

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.256 of 2024</p> <p>N.P. GholapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' has not yet conveyed information about vacant posts of 'B.D.O Panchyat Samiti in Zilla Parishad Satara' or which posts of 'B.D.O's Panchayat Samitis' are likely to fall vacant in 'Pune Division' during 'General Election Lok Sabha 2024'.3. Learned Advocate for Applicant again reiterates that officer serving on post of 'B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti, Indapur, District Pune' has been posted on his request as 'B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti, Wai, District Satara' by 'Order' dated 23.02.2024 of 'Rural Development Department' and not really for implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.4. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that Applicant was transferred out of post of 'B.D.O, Panchayat Samiti, Wai, District Satara' to post of 'B.D.O. Panchayat Samiti, Shirol, District Kolhapur', only because factual information about nature of election work assigned to Applicant was not submitted on time to 'C.S.B' because Applicant was not to work as 'Nodal Officer'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant nonetheless fairly admits that Applicant was serving as 'B.D.O Panchayat Samiti, Wai, District Satara' for more than 3 Years and it was in his 'Home District', but he could have been transferred during 'General Transfers: 2024'.

6. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' given time of another 'Two Weeks' to submit information about vacant posts of 'B.D.O' Panchayat Samitis' in 'Zilla Parishad Satara' and which posts of 'B.D.O' Panchayat Samitis' are likely to fall vacant in 'Pune Division' during 'General Transfers: 2024'.

7. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 18.04.2024 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1107/2023 with O.A. Nos.1121/2023,
O.A.761/2023, O.A.1021/2023, O.A.1059/2023,
O.A.1297/2023 & O.A.1370/2023

Dr. N. P. Banage & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It is to be informed to both learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned CPO that the file of 'Public Health Department' in respect of 'Extension of Age of Retirement' of 'Medical Officers' to 60 years was required to be submitted for approval to 'His Excellency Governor of Maharashtra'. However, as all such files are required to be submitted through 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra' to 'His Excellency Governor of Maharashtra' by the 'Administrative Departments' through the 'Chief Secretary', therefore; when 'Member (A)' was going through the 'File Notings', compiled by learned CPO which was shared with learned Counsel of Applicant, he came across that 'One Sheet' of 'File Noting' of 'Public Health Department' relating to submission of proposal for approval of draft 'Notification' to 'His Excellency Governor of Maharashtra' regarding 'Extension of Age of Retirement' of 'Medical Officers' to 60 years where 'Member (A)' had signed on 15.02.2022 when he was serving on post of 'Chief Secretary'.
3. Learned CPO for Respondents based on instructions states that Respondent has no objection to proceed with further hearing of O.A. No. 1107/2023 & Others with 'Member (A)' sitting in 'Division Bench'.
4. However, the learned Counsel for Applicants submits that as there are more than 40 Applicants, in O.A. No. 1107/2023 & Others, he needs time of about 'Four Weeks' to consult them and find out whether they have any objection to proceed with further hearing of O.A. No. 1107/2023 & Others with 'Member (A)' sitting in 'Division Bench'.
5. At request of learned Counsel for Applicant, O.A. No. 1107/2023 & Others are adjourned to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.53 of 2024</p> <p>B. S. KendreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who was serving from 09.06.2010 to 12.05.2013 on the post of Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare Department, Beed has been subjected to departmental enquiry. However, the charges against him are of the period from 2009-2010 onwards within which time, the post was held by him by way of Regular Charge.</p> <p>3. Due to undue delay in initiation and non-completion of DE, further proceeding in DE is stayed.</p> <p>4. The matter is admitted and be kept for final hearing on 11.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.316 of 2024

R.R. Divte

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that in respect of Applicants in O.A. No. 124/2024, O.A. No. 125/2024 and O.A. No. 150/2024; as the 'Special I.G.P. (Administration)' in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' has already called concerned 'Police Inspectors' for verification of all relevant documents regarding their claims of 'Home District'; therefore Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' may be informed to also grant 'Personal Hearing' to Applicant in this O.A. No. 316 of 2024.

