

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam</u> <u>holding for S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv/S.O. <u>D.A. as disposed</u> of</p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.416 of 2017</p> <p>Ashok Kumar D. PimpleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere , the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the matter has become infructuous since the Applicant has retired on 31.05.2018.</p> <p>3. Therefore, he requests that the matter may be disposed off.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, the matter is disposed off as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. Applicant and Advocate are absent M. B. Kadam, holding P.O. J.H. Kamble, Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. Smt. Archana B.K. P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>22/6/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A.167 of 2018 in R.A.11 of 2018 in O.A.693 of 2016</u></p> <p>Smt. S.S. Pawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for Applicant are absent. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble and Smt. Archana B.K., Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Kadam, Ld. Advocate requests for adjournment to 22.6.2018. If the Ld. Advocate or applicant do not remain present on the next date, the matter will be dismissed.</p> <p><u>SO to 22/6/2018.</u></p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate are absent Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Case is Dismissed for default.</p>	<p><u>M.A. No.157 of 2018 in O.A. No.88 of 2018</u></p> <p>Shri N.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as advocate for the applicant is absent. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for default.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.C. to <u>9/7/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.886 of 2017</p> <p>S. H. SakharwadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that minor punishment awarded to the Applicant has been modified in his favour.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, seeks leave to amend the Original Application. He seeks two weeks time for the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1011 of 2016 with M.A. No.412 of 2016

Shri C.S. Bhole ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Kulkarni, Leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of ten years in filing the present OA.

3. The applicant is challenging the impugned order rejecting his appointment on compassionate grounds at page 19 Exhibit 'H'. The order issued by the respondent no.1 has stated that the applicant is the son of Leelabai who was his step mother and is the deceased Government servant. The impugned order states that the compassionate appointment can be considered when the applicant is husband, wife, son, unmarried daughter. Step son of the deceased who was his step mother is not entitled for compassionate appointment.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant does not show any citation or any precedent in support of his claim.

5. Ld. PO points out that second marriage was not consummated after obtaining necessary permission and hence it was illegal.

6. As the order issued by the respondents is well reasoned, I do not find any reason to interfere with the same.

7. OA and MA are dismissed with no order as to costs.

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.A. Kulkarni

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

O.A. & M.A. are

dismissed.

[Signature]

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.6.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>MA is allowed. R.A. S.O. to 9/7/18.</p>	<p><u>M.A.93 of 2017 in R.A.10 of 2017 in O.A.941 of 2016</u></p> <p>Smt. S.S. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, Leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she has no objection for permitting the MA for amending the RA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to amend the RA. Request granted.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks.</p> <p>5. RA S.O. to 9.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Shri D.A. is allowed to be withdrawn with above liberty.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.255 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.D. Mhaisale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that applicant has been released from suspension. He produces copy of order dated 13.4.2018 to that effect. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to make a representation regarding treatment of suspension period as duty period.</p> <p>4. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with above liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>Shri S.P. Saxena</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>9/7/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Qad</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.90 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. P.W. Mahindre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Saxena, Leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO requests for two weeks time for filing reply regarding condonation of delay. Request made by the Ld. PO is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G.S. Shukla</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.C./P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1 to 4 <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> for R.No. 5 Adj. S.O. to _____</p> <p>M.A. is allowed. O.A. Adj. to 28/6/18.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.276 of 2018 in O.A. No.535 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. A.R. Bhivapurkar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.S. Shukla, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. By this MA the applicant prays for early hearing of the above OA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein MA is allowed and by consent OA is fixed for hearing on 28.6.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/6/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 114 of 2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.D. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that she will file reply within a week.</p> <p>3. As a last chance adjourned to 25.6.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Lata Patne</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K. B. Baise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/7/18</u> <u>Steno copy and hamdast is granted.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.493 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. T.G. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mrs. Lata Patne, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Baise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that he needs three weeks time for considering the request made by applicant regarding applicant's retention in alternative place in Pune.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.7.2018.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.954 of 2017</p> <p>M. A. DesaiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that as his request is under consideration, the matter may be adjourned to 30.07.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. <u>Archana B.k.</u> P.O. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.U. Bandiwadkar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. Sec. to M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.250 of 2018 in O.A. No.167 of 2017</u> The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Orig. Respondents</p> <p>Vs. Smt. K.S. Ghongade ..Respondent Orig. Applicant</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that MA was filed on 2.5.2018 pointing out that for the reasons mentioned the period for enquiry to be completed may be extended and accordingly the enquiry has been completed on 1.6.2018.</p> <p>3. In view of the enquiry, applicant no.2-original respondent no.2 has come to the conclusion that the appointment on compassionate ground cannot be granted.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the respondent-original applicant mentions that however the respondent no.2 in the OA has not complied with the order of reinstating the applicant. Ld. Advocate for the original applicant is at liberty to file contempt application for not complying with the orders of this Tribunal dated 2.2.2018.</p> <p>5. MA is allowed in view of completion of enquiry by present applicants for the reasons stated therein.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant, <u>K. S. Gaikwad, holding</u> <u>Shri/Smt. P.O. Ms. N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/6/18.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.562 of 2017</p> <p>B. D. GavaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard J. N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requests that the matter may be adjourned to next week.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.06.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>13/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.202 of 2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for three weeks time to file rejoinder in view of the subsequent developments including filing of criminal case against the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO 142/2014

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 27 OF 2003

DISTRICT :

Shri U.P Paradkar

)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...Respondents

None for the applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

DATE : 18.06.2018

1. None for the applicant. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O states that State Government has withdrawn the W.P filed before Hon. High Court with liberty to file separate Writ petitions and separate Writ Petitions shall be filed shortly and would be moved for admission hearing and stay.
3. Present Contempt Application arises out of judgment delivered on 23rd August, 2013 in O.A nos 27/2003 & ors (Smt Shailaja S. Malhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors). The Class-III nursing and subordinate staff is denied allowance towards rent though entitled as per policy of the Government. The arrears are towards spread of over a decade.
4. Most of the applicants have retired and few are counting last breaths.
5. Learned P.O was directed to secure calculations of arrears.
6. Learned P.O has received figure of calculation way back in Sep 2015.



7. The State has every time wasted and whiled away the time and each time prayed before this Tribunal to stay hands from passing any order in present C.A by presenting an excuse that W.P is filed before Hon. High Court and it is awaiting admission hearing.

8. The State has shown extraordinary neglect and loathsomeness in pursuing the W.P.

9. Prima facie the State has failed to demonstrate before Hon. High Court that the order passed in the O.As suffers with any grave illegality or lack of jurisdiction for impressing upon Hon. High Court to have the order stayed.

10. In the background it would be in the interest of justice that State should deposit in this Tribunal amount of arrears as per Govt. calculation which comes to Rs. 3,13,16,777/-.

11. Amount be deposited within 30 days from today. After depositing the amount in this Tribunal, Tribunal shall pass order as to the manner in which the amount be dealt with.

12. S.O 6.8.2018.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to Respondents.



Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai

Date : 18.06.2018

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 18.06.2018
---	--

C.A 73/2016 in O.A No 633/2015

Shri D.G Pore & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned for the applicant and Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicant states that order passed in O.A is set aside and matter is remanded.

3. In view of the statement of learned advocate for applicant. C.A stands disposed of.

DATE: 18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryavanshi

C.A. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to CA stands disposed
of -

BT

Akn

h
Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>J. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.A. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>C.A. stands disposed</u> <u>of.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>MPJ</u> <u>Ch.</u></p>	<p>18.06.2018</p> <p><u>C.A 40/2015 in O.A No 255/2014</u></p> <p>Shri Kisan D. Talpe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant states that order is complied with and he wants to withdraw the C.A.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of learned advocate for applicant. C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J)</u> Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>26/6/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.166 of 2018 in O.A.No.141 of 2018</p> <p>S.R. Korde & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions as to the time frame within which applicant's representation against seniority published in 2014 shall be decided. 3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. 4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 5. S.O. to 26.06.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE</u> : 18/6/2018</p> <p><u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> : Shri/Smt. : P. V. Patil</p> <p>Associate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C. : P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to : 2/8/2018</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>Date:- 18.06.2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.14 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Shinge ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryavanshi</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>20/6/2018</u></p> <p><i>8/7e</i></p>	<p><u>18.06.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 752/2017</u></p> <p>Shri J.R Dalvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 20.6.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.06.2018**O.A No 202/2017 with O.A 203/2017 with M.A 19/2018**

Shri V.P Pawar (O.A 202/2017)
Shri S.B Kokare (O.A 203/2017) ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Jagruti Shetye holding for Shri Sandip Rankhambe, learned advocate for applicant in O.A 202/2017, Ms Seema Saroj holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned for the applicant in O.A 203/2017 and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. These O.As have to be governed by the judgment rendered in O.A Nos 1035/2017 & Ors (Shri S.D Kumbhare & Ors Vs. The Chairman / Secretary, MPSC & Ors) dated 12.6.2018.

3. Hence ordered accordingly. O.A is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b), which reads as follows:-

"(b) This Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash and set aside the Government Resolution issued by the State of Maharashtra dated 13.8.2014 and this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent no. 3 to make necessary amendment/changes in the selection process an selected list for the post merit category so that the female/women from the reserved category securing the cut off mark marked for merit list are to be included in open merit list."
(Quoted from page 13 of O.A).

