

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 18.01.2016.

O.A.No.988 of 2015

1. Heard Shri S.N. Pillai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad states on instructions received from Shri R.T. Jadhav, Under Secretary, Industry, Energy and Labour Department and Shri E.K. Gatkal, Registrar, Maharashtra Institute of Labour Studies (Lab Department) as follows:-

Applicant's case is in the process of consideration, and minimum two months time will be required.

3. For the present two weeks time is granted.

4. Steps completed till then be reported.

5. S.O. to 4.2.2016.


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba

18/1/16

Coram - Hon'ble Shri
A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri S.N. Pillai AN for
He Applicant.

Miss. N.G. Gohad holding
for Ms. Gaikwad PO
for the Respondents

Heard
order passed in
Tribunal Column.

S.D to 4/2/16.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.42 of 2016

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15.2.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Ld. PO waives service of notice.
8. S.O. to 15.2.2016.

DATE: 18/1/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise.

CAO/P.O. for the Respondents

order passed in the
Tribunal's collection.

S.O. to 15/2/16.

[Signature]

[Signature]
18.1.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.1.2016

(sgj)

[Signature]
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
18.1.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

RA No.30/15 in OA No.997/14

Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Dalvi, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is seeking very limited relief that the provisional seniority list as on 1.1.2010 prepared on 1.10.2010 may be finalised within given frame of time so that the objections of the applicant can be considered while finalising the seniority list. The fact that the seniority list as on 1.1.2010 was prepared provisionally on 1.10.2010 is mentioned in para 2 of the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent no.2 in the OA on 23.2.2015. The respondents in any case have to finalise the provisional seniority list. It is high time that after a period of more than five years the seniority list is yet to be finalised. The respondents are, therefore, directed to finalise the provisional seniority list as on 1.1.2010 published on 1.10.2010 within a period three months from today. This RA is disposed off accordingly with no order as to costs.

DATE: 18/1/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri N.P. Dalvi

Advocate for the Applicant: K.B. Bhise
holding for A.J. Chougule

Shri A.J. Chougule
for the Respondents

order passed in the Registrar's column.

R.A. is disposed of.

(Handwritten signature)
18.1.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.1.2016

(Handwritten signature)
Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
18.1.2016

(Handwritten signature)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/1/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>Govind Solanki</u> <u>holding for P.V. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> CPO/P.O. for the Respondents <u>order passed in the</u> <u>Tribunal's Coram.</u> <u>M.A. is Allowed.</u> <u>O.A. Adj. to 2/2/16.</u></p>	<p><u>MA No.617/15 in OA No.446/15</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Govind Solanki, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks expediting the hearing of this OA because according to him he is waiting for appointment. The facts germane to the OA are not necessary to be set out herein. Although the learned PO wants time to file affidavit in reply we are not so disposed as to prolong this MA and in our view case is made out for giving some priority to this OA because even otherwise the OA filed in 2015 have now become ripe for effective hearing. This being state of affairs, the MA is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>3. The hearing of OA No.446 of 2015 is expedited and the same be listed for hearing on 2.2.2016.</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 18-1-16 Member (J) 18.1.2016</p> <p>Rajiv Agarwal (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.1.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.595 of 2015
---	--

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant opened his argument and has almost concluded the same. There is something to be said about the merit of the case of the applicant at this stage itself. However, in order to make sure that technically also proper procedure is adopted we are of the view that a fixed time limit should be given to the respondents to decide the pending representations dated 4.4.2015, 26.5.2015, 28.5.2015 and 3.6.2015 and communicate the same again within time limit to the applicant. In order to make sure that in the ultimate analysis justice is done to both the sides, we shall keep this OA pending.

3. We, therefore, direct the respondents to decide the representations detailed above within a period of four weeks from today and communicate the outcome thereof to the applicant within one week thereafter. The parties shall act on a steno copy of this order. The Ld. PO is also requested to communicate this order to the concerned department. OA stands adjourned to 22.2.2016. Part heard.

