

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 756 OF 2020

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

M.R Sawant & Others)...**Applicants**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Dr Gunratan Sadavarte with Dr Jayshree Patil, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

DATE : **18.02.2021**

ORDER

1. Today, by this Original Application in all 122 employees have approached this Tribunal for regularization of their service.

2. While hearing the submissions, G.R dated 7.12.2015 so also affidavit in reply dated 9.2.2021 filed by Dr Ranjit Jayant Mankeshwar, Dean, J.J Group of Hospital, Byculla, Mumbai, filed on behalf of Respondents 1 to 3 are considered. It is found that in the year 2015 the State Cabinet has decided to regularize the services of temporary employees working in Class-IV post and G.R to that effect was issued on 7.12.2015. The said G.R discloses that in all total cases of 774 ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees were considered on the basis of the conditions prescribed in the said G.R. It is stated in the said G.R that the ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees should hold the necessary educational



qualifications, then such ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees can be absorbed in the post and where there is no requirement of educational qualification they can be absorbed in suitable posts. As per those conditions, such ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees should have completed minimum 240 days in each financial year and should have completed 10 years of services as on 31.3.2007. Thereafter only services of such adhoc employees 'बदली' employees, can be regularized. Thus by applying this yardstick, the then Committee out of 774 ad hoc 'बदली' employees, 625 employees were found to be eligible and they were absorbed and regularized. Thus, it can be inferred that the remaining 'बदली' (ad hoc) employees who were not found eligible for absorption are 148.

3. Learned counsel Mr Sadavarte pointed out that the services of most of the present applicants is from 1997 and they have completed 10 years' service in 2007 and some of them were employed in 1986 of 1987. Learned counsel Mr Sadavarte further points out that juniors to the present applicants who have in fact not put in 10 years of service in the year 2007 as per the G.R were also regularized thereafter. However, the present applicants who have rightful claim were denied. He points out the list which was published along with the G.R dated 7.12.2015 wherein ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees who are junior to the present applicants have been regularized.

Sr No	Name of the person	Date of appointment
282	Mahesh Chagan Solanki	1.2.1999
283	Giju Nagin Waghela	1.3.1999
284	Vijay Dalpat Purbiya	1.3.1999
285	Vasant Nagji Soda	1.4.1999
286	Vittal Kisan Waghela	1.4.1999
287	Kharesh Bhavan Solanki	10.5.1999
288	Harshwardhan S. Solanki	22.5.1999
335	Madhu P. Solanki	7.5.1999
336	Usha R. Waghela	1.7.1999



4. Learned counsel Mr Sadavarate submits that in para 9.1 of the affidavit it is stated that assurance was given about regularizing the services of the applicants. He points out letter dated 19.8.2004 issued by Dr W.P Tayde, Director, Medical Education & Research, wherein assurance of regularization was given to ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees who were appointed on temporary basis. Learned counsel further referred to Circular dated 28.2.2017, issued by Law & Judiciary Department wherein it is stated that all persons who are similarly situated are to be treated equally.

5. Hence the following queries are made to the Respondents:-

- (i) Whether in 2015 only 774 ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees were there in the set up?
- (ii) Whether all the present 122 applicants, ad hoc employees 'बदली' employees were considered in 2015 and their cases were rejected as they were found ineligible and they constitute the number of 148 ineligible employees ?
- (iii) Whether the services of employees at Serial Nos 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 335 & 336, who were junior to the present applicants are regularized ?

6. The affidavit to that effect of Mr Shivaji Patankar, Joint Secretary, Medical Education and Research Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is to be filed answering the above raised queries. The affidavit in reply is to be filed on or before 24.2.2021 and copy of the same be given a day earlier to learned counsel or the applicants.

7. S.O to 25.2.2021 at 10.00 am.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 15.02.2021

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>MA.15/2021 in CA 33/2018 in OA. 48/2017</u>
	Ranjana Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Ms. Vaidehi Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that she has filed the above MA seeking amendment in the CA as per Exhibit '1' to CA. She submits that certain correspondence was received and there is correspondence post application between the applicant and respondents and those documents are necessary to be brought on record and therefore the pleadings are required to be amended.
	3. Ld. PO concedes to the order of the Tribunal. Ld. PO submits that they have partly complied with the order of the OA, which is subject matter of CA.
	4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy of CA be served on all concerned. Ld. PO to file reply to the amended CA within three weeks.
	5. CA adjourned to 25.3.2021.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 18.2.2021 18.2.2021
(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.244 of 2020 in O.A. No.561 of 2020</u></p> <p>Dr. Kranti S. Jawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of the respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.3.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p> (P.N. Dixit) Sd/- 18.2.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.2.2021</p> </div> </div>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.4 of 2021 in O.A. No.43 of 2018

Dr. B.D. Bhosale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The CA is filed for implementing the order dated 6.3.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.43 of 2018. Affidavit of service on contemnor is filed.

3. Ld. PO produces a copy of GR dated 16.2.2021 issued by the Higher and Technical Education Department. The same is taken on record and marked as Exhibit '1' for identification.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in view of this GR, it appears that respondents have partly complied with the order of this Tribunal in respect of para (D) of the operative part of the order. Para (B) and (C) of the operative part are not complied. He has not yet received the monetary benefits.

5. Ld. PO on instructions from Dr. A.S. Khemnar, Principal, Rajaram College, Kolhapur, submits that respondents are going to calculate the monetary benefits as per order passed by this Tribunal and will give that amount within three months to the applicant and comply the order of the Tribunal. In view of these submissions time is granted till 31.5.2021 for compliance of the order.

