

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Ravi S. Wankhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and  
Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383 OF 2018**  
**(Meraj Begum Syeed Abdul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2018  
(Shri Dattu B. Kolpe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440 OF 2018  
(Shri Shivaji D. Sirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant,  
Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Chandrakant S. Jagtap,  
learned Counsel for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-01-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 476 OF 2018  
(Aasha S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and  
Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 598 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Ganpat G. Sansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 11, 30 AND 81 ALL OF 2019  
(Shri Bapurao A. Dongare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicants in all these three matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these three matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 23-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 293 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Babasaheb S. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 26 OF 2022  
(Dr. Eknath D. Male Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The present matter was on yesterday's board i.e. 17.1.2022. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on today's board for speaking to minutes of the order passed by the Tribunal on 17.1.2022.

3. Since the learned Member (Judicial) Shri V.D. Dongre was on leave, the present matter was placed before me for consideration on 17.1.2022. After hearing the submissions of the learned Counsel for the applicant, this Tribunal has expressed its, prima-facie, opinion that there is no case for interim relief. Thereafter, it was requested by the learned Counsel for the applicant to issue notices to the respondents and interim relief can be considered after filing affidavit in reply by the respondents. However, inadvertently in the order dated 17.1.2022 in clause no. 7, the prayer for interim relief is stated to have been rejected. Therefore, the said portion needs to be deleted from the order dated 17.1.2022.

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 26 OF 2022**

4. In the circumstances, clause 7 of the order dated 17.1.2022 is hereby deleted and it is clarified that after filing of affidavit in reply by the respondents, the prayer of the applicant for interim relief will be considered.

5. The Registrar is directed to issue corrected order to the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2019  
(Shri Sunil S. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.V. Kurundkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 2, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2020**  
**(Shri Bhojane S. Prabhakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.1.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 143 OF 2021  
(Shri Rahul D. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 25.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2021  
(Shri Subhash J. Khote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar the rejoinder affidavit. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Sopan E. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177 OF 2019**

**(Shri Vinodkumar V. Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408 OF 2021**  
**(Smt. Urmila M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2021**  
**(Smt. Sunayana S. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.A. Nimbalkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.2.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 66/2019 IN O.A. 326/2012 WITH  
M.A. ST. 792/2017 IN O.A. 555/2015 (S.J. Matter)  
(Shri Madhav C. Padvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. R.G. Lagad, learned Counsel holding for Shri F.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri K.B. Choudhari, learned Special Counsel for respondent, Shri Umesh B. Shriram, learned Counsel for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 194/2021 IN O.A. 497/2021  
(Shri Ravindra N. Turukmane Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Hemant Surve, learned Counsel for the applicant  
(**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1069 OF 2019  
(Shri Prabhakar M. Kathar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.2.2022. The learned P.O. to produce on record the concerned original record for perusal of the Tribunal.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2021**  
**(Shri Krushna G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.1.2022 for hearing. The matter be treated as **Part Heard**.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A. 1/2018 (W.P. 15249/2017)**  
**(Smt. Chanda R. Hingole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Today, the present matter was heard at length. During the course of arguments we came to be informed that out of 28 posts, which were advertised by the subject advertisement, still few posts are lying unfilled. The learned Counsel for the applicant, on instructions, has submitted that out of total posts reserved for Open Female category, 02 posts are still unfilled from the said category. The learned Counsel for the applicant further pointed out that even if cut-off marks prescribed for Female candidates are concerned, the applicant is satisfying the said criteria. It is one of the contentions of the applicant that there are at least 03 Open Female candidates who have secured less marks than the present applicant.

3. In the circumstances, without giving any opinion about the merit of the present T.A., we direct the learned Presenting Officer to take instructions as about vacant

::-2-:: **T.A. 1/2018 (W.P. 15249/2017)**

posts, if any, as has been submitted by the learned Counsel for the applicant with necessary breakup thereof.

4. S.O. to 27.1.2022. The matter be treated as **Part Heard.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255 OF 2021**

**(Smt. Ashvini M. Dudhbhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.1.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 338 OF 2021**  
**(Shri Madhukar L. Dodake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned CPO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date :18.01.2022**

**M.A.NO.35 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.105 OF 2022  
(Om Datta Shinde & Anr. V/s The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A.No.35/2022, returnable on 11.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**C.P.NO. 34/2019 IN O.A.NO. 925/2017**  
**(Mahadeo B. Khandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today when the present contempt petition was taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioner in support of her contention that the respondents have committed the contempt of court relied upon the judgment of the Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 6650/2020 (ASHFAKULI KHAN ABDULALI KHAN VS. THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS) decided on 25<sup>th</sup> October, 2021, the judgment in the case of RAJEEV SHARMA VS. STATE OF UP (Civil Misc. Writ Petition No. 66930 of 2013) decided on 8.8.2014 decided by the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and the judgment delivered by the Principal Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 918 & 968 both of 2021 on 23.12.2021. She also invited our attention to the definition of judicial proceeding as defined in Section 2 (i) of the Cr. P.C. She pointed out that at the Principal Bench of this Tribunal the reference is made to the said aspect also in paragraph No. 11 of the judgment. In view of

**:: - 2 - :: C.P.NO. 34/2019 IN  
O.A.NO. 925/2017**

the submission made, it *prima facie* appears that there is no reason for the respondents to withhold the pensionary benefits after the petitioner has been acquitted of the charges leveled against him in the criminal case.