DATE: 18/1/16

GRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. D. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Order passed in the
Respondent's favour.

Adj. To: 22/2/16.

Part heard.

PH
[Signature]

(sgj)

[Signature]
(R.B. Malik) 18.1.16

Member (J)
18.1.2016

[Signature]
(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman
18.1.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.643/2015

Heard Shri S.A. Ghaisas, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Cholugule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has already been filed. As far as Respondent No.4 is concerned, the postal receipts shows that the notice was put in transition on 19.8.2015 although the acknowledgement has not been received and the response to the learned Advocate's correspondence of 14.12.2015 has also not been received. But then, relying on the principles analogous, Order 5 Rule 19A of the Code of Civil Procedure though not in terms applicable, it is held that the service is legal. No Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.4. The OA proceeds without the Affidavit of the Respondent No.4. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

DATE: 18/1/16 DLB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri S.A. Ghaisas

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Cholugule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.

Adj. To Liberty to mention granted.

BTK

R.B. Malik
18.01.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.01.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.972/2015

Applicant absent (in person).
Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The OA is adjourned in the interest of
justice to 15th February, 2016.


18.1.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.01.2016

(skw)

DATE: 18/1/16 013

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/She: None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/She: A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 15/2/16



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.368/2015

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chologule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is taken on record. Shri Kolge, the learned Advocate submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

R.B. Malik

 (R.B. Malik) 18.1.16
 Member (J)
 18.01.2016

DATE: 18/1/16 DLB

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A.J.

APPEARANCE:
Shri R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri A.J. Chologule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To Admit Liberty to mention granted

(skw)

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.716/2015

S.
Heard Smt. ~~L.~~ Deshmukh-Ghate, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks adjournment for reply. The order dated 4.11.2015 made by this Tribunal (Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P. Davare, Vice-Chairman) is governing all concerned. The Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-reply positively without fail on 1st February, 2016. Irrespective of whether the replies are filed or not, the OA shall stand adjourned to 9th February, 2016 along with other similar matters pending in Bench II.

S.O. to 1st February, 2016. Hamdast.


18.1.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.01.2016

(skw)

DATE: 18/1/16 2/13

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri ~~M. Rameshkumar~~ R.B. Malik (Member) A J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri~~ S. Desm Deshmukh-Ghate

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 1/2/16. Hamdast



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.288/2015

Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Cholugule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Admit. Liberty to mention granted.


18.01.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
18.01.2016

DATE: 18/1/16 R/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A. J.

(skw)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Prashant Suryawanshi
holding for G.M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.J. Cholugule
C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.
Adj. To Liberty to mention
granted.





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders OAs No.355, 378, 369, 406, 430, 445, 473, 488, 496, 553, 619, 715, 763/2015 with MA.500/15 in OA.763/15
<p>DATE: 18/1/16</p> <p>OKAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri Smt. D.B. Khaire, M.R. Patil, A.V. Bandiwadkar, Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocates for the Applicant Shri Smt. M. K. Rajpurohit C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>order passed in the Tribunal's collection (sgj)</p> <p>9/2/16 Reply filed by R.No. 123. in OA 763/15</p> <p>FH/HOB</p>	<p>Heard S/Shri D.B. Khaire, M.R. Patil, A.V. Bandiwadkar, Smt. Punam Mahajan with Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Ld. CPO seeks adjournment on the ground that the Ld. Advocate General is unable to appear before this Bench today on account of official engagement elsewhere. The request for adjournment is strongly opposed by the learned advocates for the applicants.</p> <p>3. This group of matters has become ripe for hearing and the applicants are very keen to get these matters decided inter alia because their actual assumption of promotional post is held up. Considering all aspects of the matter and the interest of justice we adjourn this group to the next date on which date either the learned Advocate General or a special counsel as spoken of by the Ld. CPO should remain present ready to argue the matters or the Ld. CPO himself may make submissions. With these clear observations this group is adjourned for hearing to 9.2.2016. High on board.</p> <p align="center">  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 18.1.2016 </p> <p align="center">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 18.1.2016 </p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/224 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

18 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1198 OF 2013.

