

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.184 OF 2018

Shri T.B. Naik

)..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)....Respondents

Ms. Komal Gaikwad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar & Shri T.V. Jadhav, the learned Advocates for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

DATE : 18.07.2018

ORDER

1. Heard Ms. Komal Gaikwad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, and Shri T.V. Jadhav, the learned Advocates for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time on the ground that instructions are still awaited.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state whether any communication is received.
4. In response, learned P.O. for the Respondents states that reply is still awaited though communications were sent on 01.03.2018, 06.03.2018, 18.04.2018, 18.06.2018 and 28.06.2018.
5. On fact of the case, it is seen that Applicant has been issued show cause as to why his service should not be terminated because Applicant has not submitted caste validity certificate.

6. In the background that Secretary, Higher & Technical Education as well as the Director, Higher Education, Pune have failed to respond to notice of this Tribunal which was served 08.03.2018 and communications of learned P.O., learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to furnish the names of these officers holding the post of Secretary, Higher & Technical Education and Director, Higher Education, Pune.

7. Learned P.O. has furnished the names of the concerned officers as follows:-

- (1) Shri Saurabh Vijay Secretary, Higher and Technical Education, Mumbai.
- (2) Shri Dhanraj Mane, Director, Higher Education, Pune.

8. These two officers Shri Saurabh Vijay Secretary, Higher and Technical Education, Mumbai and Shri Dhanraj Mane, Director, Higher Education, Pune are called to state show cause as to why they should not be personally saddled with cost of Rs.10, 000/- each for failure to respond to the notice of this Tribunal and communications of the Presenting Officer.

9. Reply to the show cause be filed on or before 27.08.2018.

10. Interim relief shall continue till date.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

12. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

13. S.O. to 27.08.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, J)
CHAIRMAN

Sba

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.325 OF 2018

Shri A.M. Jadhao .. Applicant

Versus

The M.P.S.C. & Ors. .. Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.


CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

DATE : 18.07.2018

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. It is seen that the ratio of candidates to be called for interview i.e. not to be above 1:10, and though this aspect is specifically pleaded in para nos.7.3 and 7.5, the reply thereto is evaded while answering the O.A.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to who has drafted the affidavit.
4. Learned P.O. states that the said affidavit was received from M.P.S.C., duly drafted in the office of M.P.S.C.
5. It is seen that the affidavit is affirmed by Under Secretary of M.P.S.C.– Shri Sanjay Deshmukh.
6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to who drafted the affidavit.
7. On instructions received from the Shri V.H. Gharate, Desk Officer, M.P.S.C. states that the affidavit is drafted by the Law Officer-Shri Bongade.

8. Under Secretary, M.P.S.C., Shri Sanjay Deshmukh, and Law Secretary, M.P.S.C., Shri Bongade are called to show cause as to why they should not be saddled with cost of Rs.25,000/- each for failure to respond to each averment and point contained in O.A. in the background that a specific averment was contained in para nos.7.3 and 7.5. in the O.A., regarding calling lesser number of candidates than mandatory number.
9. Learned Advocate of the Applicant urges to grant interim relief.
10. Same Interim protection can be granted in the background that the ratio for calling the candidates which is 1:10 eligible candidates, and 5 out of 10 candidates were not found to be eligible as is agreed by M.P.S.C., entire selection process may prima-facie get vitiated due to lesser number of candidates being called for interview.
11. This Tribunal therefore directs that the appointment of any candidate to the post of Director, Vocation Education and Training, shall be subject to outcome of order as may be passed in this O.A.
12. Appointment, if any, shall be subject to outcome of this O.A.
13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
14. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
15. S.O. to 02.08.2018.

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI, 
CHAIRMAN

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/7/18</u></p>	<p>Date: 18.07.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.317 of 2018</p> <p>B. V. TidkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N. K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent for Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents mentions that he wants to file additional Affidavit-in-Reply bringing on record two factual aspects in respect of the transfer of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant objects to bringing additional facts on record and states that it is belated attempt. He files written submission. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2018.</p>

Sd/-
(P.N: DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2018

O. A. No.128 of 2018

S. B. Mane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 10.08.2018.

DATE 18/7/18.

