

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.240 of 2018 in O.A. No.398 of 2018</p> <p>R.S. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed on 25.07.2022 under the caption of "For Dismissal".</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.201 of 2018 in C.A. No.15 of 2018 with O.A. No.245 of 2015</p> <p>D.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A. Boddul, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is moved to condone the delay of one year and seven months in filing the Contempt Application.</p> <p>3. In view of the prayer, M.A. is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.412 of 2022 in O.A. No.677 of 2022</p> <p>N.K. Pashte & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.99 of 2019</p> <p>P.P. JambhaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri T.L. Pimple, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant had applied and wanted to appear for the examination conducted by M.P.S.C., State Service Preliminary Exam-2019 which was going to be held on 17.02.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that matter has become infructuous because that exam was conducted on 17.02.2019, hence, cause of action does not survive. She further submits that Affidavit filed by Respondent No.1 through Sanjay D. Thakur, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C. all that contention about hacking of the Application form of the Applicant from the site is denied. It is submitted that more than 3,00,000 candidates applied for the examination and not a single complaint is received about hacking.</p> <p>4. Matter is placed on 21.07.2022 under the caption of "For Dismissal".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.726 of 2018</p> <p>D.V. SidamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that by order dated 22.06.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Civil Writ Petition No.7097 of 2022 the Hon'ble High Court has directed the Tribunal to dispose of the O.A. at the earliest as the Applicant's service is terminated in the year 2012.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to place the matter under the caption of 'High Court expedite' on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.08.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pik</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.15 of 2018 in O.A. No.245 of 2015</p> <p>D.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A. Boddul, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.A. is filed for the implementation of the order dated 29.09.2015 where the Applicant was given liberty to submit representation to the Respondents in respect of interest on delayed payment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the Respondent No.1 through Dr. Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department filed Affidavit dated 16.02.2019 wherein detailed order dated 21.01.2019 was issued for payment of interest of the tune of Rs.94,459/-. She pointed out that in the letter dated 21.01.2019 on page 44 the calculation is given and she further pointed out that on page 46 an important document of receipt of the payment which is given by the Applicant Smt. D.B. Pawar on 05.02.2019 that she has received cheque of 94,459/-.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. however pointed out a photocopy of the said cheque for the Account No.33881307784 issued by Administrative Officer Sub District Hospital of the said amount.</p> <p>5. On perusal of record, learned P.O. pointed out that on 29.03.2019, the Applicant had moved M.A. No.59/19 for amendment in C.A. Accordingly, said amendment was allowed and it was carried out on 22.04.2019. The prayer clause was amended as d) – 1) which is as follows:-</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p>"The Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- for causing the Applicant and her family with mental & physical torture, trauma, financial loss and harassment at least for 3 years and forcing the Applicant to come to the Court."</p> <p>5. For the purpose of this prayer d) – 1), the matter is fixed after one week.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <table><tr><td>Sd/-</td><td>Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</td><td>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</td></tr></table> <p>NMN</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.677 of 2022</p> <p>N.K. Pashte & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants who are Drug Inspectors have challenged advertisement dated 19.03.2022 to the post of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs), Food & Drugs Administrative Services, Group A. 3. The Applicants state that the advertisement is not in consonance with recruitment Rules on the point of qualification and also Drugs & Cosmetic Act, 1940. 4. In this connection the Drug Inspector Welfare Associations has made representation to the Secretary M.P.S.C. dated 29.03.2022 and also to Respondent No. 2. 5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions from his clients informs that they have applied for the said post. 6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.07.2022.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

12. S.O. to 25.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.537 of 2017</p> <p>M.R. MithapaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jadhav request for adjournment in view of ill health of learned Advocate Mr. Panchal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2022

O.A.No.413 of 2020

V.V. TamhankarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate O.A. is adjourned to 04.08.2022. To be tagged along with similar matter i.e. O.A.No.412/2020.

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.127 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. S.N. TambareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri C.V. Dharunkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the Applicant applied for the post of District Health Officer – Group A, pursuant to the advertisement dated 05.05.2017.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that Non Creamy layer (NCL) certificate was not submitted by the Applicant in time and it was found that she has not secured more than cut off marks in the open category and she cannot be taken into open female category.</p> <p>4. It is necessary to find out whether the cause of action survives.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.804 of 2017</p> <p>A.A. DigheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant aspires to become Police Constable was not given appointment in the Police Recruitment of the year 2013-14 for Thane City. As per order dated 19.04.2017 reason given that the Applicant has suppressed the information that she was prosecuted for the offence punishable under 143,147,148,149,326,324,323,504 and 506 of the I.P.C. and 37(1)(3)135 and the offence is also registered at CR No.1 184/2010 at Sangammer Taluka Police Station, District Ahmednagar. The Applicant was 17 years old at the time of the incident i.e. on 11.07.2010. However, her case was filed under the Juvenile Act and disposed of by the Juvenile Court on 16.12.2014.</p> <p>3. However, Respondents took two months time to decide the case of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is directed to take instructions from his client whether matter survives.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. to find out the stage of the Application of the Applicant and what fact was suppressed by the Applicant and what decision has been taken by the committee.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.633 of 2022</p> <p>N.U. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-service dated 18.07.2022. It is taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. matter is adjourned for filing Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.789/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Naikwadi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 05.04.2021.</p> <p>5. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that Applicant is already reinstated in service by order dated 06.07.2021.</p> <p>6. He, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 18.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.476/2022

