

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 18.05.2021**

**O.A.No.236 of 2021 with M.A.No.149 of 2021  
With O.A.No.237 of 2021 With  
M.A.No.145 of 2021 in T.A.No.1 of 2021 With  
M.A.No.146 of 2021 in T.A.No.2 of 2021**

K. Suryakrishnamurty (O.A.236/21 with M.A.149/21)  
A.N. Padwal (O.A.237/2021) ... Applicant  
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents  
WITH

State of Maharashtra & Ors.  
(M.A.145/21 in T.A.1/21) & (M.A.146/21 in T.A.2/21)  
Applicant (Org. Respd.)

Versus

S.T. Shinde  
S.R. Chandrakar ... Respondents (Org. Applicant)

SUO MOTO SPEAKING TO MINUTES.

1. In the order dated 12.05.2021 passed jointly in O.A.No.236 of 2021 with M.A.No.149 of 2021 With O.A.No.237 of 2021 With M.A.No.145 of 2021 in T.A.No.1 of 2021 With M.A.No.146 of 2021 in T.A.No.2 of 2021, it is made clear that the M.A.No.145/2021 with M.A.No.146/2021 are filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Act by the State for Transfer of T.A.No.1/2021 and T.A.No.2/2021 from Aurangabad to Mumbai.

2. On 12.05.2021 the hearing was taken on video conferencing with limited resources. Transfer Applications was to be heard only by the Chairperson and the separate noting should have been taken. Inadvertently a joint order was passed.

3. However, the paragraph no.4, 7 and so also the references in respect of two Miscellaneous Applications and Transfer Applications are to be considered as the order passed by the Chairperson sitting singly. Accordingly the separate order is carved out and it is to be read independently.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.413 of 2020</b></p> <p>V.V. Tamhankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply, dated 01.04.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.3, through Smt. Aparna Madhumangesh Bagul, Desk Officer, Additional charge Deputy Assistant to D.G.P. office of Director General of Police, Mumbai. Taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 15.06.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

pk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.494 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.K. Sabale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits rejoinder is ready and will be filed forthwith. The same be served to learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <sup>17. M</sup> &gt; (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.288 of 2021

Shri Rajkumar V. Kothmire,

).. Applicants

**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

) ..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 18.05.2021.

**ORDER**

1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer.

2) The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.05.2021, whereby he was transferred from the post of Police Inspector, Thane city to the post of Reader, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Gadchiroli.

3) Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim stay *inter-alia* contending that the Applicant has not completed six years of normal tenure within Commissionerate Thane from his transfer order dated 07.06.2016, whereby he was transferred from Mumbai city to Thane city. He has further submitted that the alleged grounds of complaints against the Applicant is untenable in law since, no memo has been issued to the Applicant before passing of transfer order.

4) Whereas, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has opposed interim relied contending that in view of serious complaints, Police Establishment Board (P.E.B.)-II has resolved to transfer of



the Applicant from the point of administrative exigencies and in public interest. She has also tendered minutes of P.E.B. for the perusal of Tribunal.

5) Perusal of minutes of P.E.B.-II reveals that Director General of Police has ~~resolved~~<sup>received</sup> four serious complaints alleging extortion and threats by the Applicant to people. P.E.B.-II therefore resolved to transfer the Applicant to Gadchiroli invoking of section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015.

6) Thus *prima-facie* this compliance of section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015. The Applicant had admittedly completed more than two years on his present posting though he has not completed six years in Thane Commissionerate. Apart P.E.B.-II is empowered to transfer Police Personnel mid-tenure in public interest or on administrative ground.

7) Absence of memo or regular enquiry before issuance of transfer order is untenable, in view of the decision of Hon'ble **Supreme Court in Appeal (Civil) 1010-1011 of 2004 in Union of India and Ors. v/s. Sri Janardhan Debanath and Anr. decided on 13.02.2004** wherein it is held that for transfer of the Government servant, there should not be insistence upon holding elaborate enquiry and the Government servant can be transferred in the public interest and exigencies of administration to enforce decorum and to ensure probity. Suffice to say absence of memo or regular enquiry before issuance of transfer order pales into insignificance since *prima-facie* there are serious complaints which necessitated his transfer outside Mumbai.

8) In view of above, interim relief is rejected.

9) Issue notice to the Respondents before admission returnable on 15.06.2021.

10) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.



- 11) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 12) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 13) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 14) In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 15) In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed seven days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 16) S.O. to 15.06.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Place: Mumbai  
Date: 18.05.2021  
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.557 of 2015</p> <p>B.G. Wagh .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of O.A. and impugned order dated 11.10.2013 reveals that the Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion with all consequential benefits. As such, the matter pertains to Single Bench and not Division Bench in terms of office order dated 10.10.2019.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the Registrar is directed to place the matter before Single Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.284 to 287 OF 2021**

Shri Nandkumar M. Gopale, (O.A. No.284/2021) )  
Shri Sachin M. Kadam, (O.A. No.285/2021) )  
Shri Kedari K. Pawar, (O.A. No.286/2021) )  
Shri Sudhir D. Dalvi, (O.A. No.287/2021) ).. **Applicants**

**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ).. **Respondents**

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.284/2021, Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.285/2021, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.286/2021 & 287/2021.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 18.05.2021.

