

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.919 of 2015</p> <p>S. T. KhadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel has sent leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.554 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and her Advocate both are absent. 2. Applicant's husband Shri Kamble is present and requested for adjournment on the ground that his Advocate is unable to remain present. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present. 4. Indeed, as per the statement made by Shri Kamble the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2021. Therefore there is no point to keep the O.A. pending. 5. However, on request of the Applicant's husband adjourned to 22.06.2021 for Part-Heard. <p align="right">Sd/- <small>v</small> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.135 of 2021</p> <p>J. M. KawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to take necessary steps for service of notice to Respondent no.3</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vvr (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.371/2020</u></p> <p>Mrs. R.U. Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 10.08.2020 whereby she was transferred from the post of Seed Testing Officer, Krushi Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar, Pune to Mangaon, District Raigad. She was posted at Pune by order dated 31.05.2017 and had completed three years' tenure in May, 2020.</p> <p>3. The impugned transfer order dated 10.08.2020 is challenged on the ground that it is mid-term and secondly, there was no such case of administrative exigency nor there was approval of Civil Services Board and Highest Competent Authority in terms of Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Tribunal has already granted interim relief in favour of Applicant on 13.08.2020.</p> <p>5. Respondents appeared and filed reply.</p> <p>6. Today, the learned P.O. submits that interim relief be confirmed with liberty to transfer the Applicant in general transfers of 2021, as the Applicant has already completed more than three years and due for transfer.</p> <p>7. Whereas, learned Advocate for the Applicant opposed the relief of liberty claimed by the Applicant contending that there is no such</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>requirement of liberty and law can take its own course.</p> <p>8. In view of above, interim relief granted by order dated 13.08.2020 is made absolute.</p> <p>9. Liberty is granted to the Respondents to avail further course of action in the matter of transfer, as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>10. The O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 18.06.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.374 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Sudhir B. Dube ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha A. Gadgil) Member (A) 18.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.772 of 2015</p> <p>M.V. JoshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. The matter is for final hearing for a long time, on previous date i.e. 31.05.2021 also Applicant and his Advocate was absent but the matter was adjourned to give them one opportunity. However, even today they are not present. It appears that Applicant and his Advocate both are not interested in the matter. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. No order as to costs. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 09/2021 in O.A 229/2019</u></p> <p>G.A Kiture ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ayesha Keshodwala, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Contempt Application, the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 465/2021 passed by the First Court is placed before us.</p> <p>3. At the time of hearing of the said matter, a statement was made by learned counsel for MPSC that MPSC having conceded the position that they would comply with the directions of the Tribunal as contained in the impugned order.</p> <p>4. Accordingly the compliance from MPSC is required. Today, learned C.P.O submits that time is required by MPSC to comply with the orders. We grants them one weeks' time.</p> <p>5. S.O to 25.6. 2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 339/2021 with M.A 177/2021</u></p> <p>Mrs Asim S Shah & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted to file affidavit in reply till 24.6.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.6. 2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 108/2021 in O.A 203/2021</u></p> <p>Smt Mayuri R. Chavan & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 01/2021 in O.A 481/2019</u></p> <p>D.N Jadhavar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O refers to the affidavit in reply filed by Respondent no. 2, by Sadhana M. Tayde, Director, Health Services, Mumbai. On reading the affidavit in reply we noticed that the directions issued by the Tribunal to refer the case of the applicant before the Committee to be constituted as per G.R dated 4.4.2014 has not been complied with. Therefore, we are constrained to observe that the affidavit is rejected.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O on instructions submit that the Committee as directed in the G.R quoted above would be constituted and the report would be processed and the Tribunal would be informed accordingly within a period of four weeks.</p> <p>4. We take serious view to the false affidavit in reply and warn the Respondents accordingly.</p> <p>5. S.O to 6.7.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

At n

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 175/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Salve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 203/2021</u></p> <p>Smt Mayuri R. Chavan & Ors ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 30.7.2021.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 30.7.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 189/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicans and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.06.2021.

O.A.No.158 of 2021 with O.A.No.223 of 2021

M.M. Dawane & Ors. (O.A.158/2021)
A.V. Dudhal & Ors. (O.A.223/2021) ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri Vinod Sangvikar a/w. Ms. Vaishnavi Gholave, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.16, 27 & 220, Shri Mangal Bhandari a/w. Shri Mangesh Deskmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.261 in O.A.No.158/2021, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri Vinod Sangvikar a/w. Ms. Vaishnavi Gholave, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4, 15 & 207, Shri Mangal Bhandari a/w. Shri Mangesh Deskmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.181 in O.A.No.223/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate Shri Vaishnavi Gholave holding for learned Advocate Shri Vinod Sangvikar seeks permission to amend the short affidavit-in-reply, dated 01.03.2021, through Shri Amol D. Suryawanshi filed on behalf of Respondent No.16.

