

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.01.2024

O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. No.1485 of 2023

S.A. Kotkar & Ors.,

D.G. Hiwale

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 28.12.2023 on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar' in which it is stated that they have extended the period of 'One Month' beyond 25.11.2023 for acceptance of Applicants on 'Inter District Transfer'. Further, it is also stated that it would not be appropriate to keep aside 3 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constable' for long period time on such 'Administrative Grounds'. The 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar' further has suggested that appropriate directions be given to the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to decide early about the cases of the Applicants.

3. The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' informed therefore to consider the cases of Applicants at the time of next meeting of 'P.E.B.' and accordingly convey their decision to 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar'.

4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

5. S.O. to 06.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 18.01.2024

O.A. No.1535 of 2023

P.V. GavteApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Ashish Gabhale, learned Advocate along with Shri Sawaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant is working on the post of 'Police Inspector' and seeks transfer from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to the post of 'Police Inspector' to the establishment of 'Special I.G. Nanded Range' on the ground that his 'Wife' is serving as 'Police Head Constable' on the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Nanded'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that he would submit detailed information the on the point of discrimination against Applicant; because several 'Transfer Orders' were issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' during 2020 to 2023 based on similar request of posting of 'Husband & Wife' together which were made by other 'Police Inspectors'.

4. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to seek information and file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Applicant. Time of 'Two Weeks' prayed for is granted to learned P.O.

5. S.O. to 02.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No.911 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav & Ors., Vaibhav PilareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.M. Inamdar along with Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants contended that case of Applicants who are in cadre of 'Tahsildars' was primarily based on grounds of discrimination with reference to 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B.' held on 26.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant stated that to consider transfers of officers in the cadre of 'Tahsildar' the 'C.S.B.' had formed 'Three Categories' viz.(i) 22 Tahsildars who were in 'Compulsory Waiting' (ii) 36 Tahsildars who had completed 'Normal Tenures' and (iii) 7 Tahsildars who had completed 'Deputation Periods'. Thus in all proposals of 65 officers in the cadre of 'Tahsildar' were considered by 'C.S.B.' in its meeting held on 26.06.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further stated that Applicants are admittedly in the category of '36 Tahsildars' who had completed 'Nominal Tenure' and thus were eligible for transfers but it should have been within their respective 'Revenue Divisions' which are (i)'Pune Division' and (ii)'Konkan – 2 Division'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant then stated that because of provisions of 'Para 8' of the "Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Division for appointment by nomination and promotion to the post of Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non Gazetted) Rules, 2021" admittedly the Applicants stand discriminated against only with respect to the implementation of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and observance of policy guidelines for transfers as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant contented that Minutes of Meeting of 'C.S.B.' held on 26.06.2023 indicates that they had recommended retention of 49 out of 65 officers in cadre of Tahsildars within same 'Revenue Divisions'. Further there are cases of another 7 officers in the cadre 'Tahsildar' who were initially transferred out of their 'Revenue Divisions' on 30.06.2023 but immediately thereafter their 'Transfer Orders' were modified and they were either brought back to their earlier 'Revenue Division' or even given other choices of 'Revenue Division' In this regard, learned Advocate for the Applicant specifically referred to these 7 officers being at Sr. No.12, 27, 39, 40, 46, 47 & 61 of the 'Annexure' to 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B.' held in 26.06.2023. Hence there has been invidious discrimination against the Applicants by the 'Revenue and Forest Department'. He further stated that the Applicants had also given similar representations seeking modification of their Transfer Order dated 26.06.2023 but these were not considered by the 'Revenue & Forest Department'.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. states that as contended by the learned Advocate for the Applicant she needs some time to obtain detailed information from 'Revenue & Forest Department' as to why 'Transfer Orders' of 7 Officers in cadre of 'Tahsildar' were modified immediately after they were issued on 30.06.2023 and on the other hand why the representations of Applicants were not considered similarly by 'Revenue & Forest Department'.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. according seeks time of 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the 'Revenue & Forest Department'. Time as prayed of 'One Week' is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>9. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states he may seek time thereafter to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicants.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 25.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

ginal Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.376/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kudale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25th January, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.01.2024 </p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 18.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.93/2023 in O.A.691/2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that the Representation of the Applicants are decided by Public Health Department and decision is also communicated by letter dated 08.01.2024 by Under Secretary, Public Health Department to Commissioner, Health Services and it is rejected.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1st February, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 18.01.2024 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 18.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.46/2024

S.L. Kotwal

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 01.02.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 1st February, 2024.

sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
 Member-A Chairperson
 18.01.2024 18.01.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1589/2023

P.D. Shinde

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 15.02.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 15th February, 2024.

Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
18.01.2024 18.01.2024

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

No. of 20

N

ation No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Caram,
e, Tribunal's orders or
and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.820/2023 & O.A.1395/2023

S.K. Doifode & Ors.

D.M. Bhoir & Ors.

V/s.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Applicants

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned Advocate and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. In the course of submissions, learned CPO submits that the Respondents who are present in the Court wants to file Affidavit-in-Reply on the point of GR dated 16.10.2012.

3. However, we direct Respondent No.1 while filing Affidavit-in-Reply to take into account the order passed in OA No.88/2015 in 2017 and order passed in OA No.138/2019 on 11.08.2023.

4. Learned CPO on instructions from Shri Bhagwan Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Forest) and Shri B.R. Jaware, RFO submits that the documents verification has not yet started.

5. The learned Advocates to supply their e-mail Id to CPO so that the copy of Affidavit-in-Reply will be sent to them.

6. S.O. to 24th January, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
18.01.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.01.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.938 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.U. Pathak ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 9.1.2024 given by the Resident Deputy Collector, Pune to the Food Distribution Officer, Pune. Para 3 of the same reads as under:</p> <p>“या अनुषंगाने आपणास कळविणेत येते की, तहसिल कार्यालय जुन्नर, पुणे यांनी श्रीमती. पाठक यांचेबाबतीत विभागीय चौकशी प्रस्तावित करणेकामी 9 ते 8 भरून त्यांचेकडील पत्र दिनांक १५.०३.२०१८ अन्वये आपले कार्यालास सादर केले होते. सदर बाबतीत केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा अहवाल या शाखेतील संकलन पत्र यांचेकडे सादर करावा. तसेच श्रीमती. पाठक यांची बदलीने पदस्थापना दिलेली आस्थापना आपले कार्यालयात असलेले त्यांचे दिनांक १६.०५.२०१६ ते ३१.१०.२०२० (सेवानिवृत्ती दिनांक) पर्यंतच्या कालावधीचे वेतन, पेन्शन व सेवानिवृत्ती नंतरचे इतर लाभ आपले कार्यालयामार्फत अदा करणे आवश्यक आहे. तसेच सदर प्रकरण मा. महाराष्ट्र प्रशासकीय न्यायाधिकरण, मुंबई यांचेकडे मुळ अर्ज दाखल असलेले श्रीमती. पाठक यांचे दिनांक १६.०५.२०१६ ते ३१.१०.२०२० (सेवानिवृत्ती दिनांक) पर्यंतच्या कालावधीचे वेतन, पेन्शन व सेवानिवृत्ती नंतरचे इतर लाभ आपले कार्यालयामार्फत तात्काळ अदा करावे.”</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the Respondents are directed to comply with the same and release all retirement dues to the applicant within four months from today. In the meantime the respondents are directed to release provisional pension to the applicant. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.814 of 2023</u></p> <p>Revati A. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to file affidavit regarding statutory provisions for maternity leave as per MCS (Leave) Rules.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.S. Botle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to file affidavit regarding decision taken by the review committee.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1151 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.D. Dange & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Home Department is directed to file reply since this is a matter of policy decision.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024. Interim relief to continue until further orders.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1042 of 2023</u></p> <p>E.D. Mahadik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that leave encashment has been received by the applicant on 9.1.2024.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to provide details of amount released to the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.950 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.S. Ambolkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the impugned order dated 30.12.2021 cancelling the benefit of first Time Bound Promotion should be treated as illegal and bad in law and the said benefit be restored with consequential monetary benefits as per law.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO relies on the affidavit in reply dated 10.1.2024 files by respondent no.3. In the said affidavit order dated 28.8.2023 is annexed cancelling the order dated 30.12.2021 and sent to the Pay Verification Unit for further process. However, it is seen that more than three months have lapsed since the matter was sent to the Pay Verification Unit.</p> <p>4. In view of the above the respondents are directed to pay difference of retiral benefits to the applicant and revise the pension order within two months from today. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I-N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.132 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.B. Nerkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri I.I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 15.1.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.2.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.39/2024 in O.A. No.800/2023</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for amending OA as per schedule annexed to MA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

