

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.390 of 2024

V.R. BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant narrated the case of another officer who is also an 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' and was posted together along with Applicant in 'Control Room Thane City' on 24.11.2023 in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' and subsequently both came to be posted together once again as 'A.C.P., Traffic Branch' by 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' issued under provisions of 'Section 22N' of the 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that the facts about Applicant and the other 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' are identical as they both were serving on 'Temporary Charges' as per Office Orders dated 24.11.2023 and 21.01.2024 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. The only distinguishing factor in case of Applicant was that in between 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' on 30.11.2023 had also given 'Temporarily Charge' to Applicant of the 'Vacant Post' of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalva Division, Thane City'.

4. Learned P.O. on other hand states that the 'Transfer Order' dated 07.03.2024 of 'Home Department' to transfer Applicant from post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' was on the basis of the fact that he was holding 'Substantive Charge' of the post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalva Division, Thane City' which was related to making 'Security Arrangements' and involved with 'Deployment of Forces' and because Applicant was serving in 'Home District'.

5. The 'Transfer Order' dated 07.03.2024 of 'Home Department' thus appears to have been issued with reference to 'Office Order' of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' dated 30.11.2023 by which Applicant was given only 'Temporary Charge' of post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalva Division, Thane City'.

However, this is not borne out by the facts which have now emerged because no 'Transfer Orders' had been issued in respect of Applicant to post him as 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalva Division, Thane City' under 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' prior to 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. On the other hand, learned Advocate for Applicant also produced documents to indicate Applicant had in fact drawn his 'Salary & Allowance' for month of 'January: 2024' as 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' while serving in 'Control Room, Thane City' which would naturally mean that at the time of issue of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 the Applicant was indeed serving on 'Temporary Charge' in 'Control Room, Thane City'.

6. The facts and circumstances of the case of Applicant also speaks volumes about effectiveness of 'Police Administration', if liberal options continue to be exercised in the form of 'Temporary Charges' assigned to 'Senior Police Officers' such as 'Assistant Commissioners of Police'. Hence, it becomes compelling case to give directions that Applicant be permitted to forthwith join back in 'Control Room, Thane City' and hold 'Additional Charge' of the post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Traffic Branch, Thane City' where he was serving as per 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' at the time of issue of Transfer Order dated 07.03.2024 of 'Home Department'.

7. The 'Home Department' can be fairly expected that whenever transfers of 'Senior Police Officers' such as 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' are proposed by 'C.S.B.' and subsequently approved by 'Competent Authority'; complete factual information must be obtained from (i) 'Commissioners of Police' and (ii) 'Superintendents of Police' through 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' including about all posts which are held by them as (i) 'Substantive Charge' and / or (ii) 'Additional Charges' before 'Statutory Powers' are exercised by 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.

8. S.O. to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.256 of 2024</p> <p>N.P. GholapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that even though 'Assistant Returning Officer, '256-Wai-LAC' of '45- Satara PC' had issued revised Orders dated 07.02.2024 to assign duty of 'Sector Officer' to Applicant but subsequently it was also cancelled by further Order dated 28.02.2024 of 'Assistant Returning Officer' 256-Wai-LAC' of '45- Satara-PC'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO thereupon states that Transfer Order dated 23.02.2024 of Rural Development Department Order was issued based on recommendations of 'C.S.B.' which held its meeting on 06.02.2024 and 16.02.2024.</p> <p>4. The learned PO in aforesaid context is thus informed to find out from (i) 'Rural Development Department' and (ii) 'District Collector, Satara' as to what course of action they intend to take in all fairness for non-partisan implementation of the direction in Election Commission of India by letter dated 21.12.2023 only in respect of Applicant who has been posted as 'B.D.O. Panchayat Samiti, Shirol, District Kolhapur' by Transfer Order dated 23.02.2024 of Rural Development Department, because of asymmetry of information placed before 'C.S.B.' in its meetings held on 06.02.2024 and 16.02.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 18.03.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.281 of 2024</p> <p>K.P. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S.S. Thorat, lerned Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.4 is not present. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had been assigned work of 'Nodal Officer' – 'Implementing MCC Law and Order' as per letter of 'Dy CEO (GAD) ZP, Satara' dated 16.01.2024 addressed to 'Under Secretary Rural Development Department'. However, this assignment of Applicant was subsequently cancelled by 'Dy CEO (GAD) ZP, Satara' letter dated 06.02.2024 which was also addressed to 'Under Secretary Rural Development Department'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per 'Assistant Returning Officer, '45-Satara PC' Order dated 14.02.2024 Applicant has now been assigned work relating to 'Postal Ballot Papers/ Dummy Ballot Papers' but only in the capacity of 'Team Member' under 'SDO, Koregaon, District Satara' who is 'Nodal Officer'. 4. Learned PO states that Rural Development Department Development Order dated 23.02.2024 was issued as per recommendations of 'C.S.B.' which held its meetings on 06.02.2024 and 16.02.2024. 5. The learned PO in the aforesaid context is informed to find out from (i) 'Rural Development <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Department' and (ii) 'District Collector, Satara' as to what they intend to do about the case of Applicant who has been transferred to post of 'Deputy Chief Executive Officer' (EGS) in office of 'Divisional Commissioner Office, Pune' as per Transfer Order dated 23.02.2024 of Rural Development Department because of asymmetry of factual information placed before 'C.S.B.' in its meetings held on 06.02.2024 and 16.02.2024.

