

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.....

Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.07.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.284 of 2016</p> <p>Shri V.V. WadekarApplicant Versus The State of Mah. & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. This Original Application can be disposed of on a short but conclusive point.</p> <p>2. I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Ghatge, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>3. The relief sought in this O.A. in effect is for direction to the Respondents to promote the Applicant to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Group-B w.e.f. 16.09.2016.</p> <p>4. The record would show that the Applicant was found fit for being promoted in the meeting held on 9.03.2016.</p> <p>5. I have carefully perused the minutes thereof and I found that even in the meeting of the DPC of 20.02.2013, the Applicant was found fit for being promoted from S.B.C. category. Again in the recent DPC, he was found fit for promotion and according to the authorities, the only hitch was that the Applicant was undergoing minor punishment with stoppage of increment without cumulative effect for a period with period expired.</p>

... Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.,
... concurrence, Tribunal's orders or
... orders and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Therefore, now there is no hitch in the matter of promotion of the Applicant to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Group-B because his fitness is beyond any doubt, and therefore, this O.A. is disposed of with the directions to the Respondents to promote the Applicant to the Post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Group-B within a period of 4 weeks from today.

7. The Applicant shall be at liberty to move for deemed date, if need be.

8. The Original Application is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Sta

12/1/16

~~Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

~~R. B. Malik (Member) A 7~~

K. R. Jagdale

M. G. Fohad

SECRETARIES

O.A. is allowed.

MT

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Application No. _____ of 20

District

Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Clearance, Tribunal's orders or
Sessions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2016

C.A.No.44 of 2016 in O.A.No.134 of 2015

Shri B.C. PareleshiApplicant

Versus

The State of Mah. &Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard. Whatever may have happened as far as the relief to the Applicant is concerned, the fact remains that the Respondents did not keep the time limit prescribed by the Hon'ble Chairman for compliance.

3. The Contempt Application, therefore, will have to be kept pending with the directions to the concerned Respondents to submit on record through the learned P.O. the name of the personnel who dealt with this matter from time to time, so that action, if any, can be taken against the defaulting amongst them may be one or more. For the present, adjourned to 1.08.2016.

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)**

Sba

18/7/16
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
R.B. Malik (Member)

K.R. Jagdale

N.G. Gohad

HT

Date : 18.07.2016

O.A.No.703 of 2016

Shri C.D. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Mah. &Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In so far as the interim relief is concerned, as of today, I only direct that the appointies if any be made clear that the appointment from the category of O.B.C. shall be subject to the ultimate outcome hereof.

3. Liberty is reserved for any further interim relief at a later stage.

4. Issue notice returnable on 8.08.2016.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hard delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 8.08.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Director

..... Applicant/s

State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2016

O.A.No.O.A.No.668 of 2015

Shri K.V. ShindeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or....Respondents

1. Applicant and Advocate absent which was the position also on 3.2.2016 as well as 8.6.2016. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The O.A. proceeds without rejoinder and is formally admitted. ³¹⁻ Be placed before an appropriate bench for final hearing failing which for dismissal.

3. S.O. to 9.08.2016.

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)**

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2016

O.A.No.O.A.No.548 of 2016

Shri R.A. Vhatkar Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or.... Respondents

1. Heard Shri E.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record.

3. Admit. Liberty to mention is granted.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

.....

..... Applicant/s

of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....
Tribunal's orders
.....

Date : 18.07.2016

O.A.No.O.A.No.394 of 2016

Shri N.P. Kale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or....Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks an adjournment to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. According to her she has received para-wise reply on 16.07.2016.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri Panchal strongly opposed for adjournment being granted.

5. On the last occasion itself last chance was granted.

6. The O.A. is now adjourned for reply to 27.07.2016.

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)**

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.07.2016

C.A.No.52 of 2016

in

O.A.No.O.A.No.847 of 2013

Smt. C.R. BiraidarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or....Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.K Bodhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is present. The learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri Bodhare on instructions from the said Applicant makes a statement at the bar that all concerns of the Applicant have been taken care of. The copy of the order is tendered on record by the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

3. Copy has also been furnished to the Applicant in person who is identified by the learned Advocate Shri Bodhare.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant points out at this stage to the condition no.15 of the said order which is marked as Exh. 'A' which stipulates that the appointment was temporary and was liable to be terminated without any advanced notice.