MA.40/2024 in M.A. No.520/2023 in O.A. No.800/2023

The Maharashtra State Food Officers
Welfare Association ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. MA No.40/2024 is filed for amending MA No.520/2023 as per schedule annexed to MA.

3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy of MA No.520/2023 be served on all the respondents. MA No.40/2024 is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

18.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.520 of 2023 in O.A. No.800 of 2023</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 29.1.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.613 of 2023</p> <p>M.M. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant emphasizes on the implementation of the direction given earlier on 08.01.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to immediately inform the 'Chief Conservator of Forest Nashik' to take further action as directed on 08.01.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. 02.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1062 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO on instruction from the office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' informs that they need time of 'One Week' to decide about the case of Applicant regarding promotion to the post of P.S.I. as per direction on 21.12.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1250 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate for Applicant and also Applicant are not present. Heard Shri. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers on behalf of Respondent is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1534 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. BhaleraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Gabhale, learned Advocate along with Shri Sawaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in pursuance of the observations made in order dated 21.12.2023 mentions that the Applicant has now decided to seek 'Inter District Transfer' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' and accordingly intends to soon submit Application for consideration of his request to office of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.377 of 2023 with M.A. No.238 of 2023</u> <u>O.A. No.386 of 2023 with M.A. No.246 of 2023</u></p> <p>U.S. Bhagwat A.A. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 30.11.2023 of respondent in both the above OAs in which copy of order dated 16.5.2023 is annexed whereby the applicants have been granted first Time Bound Promotion.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the MAs for condonation of delay may be allowed and the OAs may be disposed off with directions to the respondents to take a decision on the second Time Bound Promotion within stipulated time.</p> <p>4. Both the MAs are allowed and the delay is condoned. The respondents are directed to take a decision on the second Time Bound Promotion within two months from today. Both the OAs are also disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.52 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.M. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.51 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.S. Borale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.714 of 2023</p> <p>M. A. HajariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 01.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 1/2024 in O.A 1268/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>B.D Kamble & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that she wants to withdraw the Contempt Application in view of the order dated 16.1.2024 in M.A 17/2024 in O.A 1268/2022.</p> <p>3. C.A accordingly stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 17/2024 in O.A 1268/2022</u></p> <p>B.D Kamble & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the pvt Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursis is filed by learned counsel for the private Respondents in the present M.A No. 17/2024 in O.A 1268/2022.</p> <p>3. All the parties are present. By order dated 16.1.2024, M.A 17/2024 in O.A 1268/2022 is already disposed and hence no order is required to be passed in the Pursis moved by Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the private Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 418/2017</u></p> <p>S.D Kharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3. None present for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as the present Original Application is filed seeking deemed date of promotion, it has direct bearing over the matter which is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) No 30621/2011 & ors, wherein the order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P No. 2797/2015, State of Maharashtra & Ors Vs. Vijay Ghogre & Ors is challenged.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is present in Court and as the present matter is pending since 2017, therefore he be allowed to withdraw the present O.A with liberty to file fresh Original Application for same cause of action after the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above referred matter.</p> <p>4. Accordingly Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Original Application for same cause of action after the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above referred matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 67/2017</u></p> <p>A.A Janvalekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder today and tenders copy of the same on the other side.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 782/2018</u></p> <p>P.K Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 1.2.2024. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015</u></p> <p>U.N Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Dr N.K Bhosle, Joint Secretary, Skill Development Department, submits that the Respondents seek two months' time to comply with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to report the progress in the matter on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 50px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.01.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 908/2019</u></p> <p>A.K Gogavle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 107/2023</u></p> <p>J.B Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 340/2023</u></p> <p>P.R Gamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 422/2023</u></p> <p>P.A Waidande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 866/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Padwal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 886/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Ghuge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1038/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>V.B Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek two weeks to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 361/2022 & Ors</u></p> <p>L.K Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct Dr Uday Dongare, Secretary, Maharashtra Fencing Association, Aurangabad to remain present before this Tribunal tomorrow at 2.30 pm along with the letters sent by the Fencing Association in the name of President, Maharashtra Fencing Association as on 11.9.2021 to all the State requesting them to furnish the record of the year 1998 for the 10th Fencing Championship held at Lucknow, U.P and also the 11th Fencing Championship held at Nasik in the year 2000.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024 at 2.30 pm.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1114/2023</u></p> <p>Y.B Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1195/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Tayde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.M Chaudhari, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1283/2023</u></p> <p>N.B Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>18.01.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 1361/2023</u></p> <p>R.N Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1372/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1463/2023</u></p> <p>P.J Ingawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1522/2023</u></p> <p>H.S Narale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Ssati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1601/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Paradkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 18.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1518 of 2023</p> <p>N.K. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. 3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 4. S.O. to 01.02.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.24 of 2024 in O.A. No.267 of 2016</u> <u>with</u> <u>M.A. No.30 of 2024 in O.A. No.267 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & Ors. (MA.24/2024) J.D. Singhvi & Ors. (MA.30/2024) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants in MA No.24/2024 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants in MA No.30/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 12.10.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.10704/2023 (Dr. Shivashree M. Nilange & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) the MAs for amendment is allowed.</p> <p>3. It is made clear that as per the order the issue of pay fixation will not be dealt with but only the issue in respect of DCPS will be dealt with. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy of OAs be served to all the respondents. The respondents to file reply in OAs within four weeks. MAs disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>4. OAs are adjourned to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.537 of 2017