6. CA is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.678 of 2019 in O.A. No.1209 of 2019</u></p> <p>Sagar A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.2.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.2.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2020 in O.A. No.259 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.R. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as the order dated 9.8.2019 passed by this Tribunal in above OA No.259 of 2019 is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 22.10.2020 passed in W.P. No.2562 of 2020, this CA can be disposed off. Depending upon the decision of the Hon'ble High Court, the applicant will take necessary steps. CA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.2.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.2.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021. To be tagged with OA No.1056 of 2019.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.140 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sachin S. Atkekar & 14 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the applicants who are working as Civil Engineering Assistants in the office of Executive Engineer, Project Division, Satara seek declaration that the applicants are entitled to count their seniority in the cadre of Civil Engineering Assistant w.e.f. their initial date of appointment and seek all the consequential service benefits and pecuniary benefits. By way of interim relief the applicants pray that the respondents be restrained from taking steps pursuant to the circular dated 11.12.2020 unless the discrepancies as promised are removed vide order dated 13.2.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.848/2018. The issue of clearing of examination within stipulated time and chances is involved. In the earlier order dated 9.2.2021 the Tribunal has made clear that there are nearly 109 cases before the Government to decide the seniority in such matters.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted that if juniors to the applicant are promoted then it is subject to outcome of this OA. The respondents are directed to communicate this order to such juniors if promoted, at the time of their promotion.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021. To be tagged with OA No.1056 of 2019.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.138 of 2021

Sheetal G. Koli ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, a Junior Accountant in the office of District Treasury Officer, Alibag challenges the order dated 27.2.2020 passed by respondent no.1 transferring the services of the applicant to the supernumerary post as per GR dated 21.12.2019 issued by respondent no.3. Further he seeks declaration that the GR dated 21.12.2019 is null and void.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders a copy of order dated 26.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OAs.682/2020 & 5 Ors. and states that they can be clubbed. These matters are clubbed together and be placed on 19.3.2021. Meanwhile the respondents may consider their own order of the tenure for which the applicant was given supernumerary posting.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.125 of 2021

Vandana I. Ingale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant a Senior Pharmacy Officer challenges the disciplinary proceedings initiated vide charge sheet dated 31.8.2020 and seek directions in respect of pecuniary compensation. He submits that the challenge is given mainly on the point that the authority who has issued the charge sheet is not competent authority. No interim relief is granted at this stage and it is kept open on the next date.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.93 of 2021</u></p> <p>Atul A. Jekte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the DE. The Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place the above matter for final hearing on 31.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.2.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.2.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.94 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Sakunde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the DE. The Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place the above matter for final hearing on 31.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 18.2.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.2.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.02.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.56 of 2021 in C.A. No.21 of 2019 in O.A. No.848 of 2014</p> <p>R.B. WadileApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Govind Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant moves this M.A. requesting that the order of C.A. No.21/2019 in O.A. No.848/14 dated 12.01.2021 is to be recalled and C.A. No.21/2019 to be restored to its original position.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he was not well in the first two weeks of Jan 2021 and therefore, he inadvertently could not keep the track of the matters.</p> <p>4. S.O. to. 22.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N) Dixit Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 18.02.2021

O.A. No.558 of 2020

P.R. ThakurApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Badiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.

3. Admit.

4. S.O. to 01.04.2021.

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
 Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date: 18.02.2021

O.A. No.1234 of 2019

Dr. D.S. SawantApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned P.O. to give information about the payment of the provisional pension of the Applicant.
3. S.O. to 26.02.2021.

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman


 Sd/-
 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
 Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.64 of 2021</p> <p>U.T. SarodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time for instruction and make statement.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to.04.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.02.2021

O.A.No.378 of 2020

S.N. Raktate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks adjournment on instructions from Respondents, as the Respondents office will be coming with the record on next date.

3. Adjourned to 04.03.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.139 of 2021

Reshma R. Koli ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, a Deputy Accountant in the office of District Treasury Officer, Alibag challenges the order dated 2.3.2020 passed by respondent no.1 transferring the services of the applicant to the supernumerary post as per GR dated 21.12.2019 issued by respondent no.3. Further he seeks declaration that the GR dated 21.12.2019 is null and void.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders a copy of order dated 26.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OAs.682/2020 & 5 Ors. and states that they can be clubbed. These matters are clubbed together and be placed on 19.3.2021. Meanwhile the respondents may consider their own order of the tenure for which the applicant was given supernumerary posting.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
18.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.711 of 2020 with O.A.No.712 of 2020</p> <p>R.K. Kudtarkar & Ors. (O.A.711/2020) S.V. Kolvankar & Ors. (O.A.712/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 04.02.2021, through Respondent No.4, Mrs. Suneeta K. Ravte, Executive Engineer, South Mumbai Elec. Divn. Public Works Department. The same is taken on record. Copy be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.03.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.02.2021

O.A.No.615 of 2020

D.B. Parjane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 17.02.2021 through Respondent No.1, Shri Narayan S. Karad, Deputy Secretary, office of Secretary, Home Department. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.03.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.02.2021

O.A.No.657 of 2020

S.A. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 17.02.2021, through Respondents No.3 to 5, Ms. Jyoti L. Kshirsagar, Principal, Police Training Centre, Sangli. The same is taken on record. Copy be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.03.2021

Sd/-

18/02
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

18.02.2021

M.A 28/2021 in O.A 96/2020

C.R Baviskar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte with Dr Jayshree Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O submits that she wants to file affidavit in reply on the basis of instructions which are received yesterday in her office. Letter dated 28.1.2021 is pointed out to the learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the applicant has called the applicant Mr C.R Baviskar who is present in the Court and he obtained instructions from him. Applicant confirms that the certificate which he has produced and which is alleged to be forged, is genuine. Copy of letter dated 28.1.2021 is marked as Exh.1 and taken on record.
3. In view of this, time granted to the Respondents to file reply.
4. S.O to 4.3.20221.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn