

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685/2019  
(Shivaji R. Thakare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri. V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. in view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 23.12.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81/2020  
(Sudarshan N. Shinde Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422/2020  
(Nilesh R. Tagad & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, rejoinder affidavit is not necessary in the present matter.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 60/2021  
(Ishwar B. Baviskar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 61/2021  
(Shantilal H. Deore Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 17.11.2021

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 224/2021  
(Chandu M. Waghmare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305/2021  
(Devidas G. Nandgaonkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334/2021  
(Madhuri B. Panzade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jagdish K. Bansod, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. Shri Solanke, Learned Advocate seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of respondent no. 3. Time granted.

3. S.o. to 17.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455/2021  
(Anil T. Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Kotkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 201/2021 WITH M.A. 159/2021 IN O.A. 115/2018  
(Nagnath G. Savant & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 212/2021 IN O.A. 694/2018  
(Somnath R. Reddy Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri M.S. Sonwane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 & 6.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present M.A. bearing No. 212/2021.

3. Learned C.P.O. as well as learned Advocates for respondent nos. 4, 5 & 6 respectively have no objection regarding grant of permission to the learned Advocate for the applicant to withdraw the M.A.

4. In the circumstances, M.A. No. 212/2021 stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. 694/2018**  
**(Somnath R. Reddy Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri M.S. Sonwane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 & 6.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.12.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 347/2021 IN O.A. 341/2019**  
**(Kamal R. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By filing the present M.A., the applicant intends to bring on record the subsequent events happened after filing of O.A. no. 341/2019 before this Tribunal.

3. On going through the record of the M.A., it appears that by way of proposed amendment the nature of the O.A. is not going to change.

4. In the circumstances, the present M.A. stands allowed. The applicant is permitted to amend the O.A. as per draft amendment within a period of one week and shall supply amended copy of O.A. to the other side. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. 341/2019**  
**(Kamal R. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.12.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 342/2021**  
**(Kalpana T. Shelke & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559/2020  
(Vishranti B. Giri Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 149/2019  
(Ashok R. Khandagale Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646/2019  
(Manoj A. Pande Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhay A. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and Shri V.M. Mane, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 to 7.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**REV. 7/2019 IN M.A. 530/2019 IN O.A. ST. 2098/2019  
(Bharat G. Raut Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. NO. 36/2018 IN O.A.NO. 846/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 37/2018 IN O.A.NO. 843/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 38/2018 IN O.A.NO. 842/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 39/2018 IN O.A.NO. 278/2012**  
**C.P. NO. 40/2018 IN O.A.NO. 634/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 41/2018 IN O.A.NO. 277/2012**  
**(Shri Vilas S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. M.S. Patni and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. Smt. M.S. Patni & Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers filed affidavit in replies in C.P. No. 40/2018 in O.A. No. 634/2011 and C.P. No. 41/2018 in O.A. No. 277/2012. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

3. Both the sides seek time for reporting compliance of the order dated 22.7.2019. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.348/2021 IN O.A.NO.832/2016**  
**(Vishal Gangwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, Shri Ashis Rajkar learned Advocate for respondent no.2, Shri Yogesh Neb learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S.Dighe learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and Shri Parag Bhosale learned Advocate for respondent no.5.

2. Last chance is given to the respondents for filing reply. If reply is not filed, matter will be heard on merit.

3. S.O. to 10-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.No.01/2021 IN W.P.No.4908/2021  
(Shivaji T. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 and 2, Shri U.S.Patil learned Advocate with Shri Pandurang Gaikwad and Shri Bhalchandra Shinde learned Advocates for respondent nos.3 to 5 and Shri A.S.Mirajgaonkar learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for respondent nos.6 to 8.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 06-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.337/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)  
(Pratibha S. Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.305/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)  
(The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Samiksha R.  
Chandrakant & Anr.**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.310/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)  
(Samiksha R. Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**M.A.NO.337/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri Ujjawal S. Patil, learned Advocate with Shri Panduranga Gaikwad and Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicants in M.A., Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondent no.1/applicant in O.A. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

=2=

**M.A.NO.305/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri P.R.Katneshwarkar/Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for applicants in M.A.No.305/2021 & Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondents in present M.As./applicants in T.A. in M.A.305/2021.

**M.A.NO.310/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri Ujjawal S. Patil, learned Advocate with Shri Panduranga Gaikwad and Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicants in M.A.(respondent Nos.5 to 10 in T.A.), Shri P.R.Katneshwarkar/Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for applicants in M.A. (respondent Nos.1 to 4 in T.A.) and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondents Nos.5 & 6 in M.A.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 06-12-2021.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.537/2019**  
**(Narendra Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 26-11-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.137/2017  
(Namdeo Arsale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 09-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.279/2017  
(Rekha Bonalwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 13-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.383/2018  
(Meraj Begum Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 13-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.476/2018  
(Aasha Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandesh R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 10-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.598/2018**  
**(Ganpat Sansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 10-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.657/2018**  
**(Ayesha Feroz Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Milind Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 17-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.248/2019**  
**(Vikram Mate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 and 2 and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 and 4.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 17-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.443/2019 IN O.A.NO.335/2019**  
**(Hanumant V. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in present M.A. (respondent nos.4 and 5 in O.A.), Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.V.Bhopi learned Advocate holding for Shri V.R.Dhorde learned Advocate for the respondent no.4 in the M.A. (applicant in O.A.).

