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Kumavat & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed praying for interim relief.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O pointed out that interim protection is already granted by this Tribunal by order dated 15.9.2024. Learned P.O also submits that Hon'ble Bombay High Court by order dated 16.1.2024 in W.P Nos 999/2024 along with W.P 683/2024 has granted interim relief in respect of similarly situated persons.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>5. O.A 410/2023 to be placed on Board on 22.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 109/2024 in O.A 1210/2019</u></p> <p>A.K Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Deepak Jain i/b Shri D.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply is filed today.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 86/2024 in O.A 849/2023</u></p> <p>S.D Bhagat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 719/2023 in O.A 1462/2023</u></p> <p>G.S Ahankari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent No. 1 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 594/2023 in O.A 574/2023</u></p> <p>S.I Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 375/2024 in O.A 1309/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.S Raskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking early hearing of the Original Application. The Original Application cannot be heard at an early date as no Judicial Member is available.</p> <p>3. Misc Application seeking early hearing is rejected.</p> <p>4. O.A No. 1309/2023 to be placed on Board on 12.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 223/2024 in O.A 1364/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.R Rathod . . . Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors . . . Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed seeking early hearing of the Original Application. The Original Application cannot be heard at an early date as no Judicial Member is available.</p> <p>3. Misc Application seeking early hearing is rejected.</p> <p>4. O.A No. 1364/2023 to be heard on 26.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>R.A 13/2023 in O.A 512/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>H.B Yelde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Thorat, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 59/2024 in O.A 1151/2022</u></p> <p>P.S Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that order of this Tribunal is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 10969/2024 and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 16.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 58/2024 in O.A 82/2017</u></p> <p>D.K Khairnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 53/2024 in O.A 336/2015</u></p> <p>R.A Badekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the service of the applicant is discontinued as candidate selected through MPSC was available. The said order of discontinuation dated 1.3.2024 is challenged by the applicant afresh by filing O.A 510/2024. Learned P.O thus submits that under such circumstances, this C.A is not maintained.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to make statement whether candidate selected through MPSC is regularly appointed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 50/2024 in O.A 162/2024</u></p> <p>M.S Radye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.3. S.O to 1.8.2024. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 49/2024 in O.A 1115/2018</u></p> <p>M.S Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant's Police Verification is going on and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 30/2024 in O.A 111/2022</u></p> <p>D.D Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 23/2024 in O.A 632/2016</u></p> <p>S.D Malwade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Deepak Pote a/w Sandesh V. Kate i/b A.P Avhad, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the Tribal Development Department has given its consent to issue the orders. However, the file is before the Skill Development Department and further they need approval of G.A.D and Finance Department.</p> <p>3. We do understand that particular procedure is required to be followed by the Government. However, we direct that the file should be moved within two weeks from one department to the other Department as the matter is fixed after two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 14/2024 in O.A 1005/2017</u></p> <p>P.B Dhanawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 70/2023 in O.A 823/2022 with C.A 75/2023 in O.A 116/2021</u></p> <p>A.T Patil S.S Bhusare ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the decision of this Tribunal is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matters adjourned to 29.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 278/2023 in M.A 436/2023 in O.A 744/2016</u></p> <p>U.M Kashyap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri G. Palve, learned counsel for the applicant and the applicant both absent. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p><u>Later on :</u></p> <p>Shri G. Palve, learned counsel for the applicant appeared and submitted that he and the applicant both were present in this Tribunal and when the matter was called out he was sitting outside and was not aware of the same.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prayed that the Misc Application be restored.</p> <p>Misc Application is restored and placed on Board on 29.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 854/2024</u></p> <p>A.A Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the impugned wait list (qua the applicant) wherein the name of the applicant has been shown as Waiting List 18 under Open Category (LDS) Female with remark 'Not having NCL 2022-23 Certificate'.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that Respondents be directed to keep one post of Crafts Instructor Machinist Trade under Open Female Category vacant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel further submitted that the decision of this Tribunal dated 12.7.2024 in O.A 1139/2023 be taken into account.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>6. We direct the Respondents to file reply within one week and further one post of Crafts Instructor Machinist Trade under Open Female Category be kept vacant until further orders.</p> <p>7. S.O to 25.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 839/2024</u></p> <p>V.P Mhasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R Patil, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 8.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 837/2024</u></p> <p>Y.S Thorat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.7.2024.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 25.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 822/2024</u></p> <p>V.A Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Assistant Police Inspector, challenges the order dated 10.6.2024 passed by the Respondents wherein the name of the applicant is not included in the list of promotion for the post of Police Inspector for not having the Proficiency in Computer, i.e., MS-CIT.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that like the applicant there are nearly 30 to 40 similarly situated candidates, whose cases are required to be placed before the D.P.C.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is holding Certificate issued by DOEACC Society which is an autonomous body of Department of Information Technology, Ministry of Communications and Information Technology, Government of India, equivalent to MS-CIT as per G.Rs dated 19.3.2023, 26.5.2004 and 4.2.2013.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to consider why the Certificate issued by DOEACC Society which is an autonomous body of Department of Information Technology, Ministry of Communications and Information Technology, Government of India is not to be considered as equivalent to MS-CIT.</p> <p>6. S.O to 19.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>H.G Sapkal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 9.8.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>