6. The learned PO must also ascertain from 'Rural Development Department' about why different stands are taken by them as 'Cadre Controlling Authority'; as is becomes evident from 'Para 8' of the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 13.03.2024. The contents of 'Para 8' of the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 13.03.2024 indicates that in respect of those officers from cadre of 'BDO's in 'Satara District' in respect of whom 'Hon'ble Minister, In-Charge' of 'Rural Development Department' had given certain directions; their transfers were placed on reconsideration of 'C.S.B.' and then upon even cancelled by 'Rural Development Department'. Further the contents of 'Para 10' of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 13.03.2024 filed in O.A. No. 256 of 2024 reveals that some 'B.D.O.'s who are serving 'ZP, Kolhapur' on the other hand were exempted on grounds of having been assigned duties of 'Sector Officers' which are as per directions in 'Para 6.3' of Election Commission of India by letter dated 21.12.2023.

7. S.O. to 27.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.319 of 2024</p> <p>P.A: KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized on the criteria specified for transfers in 'Para 3.2' of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 to reiterate that services of Applicant while he was serving as 'Police Inspector, C.I.D., Jalgaon' from 15.05.2021 to 03.09.2021 was not to be counted in tenure of '3 years' in last '4 Years' within 'Pune District'. 3. Learned P.O. on other hand states that as the entire tenure in 'Pune District' from 01.07.2020 onwards is required to be counted and as Applicant had joined in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimri-Chinchwad' on 01.07.2020 as 'A.P.I' but subsequently went on promotion as 'Police Inspector' to serve in 'C.I.D. - Jalgaon' from 15.05.2021 to 03.09.2021 but again came back to serve as 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural)', the total tenure of Applicant within 'Pune District' would therefore be more than '3 Years' in last '4 Years' before 30.06.2024. Hence, Applicant has been justifiably transferred by 'Transfer Order' dated 24.02.2024 of 'Special I.G.P. Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' to establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Satara'. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that Applicant is willing to join at place of new posting in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' as per 'Transfer Order' dated 24.02.2024 by [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.317 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. TengaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was transferred from post of 'Chief Officer, Daund, Municipal Council', to post of Deputy Commissioner, Solapur Municipal Corporation' by Transfer Order dated 02.02.2024 of Urban Development Department. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that, Respondent No. 4 was posted as 'Chief Officer, Daund, Municipal Council, District Pune' by Transfer Order dated 26.03.2024 of Urban Development Department. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then draws attention to subsequent directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024 to emphasize that transfers of 'ERO/AERO' are no longer required to be done as posts of 'EROs/AEROs' which were earlier included in 'Para 5.1' of the directions in Election Commission letter dated 21.12.2023 have been removed from subsequent directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024. 5. Learned Advocate for Applicant also states that Respondent No.4, who was transferred in place of Applicant by Transfer Order dated 26.02.2024 of Urban Development Department has taken 'ex parte' charge of the post of 'Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Daund, District Pune'. 6. Learned P.O. states that Transfer Order dated 02.02.2024 of Urban Development Department has been issued in respect of all officers who were designated as 'EROs/AEROs' but were serving in their 'Home Districts' as per earlier directions in 'Para 5.1' of Election of Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Learned P.O. further states that therefore the Transfer Order dated 02.02.2024 of 'Urban Development Department' was issued to implement in letter & spirit the earlier directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023.

8. Learned P.O. further states that Applicants who was posted as 'Deputy Commissioner, Solapur, Municipal Corporation' as per Transfer Order 02.02.2024 of Urban Development Department should have joined and then no such occasion would have arisen to contend for retrospective implementation of subsequent directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024.

9. The contention of Applicant is to retrospectively implement subsequent directions in Election Commission of India letter on 27.02.2024. Almost all officers other than Applicant would have reported to Join in new places of postings as per Transfer Order dated 02.02.2024 of 'Urban Development Department'. Nonetheless, the case of Applicant is limited to post of 'Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Daund, District Pune' where he had joined on 05.04.2023. Thus in the aforesaid context how far it would be practicable to retrospectively implement subsequent directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024 needs to be examined diligently by 'Urban Development Department' for which they may seek advice from 'Principal Secretary, Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State'.

10. Learned PO to also inform 'Principal Secretary-II', Urban Development Department to produce the 'File Notings' as to what were the compelling reasons for 'Respondent No. 4' to be urgently posted by Transfer Order dated 26.02.2024 of Urban Development to post of 'Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Daund, District Pune'.

11. S.O. to 26.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.717 of 2023 in O.A.No.651 of 2024</p> <p>H. N.Dalavi Applicant/Intervener</p> <p>Dr. V. P. WahaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant (Intervener), Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. This M.A. is filed for intervention in O.A.No.651/2024 which pertains to selection. In intervention application request is made to implead him as Respondent No.4. 3. Learned Counsel for Intervener submits that Intervener Shri Hemant Dalavi is appointed as 'Deputy Director (Archaeology), Ratnagiri' and has been working on post since September, 2023. .Learned Counsel submits that Intervener was appointed by nomination as the process of recruitment was initiated through Advertisement dated 19.05.2023 by MPSC. The Intervener is selected candidate. 4. Learned Counsel for Intervener submits that the Original Applicant in O.A.651/2023 is seeking relief for quashing and setting aside the requisition sent by the Respondent No.1 and 2 and Advertisement No.029/2023. Therefore, he files M.A. to add him as party respondent in the O.A.651/2023. 5. In view of above, M. A. for Intervention is allowed as Intervener's appointment is challenged in O.A. by the Original Applicant. The Respondent No.1 issued an appointment order dated 25.09.2023 to Intervener pursuant to said Advertisement and therefore it is clear if O.A. is allowed, the Intervener is going to suffer adversely and therefore M.A. for intervention is allowed. Learned Counsel for Applicant is hereby directed to add 'Shri Hemant N. Dalavi' Intervener as party respondent and serve copy of O.A. to added Respondent No.4. 6. No order as to costs. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 18.03.2024 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.651 of 2024

