

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No 223 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Kamble & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to file affidavit in respect of 6 applicants to whom amount is pending though entitled is not given. The amount and the period from which it is due and to whom the entire amount is paid.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.22/2021 in O.A.No.21/2017</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Surendra Chandkar, Deputy Secretary is hereby directed to remain present at 2.30 today.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.16 of 2023 in O.A. No.195 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.B. Koulage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for amending the OA. The OA was filed on 8.2.2021 and the communication is dated 25.10.2021. Ld. Advocate submits that pending OA this communication is received and therefore she seeks leave to amend the OA as per schedule annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served on all the respondents. MA disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 1.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.598 of 2022 in O.A. No.857 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Dahiwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.K. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA seeking amendment to the OA, which was filed on 26.8.2022. Pending OA department has communicated by letter dated 12.9.2022 the reason for not giving him promotion and therefore the applicant needs to challenge the said communication and also other incidental issues.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate seeks leave to add Para 23-A, Para I.1 to I.9 and Para d2) and d2) in the OA as mentioned in the MA.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions of Ld. Advocate and Ld. PO, MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served on all respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>6. OA is adjourned to 8.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.80 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2015</u></p> <p>G.P. Wadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Premanand Sontakke, Desk Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, submits that Public Health Department has sent file to GAD on 20.1.2022 for implementation of the order dated 4.1.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA. GAD sent the file to Finance Department on 20.4.2022. Then the file was sent from Public Health Department to GAD on 3.8.2022. On 15.9.2022 GAD gave remarks that file is to be sent to Law & Judiciary Department for opinion and on 29.11.2022 the file was sent to L&JD. On 28.12.2022 L&JD returned the file informing for complying the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, now the department has placed the file before the Hon'ble Health Minister on 17.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.474 of 2020

S.M. Kadam ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that on the amount of rupees thirteen lakhs of gratuity the interest payable from 1.3.2019 is not received. The Tribunal has given directions which is not complied by the respondents.

3. Ld. PO submits that in view of operative para (C) of the order dated 15.11.2021 the State has paid difference of enhanced gratuity in terms of 7th Pay Commission as there is no reference for payment of interest on the difference the respondent-State is not liable to pay such amount.

4. After going through the order, clause (B) and (C) are to be read harmoniously. While reading para (C) the context of para (B) is required to be taken into account in respect of payment of interest on any gratuity which is paid belatedly.

5. Ld. PO submits that the State has interpreted order of the Tribunal in different manner and State would like to clarify the order.

6. Ld. PO submits that remaining amount as per order of the Tribunal is paid to the applicant and it is admitted by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