3. The 'Special I.G.P (Administration)' in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' after giving 'Personal Hearing' to Applicants in O.A. No. 124/2024, O.A. No. 125/2024 and O.A. No. 150/2024; may also give 'Personal Hearing' within 'One Week' to Applicant in this O.A. No. 316 of 2024.

4. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.538 of 2024</p> <p>Y.R. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant is working on post of 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, Dist. Palghar' and came to be transferred by Order dated 31.05.2023 of 'Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' to post of 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School Tava, Tahsil Dahanu, District Palghar'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that copy of Order dated 31.05.2023 of 'Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' was never served on Applicant as is evident by letter written by 'Headmaster' of 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, Dist. Palghar' dated 05.04.2024, wherein it has been stated that Applicant came to be relieved soon thereafter it was received and Applicant had even been paid 'Salary & Allowances' for serving as 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, Dist. Palghar'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentions that the Applicant had given his options for transfer as per G.A.D G.R. dated 09.04.2018. However, none of the options for transfer to 'Government Ashram Schools' in 'Mokhada & Jawhar Tahsils' were considered by the 'Additional Commissioner of Tribal Development Department, Thane'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant on query states that Applicant has been assigned duties of 'Presiding Officer' in '22-Palghar (ST), Lok Sabha Constituency' by the 'District Collector and Election Officer, Palghar' by Order dated 20.03.2024 which shows him as serving on post of 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, Dist. Palghar'.

6. The 'Headmaster' of 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, District Palghar' against this backdrop should not have relieved Applicant even though Order dated 31.05.2024 of Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' was received belatedly; as this would amount to infringement of 'Order' dated 20.03.2024 of 'District Collector and District Election Officer Palghar' issued under 'Section 26 (1) & (3) of the 'Representation of People's Act, 1951' which shows Applicant as serving on post of 'Secondary School Teacher' in 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, District Palghar' and thus assigned duty of 'Presiding Officer' in '22-Palghar (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency'. Hence, in the special circumstances mentioned above; Applicant is permitted to join back on post of 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, District Palghar till completion of his 'Election Duty' as 'Presiding Officer' in '22-Palghar (ST), Lok Sabha Constituency'.

7. Learned P.O. emphasizes that challenge to the Order dated 31.05.2023 of Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' by Applicant is very belated and done after he was relieved from post of 'Secondary School Teacher' at 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, Dist. Palghar' on 05.04.2024. Hence, facts need to be ascertained if all events leading to relieving of Applicant so late have been fully & correctly reported by 'Headmaster' of 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, District Palghar' in letter submitted to 'Additional Tribal Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' on 06.04.2024.

8. Learned P.O. in the meanwhile to obtain information from 'Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Thane' regarding the real

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>reasons behind belated implementation of Order dated 31.05.2023 of 'Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane' by 'Headmaster' of 'Government Ashram School, Nyahale, Tahsil Jawhar, District Palghar'.</p> <p>9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2024.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p>

