

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.334 of 2020 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>D.Y. Dange .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to argue the matter physically and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.99 of 2022 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>K.D. Wadekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to argue the matter physically and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.136 of 2021 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>S.B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to argue the matter physically and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1227 of 2019 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>H.S. Khude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to argue the matter physically and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.479 of 2020 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p><b>K.P. Salunkhe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to argue the matter physically and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.6 of 2022 in O.A. No.13 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.B. Haral &amp; 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.L. Dudhe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 401/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.P Paralkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day. Copy of the Memo be sent by email to the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- 2</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 268/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.B Thakur ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R JKagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 1.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 364/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.D Kkandekar ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Time is granted to the learned counsel for the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.2.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓  Member (A)  Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 01 &amp; 02/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.N Mane Shri S.S Kedar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.,P.O submits that the copy of the Original Application is not served on the Respondents. Copy of the Original Application to be served within a weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 733/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.R Rathod &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kulkarni holding for Shri S.A Valimbe, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 6 and Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for Respondents No. 8 &amp; 9.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the Respondents have filed affidavit in reply and the issue involved in this matter is being argued before the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench as the matter is remanded back by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 318/2020 in O.A 1115 /2019</u></b></p> <p>The Senior Audit Officer, Indian Audit and Accounts Dept. ... Applicant (Ori. Res. No.4)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Smt Satyabham B. More ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant (Ori Respondent No. 4) and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the original Applicant.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed by Respondent No. 4, Union of India to delete the name from the array of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.2.2022. . . . .</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.15 of 2021 in O.A. No.83 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.C. Lilani &amp; 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that on 25.11.2021 Public Health Department has communicated to the Director and Commissioner of Health Services.</p> <p>3. This information given by the Ld. PO was repeatedly given since 29.11.2021 thereafter it was repeated on 6.12.2021 and today also same information is given. Therefore, we want to know the name of the officer concerned who has not taken decision as per policy decision of the Government.</p> <p>4. Dr. Archana Patil, Director of Health Services, Pune has not taken the decision till date. Dr. Archana Patil is directed to file affidavit as to why she has not taken decision.</p> <p>5. Shri Pramod A. Salvi, Legal Consultant, Public Health Department, who is present in the Court, informs that he himself has spoken with Shri Sandeep Khedekar, Office Superintendent, Office of Director of Health Services, Pune and communicated him the order of this Tribunal and the policy decision taken by the Government.</p> <p>6. On this background it is necessary that Dr. Archana Patil should file affidavit why decision of the Government is not implemented till today. We direct her to ask Shri Sandeep Khedekar whether he has communicated the decision to her or not.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 24.1.2022. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.1.2022 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.39 of 2019 in O.A. No.216 of 2016</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>A.P. Charate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Sñri Krishna Agrawal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in Criminal Writ Petition No.7879 of 2019 filed by the State of Maharashtra, the Counsel for the applicant has made statement before the Hon'ble High Court that present applicant would not press the contempt application.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.1.2022 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1064 of 2021</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>G.M. Chaudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that no enquiry was initiated against the applicant when he was transferred to Marketing Department. The issue of enquiry was kept in sealed envelope and his case was not considered for promotion and therefore he submits that he is to be given hearing on this point and sealed envelope is to be opened.</p> <p>3. On the other hand Ld. PO on instructions submits that FIR was lodged against the applicant and he was in police custody. However, Ld. PO wants time to find out the details of prosecution and present position.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court- fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.1.2022 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.38 of 2018 in O.A. No.48 of 2017</u> (Through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 18.1.2022 of Sachin Dattatray Sahasrabuddhe, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya and submits that Government has taken decision not to approve payment of arrears to the applicant and they have considered the decision in OA No.1010/2016 (Smt. Manda V. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra dated 6.4.2017) and another decision in W.P. No.539 of 2016 (Mr. Rajesh D. Waghmode Vs. The Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra &amp; Anr. dated 2.9.2016).</p> <p>3. We put question to the Ld. PO thrice, what is the date of this decision.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that conscious decision was taken by the respondents on 21.3.2018 and communicated to the applicant on the same day.</p> <p>5. Respondents to email affidavit in reply to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 31.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 18.1.2022 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 602/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.R Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel prays for time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 25/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Venkat Mekale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><b><u>Later on:</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Amendment application is moved by the learned counsel for the applicant, seeking to amend the prayer in the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that she has no objection as the applicant wants to amend the prayer.</p> <p>4. Amendment application allowed. Amendment to be carried out by Thursday, i.e. 20.1.2022 and copy of the amended Original Application to be served in the office of the learned C.P.O and all the Respondents within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.25 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.G. Mekale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will move application for amendment.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Chairperson</span> 18.1.2022 <span style="float:right">18.1.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.13 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.B. Haral &amp; 2 Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span>  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri G.L. Dudhe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. <u>The respondents to consider the case of the applicants in the light of judgment and order dated 29.10.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.994/2019 (Sandip A. Narawade Vs. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.).</u></p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span>  18.1.2022 <span style="float: right;">18.1.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.100 of 2020 in O.A.No.140 of 2020</b></p> <p>V.G. Rokde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.100/2020 is filed for condonation of delay of 3 years and 2 months.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that he needs time to file reply in M.A.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the delay of 3 years and 2 months be condoned considering Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985. He further submits that he has given the application to the Government in the matter on 12.03.2019 and was waiting for the decision in the matter. Hence the delay occurred.</p> <p>5. At the request of learned P.O. four weeks time granted to file reply.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member(A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.128 of 2021 in O.A.No.212 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.G. Davari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Vishant Rathod, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.V. Thorate, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay in filed O.A.</p> <p>3. As the applicant died his legal heirs be bought on record.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 14.02.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.228 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.V. Destewad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter it is found that there is a grave disobedience of the order of the Hon'ble High Court and this Tribunal. We call upon the Collector, Mr. Rajendra Deshmukh, to give his explanation why the order of the Tribunal which is based on the order of the Hon'ble High Court and which is referred earlier in other orders was not complied with.</p> <p>3. We direct RDC, Mr. Kharade to file clarification in writing on 20.01.2022 as to why notice on contempt is not to be issued against him.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.01.2022. Hamdast.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.299 of 2021 in O.A.No.370 of 2021</b></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. H.S. Chitaley, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that today she has filed reply and also served the copy to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Chitaley who is on-line submits that he has sent the rejoinder by post. It is not yet received. He is requested to send the rejoinder through email on the email id of this office and also to the office of C.P.O..</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date. Adjourned to 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member(A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.95 of 2020</b></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant appeared in Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicles Main Examination 2017 for the post of Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicles through M.P.S.C. His sports validity certificate was issued by the Sport authority beyond cut-off date which was 15.07.2017. Sport certificate was issued on 23.08.2017. The learned Advocate submits that the Applicant is eligible to compete for the open category as well as open sports category.</p> <p>3. We direct Respondent to keep one post vacant till next date. Matter adjourned to 24.01.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1073/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.G Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the department is in the process of moving the file to the Law &amp; Judiciary Department for seeking opinion as to whether the Respondents can file appeal in the matter.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 869/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.A Vidyagar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.G Kudle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>18.01.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 645/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.B Jaybhay ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.571 of 2021 in O.A.No.993 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.R. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate with Mr. S.A. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter a committee was constituted under Sexual Harassment of Women at workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred as 'said Act' for brevity). Under the said Act the committee submitted the report on 07.10.2019 which was against the Applicant and it recommended action against the Applicant.</p> <p>3. It is argued by the learned Advocate Mr. Kolge that the Respondent-Government has initiated the enquiry on 25.08.2021 i.e. nearly after 1 years and 10 months after this report. This is gross violation of the said Act under Section 13(4) which reads as under :</p> <p align="center"><i>"(4) The employer of the District Officer shall act upon the recommendation within sixty days of its receipt by him."</i></p> <p>4. The learned Advocate prays on this ground the enquiry initiated be stayed as he has challenged the enquiry on this ground and other grounds of natural justice.</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. submits that the State has filed affidavit-in-reply dated 04.01.2022 in the O.A. on behalf of Respondent No.2, Mr. Kisan D. Lohakare, Deputy Assistant (D.E.) to Director General of Police, Mumbai. She relied on paragraph 11 of the said affidavit wherein it is mentioned that there is delay because of some official reasons and</p>