- 1 Mr. Santoshsing K. Rajput,
Add. A/P. Jaikwadi (South), Tal. Paithan, Dist. Aurangabad.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | | | |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | The M.P.S.C., Through its
Chairman, 3 rd Floor, Bank of India
Bldg., M.G. Road, Hutatma Chowk,
Mumbai-01. | 2 | State of Maharashtra, Through its
Secretary, Medical Education &
Drugs Department, Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32. |
| 3 | The Commissioner, Food & Drugs
Admin. S. No. 341, B.K.C., Bandra
(E), Mumbai-51. | 4 | Shri Someshwar D. Navhat, 7A,
Bhaktivinod Apt., Wirdavan Colony,
General Vaidya Nagar, Poornimd
Stop, Nashik-422011. |
| 5 | Shri Suhas S. Lavhekar, Bldg.
No.14, Flat No.303, Vijay Park,
Kasarvadavali, Ghod Bandar Road,
Thane-400 615. | 6 | Shri Vijay V. Chandankar, Bageshri,
M8-4, V.H. Society, Yavatmal. |
| 7 | Shri Milind K. Kaleshwar, Near
Three Temple, A/P. Umarkhed, Dist.
Yavatmal. | 8 | Shri Mahesh A. Gadekar,
A/p. Ozewadi, Tal. Pandharpur,
Dist. Solapur. |
| 9 | Shri Pankaj K. Chataki, C/o.
Khushabrao More, Near Sukh Sagar
Apt., Chauhan Colony, Sarkar
Nagar, Chadrapur-442401. | 10 | Smt. Parvati T. Shinde, 3,
Arunoday Niwas, Bhatwadi,
Ghatkopar, Mumbai. |
| 11 | Smt. Sapna S. Kambale, 604, A
Wing, MHADA Group 2 Bldg.,
Tagore Nagar, Vikroli (E), Mumbai. | 12 | Shri Rohit S. Rathod, Jaiswal
Chawl, Nehru Ward, Azad Square
Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal. |
| 13 | Shri Umesh B. Gharote,
Dwarkanagari, Post Anandwan,
Tahasil Warora, Dist. Chandrapur. | | |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ____ day of _____ has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Smt. P. Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM :

DATE :

ORDER :


The said application has been admitted and the Tribunal has directed to issue notice for all the Respondents to file their replies. This notice is accordingly issued to you, you should file in duplicate, your duly verified reply along with copies of documents on which you intend to rely on or before ----- within 30 days from the date of receipt of this Notice. The said reply should be typed in double space and book form. You should, also simultaneously serve on the applicant or his Advocate a copy of the said reply along with the copies of the documents on which you intend to reply and file proof of such service in the registry. Also take notice that if you do not file the reply in the stipulated period the Tribunal will decide the case ex-prate.

Take notice that the above application has been fixed for Admission /Final Hearing on **23.02.2016**, at 11-00 a.m. You should appear for the said hearing in person or through your Advocate, to show cause, why the application should not be admitted.

Take further notice that in case you do not appear in person or through you Advocate, your application is liable to be dismissed for default/the matter will be decided ex-prate.

Please acknowledge receipt of notice positively.

Dated this day of 2016.


**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Encl :
Note

- 1 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be able to deal with their correspondence, if they need some information.
- 2 They may seek it through their agents or their Lawyers, if any.
- 3 Their prayer for an early hearing of the case, cannot possibly be ordered on the basis of a letter since there is a provision of filing a Miscellaneous Application for the purpose.
- 4 Certified copy of the Judgment will be issued on the application of the concerned along with requisite copying fees.

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/223 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 18 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1415 OF 2009.