3. Permission granted. The said amendment be carried out in other copies as well.

4. Today Mr. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants made submissions. Now, submissions of learned C.P.O. is partly heard and will continue on 22.06.2021 and thereafter the submissions of learned Counsel for private Respondents will be heard.

5. Adjourned to 22.06.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.295 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. AgarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to the Respondents particularly Respondent No.3 to treat his period of suspension as spent on duty and for all other consequential service benefits. The Applicant is serving as API on the establishment of Respondent No.3- Superintendent of Police (Rural), Nashik. He was suspended by Respondent No.3 in terms of order dated 25.10 .2012 in view of registration of crime and arrested in offences under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act. Later, he was reinstated in service in terms of order passed by the Respondent no.3 on 31.12.2015 subject to decision in Anti Corruption case. Later, the Applicant came to be acquitted by learned Special Judge in Special Case No.01/2014 by judgment dated 21.01.2020. He made representations dated 27.04.2020 and 06.03.2021 for treating suspension period as a duty period with all consequential service benefits. However, it was not responded and therefore, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Indeed, after acquittal of the Applicant in Criminal Case, the Respondent No.3 was required to ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~passed~~ ^{passed} appropriate orders under Rule 72 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981 (Hereinafter referred as Rules, 1981) about the suspension period. However, despite representations, Respondent No.3 did not pass any order.

4. In first place, it is for the Respondent No.3 to pass appropriate orders in accordance to law about the suspension period of the Applicant having regard to the provisions of 'Rules, 1981'.

5. In view of above, there is no need to issue notice to Respondents and O.A. deserves to be disposed of at the stage of admission with suitable directions.

6. Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Police (R), Nashik to pass appropriate orders on representations made by the Applicant in accordance to 'Rules 1981' within two months from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated within two weeks thereafter.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.259 of 2021</p> <p>A. N. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that impugned order of transfer is already cancelled and O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes that grievance of the Applicant is redressed.</p> <p>4. Thus, O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.229 of 2021</p> <p>M. D. KarandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3 S.O. to 29.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p>O. A. No. 218 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.171 of 2021</p> <p>R. S. Gaikwad Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.797 with 799 of 2020 With O.A. No.4 of 2021</p> <p>R. V. SolankheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance with specific directions that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.296 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. AgarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 13.07.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.189 of 2021 in O.A.No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.189/2021 is filed for amendment in O.A.No.161/2021.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. By proposed amendment, the Applicant wants to place on record certain orders passed by the authorities.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to amend the O.A. as prayed.</p> <p>5. The amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply to the amended O.A. within a week thereafter.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.98 of 2021</p> <p>R.C. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance with specific directions that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.587 of 2020</p> <p>H. G. VijapureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent. 2. Today, the matter is taken on board for 'Speaking to Minutes' in respect of order passed by this Tribunal on 03.06.2021 . 3. In Para No.2, the authority name as Commissioner of Planning Department has to be corrected as Additional Commissioner Tribal Development, Thane. Likewise, in Para No.3 and 5 general transfer of 2020-2021 has to be corrected as general transfer of 2021-2022. 4. Above are typographical corrections which are required to be made. 5. Learned P.O. submits that he talked to learned Counsel for the Applicant and he has no objection for aforesaid correction. 6. In view of above, corrections be made accordingly in Para Nos.2, 3 and 5. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.367 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. P.C. Hasnalkar & 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are at present in the cadre of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry and belong to reserved category. They are agitating that they are not being promoted to the rank of Deputy Commissioner as the State Government is proposing to implement 100% promotions in the general category on the basis of merit and seniority.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants presses for interim relief in the form of status quo. Interim relief would be considered on the next date after getting short reply from the respondents.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.7.2021</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.6.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.369 of 2021</u></p> <p>Gajanan S. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is reverted from the post of Laboratory Technician to Laboratory Assistant (Class-III) by making him unfit on the basis of disability certificate. He received the order on 7.6.2021 though it is dated 29.4.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant presses for interim relief. Interim relief would be considered on the next date after getting short reply from the respondents. The respondents are directed to file reply within two weeks.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.7.2021</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.6.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.200 of 2021 in O.A. No.377 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Narod & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.6.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.377 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Narod & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants though have crossed the age of 45 years are agitating that they have not received the exemption and they are not being considered as they have not qualified in the examination for the rank of Jailor, Grade-I. The applicants have made representations to the respondents on several dates from 25.9.2017 till 10.9.2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that there is discrimination against the applicants as others have been granted exemption and order of the same is enclosed.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.6.2021</p>