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.389 of 2024

A.A. KoliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till requisite information is received from 'DGP, Maharashtra State' as per observation in 'Para 10' of Order dated 14.03.2024.
3. S.O. to 09.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.321 of 2024</p> <p>C.N. DhageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, PWD' regarding compliance of directions in 'Para6' and 'Para7' of Order dated 06.03.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that 'Interim Relief' be continued to allow Applicant to serve as 'Executive Engineer, Directorate of Municipal Administration, Municipal Corporation, Solapur' till his retirement on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.436 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. V. N. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Applicants who are working as 'Medical Officers' seek direction that promotion order dated 12.03.2024 is to quashed and set aside and Applicants are to be given promotion on the post of 'Surgeon Cadre' in accordance with the principles of seniority-cum-merit', established norms, and relevant rules/regulations and by way of interim relief prays that operation and implementation of promotion order dated 12.03.2024 is to be stayed and also two posts vacant in the respective cadre3. The CPO submits that copy of OA is not served to the office of Respondents.4. We direct learned Counsel for Applicants to serve the copy of OA to all Respondents.5. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit as the promotion order dated 12.03.2024 is challenged. Hence, it is necessary to give some time to file reply in order to understand the issue.6. S.O.to 25.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 18.04.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.395 of 2024</p> <p>R. Y. Reddiyar & 2 ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Smt. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for Caveator. 2. Pursuant to order dated 21.03.2024, we direct the Respondents to decide the objections raised to 'Provisional seniority List' within 15 days before issuance of order of promotion and it is to be communicated to Applicant. 3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he would like to produce the copy of decision taken by the Government on objections which is communicated to the Applicant. It is taken on record. 4. The learned Counsel for Applicants is directed to add 'Caveator' as party Respondent No.4 in the title of OA. 5. The learned Counsel for Applicants seeks leave to amend the OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and copy be served on all Respondents. 6. Learned CPO submits that in decision it is held that Applicant No.1 has taken benefit of reservation at the time of promotion, therefore, his seniority is refixed as per GR dated 07.05.2021. 7. Learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. 8. If at all promotion is given, it will be subject to outcome of this OA. 9. S.O. to 01.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.537 of 2024</p> <p>T. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that benefit of judgment and order dated 29.01.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.25347 of 2023 is to be rendered in favour of Applicant as he is similarly situated person.3. The learned CPO for Respondents seeks time to file short Affidavit.4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and
Tribunal's orders
alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on-board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 23.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 18.04.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.523 of 2024</p> <p>K. S. AnantkawalasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant is selected pursuant to Advertisement published by Respondent No.3 for the post of 'Police Constable' on 14.12.2022. However, his selection was cancelled by order dated 10.08.2023, 04.09.2023 and 20.03.2024 on the ground that he has suppressed the fact of criminal prosecution pending against him.3. The learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that in filling the main application form, the Applicant has given all information. The learned Counsel relied on Clause 3.3 (a) of G.R. dated 19.07.2017.4. The learned PO for Respondents seeks time to file reply.5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and
Tribunal's orders
alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 23.04.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.539 of 2024</p> <p>S.G.H. AtarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was posted on promotion to post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon' by Order dated 31.05.2023 of 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that 'Husband' of Applicant is working as 'Agriculture Assistant' in office of 'Taluka Agriculture Officer, Tryambakeshwar – Circle Harsul – 1, District Nashik'. So on sympathetic consideration of the fact that 'Husband' of Applicant was serving in 'District Nashik' as also Applicant had earlier worked in office of 'District Seed Certification Officer Nashik' and because this post was not filled up; therefore services of Applicant was attached to the office of 'District Seed Certification Officer Nashik' for period of 'One Year' from 31.05.2023 by Order dated 12.07.2023 of 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that by Order dated 04.03.2024 of 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' the Applicant has now been relieved to join back on post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon'.</p>

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant is instead requesting for transfer to any post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in offices of either 'Taluka Agriculture Officer, Nashik / Igatpuri / Dindori' which is available or may fall vacant on promotion of incumbents. Applicant has in this regard requested 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' by letter dated 26.02.2024.

6. Learned P.O. states that Applicant cannot be continued on post of 'District Seed Certification Officer Nashik' which is of higher level and she had been permitted to hold it temporarily upto 31.05.2024. Therefore; Applicant had to be reverted back to post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon' as per Order dated 04.03.2024 of 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' dated 04.03.2024.

7. The case of Applicant therefore seems to be based on consideration of request transfer from post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon' to 'Nashik District' in offices of 'Taluka Agriculture Officer, Nashik / Igatpuri / Dindori' as per policy of 'Husband & Wife' to be posted together in G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018. The Applicant has already made representation in this regard on 26.02.2024 to 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik'. Therefore it can be expected that appropriate decision about representation of Applicant dated 26.02.2024 would be taken by 'Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' on the grounds of 'Personal Hardships' but also as she had been posted in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon' by overlooking the fact that she had been placed higher in 'Merit List' of 'Limited Competitive Departmental Examination' as compared to other 'Agriculture Supervisors' who have been posted in 'Nashik District' as mentioned in her representation to 'Commissioner Agriculture Pune' dated 02.04.2024.