Dr. V. P. WahaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.
2. In view of addition of Respondent No.4, issue notice before admission returnable on 15.04.2024 to Respondent No.4. The Respondent No.4 shall file reply to O.A. by next date.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 15.04.2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 18.03.2024
	<p align="center">O.A.No.402 of 2024</p> <p>D. W. DarkondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays for declaration that Applicant is eligible for the post of 'Staff Nurse' under 'ST General' category and Order dated 27.02.2024 passed by Respondent No.3 disqualifying the Applicant for the said post is to be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Advertisement dated 28.08.2023 was published by Respondent No.2 for various posts including 'Staff Nurse'. Learned Counsel further submits that after publishing first Advertisement, the Respondent made changes in the Advertisement. As per the earlier Advertisement, 2 separate educational qualifications were prescribed for Staff Nurse Government (50% quota) and Staff Nurse Private (50% quota). Thus, as per the said Advertisement, candidates possessing GNM (General Nursing and Midwifery Diploma) qualification were not eligible to apply for 50% quota to be filled in from 'Private' nursing college. Only candidates possessing B.Sc (nursing degree) were eligible to apply for 50% quota to be filled in from 'Private' nursing college. As the Applicant possessed GNM qualification, she was eligible to apply only for 50% quota to be filled in from Government College. Hence, she applied in Government quota. Learned Counsel then submits that</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

later on Respondent published revised Advertisement and clarified that candidates possessing GNM qualification and B.Sc. (Nursing) degree are eligible to apply for 50% quota to be filled in from Private Nursing College and 50% Government quota on the basis of Institution through which they have obtained their educational qualification namely GNM & B.B.sc.

4. Learned Counsel for Applicant states that Advertisement was amended without there being any notice, corrigendum to that effect. As per the new Advertisement, the separate educational qualification for Government and Private quota was changed and same educational qualification for both the quota was applied. The educational qualification as per earlier and new Advertisement dated 28.08.2023 reads as under :-