7. In view of the submissions, the matter is fixed after one week.

8. S.O. to 25.1.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
18.1.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.67 of 2022 in O.A. No.76 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.K. Narale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that writ petition is filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.B.V. Kodag ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant retired on 31.7.2003 and he is 80 years old. The OA was decided on 30.9.2021. Ld. PO to take instructions about the compliance and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2023 by way of last chance considering the age of the applicant.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A. No.608 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. M.V. Pande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale holding for Shri K.R. Jagdeale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Public Health Department took decision to file writ petition against the order dated 18.10.2021 passed by the Tribunal in the above OA. The said decision was communicated to the Assistant Govt. Pleader, High Court on 28.12.2021. 3 to 4 times reminders were sent to the said office but till today writ petition is not filed. Meanwhile contempt notice is issued. So, now the Public Health Department has decided on 1.8.2022 to implement the order of the Tribunal. The proposal which was sent by the Public Health Department is considered by the Finance Department and which was raised the query on 14.11.2022. The proposal was resubmitted to the Finance Department on 2.12.2022 after complying the query. Again on 20.12.2022 second query is raised by the Finance Department to take the affidavit of the applicant that he was not employed during absence and there is no criminal case against him. This was communicated to the Director of Health Services on 27.12.2022. On 11.1.2023 the Director informed the applicant to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2022 in O.A. No.212 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.H. Parche ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that by order dated 14.11.2022 the applicant was informed that he was ineligible on the ground that migration in respect of caste is not permissible as the domicile in Maharashtra in view of the caste is considered for the eligibility criterion.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that order is communicated to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Thus, the order is complied with and CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.57 of 2022 in O.A. No.927 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Time Bound Promotion is not given to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the order of the Tribunal dated 7.7.2022 the issue of granting Time Bound Promotion is a separate issue. Therefore the order dated 7.7.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is complied with. CA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.36 of 2023 in O.A.No.80 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 35/2023 in O.A 1086/2022</u></p> <p>Dr S.J Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present Misc Application is filed seeking amendment in the Original Application. Learned counsel submits that inadvertently some important documents could not be filed and therefore he seeks amendment as per Annexure 'A' to the M.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant, Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant is allowed to substitute the Original Application including the amendment within one week and serve copy of the same on the Respondents within one week thereafter.</p> <p>6. O.A 1086/2022 to be placed on Board on 23.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 320/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.V More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 586/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.A Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 771/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.T Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1154/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.K Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she has not received any instructions in the matter and she seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 1217 & 1218/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.R Bhapkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwdekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the matter is adjourned to 1.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1148 & 1149/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Yende [O.A 1148/2022] Shri M.N Kadam [O.A 1149/2022] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.M Inamdar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the cases, granting interim relief is as good as final relief, hence Respondents are directed to file detailed affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 22/2021 in O.A 21/2017</u></p> <p>Smt A.A Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><u>Later on.</u></p> <p>1. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri Surendra Chankar, Deputy Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, submits that today the Hon'ble Minister, Health has given approval to the appointment of the applicant and accordingly the applicant has been appointed as Assistant Commissioner, Drugs by Medical Education and Drugs Department by order dated 18.1.2023 at Nandurbar.</p> <p>2. The copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as Exh.L</p> <p>3. As the order of this Tribunal is complied with, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1016/2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Prafull Mahadik, learned Advocate holding for Mr S. Dhongade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Gaikwad and Mr. Mahadik are directed to verify and correct the pagination of the reply filed by Respondent No.4. Learned Advocate Mr. Gaikwad submits that he will be filing rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.834, 837, 878, 882 & 900/2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Waghmare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents:</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today during the course of the day reply will be filed in O.A.Nos.834, 837, 878/2022. Further she seeks time to file reply in O.A.Nos.882 & 900/2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.02.2023. To be tagged with similar matters along with O.A.No.881/2022 and placed under the caption "Due Admission".</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.01.2023

O.A.No.881/2022

Dr. V.D. LamdhadeApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Chandratre prays for amendment to add Commissioner, Medical Council of India, New Delhi as Party Respondent in the array of Respondents.

3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and amended copy to be served upon the concern.

4. All the candidates were allowed to appear for the interview subject to outcome of this O.A. However, thereafter the interviews of all the candidates were conducted. Those candidates who had cleared the interviews their names are to be verified and the same be placed before the Tribunal in sealed envelope.

5. Adjourned to 15.02.2023. To be tagged with similar matters along with O.A.Nos.834, 837, 878, 882 and 900 of 2022 and placed under the caption "Due Admission".

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.265/2016</p> <p>P.J. GanjaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As no reply is filed till date, matter is adjourned to 08.02.2023 to hear finally. Time granting to learned P.O. to file reply by way of last chance. If learned P.O. wants to file reply, copy of the same is to be given to learned Advocate in advance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.265/2016</p> <p>P.J. GanjaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As no reply is filed till date, matter is adjourned to 08.02.2023 to hear finally. Time granting to learned P.O. to file reply by way of last chance. If learned P.O. wants to file reply, copy of the same is to be given to learned Advocate in advance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.664/2018</p> <p>A.P.B. LoharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadkear, files affidavit-in-rejoinder. Taken on record. Copy to be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.01.2023**O.A.No.82/2017**

D.K. Khairnar

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 14.