8. S.O. to 02.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">'GROUP - I' (C.P., Pimpri – Chinchwad)</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.124 of 2024 with O.A. No.125 of 2024 with O.A. No.150 of 2024 to O.A. No.153 of 2024</p> <p>K.D. Patil R.P. Bobade R.N. Ghadge S.B. Deshmukh P.V. Jadhav S.N. TambeApplicants</p> <p align="center">'GROUP - II' (C.P., Pune & Thane)</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.298 of 2024 to O.A. No.301 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. Mane M.N. Jagtap S.D. Jadhav D.B. ChudappaApplicants</p> <p align="center">'GROUP - III' (C.P., Nashik & S.P., Solapur, & Satara)</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.127 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. GodaseApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of his Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in 'Group – I' & 'Group – III', Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in 'Group – II'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

2. Learned P.O. states that cases of Applicants in O.A. No.124/2024, O.A. No.125/2024 and O.A. No.150/2024 relate to claims regarding change of 'Home District'. So, as per directions in 'Para 8' of Order dated 04.04.2024 the 'Spl. I.G.P. (Establishment)' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' has kept 'Personal Hearing' of these Applicants on 22.04.2024 to provide them fair opportunity to submit copies of all relevant documents to substantiate their claims regarding change of 'Home Districts'.
3. Learned P.O. further states that they need more time for due consideration of the cases of Applicants in 'O.A. No.127/2024 & O.A. No.151/2024' which relate to 'Compassionate Grounds'.
4. The 'Interim Relief' granted on 01.02.2024 to be continued in respect of O.A. No.124/2024, O.A. No.125/2024, O.A. No.150/2024 and O.A. No.127/2024, O.A. No.151/2024, O.A. No.152/2024 & O.A. No.153/2024.
5. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with M.A. No.71 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Borate Dr. B.S. Nagargoje Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. Turbadkar Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. D.R. Katke Dr. S.A. RokadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocates for the Applicants. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of his Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informed to ascertain from 'File Notings' of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' about 'Comprehensive & Full Review' of earlier 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023, 13.07.2023 & 10.08.2023 of 'Professors, Associate Professors & Assistant Professors', what were the 'Special Reasons' why in respect of Applicants in O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with M.A. No.71 of 2024 the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' has taken conscious decision not to issue 'Orders' of 'Cancellation / Modification' on 04.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to collect this specific information from 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The orders of 'Interim Relief' thus are continued in respect of those 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' who have filled O.A No.881/2023, O.A. No.867/2023, O.A No.931/2023, O.A No.941/2023, O.A No.885/2023, O.A No.1017/2023, O.A No.1113/2023 as their earlier 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 & 10.08.2023 of 'Medical Education & Drugs Department' are to be contested by 'Medical Education & Drugs Department'.

5. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.414 of 2024</p> <p>A.V. Malwad & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2 - 'Additional DGP of Prisons & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.428 of 2024

Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.
3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.391 of 2024</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondents'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.04.2024

M.A.No.223/2024 in O.A.No.1364/2023

S.R. RathodApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant is working as Awal Karkoon and he gave notice of VRS on 16.06.2023 (page 106). Applicant has completed service of 26 years, 3 months and 4 days and therefore he is entitled to avail of VRS. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant was facing Criminal charges under Section 364A, 342, 324, 143, 147, 148, 504, 506 r.w.149 of IPC and Section 135 of Maharashtra Police Act.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that by letter dated 15.09.2024 reasons for rejection of VRS are given at Serial Nos.1 to 5.

(i) About Confidential Report.

(ii) Period from 21.01.2016 to 15.12.2016 Applicant was suspended for one year and that period is not yet regularized.

(iii) Though transfer order was issued the period from 12.06.2018 to 12.12.2018 Applicant did not join.

(iv) Period from 13.12.2018 to 08.03.2021 he was suspended and the same is not regularized.

(v) Applicant is absent from duty since 18.05.2023.

4. It appears from the submissions of learned P.O. and also in view of letter dated 15.09.2023 it is necessary for the State to take decision in respect of suspension period as mentioned in Sr. Nos.1 to 5 in the said letter.

5 Adjourned to 28.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.P. Ranalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.438 of 2024

Anagha V. Deshpande ..Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who was working as Deputy Superintendent of Police, CID, Pune retired on 29.2.2024. However, the Additional Director General of Police, CID, Pune passed order dated 12.9.2023 stating that applicant had been overpaid a sum of Rs.3,34,418/- and that applicant was required to pay the said amount in 5 instalments of Rs.55,736/- and one instalment of Rs.55,738/-. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that because of this recovery the applicant has not been paid her pensionary benefits. He pointed out that PPO dated 5.3.2024 was approved by the Accountant General. Commutation order and gratuity payment order was approved on 5.3.2024. However, the amount has not been paid to the applicant on account of recovery notice issued on 12.9.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to written application made by the applicant dated 29.1.2024 and pointed out that excess amount as stated by the department should be recovered from her leave encashment and the rest of her retirement benefits should be released. Ld. Advocate for the applicant by way of interim relief prays for staying the impugned order dated 12.9.2023 and seeks directions to the respondents to release pension and pensionary benefits payable to the applicant as per rules. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit of service.

3. Ld. PO pointed out that the amount has not been released on account of recovery initiated against her of Rs.3,34,418/-.

4. It is admitted that retirement benefits should be released immediately to the applicant. It is unfair to withhold the entire amount. It is seen that no show cause notice was issued to the applicant for recovery of the said amount. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case the respondents are directed to recover the amount from leave encashment and release all the pensionary benefits to the applicant within two weeks from today. Present interim order is passed without prejudice and subject to outcome of the present OA.

5. S.O. to 9.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
18.4.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.995 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Sherkhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has prayed as follows:</p> <p>(a) by suitable order and direction this Tribunal be pleased to direct the respondent no.2 & 3 to release the gratuity amount of Rs.4,35,600/- and regular pension of Rs.13,200/- p.m. with arrears thereto and commutation of pension of Rs.5,19,172/- with interest as per the GR dated 1.11.2008 u/r 129-A and 129-B of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982 as per the pension order dated 7.6.2023 issued by the respondent no.3 and OA be allowed accordingly.</p> <p>(b) By suitable order and direction this Tribunal be pleased to further direct the respondent no.2 and 3 to fix the pension of the applicant as per the 7th Pay Commission and time bound promotion of 10-20-30 as per GR.”</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that prayer (a) has been granted and applicant has been given retirement dues. However, as far as the interest part is concerned the applicant is directed to make a representation to the respondents within two weeks. If such representation is made the respondents are directed to decide the same within six weeks and communicate the decision of the applicant within one week thereafter.</p> <p>4. As far as prayer clause (b) is concerned the applicant has been given the benefits of 7th Pay Commission on 9.11.2022. The respondents are directed to take a decision in respect of granting benefits of Time Bound Promotion as per GR dated 2.3.1990 within two months as per rules if he is eligible and release all pensionary benefits within two months. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.315 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.J. Kore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.4.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.943 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the subject matter of this OA pertains to DB and hence the matter may be transferred to DB.</p> <p>3. The above matter be placed before DB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1448 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.A. Walke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 15.4.2024 of respondents no.1 to 5.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.5.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.211 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Sadaphule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 17.4.2024 of respondents no.2 & 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.5.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1574 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder are filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1433 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Rajwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1380 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.K. Mharse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1344 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.V. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 18.4.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.898 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.M. Deshmukh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Sharada Patil h/f Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.384 of 2023

V.D. Pimple ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S.S. Dere,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 7.5.2024 by way of last chance.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
18.4.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.50 of 2024 in O.A. No.87 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.L. Dadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 10 months in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that cause of action arose on 7.8.2020 and the OA is filed on 22.1.2024. He is also praying for considering the period of COVID-19. He submits that the applicant is 73 years old and suffering from lumber spondylitis for last many years and it took time to obtain the documents under RTI.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA and submits that the cause of action arose on 9.10.2015 and no satisfactory reasons are given for condoning the delay and the delay has not been calculated properly.</p> <p>4. In the facts and circumstances of the case and interest of justice the delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.87 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.L. Dadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA.212/2023 in MA.112/2023 in OA.777/2021
MA.214/2023 in MA.113/2023 in OA.792/2021
MA.213/2023 in MA.114/2023 in OA.793/2021

Y.B. Akolkar

S.R. Patil

P.B. Bhangare

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri J.P. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. All the above OAs were dismissed for default on 16.9.2022. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed MAs. No.112, 113 & 114/2023 for restoration of the above OAs. along with MAs. No.212, 214 & 213/2023 respectively for condonation of delay in filing the above MA for restoration.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is delay of 150 days in filing the MAs for restoration. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants were transferred and unable to contact the Ld. Advocate. Therefore there is delay which is unintentional and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that Ld. Advocate was unable to remain present to attend the matter and he tenders apology the same and prays that the OAs may be restored to file by recalling the said order dated 16.9.2022.

4. Ld. PO opposes the MAs.

5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MAs. the delay is condoned and MA for restoration if allowed and the OAs are restored to file. All the MAs are disposed off accordingly.

6. OAs are adjourned to 14.6.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha' Gadgil)

Member (A)

18.4.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.519 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.N. Holkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1424 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ombase & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files affidavit dated 13.4.2024 of the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.4.2024 for final hearing. Part Heard. FOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Date: 18.04.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.431 of 2024 Tribunal's orders Dr. P. S. Kamble (Kalkute)Applicant
	<p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.04.2024.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.8. S.O. to 29.04.2024. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.430 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R. S. AdkekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.04.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 29.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.463 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. M. Dabade & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																				
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</p> <table><tr><td>1. Dr. G.B. Taware</td><td>(O.A.1037/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath</td><td>(O.A.1039/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar</td><td>(O.A.1040/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>4. Dr. N.B. Masaram</td><td>(O.A.1041/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>5. Dr. V.P. Doijad</td><td>(O.A.1042/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>6. C.G. Patil</td><td>(O.A.1237/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>7. Dr. M.M. Pawar</td><td>(O.A.1238/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal</td><td>(O.A.1239/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>9. Dr. P.N. Rathi</td><td>(O.A.1240/2019)</td></tr><tr><td>10. Dr. A.B. Pandav</td><td>(O.A.1241/2019)</td></tr></table> <p>.....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant in Sr. No. 1 to 5, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A. No.1042/2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.05.2024.</p> <p>sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>	1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)	2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)	3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)	4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)	5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)	6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)	7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)	8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)	9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)	10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)
1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)																				
2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)																				
3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)																				
4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)																				
5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)																				
6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)																				
7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)																				
8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)																				
9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)																				
10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)																				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.1081 of 2023

R.D. GhunkikarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.1130 of 2023

S.G. MoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.463 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. M. Dabade & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.306 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>N. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. S.O.to 02.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.04.2024

**O.A.Nos.71, 72 & 73 of 2024
(DB-II)**

N. N. Nalegaonkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Abhishek Nagode holding for Shri N. G. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .

2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Counsel for Applicants seeks time to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 03.05.2024. Stay to be continued.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1195/2023</p> <p>R.S. TaydeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.M. Chaudhari, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.422/2023</p> <p>P.A. WaidandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that affidavit-in-reply is not filed by the Respondent, in spite of giving last chance, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing. Adjourned to 01.07.2024. HOB.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.941/2022</p> <p>Dr. G.K.M.A. AnsariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Siddiqui, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.05.2024 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.224/2024 in O.A.No.1443/2023</p> <p>V.K. AherApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has filed M.A. seeking permission to make amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.224/2024 is allowed. O.A. adjourned to 13.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.210/2024 in O.A.No.644/2022</p> <p>V.B. KashidApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 19.04.2024</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.336/2023 in R.A.No.7/2023 in O.A.No.614/2018</p> <p>A.B. KatkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1352/2023</p> <p>A.A. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Manoj Shrisat i/b. Abhay Wadhwa, learned Counsel for Respondent no.56.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.L. Adhe along with Mr. A. Khedekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 26, 32, 55, 71, 91, 95, 199 & 119 and Mr. S.H. Kshirsagar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.114, 118 & 130 are absent.</p> <p>3. Due to paucity of time, O.A. is adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.306 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>N. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. S.O.to 02.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.247 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>B. R. KhaireApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.223 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. T. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.221 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. S. KaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 02.05.2024</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.208 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>D. K. Shinde & Others Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.141 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>K. R. Kendre & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicants seeks time to go through the reply.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.139 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>C. A. Meshram Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.70 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>B. L. Sakate & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.11 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>Dr. S. R. KatkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. V. Kate, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.430 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R. S. AdkekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.04.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 29.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 572/2022</u></p> <p>A.S More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Tribunal be pleased to direct Respondent No. 1 to pass final order in the matter of disciplinary proceedings initiated by charge sheet dated 29.11.2016 qua the applicant within 4 weeks from the date of the order.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Enquiry Officer has submitted report on 28.1.2021 and thereafter on 29.1.2021 the applicant submitted his reply and on 30.1.2021 he stood retired. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that final order is not passed by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O further submitted that as criminal case is pending against the applicant under Sections 406, 409, 420, 120B, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, final order is not passed by the Disciplinary Authority because it should not affect the decision in the criminal case.</p> <p>5. It is made clear that if the criminal case is pending depending on the facts and circumstances of each case the decision is to be taken by the authority whether the departmental enquiry should proceed or not. This is required to be assessed considering whether the Complainant and the witnesses are one and the same. If at all the Complainant and witnesses are one and the same and their evidence is recorded at the time of the departmental enquiry and at the time of cross-examination, the evidence is to be disclosed and that may have adverse effect in the criminal case and prejudice to the accused may be caused. However, in the present case witnesses are examined, report is submitted and there is no question that defence of the accused will be prejudiced. Under such circumstances, it is binding on the Disciplinary Authority to take the departmental enquiry to its logical end.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Disciplinary Authority to pass final orders in the departmental enquiry by 5th July, 2024 and communicate the same to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1238/2023</u></p> <p>Dr H.A Nadaf ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Respondents be directed to allow the applicant to participate in counselling/admission process for the NEET-2023 Examination Post Graduate course as in-service Medical Officer, and to grant No Objection Certificate for admission to Post Graduate Medical courses NEET PG-2023 examination subject to the outcome of the departmental enquiry /criminal case</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O relies on G.Rs dated 19.3.2019 19.7.2023 and 19.7.2023. Learned C.P.O further submitted that as per clause 4.5 of G.R dated 19.7.2023, wherein it is stated that if at all departmental enquiry or criminal case is pending against the Government servant, then No Objection Certificate for admission to Post Graduate Medical courses NEET will not be granted.</p> <p>4. The said clause 4.5 is reproduced below:-</p> <p align="center">“४.५ वैद्यकीय अधिकार्यांविरुद्ध विभागीय चौकशी अथवा फौजदारी प्रकरण प्रलंबित / प्रस्तावित किंवा सुरु असल्यास त्यास (NEET PG) प्रवेश परिक्षेस बसण्यास अपात्र समजण्यात येईल. अशा वैद्यकीय अधिकारी यांना उप संचालक स्तरावरून प्रवेश परीक्षेस बसण्यास ना हरकत प्रमाणपत्र देण्यात येऊ नये.”</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we find no merit in the Original Application and the same stands dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 488/2017</u></p> <p>K.A Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondents No. 24, 26, 42 and 90.</p> <p>2. As the matter is sub-judice and in order to avoid further complications, we direct the Respondents not to issue orders of promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary without the permission of the Court.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022</p> <p>B.D. Kamble & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that in respect of batch No.102 orders have been issued whereas in respect of Batch No.103 orders are yet not issued. As on today there is no challenge to this order, hence the order holds field and it is to be obeyed forthwith. It is necessary for the State to obey the orders issued by the Tribunal on 16.01.2024 which was required to be complied within four weeks, which got over on 16.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Under such circumstances, the Contemnors, Additional Chief Secretary, Ms. Sujata Saunik, Home Department and Ms. Rashmi Shukla, D.G. to take note that if the orders are not obeyed within one week we will be constrained to issue notice of contempt to the Respondents. We also suggest that Respondents may consider the option of issuing the orders of promotion of the Applicants subject to outcome Writ Petition No.1548/2023.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.775/2017 with M.A.No.253/2024</p> <p>S.N. RaktateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that M.A. is filed seeking action for perjury for the false statement made on oath by the Respondent no.1, Mr. Santosh Gawde, Under Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.19/2024 in O.A.No.417/2022</p> <p>R.P. GageApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Namrata Vora h/f Mr. Yashodep Deshmukh i/b. Ms. Vaidhi Pradeep, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that G.R. to give the Applicant deemed date w.e.f. 01.12.2005 and also for pecuniary benefits is issued. She therefore seeks one week time to file reply stating the stand taken by the Government.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.40/2024 in M.A.No.697/2023 in O.A.No.200/2022</p> <p>D.H. ChoudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the proposal for applicant's pension has been submitted to the office of Accountant General on 27.03.2024. She has submitted that Leave Encashment, which was sought by the Applicant through speaking to minutes, was already paid to the Applicant on 18.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel objects for the appearance of CPO or any PO from the office of CPO to defend the case of the Contemnors. He has submitted that the Contemnors should either appear in person or appoint Counsel on his behalf.</p> <p>4. We would like to know in all matters in Contempt whether Government Pleaders should appear on behalf of the Contemnors or not</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 24.04.2024 to reconsider the issue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.31/2024 in O.A.No.394/2022</p> <p>D.K. KokaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Applicant's case will be considered for deemed date. However, it will take time as the Respondents are busy on election duty.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.20/2024 in O.A.No.907/2022</p> <p>S.P. MalusareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Mr. R. Kale with Mr. R.R. Choudhari, learned Counsel for the Applicant are absent. 3. Learned P.O. has submitted that copy of Contempt Application is not served on the office of CPO. 4. Adjourned to 02.05.2024. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.04.2024

