#### Tribunal'a ordera M.A. No 183 of 2016

### O.A. No.343 of 2016

Shri Shivaji K. Gunjal & Anr. .. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notice returnable on 6.6.2016:
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- Ld. PO waives service of 7. S.O. to 6.6.2016. notice.

Sd/-

(Kajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

(sgj)

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWA (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shrt/Sat A.V. BO

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Bm. A. J. chocegcole C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.182 of 2016 in O.A. No.343 of 2016

Shri Shivaji K. Gunjal & Anr. ...Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Aganwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 18/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hoz'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPBARANCE:

Mrisut A.V. Brendicocadellar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri San A. J. Chougell

C.P.CITEO, for the Respondents

- Ad To M. A. es disposed of

### Tribunal's orders O.A. No.341 of 2016

Shri Dattaguru G. Pilankar

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notice returnable on 3.5.2016.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 3.5.2016. Ld. PO waives service of notice.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 18 4 6

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAY
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Shot T. M. D. Lonkan

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri Smt. M. L. P. D. D. L. T. C.P.O. L. P. Tor the Respondents

Adj. To. 5.0. 36/16.

format

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.340 of 2016

Shri Krushna V. Sawant

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Shri Lonkar, Ld. Advocate stated that the applicant has been suspended by order dated 4.2.2016. He is due to retire on 31.5.2016. No charge sheet has yet been issued in the DE. The applicant has also filed an appeal before the Chief Conservator of Forest, Kolhapur on 1.4.2016 which is still pending. He, therefore, prayed that interim relief of staying the order of suspension may be granted as there is no material on which the applicant could have been placed under suspension.
- 3. Ld. PO opposed granting of any interim relief. He stated that the applicant has filed appeal only a few days back and the present OA is strictly speaking not maintainable. Considering the fact that the applicant will be retiring on 31.5.2016, I am inclined to hear this OA. However, the issue of interim relief is kept open till some reply is received from the respondents. Ld. PO stated that he will try to get reply from the respondents on or before 3.5.2016. Issue of interim relief is kept open.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 3.5.2016.



Tribunal's orders

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 3.5.2016. Ld. PO waives service of notice. Hamdast.

Sd/-(Rafiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

DATE: 18/4/16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Sortsur M. D. Loulcer

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smr. A. J. Shoce geals

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.As. No.331 & 332 of 2016

Shri T.S. Lamane

Shri G.R. Padwal

.. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The Applicants in these OAs are working as Police Head Constable/Drivers. By order dated 3.12.2015/3.1.2016 the pay of both the applicants have been refixed and it is ordered that excess payment made to them may be recovered from their pay. Ld. CPO, on instructions stated that so far no recovery has actually been effected. He, therefore, opposed interim relief sought by the applicant of stay of recovery of excess payment made to them from their pay.
- 3. At present no interim relief is being granted. However, if any recovery is actually made the applicants are granted liberty to renew their request.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 3.5.2016.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper

#### Tribunal's orders

book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 3.5.2016. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.

Sd/-

1

(Kajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 18 4 16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri, RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shripsme TALL POLLOWS

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

5.0. to 3/5/16

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.342 of 2016

Shri Prakash L. Hotkar

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- The Applicant in this OA has challenged certain 2. recoveries on account of excess payment allegedly made to him in his gratuity, commutation of pension and pension by order dated 4.12.2015. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate states that the Applicant retired on 31.10.2012 and without any prior notice the impugned order has been passed. Certain amounts have already been recovered and recovery may continue till April, Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate, therefore, sought interim relief that no further recoveries from the pension of the applicant be made till final disposal of this OA. He cited the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 18.12.2014 in Civil Appeal No.11527 of 2014 STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS VERSUS RAFIQ MASIH (WHITE WASHER) ETC. Ld. CPO objected to the recovery.
- 3. As the recovery has been ordered behind the back of the Applicant and it appears to be in violation of the aforecited judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, interim relief of not making any recovery from the pension of the Applicant from April, 2016 onwards is granted.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 6.6.2016.