P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>therefore D.E. could not be initiated between the period from 15.11.2019 to 15.03.2020 and thereafter from March, 2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown, D.E. was initiated after 1 year and 10 months. On query she further submits that no next date is given for enquiry.</p> <p>6. We want the State to :</p> <p>(a) Explain the delay by day to day and the movements of file till 18.03.2022 in view of the time limit mentioned in Section 13 (4) of the said Act.</p> <p>(b) Give reasons why the order of transfer was passed on the 60<sup>th</sup> day of the report and why not earlier it was passed.</p> <p>7. We call upon learned P.O. to produce the case laws on the point of limitation of 60 days as per Section 13(4) of the said Act as to how the Government can take action in piecemeal.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 25.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.Nos.918& 299/2019**

**Dr. (Mrs.) G. Sukumaran** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. While hearing some other O.A. (O.A.No.738/2018) having noticed by the Tribunal that in Board Section, some matters are lying unattended, directions were issued to the Registrar to find out such matters, as seen from order dated 04.01.2022 passed in O.A.No.738/2018.

2. In view of above, the Office has submitted report stating that these 2 O.As i.e. O.A.Nos.918 & 299/2019 are lying unattended in the Office.

3. The perusal of record of these O.As reveals that these 2 matters are ripe for final hearing.

4. Since Applicants and their Counsels have no knowledge of the listing of the matters, it is necessary to notify these 2 matters on Board on 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2022. These 2 matters be shown in Cause List "Final Hearing".

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
18.01.2022

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.No.1112/2019**

**Shri K.B. Solanki & Ors. ... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Additional Reply of Respondent Nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record along with Annexures.

3. Adjourned for hearing on 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

18.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.No.206/2019**

**Shri S.C. Shinde** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. seeks permission to file Additional Affidavit of Respondent No.1. It is allowed to file.

3. Adjourned for hearing on 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
18.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.765 of 2021 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p>R.F. Khairnar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Applicant is also present in person.</li> <li>3. Today Applicant has tendered Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, it is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 17.02.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.574 of 2020 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Kale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed his Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.474 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V.B. Kamble</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has completed his submission, however on request of learned P.O. adjourned to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.329 of 2020 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p>M.H. Mhaldar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for fling M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vvv <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.959 of 2021 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p>V.N. Vadake .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1057 of 2021 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p><b>R.A. Bhaijan</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is seeking Deemed Date of Promotion from 2013 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that in 2013 promotion to the post of Circle Officer was denied on the ground of pendency of D.E.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that in D.E. minor punishment was imposed upon the Applicant, and therefore the denial of promotion in 2013 was illegal.</p> <p>4. Thus <i>prima-facie</i>, admittedly the Applicant was subjected to punishment in D.E., and therefore question of grant of Deemed Date of Promotion with retrospective effect does not survive and O.A. appears not maintainable.</p> <p>5. When specific query is raised to the learned Advocate for the Applicant about maintainability of the O.A. she sought two weeks time to remain present for physical hearing and to satisfy how O.A. is maintainable.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date: 18.01.2022**

