

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.932 of 2019</p> <p><b>N. R. Ghatne</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the order dated 09.09.2019 whereby his deputation was cancelled and his services were repatriated to Revenue Department. There is no interim relief in the matter.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client wants to withdraw the O.A. He has tendered a letter of the Applicant to that effect. It is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification. The Applicant is also present in person.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he be permitted to withdraw the O.A. However, he has requested for direction to Respondent No.1 for issuance of appropriate posting order in view of his repatriation to <sup>Revenue</sup> Review Department. His submission is quite fair. Learned Counsel further pointed out that the Applicant has already made representation on 24.09.2019 addressed to Respondent No.1 for issuance of appropriate posting orders.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the O.A. The Respondent No.1 is directed to issue appropriate posting order within a week.</p> <p>6. Original Application is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.12.2019.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1035 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. P. B. Thakare &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On 03.12.2019, this Tribunal has passed order directing C.P.O. to see whether the Applicants are entitled to the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.829 and 830/2015 decided on 16.09.2016. In view of submission made by learned Counsel that the issue in present O.A. is squarely covered by the said decision.</p> <p>4. In reply filed today, in para no.IX, it is stated as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"IX. Taking into consideration above mentioned facts and circumstances, it can be stated that proper decision regarding issue involved in the present matter can be taken only after clarification of the UGC is received. This Hon'ble Tribunal had directed not to recover excess amount paid to the applicants involved in O.A.No.s.829 &amp; 830/2015 vide order and judgment dated 16.09.2016 passed in those matters. Therefore, the respondents have no objection if same relief is granted to the applicants in the present matter."</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In view of above, learned C.P.O. submits that the present O.A. be disposed of by granting relief in terms of relief granted in O.A.Nos.829 &amp; 830/2015 decided on 16.09.2016. In O.A.No.829 &amp; 830/2015 in Para No.6 the Tribunal held as follows:-</p> <p>"6. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the impugned orders dated 22.06.2011 are quashed and set aside. The letter dated 29.03.2010 is not in tune with the G.R. dated 10.11.2009. Also in the light of facts mentioned in the letter dated 30.06.2016 by the Respondent No.2, it is clear that the Respondent No.2 is having rethinking about that letter dated 29.3.2010 (not 20.3.2011 as mentioned in the relief clause 8(B)). The Respondent No.2 may issue appropriate instructions in this regard. No recovery will be made from the Applicants on account of granting them AGP of Rs.10,000/-. This order will, however, not come in the way of the Respondents, if the conditions of academic performance as laid down by U.G.C. or the concerned University are made applicable for grant of AGP of Rs.10,000/- to Associate Professors in terms of clause 3(3) (a)(xii) of G.R. dated 10.11.2009. These Original Applications are allowed accordingly with no order as to costs."</p> <p>6. In view of above, the impugned order dated 02.06.2019, 02.04.2019 and 11.10.2019 issued by Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 respectively are quashed and set aside.</p> <p>7. The Applicant being similarly situated persons they are declared entitled to the relief granted by this Tribunal by judgment dated 16.09.2016 in O.A.Nos.829 &amp; 830/2015.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>Date : 17.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1037, 1039, 1040, 1041 &amp; 1042 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G. B. Taware &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these Original Applications are connected and arising from the common issue.</p> <p>3. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply in O.A.No.1037/2019 on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. She submits that the Respondents are adopting the same reply in connected Original Applications and file Affidavit to that effect separately in each O.A.</p> <p>4. On request of Learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 07.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1061 of 2019</p> <p>P. R. Acharekar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 6 separately. It is taken on record. learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of remaining Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to <sup>02</sup><del>04</del>.01.2020.</p> <p align="right">N..U Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.12.2019.

