

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2023

O.A.No.137 of 2023

S. T. Ghusar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant raised the issue of non-taking review of the suspension though the period of nine months is over.

3. However, learned P.O. submits that review was taken twice. Firstly before filing of Affidavit in Reply and secondly after filing Affidavit in Reply. Surprisingly, there is no reference of review in reply.

4. Learned P.O. sought time to file additional Affidavit in Reply giving details of review.

5. S.O. to 27.07.2023

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.460 of 2023</p> <p>B.B. Pawar & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.07.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. On request of learned P.O., O.A. is adjourned to 25.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.74 of 2023 in C.A. No.41 of 2020 in O.A. No.556 of 2007</p> <p>A.B. GhodeswarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that there is no ground for this C.A. and it is not maintainable. Learned P.O. further submits that they have complied the order of the Tribunal in O.A. No.556/2007 dated 24.10.2007 and also order in C.A. No.41/2020 in O.A. No.556/2007 dated 04.02.2021. He further submits that the D.P.C. has taken decision in the Applicant's case that he is not entitled for Deemed Date of Promotion and it is communicated to the Applicant by letter dated 27.03.2023 issued by the Mr. Makarand Deshmukh, Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Kokan Bhavan. He produces minutes of meeting of D.P.C. which was held on 22.01.2021 at 02.00 p.m. He further submits that the reasoned order is passed in D.P.C. as to why the Applicant is not entitled.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2023.  Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2023

O.A.No.691/2023

A.V. KurhadeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar seeks two weeks time to file affidavit.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar produces order dated 26.06.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.7677/2023 by the Hon'ble High Court, who is Original Respondent No.2 in present O.A. At paragraph 23 while setting aside the order the Bombay High Court, has passed the following order:

"23. We therefore set aside the interim order dated 19 June 2023 passed by the Tribunal. Petitioner has taken over charge of the post till decision of the Original Application. The Original Application is posted by the Tribunal for hearing on 17 July 2023. Parties shall complete the pleadings in the OA well in advance before the next date of hearing. Petitioner and the State Government may file their Affidavits-in-reply opposing the OA within one week and shall cooperate with the Tribunal for its early decision. With the above directions, Writ Petition is disposed of."

4. Today, the State has submitted that till now affidavits have not been filed by the State and also by the Respondent No.2. Hence, in view of the order of Hon'ble High Court, matter to proceed without reply.

5. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply as per order of the Hon'ble High Court. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Government needs one week time i.e. to 25.07.2023. Time given to file affidavit till 24.07.2023.

6. Matter is fixed on 25.07.2023 for Final Hearing.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.357 of 2016</p> <p>C.A. GhodkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Junior Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. points out Para 3 of order dated 13.07.2023. He submits that pursuant to the said noting that the Applicant did not produce any caste certificate, and therefore he could not be promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police on the basis of reservation policy. Learned P.O. produces letter dated 03.05.2018 and relies on it.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that this is the answer given by Home Department to representation made by the Applicant and thereby confirming reasons for not giving him promotion on the count of non-production of valid caste certificate.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the representation of the Applicant was decided two years after the date of representation. He points out the order dated 09.10.2015 in Writ Petition No.8109/2015 in Chandrakant A. Ghodke, this petition was filed by the Applicant. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further submits that in that application the Applicant had challenged decision of the Caste Scrutiny Committee invaliding his Caste Validity Certificate. Learned Advocate for the Applicant points out by way of that interim order the Hon'ble High Court has directed no coercive action be taken in respect of the services. ^[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Considered the submissions, Reply dated 03.05.2018 given by the Government to the Applicant that the promotion cannot be granted as the Applicant has not produced any caste certificate is found legal and valid, and therefore nothing remains in this matter.

6. In view of above, matter is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.NO.862/2023</p> <p>P.V. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays to quash and set aside order dated 25.03.2023 and 24.05.2023 passed by Respondent No.1. Applicant states that he has given options as per G.Rs. dated 09.04.2018 and 29.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate relies on the G.R. dated 21.11.2022 that the preferences are to be given to ex-servicemen in respect of transfer on the basis of preferences pursuant to G.R. dated 09.04.2018. Learned Advocate has submitted that as per G.R. of November, 2022 it is binding on the Government to consider the convenience and also administrative need and exigency and hence Applicant is to be appointed. Learned Advocate has submitted that out of 10 preferences none of them is considered by the Department for the transfer of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.07.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 20.07.2023.

