

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2022**  
**(Bhaskar B. Hire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the designation of the respondent No. 3 as 'Executive Engineer' instead of 'Deputy Executive Engineer' contending that the impugned recovery order dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure A-7) is issued by the Executive Engineer, Mechanical Divisional Unit, Jalgaon.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith.

4. The present Original Application is filed challenging the impugned recovery order dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure A-7) issued by the respondent No. 3 i.e. Executive Engineer, Mechanical Divisional Unit, Jalgaon, thereby intending to recover an amount of Rs. 1,42,129/- being excess amount paid to the

//2// O.A. No. 263/2022

applicant during the period from 01.07.2008 to 31.03.2020.

5. It is the case of the applicant that he was initially appointed as Assistant in Mechanical Division, Jalgaon on 21.02.1984. During his tenure, he got time bound promotion. By the letter dated 24.09.2008 he was given appointment on the post of Heavy Duty Operator. By that time he was entitled for second time bound promotion.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the post of applicant falls under Group-C category. The recovery order said to have been passed due to wrong pay fixation in the year 2008. Moreover, the applicant is retired on 31.03.2020. Period of recovery is beyond 5 years of his retirement. In the circumstances, the applicant is seeking interim relief of stay to the execution and implementation of the impugned order of recovery order dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure A-7).

7. Learned Presenting Officer opposed the prayer of the applicant for grant of interim and relief.

8. Considering the facts of the present case, prima-facie, it seems that the case of the applicant falls within the parameters laid down in para No. 12 of the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No. 11527/2014 arising out of S.L.P. (C) No. 11684/2012 & Ors. (State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc.)** reported at **AIR 2015 SC 596.**, which is as follows :-

*“12. It is not possible to postulate all situations of hardship, which would govern employees on the issue of recovery, where payments have mistakenly been made by the employer, in excess of their entitlement. Be that as it may, based on the decisions referred to herein above, we may, as a ready reference, summarize the following few situations, wherein recoveries by the employers, would be impermissible in law:*

*(i) Recovery from employees belonging to Class-III and Class-IV service (or Group 'C' and Group 'D' service).*

*(ii) Recovery from retired employees, or employees who are due to retire within one year, of the order of recovery.*

*(iii) Recovery from the employees when the excess payment has been made for a period in excess of five years, before the order of recovery is issued.*

*(iv) Recovery in cases where an employee has wrongfully been required to discharge duties of a*

//4// O.A. No. 263/2022

*higher post and has been paid accordingly, even though he should have rightfully been required to work against an inferior post.*

*(v) In any other case, where the Court arrives at the conclusion, that recovery if made from the employees, would be iniquitous or harsh or arbitrary to such an extent, as would far outweigh the equitable balance of the employer's right to recover."*

9. In view of above, in my considered opinion, the case of the applicant falls under the category mentioned in Clause (i) & (iii) of Para No. 12 of the abovesaid citation. Hence, this is a fit case to grant interim stay to the execution and implementation of the impugned order of recovery dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure A-7) till filing of the affidavit in reply by the respondents. Ordered accordingly.

10. Issue notice the respondents, returnable on 20.04.2022.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

//5// O.A. No. 263/2022

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. S.O. to 20.04.2022.

16. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 84/2022 in O.A. St. No. 24/2022**  
**(Govind B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice the respondents in M.A., returnable on 13.04.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.118/2022 in M.A. 84/2022 in O.A. St. 124/2022  
(Govind B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this Misc. Application No. 118/2022, the applicant is seeking amendment in the O.A.

3. The Original Application is filed seeking refund of amount of Rs. 63,824/- which was deducted from the retiral benefits of the applicant. The said recovery was effected in view of recovery order dated 21.12.2020, which is produced at page No. 12 of the paper book in O.A. Inadvertently the applicant could not challenge the said recovery order. The applicant by this amendment application is only seeking to challenge the said recovery order by raising necessary pleadings.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that necessary order can be passed.

//2// M.A.118/22 in M.A. 84/22  
in O.A. St. 124/22

5. Considering the nature of proposed amendment, I find that it is arising out of shortcomings in the O.A. In my opinion, the proposed amendment is just and necessary to determine the real question of controversy between the parties and the same would not change the nature of original proceedings. I therefore, proceed to pass following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The M.A. No. 118/2022 is allowed.
- (ii) The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. within a period of two weeks and to serve the amended copy of the O.A. to the other side.
- (iii) Accordingly, M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 253 OF 2021**  
**(Shaikh Nabi Shaikh Muqbul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice the respondents, returnable on 11.04.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2021**  
**(Datta D. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Issue notice the respondents, returnable on 11.04.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2022**  
**(Vikas D. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.U. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice the respondents, returnable on 20.04.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 307 OF 2022  
(Jaydatt R. Bhusare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that there are two office objections. One is regarding not producing on record representation pleaded by the applicant and second objection is that the O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of Prayer Clause –B.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that as regards the office objections, he would make necessary amendment application and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2020**  
**(Sanjay Sakharam Kute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record withdrawal pursis signed by the applicant. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. In view of the same, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present O.A.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission, when the unconditional withdrawal is sought. Hence, permission to withdraw the present O.A. is granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236 OF 2021  
(Vikram B. Garje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings up to sur-rejoinder are complete. The present matter is pertaining to correction in date of birth. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 04.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371 OF 2021**  
**(Amresh S. Bombalge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri G.M. Shingare, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondents Nos. 2 to 4. On last occasion, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. The present matter is pertaining to gratuity pursuant to the order dated 04.03.2021 (page No. 16 of the paper book) issued by the office of Accountant General (A & E)-II, Nagpur. In the circumstances, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 21.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737 OF 2021**  
**(Arun S. Kapadane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to recovery/reinstatement. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 22.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 844 OF 2019  
(Raviraj R. Darak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Manisha R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 653 OF 2019**  
**(Vijay B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 270 OF 2021**  
**(Nanada V. Solnaki & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 388 OF 2021**  
**(Navnath L. Dhande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.04.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2019**  
**(Sanjay R. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. During the course of arguments, it transpires that both the parties have to address as to whether the amendment caused by virtue of Notification dated 24.11.2016 in Notification dated 09.06.2014 is to be treated as prospective or retrospective.

3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 23 OF 2021**  
**(Nagnath P. Telgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016  
(Sanjay T. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701 OF 2019**  
**(Bapusaheb V. Patare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 103 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Harishchandra T. Kokani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri Rakesh Jain, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. By consent of both all the parties, S.O. to 12.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**O.A. NOS. 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 470, 471, 472, 473,  
474 & 475 ALL OF 2020  
(Ganesh B. Choudhari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters and Shri S.G. Sharma, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 4, 8, 10 to 16 & 19 in O.A. Nos. 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 470, 471 & 475 OF 2020, are present. None appears for rest of the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 28-04-2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.43/2019 WITH M.A.NO.97/2012 IN  
O.A.NO.817/2011  
(Dharampal U. Dethe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for respondent no.3, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25-04-2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.872/2018  
(Arun Giri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G.Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25-04-2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.925/2018  
(Kisan Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.C.Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

3. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 25-04-2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.313/2019  
(Dr. Mahendra Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chaitanya C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

3. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to S.O. to 26-04-2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.349/2019  
(Dadasaheb Kewat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant,  
Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
respondents and Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for  
respondent no.4, are present.

3. At the request of learned CPO, S.O. to 26-04-2022 for  
filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.674/2019  
(Madhukar Bramane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.M.Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 26-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.947/2019**  
**(Dr. Anil Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**.

Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 26-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.950/2019  
(Jayashri Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.477/2020  
(Dr. Pushpalata Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

3. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 29-04-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.564/2020  
(Shantaram Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent no.3, are present.

3. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 29-04-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.07/2021 IN W.P.NO.10329/2021**  
**T.A.NO.08/2021 IN W.P.NO.10446/2021**  
**T.A.NO.09/2021 IN W.P.NO.11027/2021**  
**(Sahil B. Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.C.Darandale, learned Advocate for the applicants in T.A.No.07/2021 & 08/2021, Shri H.U.Dhage, learned Advocate for applicant in T.A.No.09/2021 are **absent.**

Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 29-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.37/2021  
(Shriram Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Omkar Gholap learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.760/2021  
(M.D.Deshmane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 22-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.774/2021  
(Ganesh Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02-05-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.798/2021  
(Bharat Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28-04-2022 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.22/2022  
(Abaji Amrute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02-05-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.153/2022  
(Rupali Namewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K.Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 02-05-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.154/2022  
(Geeta Nakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K.Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02-05-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.155/2022  
(Prerana Londhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K.Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02-05-2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.156/2022**  
**(Dr. Megha Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-05-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.196/2022  
(Alka Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 04-05-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.392/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257/2019  
(Ramdas Gangadhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant  
and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**M.A.NO.449/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1490/2019  
(Sunil Lagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.P.Sonpethkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 22-04-2022 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.561/2019 IN O.A.ST.2250/2019  
(Ratish Manurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for  
Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant  
and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 25-04-2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2019**  
**(Sheela H. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is only filed on behalf of the respondent No.5.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4.
4. S.O. to 19.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2019  
(Dinkar K. Shelar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 today itself.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 19.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 341 OF 2020**  
**(Mangala M. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 342 OF 2020**  
**(Savita N. Murmunde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 343 OF 2020  
(Bhimrao S. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2020**  
**(Ashfaq Shahnoor Quraishi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.4.
3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 486 OF 2020  
(Jitendra S. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534 OF 2020**  
**(Rajesh N. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 7 OF 2021**  
**(Arun W. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99 OF 2021**  
**(Shrikant V. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137 OF 2021**  
**(Premnath G. Akngire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 19.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 147 OF 2021**  
**(Ratnaprabha T. Hingade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 19.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2021**  
**(Mayur R. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 314 OF 2021**  
**(Govind A. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that she has received the copy of reply.
4. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent No.5 is already filed on record.
5. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
6. S.O. to 19.04.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362 OF 2021  
(Usha A. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 380 OF 2021**  
**(Prabhakar A. Bhagat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh S. Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 432 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Mayadevi S. Khadiwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 is **absent**.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of reply.

4. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

5. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2022**  
**(Dnyaneshwar B. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586 OF 2021  
(Prakash I. Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.48 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.175 OF 2021  
(Chandu G. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion/A.C.P.S.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Madhav B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 5. Nobody is present on behalf of the respondent No.6.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5.

3. No affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent No.6.

4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2021**  
**(Rajendra N. Dhangare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of reply.
4. Affidavit-in-reply of respondent No.5 is already filed on record.
5. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
6. S.O. to 12.04.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 593 OF 2021**  
**(Gajanan P. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 5 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. Record shows that the notice is not served upon the respondent No.4.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would file service affidavit in respect of respondent No.4 by the next date.
4. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2019  
(Shaikh Rahimuddin Shaifoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 3 OF 2020**  
**(Anil V. Lad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S. Karad, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2022**  
**(Ramkisan K. Mante Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 71 OF 2022**  
**(Sampat D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 72 OF 2022**  
**(Arjun M. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 173 OF 2022**  
**(Ajay G. Dawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.111 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1964 OF 2019**  
**(Madhav B. Marde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 5. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.6 is **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.305 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1228 OF 2020  
(Dilipkumar R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of affidavit-in-reply today.

4. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

5. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.28 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.88 OF 2021**  
**(Sharda V. Andure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arjun Lukhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. By this application the applicants are seeking to sue the respondents jointly.

3. The Original Application is filed by the applicants seeking compassionate appointment to the applicant No.2 i.e. Shri Ganesh Vitthal Andure who is the son of the deceased Government servant Shri Vitthal Maruti Andure. The said deceased Vitthal Maruti Andure died in harness on 10.12.2002 while working on the post of "Majoor" with the respondents. The applicant No.1 is the widow of the said deceased Government servant. Initially the application seeking compassionate appointment was made by the applicant No.1 i.e. widow.

4. In the circumstances, this is a fit case to grant permission to the applicant to sue the respondents

//2// M.A.28/2021 In  
O.A.St.88/2021

jointly. Therefore, I proceed to pass the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application No.28/2021 is allowed.
- (ii) Permission is granted to the applicants to sue the respondents jointly.
- (iii) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered in accordance with law, after removal of office objections, if any.
- (iv) The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.88 OF 2021**  
**(Sharda V. Andure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arjun Lukhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.266 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.942 OF 2021  
(Mangilal N. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhogde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent in M.A.

3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**M.A.NO.35 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.105 OF 2022**  
**(Om Datta Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent in M.A.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.34 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.861 OF 2021  
(Ankush B. BedkeVs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.H. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent in M.A.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.284 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.823 OF 2021  
(Sandipan G. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.28 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.57 OF 2022  
(Pankaj D. Soudankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle , learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2019**  
**(Chandrasen K. Bahure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 252 OF 2020**  
**(Harikishan D. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.4 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.1 OF 2022 (W.P.NO.1316 OF 2022)**  
**(Manisha E. Kadu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of the respondent No.1.
3. Leave as prayed for is granted.
4. The applicant to carry out correction forthwith.
5. Issue fresh notice to the respondent No.1, returnable on 23.03.2022
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

T.A.1/2022

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.2 OF 2022 (W.P.NO.1318 OF 2022)**  
**(Arvind A. Borude & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of the respondent No.1.
3. Leave as prayed for is granted.
4. The applicants to carry out correction forthwith.
5. Issue fresh notice to the respondent No.1, returnable on 23.03.2022
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

T.A.2/2022

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2021  
(Ramesh N. Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 625 OF 2021  
(Yogesh J. Korade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Omprakash D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of affidavit-in-reply.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that the respondent No.1 adopts the affidavit-in-reply filed by respondent No.2.

5. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

6. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 4/2022 IN M.A. ST. 50/2019 IN O.A. 904/2018  
(Shaikh Ayyub Shaikh Jaleel Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Zia-Ul-Mustafa, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**REV. 2/2022 IN T.A. 9/2021 (W.P. 2006/2019)**  
**(Suryakant V. Pathak & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 55/2022 IN O.A. 604/2021**  
**(Sarang K. Gorge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Counsel  
for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned  
Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities,  
is present.

2. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 60/2022 IN O.A. ST. 67/2022**  
**(Gaus Mohayyopdin Shamshodin Vs. State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Counsel  
for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned  
Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities,  
is present.

2. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 166/2022**  
**(Dharamsing V. Singal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.M. Chate, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 717/2019, 773/2019, 766/2019, 794/2019, 120/2019, 66/2020, 809/ 2019, 195/2020, 705/2018, 234/2020, 301/2020, 22/2020, 253/2020, 254/2020, 303/2019, 492/2019, 571/2021, O.A.ST.NO.1185/2019 (Rajendra A. Shirsath & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

S/shri Amit Savale, A.S. Deshmukh, D.T. Devane, P.B. Rakhunde, K.G. Salunke, K.B.Jadhav, & S.G.Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants in all the respective cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 31.3.2022 for further consideration.

**High on Board.**

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A. NOS. 909/2019 WITH O.A. 372/2021  
(Vijaykumar V. Patil & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 909/2019 and holding for Shri V.G. Kodale, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 372/2021, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters and Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 372/2021, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.4.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 20/2019 IN O.A. 817/2011**  
**(Shivaji V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 21/2021 IN O.A. 355/2017**  
**(Rajendra T. Dawange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 670/2018**

**(Kishan A. Falke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.K. Sable, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**REV. 7/2019 IN M.A. 530/2019 IN O.A. ST. 2098/2019  
(Bharat G. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81/2020**  
**(Sudarshan N. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are  
present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2020**

**(Yeshwant K. Bhandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105/2021**  
**(Smita K. Suryawanshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Counsel holding  
for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2021  
(Riyajkhan A. Faruki Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Zia-Ul-Mustafa, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri Taher Ali Quadri, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 5.4.2022 for hearing. The interim relief  
to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 401/2021**  
**(Ramreddy R. Aitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518/2021**  
**(Asmita M. Kekan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 24.3.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, and for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614/2021**  
**(Varsha V. Malaskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod C. Mayure, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 4.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 439/2019 IN O.A. 718/2019**  
**(Sudhakar R. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Counsel holding  
for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are  
present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 456/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1919/2018**  
**(Lakshman K. Nirmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the  
applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer  
for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Kedar,  
learned Counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 62/2021 IN O.A. 461/2020**  
**(The Chief Engineer Water Resources Department,**  
**A'bad Vs. Shaikh Rahim Ameeroddin & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant in M.A., Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for applicants in O.A., are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 308/2021 IN O.A. 492/2021  
(Ganesh K. Chate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 12.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111/2013**  
**(Gajanan M. Shikare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Halkude, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri G.J. Kore, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3 and Shri S.K. Sawangikar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312/2015  
(Dr. Shiwani V. Sachdeva Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 and Shri R.P. Adgaonkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 6, are present. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for respondent no. 5 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 13.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804/2017**  
**(Prakash D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2018**  
**(Khandu T. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142/2018**  
**(Suresh L. Moholkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383/2018  
(Meraj Begum Syeed Abdul Khalak Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472/2018**  
**(Kishor G. Lalsare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666/2018**  
**(Laxmi S. Gadge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718/2018**  
**(Balasaheb N. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for  
Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 866/2018**  
**(Venkat M. Methe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76/2019  
(Nilesh S. Badgajar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.V. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102/2019**  
**(Vishwas S. Thore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208/2019**

**(Bhaskar P. Dole & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 **(absent)**.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385/2019**  
**(Suresh U. Nande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787/2019  
(Laxman P. Huse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the  
applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223/2019**  
**(Diya S. Nandi & 115 Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE :** **17.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G. Tambde, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**