

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.271 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.G. Mate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.4.2022 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.820/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.D. Arjun Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.</p> <p align="right">... Applicant ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 06.08.2021 on the ground that her options were not considered in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for giving preference to a Government servant whose parents suffer from serious ailment like Cancer, etc. The Applicant was due for transfer and has given 10 options. He had also submitted Medical Certificate of his mother. However, none of the option was considered and he was transferred at Adgaon Circle, Tamhini which was not in the options given by him.</p> <p>3. Now, general transfers of 2022 are ensuing and hardly one month away.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that in general transfers of 2022, Applicant's case is required to be considered and her client is ready to make fresh representation.</p> <p>4. The perusal of record reveals that none of option given by the Applicant was considered though it ought to have been considered in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 in view of Medical Certificate submitted by him. Applicant's mother is suffering from Blood Cancer. Indeed, G.R. dated 09.04.2018 provides for transfer on counselling and giving preference to a Government servant whose parents suffer from serious illness like Cancer, etc. No reason for denying options are forthcoming. Even if G.R. dated 09.04.2018 does not vests right in favour of Applicant to ask for particular post or place, but in view of policy decision taken by Government itself, it was obligatory to consider the difficulties and to issue transfer order in fair and transparent manner and in letter and spirit of G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In view of above, OA is disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation within a week along with necessary documents giving options and on receipt of it, the Respondents shall take appropriate decision on his representation in general transfers of 2022 in the light of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. The decision shall be communicated to the Applicant.