O.A. No.1189 of 2023

S.K. BholeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.
3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
4. S.O. to 09.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. No.1485 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kotkar & Ors. D.G. HiwaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1464 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1485 of 2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1039 of 2016</u></p> <p>Ashish N. Sonkamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vrushali L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that by way of interim relief the applicant has prayed for re-measurement of height of the applicant through independent source. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief in this OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that this OA is filed in 2016 and Ld. Advocate for the applicant is now asking for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that she is not aware about what happened earlier and she had now come on record.</p> <p>5. We in fact deprecate such practice of not ratifying the prayers of the applicant made by previous Advocate when the Advocate freshly comes on record. It is the duty of the Advocate on record to show whether any interim relief was pressed earlier or not. Be that as it may. We direct the Ms. Pallavi Saple, Dean, Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai to form a Medical Board to measure the height of the applicant and it is to be measured without fail on 25.4.2024 and we direct the applicant to remain present on 25.4.2024 at 10.30 a.m. in the office of Dean, Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and we direct that the report be placed before the Court on 29.4.2024.</p> <p>6. We direct the Ld. PO to point out whether 189 posts were advertised and 175 posts were filled up. Ld. PO, on instructions, submits that 11 posts are vacant. Ld. PO to verify and make a statement. S.O. to 29.4.2024. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.513 of 2017</u></p> <p>H.B. Sonawane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav h/f Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files chart prepared by the State. The same is taken on record and copy is furnished to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>