Particulars	Educational Qualifications	
Earlier advertisement	अधिपरिचारिका (शासकीय टक्के ५०) Staff Nurse Govt. ५०% Pay Matrix-S-13:35400-112400	Possess General Nursing and Midwifery Diploma from recognized institute. भारतीय परिचर्या परिषदेच्या निकषानुसार, दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता धारण करणारा तसेच दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता प्राप्त केल्यानंतर ज्याने मान्यता प्राप्त विद्यापीठाची पदव्युत्तर पदवी धारण केली आहे असा उमेदवार या पदाकरिता नियुक्तीसाठी पात्र असणार नाही
	अधिपरिचारिका (खाजगी ५० टक्के) Staff Nurse Private ५०% Pay Matrix-S-13: 35400-112400	Possess a Basic Bachelor of Science (Nursing) degree. भारतीय परिचर्या परिषदेच्या निकषानुसार, दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता धारण करणारा तसेच दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता प्राप्त केल्यानंतर ज्याने मान्यता प्राप्त विद्यापीठाची पदव्युत्तर पदवी धारण केली आहे असा उमेदवार या पदाकरिता नियुक्तीसाठी पात्र असणार नाही
New Advertisement	अधिपरिचारिका (शासकीय टक्के ५०) Staff Nurse Govt. ५०% Pay Matrix-S-13: 35400-112400	Possess General Nursing and Midwifery Diploma from recognized institute or Possess a basic Bachelor of Science (Nursing) degree भारतीय परिचर्या परिषदेच्या निकषानुसार, दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता धारण करणारा तसेच दूरस्थ शिक्षणाद्वारे कोणत्याही मुक्त विद्यापीठातून या पदाची अर्हता प्राप्त केल्यानंतर ज्याने मान्यता प्राप्त विद्यापीठाची पदव्युत्तर पदवी धारण केली आहे असा उमेदवार या पदाकरिता नियुक्तीसाठी पात्र असणार नाही
	अधिपरिचारिका (खाजगी ५० टक्के) Staff Nurse Private ५०% Pay Matrix-S-13: 35400-112400	टीप- अधिपरिचारिक संवर्गातील पदे भरताना खाजगी नर्सिंग महाविद्यालयातून उत्तीर्ण झालेले उमेदवार आणि आरोग्य सेवा संचानालय / वैद्यकीय शिक्षण व संशोधन संचानालयाच्या शासकीय परिचर्या महाविद्यालयातील उत्तीर्ण झालेले उमेदवारांच्यामधून ५०:५० या प्रमाणात भरण्याची स्पष्ट तरतूद सेवा प्रवेश नियमात असल्याने सादर कोटा अदलाबदल करून भरण्यात येणार नाही. करीत उमेदवारांनी अर्ज योग्य कोट्यातून भरावा. याबाबत कुठलाही बदल अर्ज भरल्यानंतर विचारात घेतला जाणार नाही.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that on 13.02.2024, the Provisional List was published and Applicant scored 120 marks in 'ST General' category from Pune Division. The Applicant made representation to Respondent No.3 on 30.01.2024 stating that as she possesses the qualification of GNM, she filled the form from Government quota and that her candidature be considered from Private quota.</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel for Applicant relied on Rule 3 and Rule 4 of Recruitment Rules, 2015.</p> <p>7. Learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>8. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2024.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 21.03.2024 under the caption of Urgent Admission.</p> <p>15. If any appointment order is issued from 'ST General' Category, it will be subject to outcome of this OA. It is the responsibility of Respondent to make it clear in the order itself.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.53 of 2024</p> <p>B. S. KendreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that Affidavit in Reply is already filed on behalf of Respondent No.1 and 2 by Shri Prashant Wagh, Under Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department on 11.3.2024.</p> <p>3. In this matter though, the Departmental Enquiry was initiated on 18.11.2017 for the incidence happened in the year 2010-2011, the Enquiry Officer was appointed after 5 years i.e. on 17.03.2023. In order to inquire allegations and charges a committee headed by Regional Deputy Commissioner Aurangabad was being constituted vide order dated 07.02.2014 and thereafter Directorate, VJNT Pune vide letter dated 08.10.2015 after 1 year and 6 months supposed to hold DE. Thereafter charge sheet was issued on 18.11.2017 for which Enquiry Officer was appointed on 17.03.2023 even the period of Covid-19 from March 2020 till August 2022 is excluded.</p> <p>4. We are of the view that still there is 3 years delay in appointing Enquiry Officer. Hence, we would like to know from the same Appointing Authority i.e Joint Secretary, Social Justice Department who generally appoints Enquiry Officer is directed to file Affidavit thereby giving reasons for delay in DE.</p> <p>5. Learned CPO submits that Joint Secretary, Social Justice Department has dropped two charges i.e Charge No.6 and Charge No.7. Now, 12 charges are remained.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.104 of 2024</p> <p>M. R. NavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. On request of learned CPO, time is granted to file reply.3. The responsible officer from the office of Medical Education to remain present with copy of record of DPC and CSB as mentioned in the order.4. S.O. to 08.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.404 of 2024</p> <p>Suhana H. NadafApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale holding for Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that on 15.03.2023 an Advertisement was published by Respondent No.1 for various posts in various circles in which 25 posts were advertised for the post of 'X-Ray Scientific Officer' for Kolhapur Circle. Accordingly, the Applicant was selected and appointed as 'X-Ray Scientific Officer' for Kolhapur Circle under 'OBC Female' category by order dated 18.02.2024 by Respondent No.4 and posted at District Civil Hospital at Sindhudurg. Accordingly, Applicant joined at Sindhudurg on 20.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that hardly the Applicant had completed her 15 days service, the Respondent No.4 by impugned communication dated 08.03.2024 cancelled her appointment on the ground that she had to complete the internship on the last day of the Advertisement.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for Applicant then states that Applicant had completed her internship from 5.10.2022 to 04.10.2023. Accordingly, on 11.10.2023, RCSM Government Medical College Kolhapur issued internship completion certificate for the period of 05.10.2022 to 04.10.2023. Learned Counsel points out that the last date of submission of forms was from 29.08.2023 to 18.09.2023 and Applicant had completed</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>her one-year internship on 04.10.2023 and Advertisement was issued on 15.3.2023.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for Applicant placed reliance on para 7 of judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>(1999) 2 Gauhati Law Reports 372 (Dr. Yuithingla Vashum & Ors V/s Manipur Public Service Commission & Ors.)</i>.</p> <p>6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.03.2024.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 27.03.2024. Till then the post of 'X-Ray Scientific Officer' under 'OBC Female' category is to be kept vacant till 27.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.396 of 2024</p> <p>Shri Amol A. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that earlier the MPSC had published Advertisement No.05/2020, dated 28.02.2020 to the post of Police Sub Inspector. Out of total posts of 650, 6 posts are reserved for the 'Orphans' being Advertisement No.05/2020 published on 28.02.2020. In Advertisement No.05/2020 as 2 posts have remained vacant out of 6 posts, they are required to be carried forward in recent Advertisement No.249/2021 published on 28.10.2021. Now the recruitment process is going on and 'Interviews' are to be held on 19.03.2024 and 20.03.2024. The Advertisement No.249/2021 published on 28.10.2021 was for total 376 posts of 'PSI' and from this, 4 posts have been kept reserved for 'Orphan' Category.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO is directed to take instructions whether 4 posts which are kept reserved by virtue of Advertisement No.249/2021 dated 28.10.2021 for the post of PSI and whether 2 posts which were not filled up in earlier Advertisement No.05/2020 dated 28.02.2020 have been carried forward and are included in these 4 posts or it is to be read as 4+2 = 6 posts for 'Orphan' category.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned CPO refers to Women and Child Development Department GR dated 23.8.2021 which is also relied by learned Counsel for Applicant who pointed out that carrying forward unfilled vacancies reserved for 'Orphans' Category. She relied especially on Sub-clause 7 and 9 of Clause 2 of Women and Child Development Department GR dated 23.08.2021 to state that carry forward policy is made applicable prospectively and cannot be made applicable retrospectively as the earlier Advertisement No.05/2020 is dated 28.02.2020. Hence, the vacancies of 2 posts which were not filled up, cannot be carried forward.</p> <p>5. Learned CPO also points out Clause 7 of Women and Child Development Department GR dated 02.04.2018 which reads as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“७. संबंधित भरती वर्षात अनाथ उमेदवार उपलब्ध न झाल्यास आरक्षणावा अनुशेष पुढे न ओढता खुल्या प्रवर्गातून गुणवत्तेनुसार इतर उमेदवारांची नियुक्ती करण्यात यावी.”</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel for Applicant thereupon draws attention to Sub -clause 7 and 9 of Woman and Child Development Department GR dated 23.08.2021 which reads as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“२. अटी व शर्ती/कार्यपध्दती - ७. भरती वर्षात पात्र अनाथ उमेदवार उपलब्ध न झाल्यास सदर आरक्षित जागेवा अनुशेष पुढील भरती वर्षासाठी ओढण्यात येईल. जर पुढील भरती वर्षातही पात्र अनाथ उमेदवार उपलब्ध न झाल्यास सदर पद अनाथांव्यतिरिक्त इतर उमेदवारांमधून गुणवत्तेनुसार भरण्यात येईल. ९. अनाथांसाठीचे आरक्षण हा शासन निर्णय लागू झाल्याच्या दिनांकापासून पुढे होणा-या नोकरभरती व शैक्षणिक प्रवेशासाठी लागू राहिल. तसेच दि. २.४.२०१८ नंतर ज्या पदभरतीचा अंतिम निकाल जाहीर करण्यात आलेला नसेल, अशा सर्व पदभरतीसाठी देखील प्रस्तावित आरक्षण लागू राहिल”.</p> <p>7. Learned CPO therefore seeks time to take instructions and for filing reply.</p> <p>8. In view of above, the Respondents are directed that 'Interviews' of Applicant may be held as per schedule on 19.03.2024 and 20.03.2024 but results are not to be declared till 21.03.2024.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 18.03.2024</p>
	<p>O.A.No.397 of 2024</p> <p>A. U. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant is working as Deputy Collector Sangali, Collector Office, Sangali and waiting for the decision on his appeal which is pending before His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra since 09.05.2022. 3. We therefore, direct Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest department to pursue the matter for decision on appeal by finding out where the file is pending and whether it is assigned to any other authority. 4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed, and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.03.2024. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 20.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.173 of 2024