O.A.No.1206 of 2019

A. R. Dabade .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 20.11.2019 whereby he is transferred from Virar Police Station to Control Room, Palghar.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks interim stay to the transfer order contending that the transfer is mid-term and mid-tenure and unsustainable in law.
4. Whereas, learned C.P.O. has tendered the minutes of PEB which inter-alia discloses that PEB has transferred the Applicant in view of default report submitted against him. As such, prima-facie, there is compliance of Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.
5. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.01.2020.
7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 15.01.2020.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 266/2018 with O.A 166/2019</u></b></p> <p>Smt K.S Kulkarni Shri N.W Dandekar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicants seek time to file sur-sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 19.12.2019 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 705/2018 with O.A 7066/2019</u></b></p> <p>V.D Kamane P.S Parab &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during final hearing learned advocate for the applicants submits that for the first time he has come to understand about the breaks in service of the applicants. He submits that he needs to bring on record Circulars/Rules/G.Rs pertaining to the same.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 6.1.2020. Failure to comply and furnish on record Circulars/Rules/G.Rs would be viewed adversely.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: <del>17.12.2019.</del></p> <p>M.A.No.681 of 2019 in O.A.No.1213 of 2019</p> <p>N. V. Rithe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.681/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="706 541 901 575"><b>Date : 17.12.2019.</b></p> <p data-bbox="876 586 1096 619"><b>O.A.No.1213 of 2019</b></p> <p data-bbox="706 641 1250 674"><b>N. V. Rithe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p data-bbox="706 696 779 729"><b>Versus</b></p> <p data-bbox="706 763 1209 796"><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p data-bbox="706 818 1258 929">1. Heard Shri A. V. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="706 951 1258 1349">2. Present O.A. is filed for retiral benefits. The Tribunal has granted relief in O.A.No.975/2018 decided on 16.04.2019 and confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court on 01.08.2019 in W.P. No.7753/2019. Thus, it appears that the Applicants being similarly situated persons are entitled to the benefits of the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.975/2018. Indeed, the Respondents at their own ought to have considered this aspect.</p> <p data-bbox="706 1393 1258 1614">3. Learned P.O. therefore, directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to why the Applicants being similarly situated persons same benefits should not be conferred to them. The Respondents shall file short Affidavit on next date.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2020.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 02.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 17.12.2019.**

**M.A.No.679 of 2019 in O.A.No.1212 of 2019**

**S. V. Rane & Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A. V. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A.No.679/2019 is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="695 409 889 437"><b>Date : 17.12.2019.</b></p> <p data-bbox="867 455 1081 484"><b>O.A.No.1212 of 2019</b></p> <p data-bbox="699 504 1235 533"><b>S. V. Rane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p data-bbox="695 570 769 599"><b>Versus</b></p> <p data-bbox="695 625 1195 654"><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p data-bbox="695 687 1247 787">1. Heard Shri A. V. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="695 814 1247 935">2. In the present matter, challenge is to the impugned order dated 09.08.2019 whereby the Applicant No.2 was directed to vacate the quarter.</p> <p data-bbox="695 953 1260 1705">3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant No.2 was in service as ASI and stands retired on 30.11.2017. Later, by order dated 10.09.2018, Applicant No.1 was appointed on the post of Police Constable and after completion of training, posted in Mumbai. Applicant No.2 was allotted police quarter during his service but continued the possession of police quarter even after retirement. Applicant No.1 made an application on 01.11.2019 to transfer the police quarter allotted to his father in his name on the basis of Circular dated 17.10.2013 which inter-alla states that in case son of retired police personnel is appointed in police department and applied for continuation of quarter then they should not be evicted. However, it was not responded. It is on the above background, notice of eviction was served upon the Applicants.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned P.O. however, submits that police department has already sealed the quarter on 13.11.2019 and prepared Punchnama. He has also tendered the copy of Punchnama for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Thus, it seems that the Applicants are already dispossessed of the quarters, therefore, the question of interim relief does not survive.</p> <p>6. issue notice before admission returnable on 01.01.2020.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 01.01.2020.</p> <p>13. In the meantime, the quarters in question should not be allotted to anybody else till filing of reply.</p> <p>14. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.12.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1215 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D. G. Kajave</b> .....Applicants <b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 11.12.2019 whereby he is transferred from Police Station, Amboli to Special Branch-I.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that transfer is mid-term and mid-tenure and in contravention of Maharashtra Police Act, and therefore, prayed for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. has tendered the file for perusal of the Tribunal which shows that on default report, the PEB resolved to transfer the Applicant invoking Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>5. As such, prima-facie, there is compliance of provision of Maharashtra Police Act. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief.</p>

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2020.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 07.01.2020.

Sd/-

v  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1208/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.B Chauhan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 5.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 5.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b></span> <b>Member (J)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**17.12.2019**

**M.A 678/2019 in O.A 1209/2019**

Shri S.A Patil ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.A Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before returnable on 6.2.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.Q to 6.2.2020.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

(P.N'Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 50/2019 in O.A 846/2003 with 389/2001</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.A Pawar &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files his reply on behalf of Respondents no. 1 &amp; 2. He further submits that the progress regarding action taken by GAD as well as date of meeting of D.P.C will be intimated by tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N<sup>l</sup> Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 62/2019 in O.A 464/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.W Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 8.1.2020 for intimating the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <sup>W</sup> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>17.12.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 66/2019 in 1062/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr B.T Karvekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits on instructions that copy of the order providing provisional pension would be made available to the learned advocate for the applicant during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O 18.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>