**ORDER**

1) Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.284/2021, Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.285/2021, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.286/2021 & 287/2021.

2) The Applicants are working as Police Inspector in Mumbai and they have challenged transfer order dated 04.05.2021, whereby they are transferred outside Mumbai invoking section 22 N of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015.

3) Admittedly, they are in Mumbai Commissionerate for more than twenty years including transfer from one Police Station to another Police Station or Branch within Mumbai itself.

*WV*



4) Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim stay *inter-alia* contending that none of the Applicants has completed normal tenure of two years at their present posting or post, and therefore, impugned transfer order is mid-tenure transfer and is in absence of any special case for public interest as contemplated under section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015 they could not have been transferred in such manner. According to him even if the Applicants have completed more than twenty years in Mumbai they are entitled for interim relief, since, they have not completed two years tenure on present post. He has further pointed out that no other Police Officer is posted in place of the Applicants. Shri M.D. Lonkar has further pointed out that in terms of G.R. dated 10.05.2021, Government has taken conscious decision not to effect any transfer including mid-term or mid-tenure till June 2021 in view of COVID-19 pandemic situation.

5) Per contra, Shri A.J. Chougule submits that the Applicants have already completed tenure of more than twenty years in Mumbai Commissionerate, they are due for transfer, and therefore, by impugned transfer order dated 04.05.2021 they are transferred outside Mumbai. He therefore opposed grant of interim stay and sought one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

6) Learned P.O. has also tendered copies of minutes of Police Establishment Board (P.E.B.)-II for the perusal of the Tribunal. According to P.O., G.R. dated 10.05.2021 is not applicable to Police Personnel and it is applicable to the transfer under the provision of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005' for brevity) only.

7) In view of submission advanced, the crucial point for consideration would be whether the Applicants having admittedly completed more than twenty years service within Police Commissionerate can they be construed due for general transfer. This aspects needs to be looked into in detail considering various transfer orders of the Applicants within Mumbai and the provisions of law.



- 8) Therefore, in view of above, at this stage I am not inclined to grant interim relief and it is kept open.
- 9) Issue notice to the Respondents before admission returnable on 25.05.2021. Affidavit-in-Reply be filed on or before 25.05.2021.
- 10) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 11) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 12) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 13) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 14) S.O. to 25.05.2021.



15) The Applicants are allowed to effect private service of O.A. by R.P.A.D. or personal service and should file Affidavit on or before next date.

↖

Sd/-

ψ\*

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Place: Mumbai

Date: 18.05.2021

Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.222 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. M. U. Shetsandi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. None for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., short time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.05.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

- (Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.998 of 2015</p> <p>K. A. Kedar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., short time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to 21.05.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.902 of 2015</p> <p>N. G. Kondhalkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is for final hearing but the Applicants and their Counsel are not present due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.395 of 2015</p> <p>S.R. Dharmale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is for final hearing but the Applicants and their Counsel are not present due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