P.S. BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned presenting officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5.
2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5 states that he has just received copy of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 05.03.2024 filed on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai'.
3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5 thus seeks time of 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant also seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' after the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed on behalf of Respondent No.5.
5. S.O. to 27.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.22/2024 in O.A.No.1018/2023</p> <p>T.J. Pardhi .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Mr. A.R. Kapadia, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.3. Contemnor No.3, Mr. K. Pradipa, Chief Conservator of Forest is absent. Contemnor No.2, Mr. N.S. Divakar, Deputy Conservator of Forest is present.4. Learned P.O. on instructions from Respondent No.2 has submitted that the Respondent-Department has taken decision on 01.03.2024 in which the recruitment process of the year 2012 and thereafter dated 13.14.2015 is cancelled. She seeks time to file the affidavit.5. Adjourned to 21.03.2024. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.649/2023 in O.A.No.536/2021 with O.A.No.537/2021</p> <p>S.B. Sonawane .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the interim relief is sought against the order of removal applicant by the Disciplinary Authority. Applicant is therefore removed from service on 27.04.2022.</p> <p>3. Considering this M.A. for interim relief which is very much akin to O.A., therefore, both M.A. and O.A. are to be kept together for hearing simultaneously.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.59/2024 in O.A.No.1226/2022</p> <p>R.N. Khune & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the promotion orders of the posts of PSI of Batch No.102 to the post of PI are issued on 15.03.2024.</p> <p>3. In view of above nothing remains in the M.A. and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.03.2024

C.A.No.21/2024 in O.A.No.416/2022

A.S. Jage .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Department is directed to inform the stage of the promotion of the Applicant because the Tribunal had directed the Respondents to open the sealed covered.

3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 26.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.03.2024