Sd/- 

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2023

M. A. No.333 of 2023 in O.A.No.542 of 2023

B. A. KoliApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M. A. is filed to condone the delay of 11 months caused in filing O.A. challenging communication dated 10.05.2021 whereby request of the Applicant for Time Bound Promotion benefit has been rejected.
3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2019. Thereafter, he raised the issue of denial of Time Bound Promotion benefit particularly 3rd benefit in terms of G.R. dated 02.03.2019. The Applicant made representation against the said communication which came to be rejected by communication dated 10.05.2021. The O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 10.05.2022. However, it is filed on 08.05.2023.
4. The Respondents have not filed Affidavit in Reply though notices were issued on 06.06.2023.
5. Since the Applicant is retired Government servant, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide the matter on merit.
6. In view of above, the delay is condoned and M.A. is allowed subject to cost of Rs.2000/- payable in the Tribunal within two weeks.
7. If the cost is paid, O.A. be listed for admission and in failure to do so, O.A. stands dismissed without reference to Tribunal.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.83 of 2023</p> <p>S. B. SapkalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.397 of 2023</p> <p>A. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.549 of 2023</p> <p>R. K. GonjariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The perusal of record reveals that the Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit in Reply and no separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. 3. Learned P.O. submits that reply of Respondent No.3 is sufficient. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 04.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.322 of 2023 in O.A.No.628 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>V. R. Kumbhar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel, for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant). 2. This M.A.is filed for extension of time to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.628/2022 on 25.11.2022. 3. The Tribunal by order dated 25.11.2022 directed the Respondents to include the name of Applicant in the waiting list for compassionate appointment on suitable post, subject to fulfillment of eligibility criteria within three months from the date of order. 4. Thus, the order was to be complied with on or before 25.02.2023. However, it is not complied. This M.A. for extension of time is filed quite belatedly on 03.05.2023 i.e. after expiration of time given by the Tribunal. 5. The application is totally silent about the steps taken by the department to implement the order within time given by the Tribunal. 6. All that it is stated in M.A. that due to unavoidable circumstances, the order was not complied with. 7. Thus, the Respondents seems not serious about implementation of order passed by the Tribunal and taking its own time. 8. M.A. for extension of time is, therefore, rejected. 9. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.102 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P. R. DharmadhikariApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is transpired that Applicant has not joined another employee who seems to be posted in his place.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to find out whether there is any other order of posting of somebody else in place of the Applicant to take necessary steps to implead him as a Respondent.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2023 for necessary steps.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.05 of 2023</p> <p>A. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. 3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. 4. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal by order dated 04.01.2023 stands vacated. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.179 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. MurudkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 are present.</p> <p>2. Since, today learned Counsel and his Counsel are absent, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing to them.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.639 of 2023 With O.A. No.640 of 2023</p> <p>Shri B. G. Bansode Shri S. S. Sonawane</p> <p align="right">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have filed these Original Applications to implement the directions given by the Collector, Nashik by letter dated 25.04.2023 instructing concerned department to appoint the heirs of deceased Government servants on compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. In present case, the deceased Government servants were on the establishment of Superintending Engineer and Administrator, Command Area, Development Authority, Nashik. After the death of deceased Government servants, the Applicants have applied for compassionate appointment and their names were taken in the waiting list.</p> <p>4. The Collector, Nashik has taken review of the vacancies and found 34 vacancies on the establishment of Respondent No.2 - Deputy Director of Land Record, Nashik. The Collector, therefore, by communication dated 24.03.2023 directed Respondent No.2 to appoint the Applicants on their establishment on compassionate ground within seven days.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Since, there was no compliance, the Applicants have approached the Tribunal.

6. The Respondents have not filed Affidavit in Reply till date. When the matter is taken up for admission and hearing, learned P.O. was directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.2 about compliance of direction given by the Collector by letter dated 25.04.2023.

7. Learned P.O. accordingly on instructions submits that now steps are being taken to appoint the Applicants on the establishment of Respondent No.2 and ~~also~~^{Police} verification report is called for. He further submits that ~~within~~[&] one month necessary orders will be issued.

8. Thus, the Applicants grievance is being redressed within a month.

9. In view of above, both Original Applications are disposed of with directions to Respondent No.2 - Deputy Director of Land Record, Nashik to pass appropriate orders of appointment to the Applicants within a month from today subject to suitability and other conditions on appropriate post.

10. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.455/2023 in O.A.No.859/2023</p> <p>K.B. Dongre & Anrs.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.697/2023 (Caveat No.21/2023)</p> <p>Dr. R.R. TryambakeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.697/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer in Caveat No.21/2023. Mr. P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.08.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 08.08.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.831/2023 with O.A.No.848/2023 with O.A.No.859/2023</p> <p>P.S. Hadule & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These three O.As are arising out of the same order for inter-district transfer from the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to Beed. As per letter dated 13.03.2022 there are 47 vacancies to be filled up. Learned Advocate prays that these 10 applicants desire to join Beed Police Force.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has informed that the hearing of all such matters wherein order to allow inter-district transfer were passed are before the Hon'ble High Court on 25.07.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that till then the vacancies in inter-district at Beed are not to be filled up.</p> <p>5. On the issue of how much Police Force is required to maintain the law and order of that particular District or Metro city like Mumbai, the Superintendent of Police or Commissioner of Police is competent authority to access and decide. At present out of 47 posts, these 10 vacancies should be kept vacant qua the present Applicants. As the matter is fixed before Hon'ble High Court on 25.07.2023, it is made clear that thereafter I am not inclined to keep the posts vacant. Respondent No.4, Superintendent of Police, Beed to file his affidavit to consider whether these posts are to be kept vacant for the Applicants.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 27.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2023

O.A.No.835/2023

N.V. DeshmukhApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has submitted that the Government of Maharashtra pursuant to order dated 13.07.2023 has issued the order of posting of the Applicant on 17.07.2023 as Sub Divisional Officer, District Osmanabad and he reported duty on 17.07.2023 at 23.45 hours.

3. In view of these facts on the background of the relief sought nothing remains in this O.A. as the grievance is redressed. O.A. is allowed with following order :

ORDER

Interim relief order dated 13.7.2023 is hereby confirmed and the order of payment of Salary with 9% interest is to be complied with, within four weeks from today i.e. by 18.08.2023.

4. O.A. stands disposed of as allowed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.787/2023</p> <p>A.R. DeokarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges the seniority list dated 15.06.2023 and prays that the Respondent No.1 be directed to prepare the fresh seniority list considering the date of joining as date of seniority of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate relies on the order dated 06.07.2023 passed in O.A.No.526/2023, Govind B. Bhokare Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors. by the Aurangabad Bench. Learned Advocate has submitted that the order dated 06.07.2023 has bearing over the deciding the issue of this matter.</p> <p>4. Taken on record. Learned Advocate to serve the copy of the same to the concerned.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that copy of the O.A. is not served to the Department.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy to all the concern forthwith.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on
1.8.23
25.07.2023.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 01.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.786 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.G. Pathan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.8.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.733 of 2023

V.J. Mane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note and requested for short adjournment.

3. S.O. to 21.7.2023. Interim relief to continue.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
18.7.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.646 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Bhadange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.8.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.843 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.S. Tikole ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.830 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhá Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.816 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.P. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.431 of 2023 in O.A. No.783 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Sansé ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.395 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Yogita Shah & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is though shown on board but it is adjourned to 19.7.2023. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that reply of MPSC will be filed during the course of the day. However, two weeks time is sought for filing of Reply of Respondent-State.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.8.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2023</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.35/2021 in OA No.229/2019 with OA No.408/2022</u></p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.E. Kulkarni, Joint Secretary, Home Department is present in the Court. Ld. CPO on instructions submits that on account of ongoing Assembly Session it is not possible to give explanation and detailed reply on the point how candidates in waiting list are accommodated and order of the Tribunal is in fact implemented. However, it is not possible due to Assembly Sessions to do this work and hence time is sought till 10.8.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.8.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">R.A.No.3/2023 in O.A.No.280/2022</p> <p>G.B. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in R.A.No.3/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents. Mr. S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.280/2022 and Mr. Waghmare, learned Advocate for Private Respondents No.3 to 8 are absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Pooja request for adjournment after 28.07.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of above, adjourned to 22.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015</p> <p>G.P. WadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Advocate holding for Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply of Mr. Deepak N. Kendre, Deputy Secretary, office of the Secretary, Public Health Department stating that follow up is taken by the Department of the file. These all explanations cannot be accepted. The compliance of the order which is passed on 04.01.2022 is yet pending. We keep this matter on alternate day and after few days if there is no compliance we will be constrained to issue Contempt Notice against Add. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.07.2023 for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1114 of 2016</p> <p>B.M. Sonawane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces order dated 02.02.2022 in Writ Petition (St.) No.1231 of 2022 filed by The State of Maharashtra and Ant. V/s. Shri Arvind C. Rane & Ors. In this matter the Applicants were terminated by order dated 26.11.2015. All the Applicants were working in L&JD and in the Office of Government Pleader in Hon'ble Bombay High Court. She points out that some of the Applicants are similarly situated person who were allowed to continue their services by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court granted stay of operation of the order of the Tribunal. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that in view of this, the present matter cannot be taken up for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.750 of 2023</p> <p>B.P. DhageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Patil/A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted by order dated 04.07.2023 to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. for filing Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.724 of 2023</p> <p>V.B. MaindApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Dhas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she has not received instructions. However, it is selection matter hence one week time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this issue of selection process is already covered in the case of Mr. Amit H. Daphal in O.A. No.144/2022 and Ors.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.481 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Mote & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kochi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that it appears that the Applicants have challenged G.R. dated 07.04.2022 which pertains to staffing pattern. However, <i>prima-facie</i> it appears that as per pleading and contention raised the appropriate G.R. dated 14.03.2023 which is issued by Industry and Energy Labor Department is to be challenged.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.459 of 2023</p> <p>M.U. HarpalkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.07.2023