- (Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.134 of 2016</p> <p>S. M. Padule &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants Counsel is on leave note. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for final hearing in view of leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.127 of 2016</p> <p>A. P. Avhad .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is for final hearing but the Applicant and his Counsel are not present due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.190 of 2016</p> <p>S. P. Sontakke .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that one <sup>dy</sup>us (Smt. Medha Gadgil) was Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Dept. Mantralaya, Mumbai at the relevant time, therefore, this matter needs to be placed and heard by another Division Bench.</p> <p>3. The Registrar is directed to place the matter before another Division Bench,</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.63 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Lande .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1059 of 2019</p> <p>M. G. Pathan .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 16.06.2021</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.617 of 2020</p> <p>G. M. Y. Shaikh ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 17.06.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.618 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. Agale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 17.06.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-  W<sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.45 of 2021</p> <p>H.D. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.28 of 2021</p> <p>D. B. Pawar <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.183 of 2021 With O.A.No.184 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. Potdar &amp; Anr. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.428, 429, 430 with 431 of 2020</p> <p>N. R. Tekam &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 08.06.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.100 of 2021</p> <p>Y. K. Potekar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.86 of 2021</p> <p>R. D. Phadakale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant's Counsel is on leave note. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.82 of 2020</b></p> <p>A.N. Agnihotri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Akshay Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 29.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.72 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.R. Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 15.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No.637 of 2020 with O.A.No.800 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>G.S. Karva (O.A.637/2020) M.V. Birkale &amp; Ors. (O.A.800/2020) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Aagam Doshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.637/2020.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that reply is filed in O.A.No.637/2020. She further submits that in O.A.No.800/2020 reply of Respondent No.1 is filed and seeks time to file reply of Respondent No.2 i.e. M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Shri M.D. Lonkar appearing for Respondent No.3 seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.637/2020.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel Shri Aagam Doshi is unable to appear due to connectivity issue.</p> <p>5. O.A.637/2020 is adjourned to 22.06.2021 and O.A.No.800/2020 is adjourned to 21.06.2021. Matters not to be tagged together.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N`Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">/v (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 135/2021 in O.A 246/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr R.D Tate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge holding for Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant after 13 years had approached this Tribunal with a prayer that his services to be made pensionable i.e. from date of appointment as ad hoc i.e. from 1999 and not from date of regularization in 2009.</p> <p>3. The Respondent is directed to file the reply along with relevant law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court and Supreme Court on this issue.</p> <p>4. Issue notice made returnable on 15.6.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 15.6.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 310/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.D Padwal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from the Respondents submits that within six weeks the Respondents will take decision in respect of grant of deemed date of promotion to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of this, six weeks' time is given to the Respondents to take decision regarding grant of deemed date of promotion to the applicant.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N(Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 251/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr Bhalchandra V. Jichkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dhanure, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to earlier order dated 12.5.2021, learned P.O, submits that the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 have prepared a proposal favourable to the applicant and have sent to G.A.D on 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2021 for reconsideration.</p> <p>3. In view of the submissions we direct the Respondents to take the necessary action in this matter, especially of convening the D.P.C and decide the matters as per rules and necessary orders be passed on or before 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2021.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N'Dixit)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.749 of 2020</b></p> <p>J.T. Gaikwad ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 21.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.05.2021..</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.358 of 2020 in O.A.No.800 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Birkale &amp; Ors.                    ...Applicants.</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.    ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1.     Heard Shri Aagam Doshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.     This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3.     Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4.     In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5.     M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 975, 976 &amp; 977/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.S Bhajbhuvje Shri B.A Karale Ms Kajal G. Dhanvijay &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.V Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that the officers instructing her in this matter on behalf of the Respondents are from Nasik. However, the situation in Nasik is very bad due to Corona Pandemic and those instructing persons are unable to go to their respective departments at Nasik and so she is not getting the necessary instructions. Learned C.P.O seeks time of three weeks' time.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted that the matters are part heard at final stage. Hence, the learned C.P.O, if she wants to file any supplementary reply or affidavit then it should be served on the applicants at least a week in advance. The same is to be served on the email of the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.6.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.508 of 2020</b></p> <p>V.S. Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 27.07.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.87 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.V. Kardak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 to 8 is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 29.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.95 of 2020</b></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply to the amended portion.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 277/2021 with O.A 283, 280 &amp; 281/2021</u></b> <b><u>THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.M Sapkal [O.A 277/2021] A.A Gaikwad [O.A 280/2021] M.G. Mundhe [O.A 281/2021] T.B Suryawanshi [O.A 283/2021]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh with Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 21.5.2021.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. The applicants are already protected by the order of the Hon. High Court dated 19.3.2021 in W.P (ST) No. 99090/2020. Respondents to submit short reply along with all the references.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 21.5.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.05.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 279 &amp; 282/2021</u></b> <b><u>THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE</u></b></p> <p>A.D Ghuge [O.A 279/2021] A.S Auti [O.A 282/2021]... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh with Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice made returnable on 21.5.2021.</li> <li>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>6. S.O to 21.5.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.234 of 2018</b></p> <p>P.R. Wagh &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply to the amended portion in O.A. on behalf of Respondent No.4, through Smt. Kalpana K. Lokhande, Senior Desk Officer and holding charge of the post of Senior Deputy Assistant to Director General of Police, Mumbai. Taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 06.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.15 of 2020</b></p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karavekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate submits that during the course of the day rejoinder will be filed. If filed, it be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Matter is admitted. Adjourned to 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.980 of 2019 with O.A.No.24 of 2020</b></p> <p>Shri S.D. Shelke (O.A.980/2019) A.B. Ghadge (O.A.24/2020) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.24/2020 and for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.980/2019, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.980/2019 is absent.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed in both the matters.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar seeks time to file rejoinder in O.A.No.24 of 2020.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1111 of 2019</b></p> <p>K.S. Adagale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.372 of 2020 with O.A.No.373 of 2020 with O.A.No.374 of 2020</b></p> <p>J.K. Khetre (O.A.372/2020) P.A. Lad (O.A.No.373/2020) B.K. Nagare (O.A.No.374/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandi9waekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, through Shri Jitendra B. Patil, Deputy Transport Commissioner (Administration), Transport Commissioner, Mumbai. Taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N'Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.465 of 2020</b></p> <p>G.Y. Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.05.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.191 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.A. Warang ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant is promoted by order dated 23.02.2021. Hence the issue of promotion is over.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate concedes that the applicant is promoted. However, in respect of deemed date w.e.f. 24.05.2016 the issue is still pending.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on this issue.</p> <p>5. As the matter is originally filed before the Division Bench it will remain before the Division Bench only.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 15.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>