- 1 Sulbha L. Naikwade,
C/o. Mr. Aniket A. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Applicant.
Add. R.No.17, Padhyewadi, Bhavanishankar Road, Dadar (w), Mumbai-28.
.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through its Principal Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. | 2 The Health Department of State of Maharashtra, through its Principal Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. |
| 3 The Deputy Director, Health Department, Pune Region, Pune-1, New Admini. Bldg., 3 rd Floor, Opp.to Vidhanbhavan, Pune-1. | 4 Dr. Y.H. Doiphode, Deputy Director, Health Services, Opp. Bhaskar Plaza, Shau Puri, 1 st Lane Karveer, Kolhapur-416003. |
| 5 Ku. Kavita A. Ubhe, Jr. Clerk, Dr. Bandorwala Leprosy Hospital, Kondwa Pune-42. | |

...RESPONDENT/S

✓ Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ____ day of _____ has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri A.A. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Respondent No. 1 to 4.
Smt. P. Mahajan, Advocate for the Respondent No.5.

CORAM :

DATE :

ORDER :


The said application has been admitted and the Tribunal has directed to issue notice for all the Respondents to file their replies. This notice is accordingly issued to you, you should file in duplicate, your duly verified reply along with copies of documents on which you intend to rely on or before ----- within 30 days from the date of receipt of this Notice. The said reply should be typed in double space and book form. You should, also simultaneously serve on the applicant or his Advocate a copy of the said reply along with the copies of the documents on which you intend to reply and file proof of such service in the registry. Also take notice that if you do not file the reply in the stipulated period the Tribunal will decide the case ex-prate.

Take notice that the above application has been fixed for Admission /Final Hearing on **22.02.2016.** at 11-00 a.m. You should appear for the said hearing in person or through your Advocate, to show cause, why the application should not be admitted.

Take further notice that in case you do not appear in person or through you Advocate, your application is liable to be dismissed for default/the matter will be decided ex-prate.

Please acknowledge receipt of notice positively.

Dated this day of 2016.


Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

Encl :
Note

- 1 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be able to deal with their correspondence, if they need some information.
- 2 They may seek it through their agents or their Lawyers, if any.
- 3 Their prayer for an early hearing of the case, cannot possibly be ordered on the basis of a letter since there is a provision of filing a Miscellaneous Application for the purpose.
- 4 Certified copy of the Judgment will be issued on the application of the concerned along with requisite copying fees.

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 214 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 18 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 306 OF 2010.

- 1 Mr. Sampat N. Pawar & 02 Ors.,
C/o. Shri A.S. Gadre, Advocate for the Applicants.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2 D.G.P., S.B. Marg, Colaba, Mumbai.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

✓ Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ____ day of _____ has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri A.S. Gadre, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM :

DATE :

ORDER :


The said application has been admitted and the Tribunal has directed to issue notice for all the Respondents to file their replies. This notice is accordingly issued to you, you should file in duplicate, your duly verified reply along with copies of documents on which you intend to rely on or before ----- within 30 days from the date of receipt of this Notice. The said reply should be typed in double space and book form. You should, also simultaneously serve on the applicant or his Advocate a copy of the said reply along with the copies of the documents on which you intend to reply and file proof of such service in the registry. Also take notice that if you do not file the reply in the stipulated period the Tribunal will decide the case ex-prate.

Take notice that the above application has been fixed for Admission /Final Hearing on **22.02.2016**. at 11-00 a.m. You should appear for the said hearing in person or through your Advocate, to show cause, why the application should not be admitted.

Take further notice that in case you do not appear in person or through you Advocate, your application is liable to be dismissed for default/the matter will be decided ex-prate.

Please acknowledge receipt of notice positively.

Dated this day of 2016.


**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Encl :
Note

- 1 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be able to deal with their correspondence, if they need some information.
- 2 They may seek it through their agents or their Lawyers, if any.
- 3 Their prayer for an early hearing of the case, cannot possibly be ordered on the basis of a letter since there is a provision of filing a Miscellaneous Application for the purpose.
- 4 Certified copy of the Judgment will be issued on the application of the concerned along with requisite copying fees.