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 09-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.535/2019  
(Nitin Ingale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R.Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 03-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.693/2019  
(Savita Birge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 09-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.870/2019**  
**(Dr. Devrao Dakhure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today, it may not be possible for the Tribunal to take up the matter for final hearing.

3. Shri Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant submits that departmental enquiry has been completed and decision is likely to be arrived at. He further submits that if the decision is taken by the authority, present application will become infructuous. In the circumstances following order is passed:

4. **S.O. to 29-11-2021. Till then concerned authority shall not take final decision in the departmental enquiry held against the present applicant.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 456/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1749/2019  
(Sandip V. Ganage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Govind B. Chate, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490 OF 2018**  
**(Bhaudas D. Vaishnav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 38/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1369/2020  
(Ashok B. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

By this Misc. Application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 123 days in filing the accompanying Original Application challenging the impugned order dated 19.12.2019 (Annexure A-4 in O.A.), whereby the applicant is denied the benefit of second ACP as contemplated in G.R. dated 01.04.2010 (Annexure A-1 in O.A.).

2. It is contention of the applicant that one Shri Kacheshwar Baburao Pawar filed O.A. No. 227/2015 before this Tribunal seeking second ACP. The said K.B. Pawar had retired on 31.05.2009. The applicant is similarly situated person, who retired on 30.11.2009. Therefore, the applicant made representation dated 18.09.2019 (Annexure A-2 in O.A.) for second ACP. However, the same was rejected by the impugned communication dated 19.12.2019 (Annexure A-4 in O.A.).

3. In the circumstances as above, it is the contention of the applicant that the applicant has good

//2// MA 38/2021 in  
OA St. 1369/2020

case on merits. However, he could not approach this Tribunal due to his prolong illness. Hence, this application for condonation of delay.

4. The affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 filed by one Shri Sanjay Prabhakar Metrewar, I/C Regional Transport Officer, Aurangabad thereby he has denied the adverse contentions raised by the applicant in the present Misc. Application. It is further stated that no sufficient cause has been shown for condonation of inordinate delay in filing the accompanying O.A. and hence, the Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.

5. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

6. On perusal of the annexures to the O.A., prima-facie, it appears that the applicant has good case on merits. In fact, the G.R. dated 03.07.2011, which is referred in the impugned order dated 19.12.2019 (Annexure A-4 in O.A.) denying the benefit of second ACP to the Government servants, who retired during



//3// MA 38/2021 in  
OA St. 1369/2020

the period from 01.10.2006 to 31.03.2010 has already been dealt with in O.A. No. 227/2015, decided on 25.04.2016, wherein it is held that the said clause is illegal.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. In fact, the cause of action for filing the O.A. in time arose in December, 2020, during which Covid-19 pandemic situation was prevailing. In the circumstances, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay. Hence, I proceed to pass following order :-

**ORDER**

1. The Misc. Application No. 38/2021 is allowed.
2. The delay of 123 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of. The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s if any.
4. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 457 OF 2019  
(Ashish G. Vaishnav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2018**  
**(Sahebrao A. Sormare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the he has advanced arguments partly before the learned Member (A), when it is fixed for final hearing before the said Bench.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the learned Member (A).

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 688 OF 2019  
(Sujit E. Gorde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.12.2021.
3. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2019  
(Ramesh P. Barhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. No. 858/2018 with O.A. No. 60/2020 with O.A. No. 86/2019 with O.A. No. 118/2019 with O.A. No. 278/2019 with O.A. No. 421/2019 with O.A. No. 392/2020 with O.A. No. 394/2020 with O.A. No. 395/2020 with O.A. No. 398/2020**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 858/2018 & 60/2020, Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 86/19, 118/19, 278/19, 421/19, 392/20, 394/20, 395/20 & 398/20 and Shri I.S. Thorat & Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. Learned Presenting Officer placed on record a copy of status report of the matter of **State of Maharashtra and Ors. Vs. Yamuna Laksyhmaanrao Bhosale in S.L.P.(C) No. 9426/2021** pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which is listed for hearing today i.e. on 17.11.2021. Copy of the said status report is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. In view of the same, S.O. to 06.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 683 OF 2019**  
**(Shivaji M. Ghantewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 902 OF 2019**  
**(Shivaji M. Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 26.11.2021.