5. In my opinion, both as far as order of appointments as well as the conduct of the Respondents they have got to be and are governed by the order dated 3.08.2015 made by second bench of this Tribunal.

6. The learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougule submits that the order is in the standard format.

7. It is made clear that any violation thereof shall not preclude the Applicant from moving this Tribunal again with a similar application.

8. This Contempt Application is thus disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 687/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.M. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, and Shri A.M. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer, for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mrs Mahajan stated that case of the Applicant is similar to O.A nos 603 to 666/2016. This Tribunal has passed a preliminary order on 8.7.2016 and learned Advocate Mahajan stated that a similar order may be passed in this case also.</p> <p>3. Respondents may file affidavit covering the points mentioned in para 13 of the order of this Tribunal in above O.As dated 8.7.2016.</p> <p>(a) Whether they have acquainted themselves with Section 22N of the Bombay Police Act.</p> <p>(b) Whether they have read the said Section 22N before taking decision as recorded in the minutes dated 26.5.2016.</p> <p>(c) In which of the clause or clauses of Section 22N, the impugned transfer is permissible.</p> <p>(d) The facts which constituted the grounds and circumstances leading to the decision to transfer, do fit in to a particulars prescribed by Section 22N enabling the Transfer.</p> <p>The instructions contained in para 14 of the aforesaid order will also be applicable.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 25.7.2016.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O 25.7.2016. Learned P.O Shri Chougule waives service of notice. Hamdast. To be kept along with O.A 612 & 613/2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 18/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member J)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: Ramesh Mahajan

For Respondents:

Shri. P.O. A. J. Chougule

for the Respondents

Adm. T.O. S.O. to 25/7/16.

FILED

DA 612, 613/16

[Signature]

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.07.2016

C.A.No.29 of 2016 in O.A.No.118 of 2015

Shri K.P. KulkarniApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Or....Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri M.G. Shirole, Administrative Officer, Wireless, Mumbai.

3. As the matter was being debated, my attention was invited to the order of Hon'ble Chairman dated 21.03.2016 whereby an intimation was given that the Writ Petition was expected to come for hearing before the Hon'ble High Court against the order of this Tribunal the breach whereof is alleged.

4. Shri M.G. Shirole named above, initially made a statement that the order was stayed. It is obviously a false statement. I would not like to provide to it the dignity by calling it "incorrect".

5. Shri M.G. Shirole is directed to show cause as to why the appropriate action should not be taken personally against him. It will be returnable on the date which this C.A. will stand adjourned to Affidavit-in-reply to this C.A. has been filed. It is made clear that this C.A. shall be finally heard on the next date provided that the reply if tendered just before the same is taken up for hearing/arguments, the same will be taken on record but no adjournment will be given therefor.

6. S.O. to 11.08.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

116

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A.No. of 20

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>18/7/16</u></p> <p>Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar Attorney for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit Attorney for the Respondents</p> <p>O.A. is admitted.</p> <p>+025/7/16.</p> <p><i>F4</i></p>	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 1082/2015</u></p> <p>Dr R.M Joshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned C.P.O states that the Respondent has not taken any decision in the matter. Affidavit in reply has already been filed. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 25.7.2016.</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.07.2016

O.A No 870/2015

Shri M.B Jare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, holding for Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Tribunal by order dated 6.5.2016 given certain directions regarding review of the suspension of the Applicant. Nothing happened till the next date till 16.6.2016. ON 30.6.2016 a statement was made on instructions from Shri Shankar Jagtap, Asst. Commissioner, State Excise that the Review Committee is expected to meet on 4th July, 2016. The matter was therefore, adjourned to 14.7.2016. On that none was present either from the office of the Commissioner, State Excise, Res. No. 1 or Respondent no. 2, State Government. As the matter was to be decided by a Committee headed by the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, none was present from that office also. The matter was kept today. Today also none is present from any of the offices.