C.A.No.65/2022 in O.A.No.263/2019

D.B. Vithoba Kodag

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. S.A. Kashid, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent
3. In view that the order passed in O.A. is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending, C.A. stands adjourned to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.12/2024 in O.A.No.321/2018</p> <p>S.R. Sonawane .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the order passed in O.A. is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending, C.A. stands adjourned to 10.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.83/2023 in O.A.No.166/2018</p> <p>S.P. Jedhe .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order of the Tribunal is maintained by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 05.03.2024. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Chandrashekar Mashal, Senior Assistant seeks time stating that the Government will fulfill the order of the Tribunal within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Respondent is directed to comply the order passed by this Tribunal by the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1443/2023</p> <p>V.K. Aher .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working on the post of Additional Director, Economics and Statistics Planning seeks promotion to the post of Director, Economics and Statistics Planning with consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that as the Applicant is going to retire on 31.03.2024 his case is to be considered before the said date. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant prays for deemed date prior to 30.06.2011. Learned Counsel has based her arguments only on one fact that the junior of the Applicant one Mr. Y.V. Kumbare was given deemed date as of 01.05.2004 to the post of Joint Director. There was no specific order giving him deemed date as of 01.05.2004 but in the seniority list published on 17.03.2020 his seniority was shown with deemed date as on 01.05.2004 and his earlier deemed date was 16.06.2016 whereas the applicant's deemed date was 01.06.2013 to the post of Joint Director. Learned Counsel seeks time to file amendment application seeking leave to amend the O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply to representation is produced today and the same is served to the learned Counsel for the Applicant. She has submitted that the Applicant has asked for deemed date of promotion and the same was rejected by order dated 01.03.2024. Thus, the Applicant has received deemed date w.e.f 01.06.2013 on the post of Joint Director.</p> <p>5. We therefore direct the Respondents to check the deemed date of seniority of Mr. Kumbare and the same is to be produced along with minutes of D.P.C. on the next date. Leave sought by learned Counsel to file amendment application is hereby granted.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 05.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.597/2018</p> <p>V.B. Panari & Ors. .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Panchpar holding for Mr. P.J. Gavhane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.L. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.4 to 19, 21 & 24 and Mr. D. Jogdeo, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.5 to 25 are absent.</p> <p>3. Applicant prays that the promotion order dated 24.05.2018 issued in favour of Respondents No.4 to 25 by the Respondent no.3 is to be set aside as it is discriminatory in nature. Applicant also prays the Tribunal be pleased to direct Respondent No.3 to revise the seniority list and the Applicants who are working as Class IV on the post of Peon are to be given promotion to the post of Clerk-Typist.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that there are in all 22 applicants. Out of 22 Applicants, 10 Applicants have been given promotion to the post of Clerk-cum-Typist in the year 2020 therefore he seek deemed date for those 10 Applicants and to that extent he seeks leave to amend the O.A. Learned Counsel has submitted that in case of remaining 12 applicants who are still working as Peon, Class IV employees, as they all were appointed before the Notification dated 06.06.2017 and further promotions are governed by the Rules of 1993, he states that from the year 2017 in the case of promotions they are to be governed by the earlier Rules by giving go by to the condition of Graduation.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.155/2024 in O.A.No.1479/2023</p> <p>D.A. Jagtap B.P. Godage .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.155/2024, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1479/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that the Applicant has prayed for revision of the provisional list of the post of Deputy Director Agriculture. By way of interim order the Respondents were directed to keep one post vacant till further orders. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that if the prayers in O.A. are allowed Applicant in M.A. will be directly affected party. Hence, his prayer seeking intervention be allowed. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that the Applicant in M.A. has sought appointment in E.W.S. category for the post of Deputy Director, Agriculture. However, the Applicant is working as Circle Officer and income being less than 8 lakhs. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that the Applicant in M.A. is student and not working anywhere. He was selected on merit list which was published on 01.11.2023 in the category of E.W.S. general and in the said list names of the Original Applicants are not mentioned because E.W.S. certificate is not produced. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale thus submits that the Applicant claims for appointment in E.W.S. category be considered. He has further pointed out that the Original Applicant came to be selected in Taluka Collector Office in Open Category. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that at the time of seeking interim relief the main fact that the applicant is not a Government Servant and he does not fall in the category of E.W.S. was suppressed and he is not entitled to get E.W.S. benefit and thus the order of keeping one post vacant from E.W.S. category is to be vacated.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that this M.A. is not to be entertained and the scope of M.A. is to be restricted to the point of intervention.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. We made query to learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan that at the time of recruitment process whether the applicant was employed in the Government service and to state his post and Salary per month of the same.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that the Applicant at the time of recruitment process was working as Circle Agriculture Officer since 2020. His Gross Salary per month is Rs.59,000/- and Rs.6,93,390/- annually.</p> <p>6. In view of above, M.A. is allowed to the extent of seeking intervention to be added as party Respondents in the array of Respondents in O.A.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan is directed to add the Applicant in M.A. as Party Respondents in the array of Respondents in O.A.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable to added Respondents</p> <p>9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.04.2024.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>15. Adjourned to 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.163 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Manvar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 16.3.2024 of the respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1498 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.C. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 14.3.2024 of respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1481 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Chate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is noted that there is no compliance of the order dated 7.12.2023 whereby the respondent no.3 was directed to take a decision on revised proposal dated 22.3.2022 vis-à-vis sanction of commuted leave and extra ordinary leave on medical grounds and to communicate the decision.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to take instructions in this regard.0</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1305 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Dhainje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. Nos.1338,1339 & 1341 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.G. Ghadi P.R. Vichare P.G. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Member (A)) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1188 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.N. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Bhome, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files affidavit dated 27.2.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.4.4024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.520 of 2023 in O.A. No.800 of 2023</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.133 of 2024 in O.A. No.259 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.Y. Sayyad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.3.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.86 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.L. Dadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">M.A. No.49 of 2024 in O.A. No.86 of 2024</p> <p>B.L. Dadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for condoning the delay of seven months. He states that the cause of action arose on 4.8.2021 and this OA is filed on 22.1.2014 . He is also praying for considering the period of COVID-19. He states that the applicant is 73 years old and it took four months time to get the documents under RTI.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Tilekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S. Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that she has carried out the amendment. She further states that services of the applicant may be counted from 10.10.1997. She therefore submits that subject matter of the OA comes within the purview of DB. Hence, the matter may be placed before the DB.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to place the above matter before DB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.399 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Kachare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.384 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Dhumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chandra barty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.222 of 2024