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. R. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

VSM

Adi./S.O. to 10/8/18.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2018

O. A. No.323 of 2018

P.B. BhalekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. F.D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adi./S.O. to 24/8/18

SM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2018

O. A. No.706 of 2016

J. G. Kulkarni

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant request for adjournment by two weeks to produce citations on record.

Adjourned to 02.08.2018.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar B.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adi./S.O. to 2/8/18

VSM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2018

O. A. No.702 of 2016

A. R. NaikApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that in light of the certain new developments including fresh order of fixation of pay received from the Respondent No.3 & 4, he proposes to substitute the Original Application with fresh documents. He requests permission for the same.

3. Accordingly, permission is granted.

4. S.O. to 24.08.2018 with liberty to circulate.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 24/8/18

with liberty to

circulate.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2018

R.A. No.08 of 2018 in O. A. No.468 of 2017

S. V. BhagatApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that she is filing Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requests for adjournment by three weeks.

4. S.O. to 10.08.2018.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.R. JOSHI

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B. K.

C.P.C/P.O. for the Respondent/s
Reply filed by R. No. 1404

Adj./S.O. to 10/8/18

VSM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.07.2018.

O.A.No.896 of 2017

A.S. ShingeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 04.09.2018.

DATE : 18/7/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Datt Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P. D/P.O. for the Respondent's

Adv. S.O. to 4/9/2018

BJE

prk

Q
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Date:- 18.07.2018	
O.A.No.517 of 2018	
<p>DATE : <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member-(A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>M.A. Choudhari</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>2/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>D.K. Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.A. Choudhari, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to correct typographical error in para. 6-b and description of Respondent no. 1 by deleting the word joined. 3. Leave as prayed for is granted. 4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply on the ground that instructions are not received by the Respondent No.1, though communication sent by the Government of Maharashtra to Director Forensic Since asking him to reply the O.A. 5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for two weeks' time for filing reply. Time as prayed for is granted by way of last chance in view that inspite of service of notice which was done 18.06.2018, reply has not come. 6. S.O. to 02.08.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, Jy Joshi) CHAIRMAN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>2/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MIT</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.409 of 2018</p> <p>M.M. Patil & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S.P. Manchekar states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Affidavit of M.P.S.C. is being filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>(b) Time may be granted for filing affidavit of the State Government.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2018.

O.A.No.367 of 2018

L.M. AiwaleApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri V. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2018.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 05.09.2018

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi) 
Chairman

prk

DATE : 18/7/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt : V. Kamble the Ld. Adv.


Advocate for the Applicant absent

Shri/Smt : A. J. Chougule

C. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. O. to 05.09.2018.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>J. P. Kamble</u> the Ld. Adv. holding for Adv. K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. G. Gohad</u> C.P. P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>19/7/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 18.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.374 of 2018</p> <p>Shoeb Ali Sabir AliApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.09.2018 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi)  Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/7/2018</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.F./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to Issue notice returnable on 30/8/2018.</p> <p align="right">BTE</p>	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 465/2018</u></p> <p>Shri I.B Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.14 to 6.42 while answering the O.A. 9. S.O to 30.8.2018. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue notice returnable</u> <u>on 30/8/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BT</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 464/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.16 to 6.40 while answering the O.A. 9. S.O to 30.8.2018. <p align="right">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J)</u> Chairman</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2018.

O.A.No.961 of 2017
(Subject : Transfer)

P.S. Vhatkar
Versus

....Applicant.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri G.S. Shukla, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Special Counsel for the Respondents Shri G.S. Shukla points out that :-

(a) The impugned order is withdrawn by issuing order dated 28.06.2018 (copy whereof is at page 207 of the paper book of O.A.).