#### Tribunal's orders

- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 6.6.2016. Ld. PO waives service of notice. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Kayıv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.4.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 18 4 16

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

- Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

A.U. Bandicoadokan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. 12. Respondents

Adj. To 5.0. +0 6/6/16 Handast

( and

(Advocate	 )
(LIGHT OCCUL)	

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.344/2016

Shri V.M. Honde ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file the Affidavit-in-reply. The interim relief sought is in respect of Corrigendum issued to the final seniority list. The Corrigendum is dated 11.1.2016 and the final seniority list is dated 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2016. The Applicant is aggrieved thereby. It is the case of the Applicant that as of now, the actual implementation to the seniority list has not been made, and therefore, Mr. Bandiwadekar requests that till next date at least, the same status quo should continue. In my view, however, with a clarification as below, as of today, the interest of both the sides can be adequately safe-guarded. It is directed that any promotion effected from now on in respect of which the Applicant has expressed grievance herein shall be subject to the outcome of this OA and to all promotes in case they are to be promoted, this fact must be made expressly clear (in writing). It is further made clear that this course of action will be both with regard to the orders made at interim stage, if any and final disposal. Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief on the next date on which date the Affidavit-in-reply must be filed and in case, the reply is not filed, it will be open to this Bench to consider the case for interim relief.

Issue notice returnable on 05.05.2016.

#### Tribunal's orders

MTE: 18/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesikumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

ShrySmt: A. V. Bardin du

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: L. C. Gaille

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 5th May, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

75

(R.B. Malik) 18 41 Member (J) 18.04.2016

(skw)

# (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The Stat	te of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearanco, Tribungl's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.231/2016
	Shri J.R. Koli Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & orsRespondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  The learned P.O. seeks an adjournment for filing the reply. The interim
	relief granted on 4.3.2016 is hereby extended till the filing of the reply to 9th June, 2016.
	Sd/-
DATE: 18/4/16 CORAM:	(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04.2016
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	(skw)
APPEARANCE: ShrvSmt: K.R. Togdale	
Shri/Smt.: K.S. Craik wool C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. To. 9 16 116	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.	of 20	Dis	STRICT
		•	Applicant/s
A STATE OF THE STA			
(Advocate	)		
'n	14		
<b>H</b> - (	$v\epsilon$	rsus	•
` The St	ate of Mal	narashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer			•
(11cscritting officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	n,	Tribunal's o	rders
directions and Registrar's orders		O.A.244	/2016
			<del></del>
		Shri I.R. Mulla Vs.	Applicant
		vs. The State of Mah. &	orsRespondents
		The blace of many	
		learned Advocate for	V. Bandiwadekar, the the Applicant and Smt. arned Presenting Officer
		Principal Secretary, Education, Ma Amendment to be working weeks from newly added Response	is allowed to implead Higher and Technical ntralaya, Mumbai. effected within three n today and serve the ondent. Adjourned for newly added Respondent
			Sd/-
DATE: 18 4 16			
CORAM:			(R.B. Malik)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	1.		Member (J)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Lumar (Member) A			18.04.2016
•	1	(skw)	10.07.2010
APPEARANCE:		(PV M)	
Shrl/Smt : H: 1: 15 accessor	-		•
Advocate for the Applicant	2		
Shri/Smt.: S. Czcukwa? C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s			

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.327/2016

Shri M.M. Kathe & Ors. Vs. ... Applicants

The State of Mah. & ors.

...Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 10.06.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04.2016

(skw)

DATE: 18 h 16
CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shrisme: M.D. Lanker

Advocate for the Applicant

Adj. To. 10/6/16

# (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20	DISTRICT
Original Lippinous Control	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
•	versus
The State of M	Iaharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
	Itespondento
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	34 4 175 (2016 in O A 207/2016
76 (1988) 1888	M.A.175/2016 in O.A.327/2016
	Amaliaanta
257	Shri M.M. Kathe & Ors Applicants
	Vs. The State of Mah. & orsRespondents
	The State of Man. & ors Respondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S.
	Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for
	the Respondents.
	the respondents.
	This MA has been filed to sue jointly.
	As all the Applicants are seeking similar
	relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed,
	subject to payment of Court Fees, if not
	already paid.
	Sd/-
	(R.B. Malik)
	Member (J)
DATE: 18 h / ic	18.04.2016
CORAM:	(skw)
Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	
The month of the control of the cont	
APPEARANCE:	
Shrt/Smt: M.D. Lanker	
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt : L S Graik wood	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
reaspondent/S	
di To Maria	
d. To Ails allowed	

## (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
	Respondents
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribanal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.94/2016
	Shri M.B. Khedkar Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & orsRespondents
	Heard Ms. S. Mirza, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	Affidavits have been filed. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention granted.
	Sd/-
DATE: 18/4   1  CORAM:  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri M. Ramcebkumar (Member)	(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04.2016
APPEARANCE:	
Shri/Smt: S: MITZA  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt: A.J. Choucule N. 67.  C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Gioland	
Adj. To. QA: is Admitted.	