M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.737 of 2021

S.S. BhamareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P.P. Mengane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged Selection of other candidates in the place of female candidates in the selection and published list of recommended candidates dated 01.10.2012 and for that purpose Applicant has filed O.A. along with M.A. on 23.09.2021. Pandemic started from March 2020 till October 2022 and that time will not be considered. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Application is filed for condonation of delay of 740 days. O.A. should have been filed within 30.11.2013. Thus the limitation is running from 30.11.2013.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further submits that the Applicant filed Writ Petition No.2347/2017 in Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 17.02.2016.

4. Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 13.08.2019 passed order which is as below:-

"We are not satisfied with the explanation given in Para 11 of the Petition for the delay in filing the Writ Petition. The Writ Petition suffers from gross delay and laches. Even otherwise, the Petitioner has approached this Court instead of approaching the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. In the circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition. The petition is dismissed."

5. S.O. to 19.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.220 of 2023 in O.A. No.291 of 2023</p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. If Reply is not filed within one weeks matter will proceed without Reply. 4. S.O. to 25.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022 with O.A.Nos. 108, 109, 109, 468, 469 of 2020 with O.A.Nos.569 & 570 of 2022</p> <p>L. K. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shir S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.34 of 2023 in O.A.No.838 of 2022</p> <p>C. M. SanhalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that order is complied with and requested to dispose of the C.A. 3. Since order is complied with, contempt proceeding is disposed of. 4. No order as to costs. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.52 of 2023 in O.A.No.964 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. ShirsatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that proposal is forwarded to A.G. and it is in process. He sought two weeks time. He has also filed Affidavit of Contemnor No.2- Vinayak Deshmukh, Additional Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.28 of 2023 in O.A.No.101 of 2023</p> <p>S. T. GhawaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply of contemnor to the show cause notice issued by the Tribunal. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.73 of 2023 in O.A.No.733 of 2022</p> <p>R. H. ArsidhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This contempt proceeding is filed in respect of the order passed by the Tribunal on 24.04.2023 in O.A. No.733/2022 whereby the respondents were directed to correct the date of birth and to reinstate the Applicant within two weeks from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that being aggrieved by the order of the Tribunal, the Respondents have filed W.P. No.16349/2023 before the Hon'ble High Court and it is fixed on 25.07.2023 for admission.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this contempt proceeding is adjourned to 26.07.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.07.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.38 of 2023 in C.A. No.7 of 2023 with O.A.1012 and 1013 of 2016 with O.A. 763 of 2017 with M.A. No.63 of 2023 in C.A.11 of 2023 with O.A. No.1013 of 2016 with O.A.642 of 2016 with O.A.764 to 766 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. Mirgal & Ors.Applicants B. D. Kadam & Ors.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed to condone the delay caused in filing contempt proceedings.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2023,</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1079 of 2022</p> <p>S. M. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.977 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. KadamApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Rane holding for Shri S. R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. Since, pleading is complete, the matter is admitted for final hearing. 5. S.O. to 22.08.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.64 of 2023 in O.A.No.623 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. N. Dekate & Ors. ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P. O. for the for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 01.06.2023 directing Respondents to continue the services of the Applicants, if they have not crossed 60 years of age and interim relief was to continue till 08.06.2023. Thereafter, the Tribunal has continued interim relief from time to time.</p> <p>3. The Applicants have issued contempt notices to the Respondents but it is not responded. Thus, prima-facie, the Respondents have disobeyed the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Issue notices to the contemnors as to why proceeding for contempt of court act should not be initiated against them.</p> <p>5. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.71 of 2023 in O.A. No.56 of 2018 With C.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A.No.55 of 2018</p> <p>N. B. Kamble A. T. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal by order dated 19.04.2023 passed in O.A.Nos.55 & 56 of 2018 quashed and set aside the communication dated 15.06.2017 and Applicant were held entitled for absorption in terms of G.R. dated 10.03.2005. The Respondents were directed to take necessary direction and to pass necessary orders within two months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Thereafter, Applicants issued notices to the contemnors for implementing order of the Tribunal but not complied with. Hence, they have filed this contempt proceedings.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that Respondents have filed M.A. for extension of time but it is before Registrar.</p> <p>5. Two months period given in the order expired on 19.06.2023.</p> <p>6. Mere filing of M.A for extension is not enough for noncompliance of the order passed by the Tribunal. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, there is contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>7. In view of above, issue show cause notices to contemnor nos.1 and 2 as to why proceeding for contempt of court act should not be initiated against them.</p> <p>8. The Applicant's Counsel is allowed to delete contemnor no.3.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.370 of 2021 with M.A. No.299/2021</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri H.B. Sangle holding for Shri H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is still pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.7.2023 18.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.425 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is fixed for pronouncement of judgment today. However, in the course of dictation it is found that though there was interim relief directing that applicant's services should not be terminated but however the applicant did not join the service since 2016 till today and therefore we would like to know after 8 years whether applicant is interested in his job.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant on telephonic instructions from the applicant informs that the applicant needs the job.</p> <p>4. We direct the applicant to remain present personally along with proof of identity and matter is fixed on 20.7.2023 to enable the applicant to appear before this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.7.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.742/2023 with O.A.No.754/2023</p> <p>K.C. Swami & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 04.07.2023 the Respondent-State has positively considered the names of the Applicants, but however they need 15 days more time to see the eligibility and ineligibility.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that the D.G. should not give appointment to ineligible candidates.</p> <p>4. We direct the D.G. to give appointment to eligible persons.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.823/2023</p> <p>P.B. TawareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.07.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 25.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.845/2023</p> <p>R.P. DeshmukhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Sarang G. Gundajwar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 01.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.839/2023</p> <p>S.S. KekaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 01.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.852/2016</p> <p>A.R. DevaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 & 4. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 19.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.864/2023</p> <p>W.W.S. ShahbuddinApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Assistant Teacher in Z.P. wants to appear for the interview for the post of Deputy Education Officer for the interviews scheduled during the period from 18.07.2023 to 20.07.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of the order dated 23.06.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.5059/2022, Sandip Bribhansingh Somwanshi & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Nagpur and also as per our earlier order dated 12.07.2023 passed in O.A.No.824/2023, we direct the M.P.S.C. to call the Applicant for the interview, if she has secured the marks above cut-off, subject to outcome of the O.A..</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.08.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 09.08.2023. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(^uMedha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.861/2023</p> <p>D.L. ShelkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Assistant Teacher in Z.P. wants to appear for the interview for the post of Deputy Education Officer for the interviews scheduled during the period from 18.07.2023 to 20.07.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of the order dated 23.06.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.5059/2022, Sandip Bribhansingh Somwanshi & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Nagpur and also as per our earlier order dated 12.07.2023 passed in O.A.No.824/2023, we direct the M.P.S.C. to call the Applicant for the interview, if he has secured the marks above cut-off, subject to outcome of the O.A..</p> <p>4. Further, we direct the M.P.S.C. to allow similarly situated candidates for the interview which is scheduled during the period from 18.07.2023 to 20.07.2023 if they have secured the marks above cut-off, subject to outcome of this O.A. We rely on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Civil Appeal No.9849/2014 in State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. Versus Arvind Kumar Srivastava & Ors. dated 17.10.2014.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.08.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 09.08.2023. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>