It appears that more than 2 ½ months have lapsed that the order in this regard was issued by this Tribunal, no action seems to have been taken by the Respondents.

Cost of Rs. 5000/- is imposed on the Respondent no. 1. If no action is taken as per instructions of this Tribunal by the next date, it will be presumed that the Respondent have nothing to say in this matter and the matter will be heard finally.

S.O to 1.8.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Aggarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

18/7/16

RAJIV AGGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

R. D. MALIK (Member)

REGISTRAR

A.V. Bandiwadekar

for the Applicant

S. Gaikwad

for the Respondents

to 1/8/16

(Signature)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.07.2016

M.A 283/2016 in O.A No 706/2016

Shri J.G Kulkarni ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.8.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O 16.8.2016. Learned C.P.O waives service of notice.

18/7/16

Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Signature:

A.V. Bandiwadekar

Signature for the Applicant

N.K. Rajpurohit

Signature for the Respondents

O.A. to 16/8/16.

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.07.2016

O.A No 582/2016

Shri G.R. Gujarathi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.8.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. 16.8.2016. Learned P.O Smt Gaikwad and Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar waives service of notice

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

18/7/16

Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Shri. B. MALIK (Member)~~

18/8/16

for the Applicant

K.S. Gaikwad

for the Respondents No 1 & 2.

A.V. Bandiwadekar

No. 3.

16/8/16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
communications and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.07.2016

O.A No 705/2016

Smt M.B Sonawane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.8.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 16.8.2016. Learned P.O waives service of notice

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

12/7/16

Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Shri K.B. Bhise

Presenting Officer for the Applicant

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A.P.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>18.07.16</u></p> <p>Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Shri. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><i>Punam Mahajan</i> for the Applicant</p> <p><i>K.S. Gaikwad</i> for the Respondents</p> <p><i>A. stands disposed</i></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 507/2016</u></p> <p>Shri H.B Rajage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Smt Mahajan sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application as by order dated 16.7.2016 Respondents have cancelled the transfer order which was challenged in this Original Application. Copy of the said order is placed on record.</p> <p>Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarkwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.07.2016

O.A No 571/2016

Shri C.K Yerunkar Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranika Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This is a case where the Applicant has already retired, but he is not being sanctioned full pensionary benefits as there has been objection from the office of the Accountant General about his correct date of birth.

The Applicant's prayer is either pensionary benefits may be paid to him in full or he may be reinstated in service and allowed to retire as per his date of birth claimed by him.

Learned P.O stated that one month's time may be granted to file reply and clarify the position about date of birth finally.

S.O to 16.8.2016.

(Signature)
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 18/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCES:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.S. Gaikwad

P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 16/8/16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.A. No. for the Respondents <u>Reply filed by Respondent</u> Adj. To <u>20/7/16</u> <u>COA 569/16</u></p>	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 568/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Javanjai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Original record are also brought for the perusal of this Tribunal. However, today it is not possible to hear the matter finally.</p> <p>Matter is therefore adjourned and placed for final hearing on 20.7.2016 along with O.A 569/2016. Original record may be brought on the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

FH
[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/7/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Akn Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. M.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 26/7/16.</u></p>	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 167/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Dalvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>Ms Gohad, learned P.O states that affidavit in reply as directed by this Tribunal dated 6.6.2016 is prepared and it is only to be affirmed. It will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>S.O to 26.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/7/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri A.R. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant. N.K. Rajpurohit holding for Ms. H.G. Gohad. C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>ATTN:</u> S.O. to 25/7/16.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>18.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 37/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.P P rabhukhanolkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O, holding for Ms Neelima Gohad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned C.P.O stated that Respondent No. 1 will require one weeks' time to take decision in the matter.</p> <p>S.O to 25.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>