Y.J. Nikam & Ors.,Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.
3. S.O. to 01.04.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.231 of 2023

Shri Dipak S. ChavanApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural and Forest Department'. Time as prayed for is granted to Respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.128 of 2023

A.M. SoundaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.
2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of Assistant Registrar (1), Co-operative Societies, Nashik 'District Nashik'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that grievance of Applicant is completely redressed as she has since joined back and working on earlier post of 'Assistant Registrar Co-operative Society, Igatpuri, District Nashik'.
4. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 also states that he has also joined back and working on earlier post of 'Assistant Registrar Co-operative Society, Sindkhedo, District Dhule'.
5. The learned Advocate for Applicant, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 as well as learned P.O. all state that as nothing survives in this O.A. No.128/2024 it may be disposed off.
6. The O.A. No.128/2024 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.03.2024

O.A. No.1577 of 2023 with M.A. No.45 of 2024

A.L. DureApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Krishna Tarde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' has been filed on behalf of 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department on 29.02.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 wants to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' to place on record some additional facts relating to the subsequent development regarding the Applicant.

4. S.O. to 01.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1080/2023</u></p> <p>P.P Ingle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1100/2023</u></p> <p>B.P Chaudhari & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri V.P Potbhare, learned counsel for Respondents No 4 to 9.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1405/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.H Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1423/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 1.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>18.03.2024</u>
	<u>O.A 1484/2023</u>
	K.N Tadvil ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 8.4.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1522/2023</u></p> <p>H.S Narale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1541/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Morey ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil Morey, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that last chance was given to the Respondents to file reply. Till today reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1583/2023</u></p> <p>P.P Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**







M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.180 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ravindra A. Konapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges his dismissal order dated 20.10.2014 passed by Collector, Solapur and the appellate order dated 13.10.2015 and he further prays that the said orders should be quashed and set aside and he be reinstated and be given consequential pecuniary service benefits along with 10% interest.</p> <p>3. The report was submitted by the EO on 20.7.2012 thereby holding that charge nos.1 to 8 out of 30 are entirely proved. Charge No.19 is partly proved and charge nos.20 to 30 are not proved. The applicant was appointed as Junior Clerk on 24.2.1994. He was promoted in 2006 as Awal Karkun and posted in the office of Tahsildar, Akkalkot. He was assigned the work of National Old Age Pension Scheme. On 24.4.2007 one Shri Salimath submitted written complaint to the Resident Deputy Collector, Solapur and also to PI, Mangalwedha alleging misappropriation of the Govt. money in said Pension Scheme & FIR was registered at CR No.12/2007. Pursuant to that the applicant was summarily dismissed under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India. He file OA No.551/2007 which was allowed by this Tribunal on 21.8.2008 with liberty to take necessary for DE. On 27.9.2010 respondents issued notice of DE for the incident taken place between 24.12.1997 and 31.5.2006. DE initiated from 4.10.2010 by appointing EO Mr. Hange. SCN was issued to the applicant by Collector. The applicant submitted his say on 16.7.2014. Criminal case against the applicant was pending and subsequently notice of dismissal was issued on 24.10.2014.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																																																										
	<p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Tahsildars of Karmala, Madha, North Solapur were witness against the applicant however some of them are named as co-accused in the criminal case. Enquiry commenced on 14.10.2010. On 19.10.2011 again the applicant was arrested in respect of criminal case registered at CR No.261/2011 in Sadar Bazar Police Station, Solapur and released on bail on 25.1.2012. When the applicant was in jail 12 witnesses were examined in the absence of applicant and he was not given the opportunity for cross-examination.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO to find out whether following witnesses were examined ex-parte:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>23.11.2011</td> <td>PW6</td> <td>Mr. Waghmare</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>12.12.2011</td> <td>PW31</td> <td>Mr. Bagade</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW32</td> <td>Smt. Sabban</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW37</td> <td>Mr. Swami</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW38</td> <td>Mr. Bhumkar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>13.12.2011</td> <td>PW10</td> <td>Mr. Chalake</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW18</td> <td>Mr. Chavan</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW39</td> <td>Mr. Kamble</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iv)</td> <td>28.12.2011</td> <td>PW5</td> <td>Mr. Prashant Patil</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW12</td> <td>Smt. Jadhav</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW16</td> <td>Mr. Birajdar</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW20</td> <td>Mr. Shinde</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW27</td> <td>Mr. Adsule</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PW28</td> <td>Mr. Bhare</td> </tr> </table> <p>6. Ld. PO also to find out secondly whether the EO has given opportunity to the delinquent officer to cross-examine those witnesses and is there any record about the same.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19.3.2024.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024 </td> <td style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.3.2024 </td> </tr> </table> <p>sgj)</p>	(i)	23.11.2011	PW6	Mr. Waghmare	(ii)	12.12.2011	PW31	Mr. Bagade			PW32	Smt. Sabban			PW37	Mr. Swami			PW38	Mr. Bhumkar	(iii)	13.12.2011	PW10	Mr. Chalake			PW18	Mr. Chavan			PW39	Mr. Kamble	(iv)	28.12.2011	PW5	Mr. Prashant Patil			PW12	Smt. Jadhav			PW16	Mr. Birajdar			PW20	Mr. Shinde			PW27	Mr. Adsule			PW28	Mr. Bhare	 (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024	 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.3.2024
(i)	23.11.2011	PW6	Mr. Waghmare																																																								
(ii)	12.12.2011	PW31	Mr. Bagade																																																								
		PW32	Smt. Sabban																																																								
		PW37	Mr. Swami																																																								
		PW38	Mr. Bhumkar																																																								
(iii)	13.12.2011	PW10	Mr. Chalake																																																								
		PW18	Mr. Chavan																																																								
		PW39	Mr. Kamble																																																								
(iv)	28.12.2011	PW5	Mr. Prashant Patil																																																								
		PW12	Smt. Jadhav																																																								
		PW16	Mr. Birajdar																																																								
		PW20	Mr. Shinde																																																								
		PW27	Mr. Adsule																																																								
		PW28	Mr. Bhare																																																								
 (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024	 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.3.2024																																																										