...Respondents

(Ori. Applicants)

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.	of 2	O DISTRICT	•
<b>11</b>	:		Applicant/s
(Advocate	,	)	
		versus	•
	The State of	Maharashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	***************************************	·	
Office Notes, Office Memora Appearance, Tribunul's directions and Registra	orders or	Tribunal's orders	
		M.A.166/2016 in O.A.56 O.As 568, 569 & 601/20	
		The State of Mah. & ors.	Applicants (Ori. Resps)
		Vs	(OII. Resps)

R.D. Bhoite & ors.

I have heard the learned CPO in support of his application for extension of time of three months and Mr. A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Original Applicants who is considerably aggrieved by this application and submits that it is a ruse to avoid consequences under the contempt law and not genuine.

The matter was pertaining to seniority list and by my order of 11.12.2015, I had given directions while disposing of the said OAs that by 31.3.2016, all steps necessary must be taken. Even as I am not entirely satisfied with the cause assigned while seeking extension of time. It is equally clear that the matter relates to the seniority list and it is likely to take some time, as and by way of last and final opportunity, making it clear that no further extension shall be granted. The time is hereby extended till 13th June, 2016 and the MA is disposed of with no order as to costs. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04,2016

(skw)

18/4/16 DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri a Raincahammar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Advances for the Applicant

Shri Chus ... A. R. Joules C.P.O. F.O. for the Respondent's

Adj To M. A. Disposed of

Tribunal's orders

Shri A.D. Sathet

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

...Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 12.06.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered Maharashtra 11 of the Rule Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Applicant is Registry within four weeks. directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12th June, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04.2016

(skw)

DATE:\_\_

CORAM:

U. Joshi (Chairman) -<u> Hon'ble Justice Shri</u> Hon'ble Shri M Rom

APPEARANCE:

Shr#Smt :---

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt : A: J: Chas C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

directions and Registrar's Unders

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	. 1.	DISTRICT .
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	•	•	
•	vers	sus	•
	The State of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	********************************	)	
<u> </u>			
Office Notes, Office Memorano	in of Coram.		
Appearance, Tribunal's o	·	Tribunal's	ordere

Shri D.P. Mylawaram ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

0.A.330/2016

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA can be disposed of at this stage itself.

The Applicant, a Section Officer in Mantralaya, originally appointed as Sales Tax Inspector hereby seeks for all practical purposes implementation in his case of the earlier order of this Tribunal in OAs 192/2009 and 538/2009, dated 23rd March, 2010 because he claims to be covered This he seeks in respect of all thereby. benefits including pensionary benefits, etc. Under prayer clause (c), he seeks directions that the Respondents should take a final decision on his representation dated 11.7.2014 expeditiously. Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submits at the Bar that as of now, the Applicant would be satisfied if this OA is decided in terms of prayer clause (c).

The learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. A.S. Mutyol, Section Officer and relying on a communication from the Finance Department dated 18.4.2016 (today) in fact wanted three months time to file an Affidavitin-reply.

It is made clear that if the OA was not disposed of in the manner it is being done today, there was no question of granting such a long time for Affidavit-in-reply.

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 18 14 16

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshi (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: M: D. Lank

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sme : K S Granky C.P.O. F.O. for the Rospondent/s

Adj To... O. A. is disposed at

Be it noted that in restricting his prayer for the present to prayer (c) above referred to, the Applicant is more than reasonable because after-all, it is almost one year and nine months since the said representation was made by the Applicant and by all reckoning that should have been decided by now.

Be it as it may, this OA is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 11.7.2014 made by the Applicant bearing in mind the judgment of this Tribunal in OAs 192/2009 and 538/2009, dated 23.3.2010 within eight weeks from today and convey the result thereof within one week thereafter to the Applicant. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.04.2016

(skw)