6. If for one or other reason, general transfers are not effected by the end of May, 2022, in that event, also, the decision on the representation shall be taken at the end of May, 2022.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
vwv
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
18.04.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.347 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.314 of 2022</p> <p>K.R. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits which are withheld for no reasons.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.08.2018 from the post of Talathi. At the time of retirement he was on the establishment of Respondent No.2 – Tahasildar, Jawahar, Dist. Palghar. Respondent No.1 is S.D.O – Jawahar, Dist. Palghar and controlling authority of Respondent No. 2 – Tahasildar. It is really disgusting that though the Applicant stands retired on 31.08.2018 he was not paid retiral benefits and after waiting for long time compelled to approach this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. O.A. was taken up for admission on 07.04.2022 and having found the Applicant's retiral benefits are withheld for long time, directions were given to learned P.O. to taken instructions from the Respondents and to appraise the Tribunal as to why retiral benefits are not paid. Respondent No.1 – Jawahar was also directed to keep responsible officer present to know the reason for withholding retiral benefits. Thereafter, matter was taken up twice for taking instructions from the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Today learned P.O. on instructions submits that retiral benefits are not paid due to non-updation of the service book of the Applicant. She admits that at the time of retirement neither there was initiation of [P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>criminal prosecution nor departmental proceeding was pending so as to withhold retiral benefits.</p> <p>6. As such, what transpires that there was absolutely no legal hurdle for withholding retiral benefits. However, retiral benefits are withheld for more than four years due to sheer laxity and negligence on the part of concerned officials.</p> <p>7. Indeed, Rule 122 of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982 specifically provides that the Head of Office shall complete the process of compliance of pension papers no later than six months before the date of retirement of the Government servant. Rules 120 to 122 provides time frame for verification of service record including service book and preparation of pension papers well in advance. Despite this specific provision in Pension Rules, 1982, Respondents did not bother and the Applicant is deprived of his legitimate retiral benefits for more than four years. Lapses, Laxity and negligence on the part of concerned Official is obvious.</p> <p>8. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>9. O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to take all necessary steps for updation of service book, verification of service record etc and to ensure release of all retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement in law within a month from today.</p> <p>10. The Applicant can redress the grievance of interest independently.</p> <p>11. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.950 of 2019 with M.A.No.516 of 2019 with O.A.No.1018/2019 with M.A. No.561/2019 with O.A.No.465/2021 with O.A.No.489/2021 with M.A.No.276/2021 with O.A.No.494/2021 with M.A.No.402/2021 with O.A.No.500/2021 with M.A.No.580/2021</p> <p>S.K. Inamke & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Surayawanti, learned Counsel for the Applicant (O.A.950/19 with M.A.516/19 & 1018/19 with M.A.561/19), Shri R. M. Kolge (O.A.465/2021), Applicant in person (O.A.489/21 with M.A.276/21), Applicant in person (O.A.494/21 with M.A.402/21), Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel (O.A.500/21 with M.A.580/21) for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule with Smt. K. S. Gaikwad ^{K. S. Gaikwad}, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. ✓</p> <p>2. O.A.No.950/2019 is filed by retired PSI who stands retired on 30.06.2014 for grant of increment which was due on 01.07.2014. This O.A. is filed along with M.A.No.516/2019 for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. The Respondents have filed reply to M.A. but no reply is filed to O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A.No.1018/2019 is filed by retired PSI who stands retired on 30.06.2014 claims increment due on 01.07.2014. This O.A. is also filed along with M.A.No.561/2019 for condonation of delay. The Respondents have filed reply in M.A. However, no reply is filed in O.A.</p> <p>4. O.A. No.465/2021 is filed by the Applicant who stands retired on 30.06.2019. He claims increment which was due on 01.07.2019. The Respondents have filed reply and the Applicant has filed Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.489/2021 is filed by the Applicant in person who stands retired on 30.06.2016 and claims increment which was due on 01.07.2016. This O.A. is also filed along with M.A.No.276/2021 for condonation of delay. The Respondent No.2 has filed reply in M.A. as well as in O.A.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. O.A.No.494/2021 is filed by the Applicant in person who stands retired on 30.06.2013 and claims increment due on 01.07.2013. This O.A. is filed along with M.A.No.402/2021 for condonation of delay. The Respondents have filed reply to M.A. as well as O.A.</p> <p>7. O.A.No.500/2021 is filed by the Applicant who stands retired on 30.06.2018 and he also claims increment due on 01.07.2018. This O.A. is also filed along with M.A.No.580/2021 for condonation of delay. In M.A. as well as in O.A., the Respondent No.3 and 4 have filed Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>8. In all these matters common issue arises about entitlement of a Government servant who retired on 30th June for grant of increment which falls due on 1st July in view of recommendation of 6th Pay Commission. The Applicants are relying on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as recent decision of the Hon'ble High Court in <i>W.P.No.5864/2019 (Pandurang V. Dhumne V/s State of Maharashtra)</i>. The Hon'ble High Court placing reliance on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court allowed W.P. and directions were given to take necessary steps for grant of notional increment which was due on 1st July (after retirement).</p> <p>9. As such, all these O.As are required to be heard together with M.A. since the common question arises for determination. Though today the matters are fixed for final hearing, it is noticed that in O.A.No.950/2019 and O.A.No.1018/2019, the Respondents have not filed reply to O.A. since M.A. and O.A. are required to be heard together, it is necessary to have the reply of Respondents in O.A.No.950/2019 and 1018/2019 also.</p> <p>10. Short time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply in O.A.Nos.950/2019 and 1018/2019 so that all these O.As and M.As will be heard and decided together.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 22.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.225 of 2022 in O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment in O.A. No.738/2018. Indeed, there is M.A. No.73/2022 for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. No.738/2018. As such, delay caused in filing O.A. No.738/2018 is not yet condoned so as to take cognizance of proposed amendment of O.A.</p> <p>3. M.A. No.225/2022 will be considered after the appropriate decision in M.A. No.73/2022, till date it can be kept pending.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.73 of 2022 in O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2022</p> <p>D.K. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Satyavrat Joshi, learned Advocate instructed by Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri A. Aswodkar, learned Advocate instructed by Shri Kshitija Wadatkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Ms. Pragathi B Malle, learned Advocate for the Caveator.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.162 of 2022 in O.A. No.179 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. SwamiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. It is taken on record. 3. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in challenging order dated 05.03.2019 thereby withholding increment for three years. The Applicant contended that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation O.A. cannot be filed within period of limitation and prayed to condone the delay of 10 days. 4. For the reasons stated in M.A., delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned. 5. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.179 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. SwamiApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete matter be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder in the mean time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04. 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1071 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. ParsekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.V. Magam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.123 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 3.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.450 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. MosamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.82 of 2022 in O.A.No.988 of 2019</p> <p>V. Y. MokashiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that this M.A. is filed inadvertently since there is already M.A.No.573/2021 for amendment.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw M.A.No.82/2022 and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.573 of 2021 in O.A.No.988 of 2019</p> <p>V. Y. MokashiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment seeking relief of setting aside the order dated 01.08.2019 whereby Applicant's claim for reinstatement has been rejected. In O.A. prayer is restricted to grant of interest without specifically challenging the impugned order dated 01.08.2019.</p> <p>3. Thus, the proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. It appears that inadvertently relief of setting aside the impugned order was not included.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.573/2021 is allowed. Amendment be carried out within three days. The Respondents are at liberty to file additional reply to amendment within a week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.04.2022

O.A.No.270 of 2022

S. B. DubeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 15.0.9.2021 ^{whereby his request} to adjust leave period of 667 days towards earned leave and commuted leave which were at his credit stands rejected.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.124 of 2022 in O.A.No.844 of 2021</p> <p>Shri C. S. Lokhande ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Sur-Rejoinder in O.A. will be filed during the course of the day so as to decide the M.A. and O.A. together. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. and O.A. will be heard together.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.138 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.135 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">R.A. No.05 of 2022 in O.A. No.70 of 2022</p> <p>J.C. NaikwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.337 of 2022</p> <p>D.W. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant who stands retired as Associate Professor has filed present O.A. for direction to Respondents to release his retiral benefits. He stands retired on 31.03.2019 but till date his retiral benefits are not paid. O.A. therefore deserves to be expedited. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.05.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 05.05.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N



Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.175 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. AhireApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this application, the Applicant challenges the order dated 20.06.2013 passed by the Respondent No.1 rejecting thereby the Sports Certificate which was submitted by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Respondents have issued the advertisement dated 21.10.2010 for filling up the post of Education Officer, Group-A. The Applicant has applied in the category of Sports S.C. wherein one post of Education Officer was reserved in the said category. The Applicant has passed the written examination and has submitted the documents i.e. her Sports Certificates for verification. However, Respondent authority has rejected the Sports Certificates submitted by the applicant mainly on the ground that the Certificates are issued by the Organization which were not approved by the Indian Olympic Association. Applicant has played three Sports :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. 10th National Karate Championship at Amritsar where he has secured bronze medal conducted on 25.11.2005 to 27.11.2005. ii. 30th National Taekwondo Championship held on 30.09.2010 at Chennai and iii. Indira Gandhi, School Principal, Internal Kabaddi Championship held on 26.03.1988 at Nashik.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The participation and the certification of the Applicant was wrongly denied by the Respondents. The learned Counsel has relied on the Government Resolutions dated 30.04.2005 (page 42), 30.12.2013 (page 61) and 19.01.2017 (page 65).</p> <p>5. The Applicant is called upon to show that he has participated at International Level as per the requirements of G.R. dated 30.04.2005 and secondly the applicant has also to prove that the Organization which has arranged Karate competition and Taekwondo Championship in Chennai were earlier approved Organization by the Indian Olympic Association. If they were approved, Organization earlier then the case of the Sports persons can be covered by G.R. dated 30.12.2013 as an exception.</p> <p>6. The learned Advocate has submitted that the impugned order of 2013 giving reasons that the applicant has not played at International Level is not mentioned and therefore that cannot be considered.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.292 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. SawantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for 15 days time so as to seek instructions from the Applicant for withdrawal of the present O.A. or withdrawal of his vakalatnama on next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">18.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 982/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 11.4.2022 passed by the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench in M.A 348/2021 in O.A 832/2016, learned counsel for the applicant on instructions from the applicant, who is personally present, seek permission to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The relevant para 2 of the order dated 11.4.2022 passed by the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench in M.A 348/2021 in O.A 832/2016 is reproduced below:-</p> <p align="center">“2. The Respondent no. 2, namely Sagar Sadashiv Kokane is present before the Tribunal. Advocate Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav is appearing on his behalf. Today, the said Respondent no. 2 has tendered across the bar a written purshis therein, that in the present matter, he does not wish to put forth his side and is not opposing the O.A No. 832/2016. It is further averred that the applicant would withdraw the O.A No. 982/2021 filed by him before the Principal Seat at Mumbai. It is further contended that for personal reasons and family difficulties, he has taken the said decision and he will not claim any right over the subject appointment.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, permission is granted to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>5. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.370 of 2019</u></p> <p>L.T. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.S. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to our order dated 7.3.2022, Ld. PO informs that respondents have worked out the payment of Rs.1.12.308/-. As soon as cheque is received from Accounts Department the amount will be credited in the account of the applicant at the end of this month.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant express disagreement with the amount which is going to be received by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to give a note to the respondents about his calculation and the correctness of the amount.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.4.2022 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.42 of 2021 in OAs.678, 679 & 680 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Mujawar & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that writ petition is fixed for hearing on 27.4.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.6.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022				

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.163 of 2022 in O.A. No.286 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.H.I. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 6.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.142, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Nalavade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Both the parties are directed to give their calculations on 25.4.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1057 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A. Bhaijan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.818 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.s. Hipparkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.288 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.V. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that on 11.4.2022 meeting was held and Respondent-State will take decision within one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>/</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Paralkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is a group of matters on similar issue. All the matters are to be taken together. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to give numbers and dates of those matters so that all the matters can be fixed on 15.6.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.4.2022 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs No.770/2020, 663/2020 & 351/2021</u></p> <p>R.R. Kalaskar R.S. Yadav U.S. Koli Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandkiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs No.770/2020 & 351/2021, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA.663/2020 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.4.2022. Interim relief granted in OA No.663/2020 to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1065 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.D. Shiralkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to move representation before the respondent-State as one similar matter is decided.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.4.2022 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.232 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.S. Khose ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to order dated 10.3.2022, enquiry is completed by order dated 11.3.2022 and thereafter Time Bound Promotion is also granted to the applicant by order dated 31.3.2022. Hence, nothing remains in this matter.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that matter be fixed on 20.4.2022 to get instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.243 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.P. Pathare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Prasad Warkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 28.4.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.4.2022 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.108 of 2022 in O.A. No.174 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.C. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is directed to furnish copy of MA and OA in the office of Ld. CPO and the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.591 of 2021 in O.A. No.1016 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Kasar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 6.6.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.4.2022</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant Vs. K.R. Gupta & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 7.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>18.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.14 of 2021 in O.A. No.343 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Rajput & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 4.5.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.4.2022 18.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.329 of 2020</p> <p>M. H. MhaldarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>