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022</p> <p>B.D. Kamble & Ors. _____ Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan is pressing the C.A. She has submitted that when the promotion orders are issued for the Batch No.102, at that time only the promotion orders would have been issued in respect of Batch No.103, as in the Departmental Promotion Committee meeting held on 21.03.2023 the present Applicants were found fit. The life of the recommendation list of the said D.P.C. meeting is generally one year. On this ground the Respondent should not be allowed to consider that the list has lapsed because the matter is sub judice hence the benefit of the said D.P.C. is to be kept alive.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the order dated 30.03.2023 in Writ Petition No.1548/2023, the State of Maharashtra & Ors. Versus Shankar Mahadeo Mutekar & Ors. wherein the order of this Tribunal is challenged which is passed pursuant to the Hon'ble High Court, order dated 25.07.2017 passed in Writ Petition No.2797/2015 & Ors, the State of Maharashtra & Ors. Versus Shri Vijay Ghogre & Ors. and the said matter is fixed on 20.03.2024 at 1.30 p.m. In that matter the Government has requested to keep 84 posts vacant in the rank of PI. Unless that order is passed, the order of promotion in respect of the applicants in the present matter cannot be issued, otherwise it will create a chaos subsequently.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. We make it clear that as the matter is sub judice the list of D.P.C. meeting held on 21.03.2023 will not come to end on 20.03.2024. We make it clear that in view of the order dated 10.08.2023 passed in Interim Application No.7919/2023 in Writ Petition No.1548/2023, Devidas Rajaram Sangale & Anr. (Applicants) between The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Petitioners) Versus State of Maharashtra, through Secretary Home Department (Respondents) along with order dated 30.03.2023 passed in W.P.No.1548 /2023, the promotion orders of the Applicants in the present matter cannot be issued because of the pending Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court and therefore we will be in the position to pass the order in the present matter as and when the Writ Petition will be decided by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 01.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.170 of 2024 In O.A.No.359 of 2024 With O.A. No.359 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. Bandekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. Adhe, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.170/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs. 6. O.A.No.359/2024 is fixed tomorrow along with O.A.No.280/2024. 7. S.O.to 19.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.659 of 2017</u></p> <p>Mrs. Vasudha Kallur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Patil with Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant states that he has received reply of the respondents and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.3.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.3.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.285/2017</p> <p>R.K. Padmane ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the affidavit dated 10.01.2024 filed by the learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.580/2018</p> <p>P.S. Bhore .Applicant Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder dated 07.03.2024 filed by learned Counsel.<li>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 21.03.2024. <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.03.2024

O.A.No.488/2017

K.A. Jadhav & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.24, 26, 42 and 90.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Mahajan adjourned to 03.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018 with O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018 with O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017</p> <p>P.V. Patil & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.D. Kurane, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 is absent.</p> <p>3. Registry to take note that, by order dated 07.03.2024, (i) O.A.No.914/2017 and O.A.No.928/2017 are already withdrawn. (ii) In O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017, learned Counsel Mr. Kurane appears and in O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi appears.</p> <p>4. Today learned Counsel Mr. Kurane has submitted that Applicant in O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018 (S.K. Borekar) has contacted him and instructed him to appear on his behalf. Learned Counsel Mr. Kurane seeks one week time to file vakalatnama. Learned Counsel produces chart of seniority list of deemed date in the present O.As. in respect of six applicants.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. seeks time.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 28.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.03.2024

O.A.No.983/2017

K.J. Shinde & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 20.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 20/2024 (Mumbai) in O.A 1228/2023 (Nagpur)</u></p> <p>M.M Tate ... Applicant (Ori Res. No. 4)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>N.S Bhogle & Ors ... Ori Applicants</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Kalangiwale, learned advocate for the applicant (Respondent No. 4) and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed under Sec 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for transferring the Original Application from Nagpur to Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant (Ori Respondent No. 4) seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	<p>Aln</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 21/2024 (Mumbai) in M.A 664/2023 in O.A 1242/2023 (Nagpur)</u></p> <p>A.N Telang ... Applicant/ Intervenor</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>K.V Jadhav & Ors ... Ori Applicants</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Kalangiwale, learned advocate for the applicant (Respondent No. 4) and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed under Sec 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for transferring the Original Application from Nagpur to Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant/Intervenor, seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>