2. Learned Advocate Ms. Jagdale submits that out of Respondents No.3 to 14, Respondent No.4, Mrs. Vijaya Hanmant Honkalaskar and Respondent No.14, Mrs. Jaymala Ramrao Patange have informed that they do not want to join.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. Archana submits that today during the course of the day short reply will be filed. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to verify whether the said two posts are vacant.

5. Adjourned to 19.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.82/2020</p> <p>A.N. AgnihotriApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for Private Respondents No.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply to the amendment is filed on 28.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that private Respondents are also served and copy of service report is placed on record.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that on behalf of Respondent No.3, learned Advocate Mr. Qureshi has filed reply. But no reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.4.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 05.02.2023 for Final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale submits that all these seven applicants are working as Driver Constable in the Public Health Department. He submits that some of the applicants are working since 2005 on contract basis.</p> <p>3. Respondent-State is directed to inform about the policy of regularization of these applicants who are Class-IV.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1254/2022</p> <p>P.R. UghadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that copy of O.A. is not served to the office of C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Objections in this O.A. were removed on 06.01.2023 as per the noting from the Registry's board. Applicant prays that she is to be reinstated and challenges show cause notice.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 15.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>18.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 49/2022 in O.A 992/2019</u></p> <p>Dr P.N Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the concerned officer from the Treasury Branch has informed that the details given by the applicant in respect of the Pension, i.e., the IFSC Code of Union Bank of India, Gupte Road, Dombivli, is not tallied with the Treasury branch.</p> <p>3. Our query is if any Bank is approved by the Treasury Office for payment of pension is it not the duty of the Treasury Department to include all the branches of the particular Bank.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

Date : 18.01.2023

O.A.No.80/2023

S.V. Patil & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that D.E. was initiated on 31.12.2022. Order of Charge-sheet of D.E. was issued on 22.03.2022. After filing reply to the charge-sheet Enquiry Officer was appointed on 28.11.2022. Hence reply was rejected. Thereafter Enquiry Officer fixed the date on 21.12.2022. By letter dated 05.12.2022 Enquiry Officer gave option to the applicant whether they want to engage Advocate. Allegations made in the Departmental Enquiry are for non-furnishing of original PAP certificate. Now, 22 years are passed after initiating D.E. itself.

3. Learned Advocate submits that original PAP which was produced by the applicants earlier. They have short listed that certificates and the said original certificates were given to Mr. Bhalerao who was Office Superintendent at that time. Learned Advocate has made submissions that the enquiry was initiated when the reply submitted by the Delinquent Officer was rejected and when the Enquiry Officer is appointed. Learned Advocate is called upon to justify the submissions on the basis of any ruling of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.01.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 23.01.2023 at 3.30 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.1188 of 2022</p> <p>P. N. WayalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H. M. Inamdar with Shri Vishal Golambate, learned Counsels for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that necessary steps will be taken by the department within 15 days in respect of the impugned order dated 10.11.2022 whereby the Applicant is temporarily deputed at Chembur, Mumbai office.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. NOS. 144, 145, 146, 167, 203, 300, 301, 321, 790, 791, 830, 793, 796 OF 2022 WITH M.A. NO. 12 OF 2023 IN O.A. NO.144 OF 2022</p> <p>Shri Amit H. Daphale & Ors. Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Shri Pranav Avad with Ms Darshna Naval, Shri D.B. Khaire, Ms. Pradnya Talekar with Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicants in respective applications and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A.B. Moon, learned Advocate in intervention application i.e. M.A. No.12/2023 in O.A. No.144 of 2022. In O.A. Nos. 300 & 301 of 2022, no one has caused appearance.</p> <p>2. Yesterday the arguments commenced in these matters and today the arguments are completed. Yesterday when the present matters were taken up for consideration, it was brought to our notice by Shri S.S. Dere learned Advocate for the Applicant appearing for the Applicants in O.A. No.144/2022 and others that the order passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No.224 & 226/2023 on 05.01.2023 has been challenged before the Hon'ble Apex Court by filing SLP. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however clarified that since it is relevant information having nexus with the present matter he has informed the said facts to this Bench. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore requested to take note of the said fact. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere thereafter advanced his arguments.</p> <p>3. The Counsel for the parties have filed^{PTO} the written submissions also which are taken on record.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned C.P.O. has tendered the additional short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3 and along with the said Affidavit has submitted the chart of 125 candidates who according to the State are the appointees who had filed applications for the same post in more than one unit. The said Affidavit is also taken on record.