(b) Affidavit of apology is filed by the Shri C.P. Joshi, Secretary (Roads), Public Works Department, Mantralaya.

3. Affidavit of apology tendered by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya which is on record at page 177 of the paper book of O.A. can be accepted as adequate expression of remorse.

4. It is not amazing nor surprising that Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, did not file further affidavit of diffident conduct on his part.

5. The language employed in both the affidavits by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, in affidavits which are already filed, does not really stand to the humility which is expected to follow with the high position and learning which the said officer has. However, the officer does not seem to be willing to be subdued, which would have rather exhibited his humility. This Tribunal is alive to the attitude of officers who consider themselves above law and courts. Thus conduct of Shri Ashish Kumar Singh falls short of contempt, however, certainly is full of contemptuous attitude. Be it as it may. Humility and etiquette can be inculcated but cannot be enforced outwardly. Therefore, this Tribunal considers to leave the issue unpursued further.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

7. Hence, O.A. is disposed of as infructuous.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

DATE : 18/7/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. G. S. Shukla Ld. Special

C.P. P.O. for the Respondents

Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi Ld. P.O.

Adv. S.O. to for the State

Hence, O.A. is disposed as
infructuous.

[Handwritten initials]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt : <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt : <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>3/9/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.614 of 2018</p> <p>A.B. KatkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit prays for two week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. Appointment of Respondent No.2, if effected, shall be subject to outcome of O.A.. 5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. 6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 7. S.O. to 03.09.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi), Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 18/7/2018</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv. holding Dr. G. Sadavarte Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to 13/8/2018</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.H.K.</p>	<p>Date:- 18.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.424 of 2018</p> <p>S. R. Mawal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply. Time as prayed for is granted. 3. However, prima-facie there does not appear to be any legal impediment for taking steps for reshuffling as prayed by the Applicant. 4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that finalization of reshuffling can be reported on the next date, so that thereafter orders may be issued. 5. S.O. to 13.08.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J) CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>Date</u> 18/7/2018</p> <p><u>Chairman</u> A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><u>Advocate</u> M.B. Kadam, the Lt. Adv. holding for Adv. G. Sadavarte</p> <p><u>C.P. for</u> S.P. Manchekar the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj/S.O. to</u> M.A. is allowed.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date:- 18.07.2018</p> <p><u>M.A.No.252 of 2018 in O.A.No.424 of 2018</u></p> <p>S. R. Mawal ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN)</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.427 of 2018

R.J. Sawant

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO mentions that neither instructions have been received nor any officer has remained present.

3. The respondent is directed to ensure that the Law Officer with necessary instructions and record to remain present on 20.7.2018.

4. S.O. to 20.7.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.7.2018

(sgj)

DATE

18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/7/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.86 of 2018 in O.A. No.183 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.M. Baraskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>N. S. Akhad</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue notice returnable</u> <u>on 1/9/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>PT</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 633/2018</u></p> <p>Shri N.L Kastle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 1.9.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 1.9.2018. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue notice 2/8/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>18.07.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 635/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice 2.8.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.14, 6.15, 6.20, 6.21 and 6.22, while answering the O.A to the aspect whether on facts, applicant has submitted the validity within the period of 20 working days. 9. S.O 2.8.2018. <p align="right">  Sd/- km (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C/O _____ for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>30.8.2018</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">18.07.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 654/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.H Bobade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.13, 6.14, 6.17, 6.18, 6.18D, 6.19, 6.26, 6.27, 6.28, and 6.29 while answering the O.A.</p> <p>9. S.O to 30.8.2018.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, Jg) Chairman </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 18.07.2018

O.A.No.364 of 2018

N.J. Kharale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to study and response.

4. Time as prayed for is granted.

5. S.O. to 21.08.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, J)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: 18/7/2018

CORAM:

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri _____ Member (A)

Advocate for Applicant
Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for Respondent

Shri/Smt K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./ S.O. to 21/8/2018.