3. In view of law laid down in the aforesaid judgment we hope and trust that the authorities will reconsider the decision not to release the payment or else will make submissions in support of their contention. We clarify that if no different position is brought to our notice and in spite of that the respondents fail to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal we may be constrained to hold the action of the respondents to be willful disobedience of the order passed by this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2017  
(Rajendra Gopalrao Mane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, it is noticed that the amendment is not carried out. Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel submitted that Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned counsel who is appearing for the applicant in the matter, on instructions, has assigned the said matter to him for conducting it further. He submits that as his signature is not appearing on the VAKALATNAMA, the office is not allowing him to carry out the amendment. Believing the statement made by Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel we allow him to carry out the amendment in the matter, which he may carry out within a period of one week.

3. S.O. to 2.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 67/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 90/2020  
(Bismillabee J. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application is filed by the applicant seeking condonation of delay, which has occurred in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. In the accompanying Original Application the grievance is made about the recovery of excess amount made by the respondents. We are satisfied with the reasons stated in the application. The present M.A. stands allowed and disposed of. The delay caused in filing accompanying Original Application stands condoned. The accompanying Original Application be registered in accordance with law.

4. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 90 OF 2020  
(Bismillabee J. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 28.2.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and



produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1138 OF 2020  
(Vishnu S. Sanap Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ameya N. Sabnis, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In the present matter the following order was passed by this Tribunal on 3.1.2022: -

“2. Today, when the present matter is taken up for consideration no one has caused appearance for the applicant. Record shows continuous absence of the applicant and his counsel on previous dates. There is reason to believe that the applicant has lost interest in prosecuting the present matter. However, in the interest of justice, we deem it appropriate to give one last chance for the applicant to make submissions on the point of office objections raised. If on the given date, the matter is not proceeded further the same shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.”

3. Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration no one has caused appearance for the applicant. In view of the order passed on 3.1.2022, the present O.A. stands

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A ST. NO. 1138 OF 2020**

dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 935 TO 937 ALL OF 2019  
(Toliram P. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2019  
(Laxman V. Bharde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. When the present matter was taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice the Government Resolution dated 10.12.2021 (Annexure 'R-1), whereby the Government has withdrawn the charges leveled against the applicant.

3. In view of the aforesaid Government Resolution, the prayers A, B & C made in the application do not survive. In view of the fact that the Government has withdrawn the charges leveled against the applicant, we hope and trust that necessary steps for releasing the retiral benefits of the applicant will be taken by the Government in accordance with law within a stipulated time.

4. Accordingly, the present O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 865 OF 2019  
(Dr. Sanjay D. Puranik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application. Permission granted. The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1058 OF 2019  
(Vishal A. Avhad & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhijit P. Avhad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicants seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application. Permission granted. The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2019  
(Bhagwat M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A.NOS. 649 & 650 BOTH OF 2019  
(Mahendra D. Koli & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has filed rejoinder affidavit in both these cases and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 22.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NOS. 966 TO 972 & 974 TO 979 ALL OF 2019 & 537 OF 2020  
(Prakash V. Deshpande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sham Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. No. 975/2019 & O.A. No. 537/2020 respectively, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent authorities in all these cases. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 292 OF 2020  
(Bhatu J. Borse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicants.

3. S.O. to 24.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2020  
(Ajay Ramesh More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419 OF 2020  
(Ashish D. Dhakane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 24.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 2021  
(Mukinda T. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 has already been filed on record.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant sought time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 24.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259 OF 2021  
(Megharani P. Tarkase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.2.2022. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2021  
(Kishor Uttam Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Suita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2021  
(Sunil Sitaram Mate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod S. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 751 OF 2021  
(Santosh Nivrutti Harne Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 18.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Maya R. Jamdhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service of respondent No. 1.
3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768 OF 2021  
(Arvind R. Bhingardive & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 786 OF 2021  
(Yogesh A. Misal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Manoj A. Dond, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 783 OF 2021  
(Gulab S. Jondhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2021  
(Raosaheb B. Gunjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Sham Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2021  
(Sunil D. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Sham Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 808 OF 2021  
(Rohini N. Pashte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul B. Temak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 21.1.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 828 OF 2021  
(Sambhaji D. Karle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 561/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2250/2019  
(Ratish R. Manurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant sought time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022-HDD

**M.A. 31/20 WITH M.A.15/20 IN T.A.10/18 (W.P.1439/18)  
(Bhagwat M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 247/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 881/2021  
(Ashwini S. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.S. Janephalkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 16.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 385/2021 IN O.A.NO. 555/2021  
(Mahendra D. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned counsel holding for Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 418/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1247/2021  
(Geeta P. Vaikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 18.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Wakale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 18.01.2022-HDD