3. The present matters are to be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532 OF 2021  
(Kaviraj J. Kucche Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**



**R.A.ST.NO.1217/2019 IN O.A.NO.63/2015**  
**(The Superintending Engineer and Administrative Command**  
**Area Development Authority, CADA Bhavan Garkheda**  
**Parisar, Aurangabad Vs. Saylu P. Nawod & Ors.**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Santosh B. Mene, learned Advocate for the applicant in Review Application, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the respondent no.1 in Review application/applicant in O.A. and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.2 to 6.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 6. Time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 14.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1099 OF 2019  
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed on behalf of the applicant on 18.8.2021. From farad sheet order passed on 31.08.2021, it appears that the affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder is necessary.

3. In view of same, last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents.

4. S.O. to 13.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2020**  
**(Arvind P. Awad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

2. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate files VAKALATNAMA on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 to 5. It is taken on record.

3. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. S.O. to 15.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89 OF 2020**  
**(Madhukar G. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed by the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.7 OF 2021**  
**(Arun W. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2021**  
**(Nagnath P. Telgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.

3. The matter is pertaining to refund of excess amount. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 15.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.173 OF 2021  
(Waman B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.

3. The matter is pertaining to pensionary benefits. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 21.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232 OF 2021  
(Pravin R. Chanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The Original Application is filed seeking declaration that the applicant is entitled for the deemed date of promotion from the cadre of Tahsildar w.e.f. 08.11.2021 as of Smt. Manikshi Rathod (Chavan) or 03.04.2013 as of (Manjusha Ghatage) who were juniors have been promoted and also seeking direction against the respondent Nos.1 & 2 to consider the case of the applicant for deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Tahsildar w.e.f. 08.11.2021 or 03.04.2013 and to grant all the consequential benefits.

3. It is contention of the applicant that the respondent no.2 i.e. the Divisional Commissioner (Revenue), Nasik Division, Nasik has submitted proposal dated 14.01.2020 (Annex. 'A-9') and dated 03.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-10') in respect of grant of deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Tahsildar.



4. The said proposals are still pending with the respondent No.1. In the circumstances, the applicant has prayed for interim relief in that regard to decide the said proposals.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that till today, affidavit-in-reply is not filed by the respondents. He submits that the Original Application can be disposed of by giving direction to the respondent No.1 to decide the said proposals dated 14.01.2020 (Annex. 'A-9') and dated 03.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-10') on its own merit within stipulated period.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it seems that the matter is pertaining to deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Tahsildar. It is further appears that the proposals dated 14.01.2020 (Annex. 'A-9') and dated 03.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-10') submitted by the respondent No.2 in respect of grant of deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Tahsildar are pending with the respondent No.1 for more than one year. The respondent No.1 should take steps to decide the proposals.

//3//

O.A.232/2021

7. In the circumstances, the respondent no.1 is directed to decide the proposals dated 14.01.2020 (Annex. 'A-9') and dated 03.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-10') submitted by the respondent No.2 in respect of grant of deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Tahsildar on it's own merit within a period of three months from today.

8. In view of above, the Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.411 OF 2021  
(Bharat L. Khillare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply of respondent Nos.4 & 5.

3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.416 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Ajit R. Kothari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the para-wise remarks are sent for approval of the Government and therefore, he seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. In view of statement made by the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. S.O. to 16.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.426 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Abhishek A. Pendharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.471 OF 2021  
(Shankar Y. Galdhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. The matter is pertaining to transfer. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.533 OF 2021**  
**(Diwakar M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.12.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.537 OF 2021  
(Balasaheb S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 15.12.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.565 OF 2021  
(Anil V. Chatlwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed by the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.621 OF 2021  
(Shivaji S. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.10 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.3 OF 2021  
(Motiram D. Nahide Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 17.12.2021

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.184 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.88 OF 2020  
(Sanjay S. Kute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.195 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.727 OF 2021  
(Pathan M. Ahmed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.649 OF 2021  
(Janak B. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 21.12.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**//2//**

**O.A.649/21**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 21.12.2021.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
9. The present matter is placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1082 OF 2019**  
**(Naresh W. Sakpal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant's elder brother named as Shri Dinesh Waman Sakpal who had initially applied for compassionate appointment has expired during pendency of this Original Application on 28.10.2021. He produced the copy of death certificate. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that during pendency of this Original Application, name of Shri Dinesh Waman Sakpal i.e applicant's elder brother is removed from the waiting list due to his illness.



//2//

O.A.1082/2019

4. Learned P.O. to place on record subsequent development and current status by filing short affidavit on behalf of the respondents.

5. S.O. to 13.12.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543 OF 2019**  
**(Shivprasad C. Potpallewar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R. Lukhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
3. Pleadings are complete.
4. The matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 21.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.34 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.122 OF 2021  
(Vijay R. Shringare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 22.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.306 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1336 OF 2020  
(Sanjeev Ranganath Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.11.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**