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 25 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 18 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2014.

- 1 Mr. Damodar B. Gade,
R/at. Putalai Vaibhav Nivas, Kharade Colony, Market Yard East, Karad.
.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 The District Women & Child
Development Officer, Jail Road,
Ratnagiri. | 2 The Accounts Officer, Pay
Verification Unit, Joint Director &
Treasury Office, Konkan Bhavan,
4 th Floor, R.No.421, Belapur, Navi
Mumbai. |
| 3 The Principal Secretary, Finance
Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai. | 4 Commissioner, Women & Child
Development, M.S., 3, Church Rd.,
Pune-1. |

...RESPONDENT/S

✓ Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the _____ day of _____ has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri. K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM :

DATE :

ORDER :

The said application has been admitted and the Tribunal has directed to issue notice for all the Respondents to file their replies. This notice is accordingly issued to you, you should file in duplicate, your duly verified reply along with copies of documents on which you intend to rely on or before -----, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this Notice. The said reply should be typed in double space and book form. You should, also simultaneously serve on the applicant or his Advocate a copy of the said reply along with the copies of the documents on which you intend to reply and file proof of such service in the registry. Also take notice that if you do not file the reply in the stipulated period the Tribunal will decide the case ex-prate

Take notice that the above application has been fixed for Admission /Final Hearing on **22.02.2016**, at 11-00 a.m. You should appear for the said hearing in person or through your Advocate, to show cause, why the application should not be admitted.

Take further notice that in case you do not appear in person or through you Advocate, your application is liable to be dismissed for default/the matter will be decided ex-prate.

Please acknowledge receipt of notice positively.

Dated this day of 2016.

Arvind
18/1/2016

**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Encl :

Note-

- 1 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be able to deal with their correspondence, if they need some information.
- 2 They may seek it through their agents or their Lawyers, if any.
- 3 Their prayer for an early hearing of the case, cannot possibly be ordered on the basis of a letter since there is a provision of filing a Miscellaneous Application for the purpose.
- 4 Certified copy of the Judgment will be issued on the application of the concerned along with requisite copying fees.

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 212 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

18 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1084 OF 2012.

- 1 Shri Suresh S. Shetye,
C/o. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The Administrator General, Official
Trustee, M.S., Mumbai, Having
Office at Old Sachivalaya,
Opp. Elphinstone College, Fort,
Mumbai-32.

...RESPONDENT/S

✓ Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ____ day of _____ has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondent.

CORAM :

DATE :

ORDER :

The said application has been admitted and the Tribunal has directed to issue notice for all the Respondents to file their replies. This notice is accordingly issued to you, you should file in duplicate, your duly verified reply along with copies of documents on which you intend to rely on or before ----- within 30 days from the date of receipt of this Notice. The said reply should be typed in double space and book form. You should, also simultaneously serve on the applicant or his Advocate a copy of the said reply along with the copies of the documents on which you intend to reply and file proof of such service in the registry. Also take notice that if you do not file the reply in the stipulated period the Tribunal will decide the case ex-prate.

Take notice that the above application has been fixed for Admission /Final Hearing on **22.02.2016**. at 11-00 a.m. You should appear for the said hearing in person or through your Advocate, to show cause, why the application should not be admitted.

Take further notice that in case you do not appear in person or through you Advocate, your application is liable to be dismissed for default/the matter will be decided ex-prate.

Please acknowledge receipt of notice positively.

Dated this day of 2016.

Mahad
18/1/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Encl :
Note

- 1 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be able to deal with their correspondence, if they need some information.
- 2 They may seek it through their agents or their Lawyers, if any.
- 3 Their prayer for an early hearing of the case, cannot possibly be ordered on the basis of a letter since there is a provision of filing a Miscellaneous Application for the purpose.
- 4 Certified copy of the Judgment will be issued on the application of the concerned along with requisite copying fees.