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>by consent adjourned</u> <u>to 20/8/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date:- 18.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.822 of 2017</p> <p>M.S. Kulthe ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 20.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. JOSHI, Chairman)</u> CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 18.07.2018

O.A.No.736 of 2011

D.A. Dalvi & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicants want to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to challenge the appointment of two other officers who are appointed on the post of Joint Director, Vocational Education.

3. Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

DATE: 18/7/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C/P / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

B/E

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, *ll*)
CHAIRMAN

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Deokar</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ag. S.O. to <u>1/8/2018</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Y.E.</i></p>	<p>Date:- 18.07.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.89 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Bhagwan ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for two weeks' time.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2018.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J) CHAIRMAN </div> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 18.07.2018

M.A.No.315 of 2018 in O.A.No.736 of 2011

D.A. Dalvi & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Considering reasons and grounds contained in M.A. to be good and sufficient, M.A. is 315 of 2018 is allowed.
3. O.A.No.736 of 2011 is restored its original number.

DATE: 18/7/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

YE


 Sd/-
 (A.H. JOSHI, J)
 CHAIRMAN

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 18.07.2018

D.A.No.28 of 2018

D.L. Awate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN)

DATE: 18/7/18

FORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:
Shri. Adv. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. SURYAWANSHI
C.F./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to Admit. To come up
for hearing in due course.

PPT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S. B. Suryawanshi, Ld. Adv.</u> <u>holding for Adv. V. Ugale Ld. Adv.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P. /P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>1954.c. native returnable</u> <u>on 31/8/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">#2</p>	<p>Date:- 18.07.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.405 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.G. Kekan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V. Ugale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 31.08.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 31.08.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, JM) CHAIRMAN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Reply filed by R. No. 1. Adj./S.O. to <u>2/8/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1151 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO requests for 2 days time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> (sgj) Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.262 of 2018</u></p> <p>G.G. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests 3 weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>24/9/18</u></p> 	<p><u>O.A. No.202 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to file reply of respondent no.1. Para 26 and 28 of the reply mentions that in response to the appeal by the applicant to the office of Hon'ble Governor, the respondents have furnished necessary information on 16.3.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.9.2018. Meanwhile the respondent is directed to communicate the progress in the matter expeditiously to the applicant as well as to his advocate. Ld. PO is directed to inform the respondents about the existing legal provisions regarding considering the appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.350 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.S. Utikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p>
<p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.A. Ghamre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/8/18</u> <i>@ced</i></p>	<p>Heard Shri S.A. Ghamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests 3 weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Aditya Pratap</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.647 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.S. Kapse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aditya Pratap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Issue notice returnable on 30.7.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for interim relief in the form of stay against the order transferring him which he terms it as mid-tenure and mid-term. 9. The request is kept under consideration and open. 10. The respondents are directed to file reply and produce original record on the next date. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.526 of 2018

S.B. Shirde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.8.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to provide copy of OA to the Ld. PO.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Datta Mane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/8/18.

(Signature)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.7.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>(K.R. Jagdale)</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>(K.S. Gaikwad)</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>3/9/18</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.607 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.V. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to furnish necessary supporting documents with regard to one Mr. Gaikwad who is serving under the charge of Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon. He seeks 6 weeks time for the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.9.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/8/18</u> <u>as a last chance.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.858 of 2017</u></p> <p>U.A. Sayyed Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO requests for 3 weeks time to file reply. In spite of directions neither officer is present nor is record produced. Ld. PO states that he had brought to the notice of the respondent by his letter dated 26.6.2018 but there is no response to the same as well.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.8.2018 as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/7/18.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.492 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.V. Khot ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO requests 2 days time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam,</u> <u>holding for R.M. Kolge.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/7/18.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.432 of 2017 in O.A. No.918 of 2017</u></p> <p>M.D. Jamunkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO requests for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.507 of 2015

P.G. Tari ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 13.8.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this
stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be
issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly
authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book
of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be
taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of
the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,
1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate
remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed
post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and
produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry
within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of
compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and
if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before
returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference
to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE 18/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. None for the~~
~~Applicant.~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 13/8/18.