**O.A. No.978 of 2021**

**R.R. Jirepatil** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Shri Santosh P. Khorgade, Deputy Secretary in the Cooperation Marketing and Textile Department, Mumbai, in terms of order passed by this Tribunal dated 07.12.2021. Learned P.O. has also filed order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Government granting exemption to the Applicant on the date of attaining age of 50 years from passing requisite examination.
3. In view of above, Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of since representation made by the Applicant is now decided by order dated 10.01.2022 and grievances is redressed. However he requested for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits in accordance to law in stipulated period. Submission is quite fair and deserved to be accepted.
4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits of the Applicant in accordance to law within period of 6 weeks from today.
5. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.475 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.T. Lawate</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.957 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V.G. Mekale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.937 of 2021</p> <p>B.S. Salunkhe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply with specific direction that no further time will be granted since issue involved in the matter is very short.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.935 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.A. Lokhande</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Ransubhe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that Respondent No.1 does not wish to file Reply since appointing authority is Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.29 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Shirao</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 17.12.2021 whereby the Applicant is shown transferred from Navi Mumbai to Nagpur on request. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks interim relief by making specific statement that the Applicant never made any request for transfer and has further pointed out that he has not completed normal tenure of 8 years in Navi Mumbai Commissionerate.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time to take instruction and to apprise the Tribunal, and requested to keep the matter in afternoon session.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and to apprise the Tribunal as to why the Applicant is shown transfer on requested when no such request is made by him.</p> <p>5. Matter be kept at 02.03 pm.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: center;">L.O. →</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O. [P.T.O.]</p>

**Tribunal's orders**

**LATER ON**

6. In the afternoon session learned P.O. tendered file and stated that on the request of wife of the Applicant he is transferred by the decision of P.E.B.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that there is matrimonial dispute between husband and wife and request made by wife cannot be ground much less legal to transfer the Applicant.

8. Thus *prima-facie*, there is no request made by the Applicant but he is shown transferred on the request of his wife which is not permissible. He has not completed normal tenure as guaranteed under the provision of Maharashtra Police Act, therefore decision of P.E.B. which is based upon request made by wife is *prima-facie* not legal.

10. In view of above, interim relief in terms of prayer Clause 10(a) is granted.

11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.02.2022.

12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

17. S.O. to 14.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.559 of 2021 in O.A. No.986 of 2021</p> <p>U.V. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p><u>L.G.</u></p>



Order No. 1175 of 2022 in M.A. of Criminal  
Appellate Jurisdiction. Tribunal's orders on  
petition and the respondent's replies.

**Tribunal's orders**

**L.O.:**

1. In Afternoon session, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.3 in M.A. It is taken on record.

2. Adjourned for hearing of M.A. on 27.01.2022.

Sd/-

<sup>ju</sup>  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
18.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.840 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S.G. Kadam</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is filing Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.02.2022 along with connected O.A. No.773/2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  v  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> <p><u>Lo</u></p> <p align="right">→</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Other Name Office Memoranda of Court,  
Appellate Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**L.O.:**

1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that under misconception he made statement that he is filing Rejoinder during the course of the day, since it was pertaining to some other matter. He, therefore, requested to recall Para No.3 of the order.
2. In view of above, Para No.3 of above order is recalled.
3. O.A. be kept for final hearing with O.A.No.773/2021 on 01.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
18.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.23 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shocking to note that though the Applicant stands retired on 31.03.2019, his retirement benefits are not released even if there is no legal hurdle to release the same. Despite representation made by the Applicant, Respondents No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Mumbai did not paid any heed. Ultimately, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. for direction to release retiral benefits which were required to be released immediately after retirement.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction on Phone and inform to Respondent No.2 to depute some responsible Officer to remain present in the Tribunal at 02.30 p.m. to explain why retiral benefits are not paid for such a long period of three <del>months</del> <sup>years</sup> months. ✓</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p><u>L.G.</u></p> <p align="right">→</p>

Chief Justice Member of Court,  
Appellate Tribunal's orders or  
Departmental Tribunal's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**L.O.:**

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. again requested for short time to take instructions from the Department.