With further direction that applicant shall be entitled to consequential relief of being considered in open merit/competition category. M.P.S.C, Respondent no.3, shall take consequent corrective measures as directed in O.A 1035/2017 referred to in foregoing para no. 2.

4. In the circumstances, parties are directed to bear own costs.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Jagruti Shetye holding

shri Sandip Rankhambe

for Advocate for the Applicant Co-A-202/17)

ms. seema saroj holding holding

for Shri D.B. Khaire for

O. for the Respondents

app. Co-A. 203/17

O. to Smt. S. Suryavanshi

Ld. P.O. for R/S

OA is allowed.

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>21/6/18</u></p> <p align="right"></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1072 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.C. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time for filing reply on the basis of instructions received.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> G.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>O.A. as Admit.</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>2/7/18</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.907 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. U.A. Sonawale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants wants to file additional affidavit.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 2.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 148 of 2017

Smt. M.H. Patole & Ors.
Vs.

..Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.N. Saroj, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that necessary orders have been issued by the respondents and there is nothing pending. Ld. Advocate for the applicants requests for disposing of the OA. Requested granted. OA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.6.2018

(sgl)

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.N. Saroj
holding for D.B. Khaire
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed
of.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.06.2018

O. A. No.417 of 2014

V. J. KulkarniApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant in person states that the order of the pension is issued on 31.05.2018 and he received it on 04.06.2018. He requests that he should be disbursed necessary pension at the earliest.

3. The Competent Authority should ensure that the Applicant's pension be disbursed to him expeditiously.

4. Adjourned to 01.08.2018.

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant In person.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 1/8/18



VSM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 755 of 2017

Shri S.R. Gural .. Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent OA is admitted.

3. Place the above matter for final hearing on 27.6.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
18.6.2018

(sgj)

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

O.A. is admitted.

Adj./S.O. to 27/6/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Advocate and Applicant are absent. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/6/18</u></p> <p><i>@/14</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.664 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. K.K. Solanki ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Advocate for the applicant as well as applicant are not present. Heard Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she has filed affidavit. However, she also mentions that she has called the respondents to advise them in the matter as the applicant seems to have taken Marathi as a subject in her SSC Board examination. Ld. PO wants to take instructions again from the respondents and seeks one week's time for the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.6.2018.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.06.2018

O. A. No.1143 of 2016

V. T. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. D. Sugdare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requests adjournment to get certain documents on record.

3. S.O. to 29.06.2018.

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. D. Sugdare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 29/6/18

VSM

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

Date: 18.06.2018

O.A.No.981 of 2017

V.U. Sherkhane
Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.U. Sherkhane, the Applicant in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for two weeks time for filing reply.
3. Time may be granted however it is necessary to bring an accrued anomaly to the impugned order with the hope that impugned order can be withdrawn.

4. Impugned order contains as follows:-

"अपिलीय प्राधिकार्यांनी श्री. शेरखाने यांच्या विभागीय चौकशी प्रकरणाची छाननी करून श्री. शेरखाने यांच्या दि.४.२.२००३ अन्वये बनावण्यात आलेल्या शिद्धीमध्ये बदल करण्यात येऊ नये असे स्पष्ट मत दिले. त्याअनुषंगाने श्री. शेरखाने यांचा दि. ४.२.२००३ च्या शिक्षा आदेशाविरुद्ध केलेला अपील अर्ज फेटाळण्याचा निर्णय शासनाने घेतला आहे."

(quoted from page no.166 of the O.A.)

5. It is further evident that the Appellate Authority had not applied its own mind and simply acted upon the recommendation and remark of the Appellate Authority whose decision was under challenge before the Hon'ble Governor which case was transferred and entrusted to the Hon'ble Minister.
6. It is therefore expected that the Government should volunteer to reconsider the Applicant's revision application.
7. At this stage, learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time to take instructions as to whether Government would reconsider applicant's case..
8. Time as prayed for is granted.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
10. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
11. S.O. to 24.07.2018.

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI,
CHAIRMAN

DATE: 18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : V. U. Sherkhane ... (App)

in person.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : H. G. Gohad

C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 24/7/2018.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam, holding</u> <u>for S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>22/6/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.702 of 2016</p> <p>A. R. NaikApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B.Kadam holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, S.O. to 22.06.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri M.B. Kadam holding Power S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Nos. 1 & 2 C.T. Chandratre for Adj. S.O. to R.No. 3 is absent. S.O. to 22/6/18.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.06.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.897 of 2015</p> <p>S. M. SagvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere , the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Applicant for the Respondent No.3 is absent.</p> <p>3. Shri M. B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant says that in view of the absence of Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Applicant for the Respondent No.3, the matter may be adjourned to 22.06.2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 18.06.2018

O.A.No.353 of 2018

S.S. Dhumal & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for further time in the background that way back on 17.04.2018 a question was framed by this Tribunal which is not yet replied.

3. Grant of any further time is prima-facie not justified.

4. A.C.S. is directed to file his own affidavit stating what is the legal impediment answering the question framed by this Tribunal in the order dated 17.04.2018.

5. In case the question framed in para no.12 of the order dated 17.04.2018 is answered in appropriate manner, the affidavit answering the direction contained in foregoing paragraph no.4, shall automatically stand dispensed with.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.

7. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 25.06.2018.

DATE: 18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adt / S.O. to 25/6/2018

File

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI) J
CHAIRMAN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>B. A. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>2/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date:- 18.06.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.218 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.G. Lakhat & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time for filing reply. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. Adjourned to 08.08.2018. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J) CHAIRMAN</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S.S. Dere</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p><u>Date:- 18.06.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No.1082 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.S. Dange ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J) CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Sba</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 18.06.2018

O.A.No.320 of 2018 with O.A.No.316 of 2018

N.R. Thakur & Ors. (O.A.No.320 of 2018)

D.S. Kambale & Ors. (O.A.No.316 of 2018)

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 8 & 9 in O.A.No.316 of 2018.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for reasonable time for enabling Law and Judiciary Department to take decision before whom the file is pending.

4. Time is granted to make a statement till 20.06.2018.

5. S.O. to 20.06.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI,
CHAIRMAN)

Sba

DATE: 18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam, the Ld. Adv.

holding for Shri Dr. G. Sadavarte
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

Chief P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 7

N.S.S. Dere for O.No. 9, 10 & 11.

Adv./S.O. to Co.A. 316/18

20/6/2018

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application.No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	18.06.2018 Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>B. A. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>7/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BA</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 413/2017</u></p> <p>Ms Sunita B. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for two weeks' time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder may be filed only if it is imperative.</p> <p>4. S.O 7.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/6/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>the original Appl.</u> <u>is accordingly disposed of.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date:- <u>18.06.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No.249 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.K.Khade ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) Result of the Applicant's performance in the Revenue Qualifying Examination has been declared.</p> <p>(b) The purpose of filing O.A. has accomplished.</p> <p>3. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, JY) CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.U. Patil</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>21/6/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.528 of 2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.V. Kabade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.U. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 21.6.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Shri A.U. Patil, Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that applicant is presently working as Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Barshi Division, Solapur and respondent no.3 has been promoted and posted at his place where the applicant points out that he has not completed his due tenure.</p> <p>9. No instructions have been issued to him to join at any other place either. In view of the same status quo should be maintained until the original record is produced. Ld. PO requests for two days time to produce original record. At the request of Ld. PO, SO to 21.6.2018.</p> <p>10. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 18.6.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.06.2018

O. A. No.974 of 2016
with
O.A.23 of 2017
with
O.A.24 of 2017

S. N. Salunkhe
S. A. Biradar
A. R. Rathod

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

DATE 18/6/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. U. M. Mankapure

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 21/6/18

VSM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20
IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	18.06.2018	Tribunal's orders
<u>C.A 82/2015 in O.A No 10/2009</u>		
Shri A.K Pusegaonkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents		
1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
2. Learned P.O states that Shri Surendra H. Ketkar, Head Clerk from the office of Director of Technical Education, has arrived just now to give instruction and prays for days' time for compliance.		
3. S.O 19.6.2018.		

DATE: 18/6/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Associate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad


C. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./ S.O. to 19/6/2018

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

147
S.K.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 18.06.2018 Tribunal's orders O. A. No.335 of 2017
<p>DATE <u>18/6/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/6/18</u></p> <p></p>	<p>S. R. ToraskarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Brief Facts of the case are :-</p> <p>a) Shri Raghunath D. Toraskar working as Peon in the office of the District Commandant, Home Guards, Sindhudurg. He died on 29.07.2004.</p> <p>b) On his death, his wife Reema Toraskar applied for appointment on the compassionate ground on 10.08.2004.</p> <p>c) According to the then prevelant G.R., she was kept on the waiting list in the office of the Collector.</p> <p>d) Since the Applicant's mother Smt. Reema Toraskar completed her 40 years on 15.06.2000, her request for compassionate appointment was rejected as per G.R. No.साप्रवि/क.अकंपा-१००४/प्र.क.११/२००४/आठ, dated 22.08.2005.</p> <p>e) On 16.08.2007, the Applicant Sonali Toraskar, the daughter of the deceased, applied for appointment on the compassionate ground. However, her request was rejected vide the impugned order at page no.18 stating that there is no provision to provide compassionate appointment of substituting the name when the earlier applicant has become over aged.</p> <p>2. During the hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant namely Sonali Toraskar is married to Shri Santosh Dhole. He is working as Primary Teacher and is staying at Ratnagiri.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentioned the he wants to cite certain judgments in support of his claim.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.06.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>