M.R. Mithapalli ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per instructions of the applicant, Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.

3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
18.1.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.336/2023 in RA.7/2023 in OA.614/2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Katkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sgj</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sgj</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.791 of 2023 in O.A. No.49 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.N. Bhalchandra ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for amending the OA as per scheduled annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO opposes the MA for amendment.</p> <p>4. However, if the nature of the amendment is seen it will cover in one consolidated OA and there is no question of the issue of limitation if amendment is allowed. Hence, MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy of OA be served on all the respondents. Meanwhile there is no bar to process the matter. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A. No.6 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.K. More & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents no.1 & 2, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.25 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 13, 15, 22, 26, 27, 29, 30 & 33. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.25 submits that name of respondent no.25 Smt. Chaitali Ashok Jadhav be corrected as Smt. Chaitali Santosh Jadhav. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to carry out the correction.</p> <p>3. Short date is given to the respondents to file reply as there is delay of 10 months.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.116 of 2023 & CA.2/2023 in O.A. No.1226/2022</u></p> <p>R.N. Khune & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for Shri S.B. Chandan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 23.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>C.A. No.111 of 2023 in MA.492/2023 in OA.67/2021</p> <p>S.B. Titar (Datir) ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to inform the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No,104 of 2023 in O.A. No.59 of 2017</u></p> <p>Y.A. Desai ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the order dated 7.8.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA which was supposed to be complied within 6 weeks i.e. on or before September, 2023. It is neither complied nor any writ petition is filed.</p> <p>3. Issue contempt notice returnable on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sgj</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sdh</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.86 of 2023 in O.A. No.599 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2023 in O.A. No.297 of 2015</u></p> <p>U.N. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today when the matter is called out no officer from the office of respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that as per instructions the file which was pending before the Higher & Technical Education Department is cleared yesterday and it is sent to Skill & Development Department.</p> <p>4. Shri Satish Thidke, Dy. Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department is personally present in the Court.</p> <p>5. Dy. Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department and Dy. Secretary, Skill Development Department are directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>