2. Adjourned to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2022 with direction to Respondent No.2 to depute responsible Officer to present in the Tribunal next date to explain why retiral benefits have not been paid for 3 years, so that matter can be disposed of by suitable direction.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
18.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.20 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>J.R. Chhapriya</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 30.07.2021 whereby the Applicant was suspended for registration of crime under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client is subjected to prolong suspension without taking review of the Suspension.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.02.2022.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

1. The Tribunal's orders shall be served on the parties by hand delivery or speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**Tribunal's orders**

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 14.02.2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1069 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.12 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.N. Pagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.24 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Aitawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. <u>The Respondents to examine case of the applicant and if required he can be called for further clarification.</u></p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.11 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.G. Tasgaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to take instructions from respondents as to how much time they require to hear the revision.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.1.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">18.1.2022</td> <td align="center">18.1.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	18.1.2022	18.1.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
18.1.2022	18.1.2022								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.5 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.Y. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.610 of 2021 in O.A. No.1068 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Umbrajkar &amp; 29 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1068 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Umbrajkar &amp; 29 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. CPO wants to take instructions in view of order dated 1.12.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissing <u>Civil Appeal No.7324/2021 (Arising out of SLP (C) No.9426/2021) filed by the State of Maharashtra against Yamuna L. Bhosale.</u></p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.774 of 2014 with O.A. No.621 of 2015</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>Shri Subhash B. Koravi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has emailed Praecipe dated 17.1.2022 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 4.1.2022 passed in the above matter.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there are some typographical errors in the judgment dated 4.1.2022 and same requires to be corrected.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in 2<sup>nd</sup> line of para 18 page 14 the date of GR is mentioned as '21.9.2009' and it should corrected as '21.4.2009'.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant further states that in 7<sup>th</sup> line of para 21 page 15 the word, 'unlimited' should be corrected as 'limited'.</p> <p>7. Ld. CPO has no objection.</p> <p>8. Corrections be carried out. Praecipe disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 18.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 18.1.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1067 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.S. Lande &amp; 12 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 18.1.2022 / 18.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.41 of 2021 with M.A. No.196 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>E.B. Sayyed .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to amended portion of O.A on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. and O.A. be kept for hearing together.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            vv  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.600 of 2021 with M.A. No.593 of 2021 in O.A. No.432 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus C.S. Gaikwad (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Original Application one week time is granted for filing Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.559 of 2021 in O.A. No.986 of 2021</p> <p>U.V. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.461 of 2021 in O.A. No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K.D. Likhar since deceased through her heir &amp; L.R. Mr. D.D. Likher .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.648 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. Thakur .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 17.02.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.927 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Khairnar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.908 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Hembade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 18.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.11 of 2022 in O.A. No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Pawar &amp; Ors. .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup> Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.26 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A.K. Pawar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.01.2022.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Issue of interim relief is kept open.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

9. Learned P.O. is also directed to take instructions as to why request made by the Applicant for transfer, though they are due for transfer is not considered and to apprise the Tribunal on next date.

10. S.O. to 31.01.2022.

Sd/-

√√√

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 18.01.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.30 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>D.V. Wadhel</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged notice dated 07.01.2022 issued by Respondent No.1 - Commissioner of Police, Mumbai thereby directing the Applicant to vacate the quarter and also to pay penal charges.</p> <p>3. The Applicant's mother was working as sweeper on the establishment of Respondent No.1 and died in harness on 16.09.2021. After her death the Applicant was appointed on compassionate ground as sweeper by order dated 03.01.2022. While the Applicant's mother was in service, quarter was allotted to her which is now occupied by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. By G.R. dated 20.04.2002, Government has taken policy decision to transfer service quarter in the name of heir of deceased Government servant and on that basis the Applicant has made Application dated 11.01.2022 for transfer of quarter in his name. However instead of taking necessary steps, impugned notice for eviction is issued.</p> <p>5. <i>Prima-facie</i>, the Applicant seems to be entitled for transfer of quarter in his name in terms of G.R. dated 20.04.2002. Respondent therefore should not take any coercive steps for eviction till the decision of O.A.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directives and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.02.2022.
7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
12. S.O. to 14.02.2022.

Sd/-

WV ✓

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

NMN