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.7.2018

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.883 of 2017

P.D. Nagpurkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 2.8.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE

18/7/18

CCRAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to

2/8/18



Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.7.2018

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.409 of 2017 in O.A. No.883 of 2017

P.D. Nagpurkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.
- For the reasons stated therein, MA for condonation of
delay in filing OA is allowed.

DATE 18/7/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Reply filed by R.No.1.
Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

(sgj)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.7.2018



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bardiwadkar</u></p> <p>_____ for the Applicant <u>H. G. Gohad</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>ADJ. SO. to <u>30/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>18.07.2018</p> <p>O.A 658/2018</p> <p>Shri A.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.13, 6.15, 6.16, 6.19, 6.20, 6.24 to 6.26 while answering the O.A. 9. S.O to 30.8.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/-  (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>

Alkn


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue notice returnable</u> <u>on 30/8/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.T.</i></p>	<p align="center">18.07.2018</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 645/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Special attention be given to para nos 6.24, 6.18, 6.21, 6.22, 6.20 and 6.16 while answering the O.A.</p> <p>9. S.O to 30.8.2018.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p align="left">Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S.C. Bhosale</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>10/8/2018</u>.....</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center">18.07.2018</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 912/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.C Bhosale ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.C Bhosale, applicant in person and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the present it shall suffice to direct the C.P, Mumbai, that he should personally examine the present O.A, examine and understand the issue and objections involved in the case by giving personal hearing to the applicant on his representation dated 10.3.2016 & 3.10.2016 at pages 143 & 168. Thereafter he shall nominate any officer not below the rank of DCP, to look in to the matter and take necessary corrective action, if the applicant's representations are based on proclaimed policy and rules, and are seen to contain substance.</p> <p>3. The competent authority who may be nominated by C.P is expected to give personal intimation to the persons whose seniority will be altered if applicant's claim is eventually accepted.</p> <p>4. Any corrective measures if found necessary be taken within 15 days from today.</p> <p>5. S.O to 10.8.2018.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman </p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>N.P. Dalvi</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>31/7/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>H.T.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>18.07.2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 631/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.M Pandhare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions as to whether the representations submitted by the applicants on 10.10.2016, copy whereof is at page 103, is pending. Also find out whether any other representations are pending.</p> <p>3. Applicants shall place on record of this O.A copy of representation if any made, placing reliance on aforesaid judgment.</p> <p>4. Secretary, Agriculture Department is directed to make a statement on affidavit as to whether he considers that the judgment rendered by Hon. High Court in W.P 9051/2013 and another W.Ps, copy whereof is at page 53, is binding on him, and benefit thereof needs to be extended to the applicants in present O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O 31.7.2018.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(A.H Joshi, J.)</i> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble J. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble J. _____ (Member (A))</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>N.P. Dalvi</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C. P.O. for Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>MA is allowed.</u></p> <p><i>AE</i></p>	<p>18.7.2018</p> <p>M.A 332/2018 in O.A 631/2018</p> <p>Shri R.M Pandhare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.P Dalvi, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A is allowed.</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(A.H Joshi, J.)</i> Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 18.07.2018

O.A.No.656 of 2018

N.R. Gajbhiye

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time for correcting the address of the Applicant.
3. S.O. to 19.07.2018.

DATE: 18/7/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble San P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A. A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to 19/7/2018

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, J)
CHAIRMAN

Sba

BTE

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/7/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/P.O. to <u>19/7/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.472 of 2018</p> <p>M.Y. PatharvatApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S. Suryawanshi prays for time to file affidavit-in-reply. 3. Considering the prayer and the fact that Applicant is already serving in the Department, filing of affidavit-in-reply and contest does not appear to be necessary. 4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 6. Adjourned to 19.07.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J), Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>prk</p>