Dr. A.M. Kadhikhaye

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits. The Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2020. However, till date, some of his retiral benefits are not paid.
3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that Applicant's Caste Certificate was invalidated and thereafter, he was taken up on supernumerary post and later he retired while working on supernumerary post. He further submits that Government had formed Study Group by G.R. dated 15.06.2020 about the entitlement of such employees for retiral benefits. As per G.R. dated 15.06.2020, Study Group was to submit report/recommendations within three months.
4. Learned P.O. stated that till date, no recommendations are made by the Study Group. According to him, policy decision is required to be taken by the Government in such matters.
5. Now, period of near about two years from the date of retirement is over, but regret to note, no such decision is taken by the Government. It is very unlikely that any such decision will be taken soon. Therefore, O.A. deserves to be decided on its own merit.
6. In view of above, on request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance and no further will be granted.
7. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
18.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 676 of 2022</p> <p>C. S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Mhasurkar holding for Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2015. After his retirement, D.E. was initiated on 28.01.2019 invoking Rule 27(2)(b)(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. In D.E. the Government has imposed punishment of deduction of 15% pension permanently by order dated 19.03.2021. The Applicant has preferred an appeal against punishment before the Hon'ble Governor on 05.04.2021.</p> <p>3. The present O.A. is filed for direction to office of the Hon'ble Governor to decide the appeal expeditiously since the Applicant is retired long back and certain retiral benefits are withheld.</p> <p>4. As per the office order dated 28.05.2021 the subject of permanent deduction in pension pertains to Division Bench, therefore, any such direction can be given only by the Division Bench.</p> <p>5. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.669/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Bhorl ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to take decision on the representations dated 13.06.2022, 16.06.2022, 18.06.2022 and 22,06.2022 for change of posting. This is the only relief claimed in the O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to take decision on the representation made by the Applicant in accordance to Rules within a month from today.</p> <p>4. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 18.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.903 of 2016</p> <p>S. B. SasteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Since O.A. is of 2016, it needs to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept on 19.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.462 of 2022</p> <p>M. R. Khude & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. BhandareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., ten days time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- <small>v v</small> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.480 of 2022</p> <p>J P. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 493 of 2022</p> <p>U. M. SasaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that instructions are received for filing reply. On her request, one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.359 of 2022</p> <p>R. K. TanpureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. P. H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.345 of 2022</p> <p>M. W. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2022</p> <p>N. L. PaithankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- " (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 356 of 2022</p> <p>Mr. R. S. Kore through legal Heirs Smt. S.R. Kore & Shri A. R. KoreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since the pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2022

O.A.No.654 of 2022

V. G. Gurav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 23.02.2022 whereby the claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected on the ground that the deceased had 3rd child in the family born after cutoff date.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.08.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 18.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.635 of 2022</p> <p>M. B. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Copy be given to other side. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder in the meantime, if any. 4. S.O. to 25.07.2022. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 515 of 2022 with O.A.No.516 of 2022</p> <p>V. S. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.50 of 2022</p> <p>M. A. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.407 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. ManchekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 01.04.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 whereby the charge of the Applicant has been withdrawn attributing some suspicion in his functioning. 3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered copy of letter dated 13.07.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 whereby charge of the post is restored to the Applicant. Letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. 4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. 5. Allowed to withdraw O.A. 6. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.289/2020 in O.A.454/2020

Shri H.A. Ansari

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri H.A. Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. M.A. filed for condonation of 228 days' delay in filing O.A. which is filed for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits with interest. Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2016.

3. Issue notices to the Respondents made returnable on 05.08.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. is directed to appraise the Tribunal what are the benefits actually paid and what is not paid by next date, so that O.A. could be disposed of expeditiously.

10. S.O. to 5th August, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
18.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A.No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested to add Director General of Police as Respondent No.4 in view of controversy posed for consideration in this O.A. to know the practice adopted for inter district transfer.3. Allowed to add Director General of Police, Mumbai as a Respondent No.4.4. The issue posed for consideration in present O.A. as to from when the seniority of Police Constable has to be considered i.e. from the date of joining or from the date of making application for inter district transfer.5. It is, therefore, necessary to know the practice till now adopted in State of Maharashtra in the matter of inter district transfer.6. The Respondent No.4, therefore, should file affidavit in reply on this point.7. O.A. be kept on 25.07.2022 since one connected O.A. is fixed on that day.8. Issue notice before admission to newly added Respondent No.4 returnable on 25.07.2022.9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.395 of 2022</p> <p>A. D. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 18.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.374 of 2022 with O.A.No.375 of 2022</p> <p>D. R. Hindlekar J. K. BhosaleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the Respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police has already forwarded the proposal to the Respondent No.1 – Government on 11.06.2021 and it needs to be considered and decided by the Government. He, therefore, requested to dispose of Original Applications with directions to the Government to take decision on representation dated 11.06.2021 within stipulated period and disposed of Original Applications. 3. Learned Counsel further pointed out that in similar situation in <i>O.A.No.340/2022 (P.A. Jadhav V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)</i>, similar order was passed by the Tribunal on 20.06.2022. 4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that representation is still pending and three months' time be given to decide the same. 5. In view of above, both the Original Applications are disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 11.06.2021 forwarded by the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai within three months from today. 6. The decision thereon shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. 7. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.341 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 11.05.2020. However, during the pendency of O.A., the departmental enquiry is completed and by order dated 04.05.2022 the Applicant is removed from service.</p> <p>3. Shri Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes about the order of removal from service. He, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>4. In view of final order of removal from service dated 04.05.2022, the challenge to the suspension order has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.858 of 2017</p> <p>U. A. SayyedApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., challenge is to the suspension order dated 02.08.2001 but the O.A. was not listed for long time and in the meantime as pointed out by learned P.O., the Applicant is already dismissed from service by order dated 10.02.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of since the Applicant will be challenging the order of dismissal independently in accordance to law.</p> <p>4. Thus, O.A. has become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>