5. Learned Advocate Shri A.B. Moon has filed intervention application bearing M.A. No.12/2023 in O.A. No.144/2022. On the previous date of hearing, the Bench had directed to serve the persons who have got benefit of the orders passed by the Tribunal at the Principal Bench as well as at Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench. Learned C.P.O. submits that number of such persons is 125 and all of them are noticed that the earlier matters as well as the matters filed thereafter are being heard by the full Bench.

6. Learned Advocate Shri A.B. Moon submitted that he is appearing on behalf of four such appointees. In the circumstances we have permitted learned Advocate Shri A.B. Moon to make submissions on behalf of the said appointees. Insofar as intervention applications filed by learned Advocate Shri A.B. Moon is concerned, we are not inclined to allow the said application. Learned Advocate Shri A.B. Moon submitted that he was to make the same submission on behalf of the Applicants in the said intervention application as are made by him on behalf of the four appointees out of 125 and his purpose is therefore served. Intervention application in the circumstances stands disposed of.

7. These matters now stand reserved for orders.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.G. Giratkar, J.)
Vice Chairman (J)

Sd/-

(P.R. Bora, J.)
Vice Chairman (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A.No.956 of 2021</p> <p>V. A. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In R.A. Affidavit in Reply as well as Rejoinder is already filed and the matter is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>3. However, on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing of R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.733 of 2022</p> <p>R. H. AarsiddhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that Applicant has not filed birth certificate of his siblings to correlate his date of birth.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought one week time to file necessary documents along with affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.950 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. P. Sabban, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought three days time file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1065 of 2022</p> <p>N. R. DodakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to delete the name of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. Allowed to delete the name of Resp.No.2.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.806/2022, 473/2022,921/2021, 879/2022, 1323/2022 with 1324 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. Harale & OthersApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Pawar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 (O.A.921/22).</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that matter is being handled by his senior but he is unwell.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET (No. _____ Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">vsm</p> <p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.694 of 2022</p> <p>P. P. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="left">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1212 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S. F. Deshmukh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None is present for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1113 of 2022 with O.A.1167 of 2022</p> <p>B. T. Rathod P. J. Mahale ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.1056 of 2022</p> <p>T. S. MullaniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y. Gharat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.959 of 2022 with O.A.No.962 of 2022</p> <p>M. R. Jadhav L. G. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.640 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. RathoApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1263 of 2022</p> <p>N. C. DhageApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.428 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that matter is being handled by his senior but he is unwell.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1278 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. SalviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. Lavte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police on 31.05.2021. He has challenged the show cause notice dated 20.09.2022 as well as order dated 31.12.2021 whereby the pay is revised and recovery is sought. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim relief in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i> 4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2023. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 10. S.O. to 15.02.2023. 11. In the meantime, there shall be no recovery in pursuance of impugned order till filing of reply. Hamdast granted. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.49 of 2023</p> <p>B. S. LambhateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 30.12.2021 whereby his request to condone the break of service of 8 years, 3 months and 23 days and to treat it as a continuous service has been rejected.</p> <p>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 15.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.67 of 2023</p> <p>S. B JavirApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 21.09.2022 whereby he is suspended in view of registration of crime under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as well as in contemplation of D.E. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 15.02.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>