(G.)	C.P.)	J	2260	(A)	(50,000 - 2 - 2015)	
------	-------	---	------	-----	---------------------	--

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A.1</u>	66/2020	
	Shri U.S. Jagtap Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharasht & Ors.	ra Respondents	
	Ranjana Todankar, learne	Murgude holding for Smt. d Advocate for the Applicant ned Presenting Officer for the	
	2. The learned P.O. so Sur-rejoinder stating that recently before vacation.	ought two weeks' timc to file Applicant has filed Rejoinder	
	3. Matter be kept for learned P.O. to file Sur-rejoi	final hearing with liberty to nder, if any, well in advance.	
	4. S.O. to 6^{th} December	, 2021.	
<i>.</i>		Sd/	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021	
	(skw)		

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMBA			
Original Application No.	of 20	Dı	STRICT	
ci i Francisci				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versus			
The S	State of Maharash	ntra and others		
			F	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	1	copondent//s
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's o	orders	
		<u>0.A.834/2</u>	<u>2021</u>	
	Shri S.S. D	helake	Applic	ant
	Vs. The State	of Maharashtra		
	& Ors.		Respo	ndents
	2. This phaving found sent to this	cant and his Advo urned Presenting Offic matter was placed be I that matter pertain Bench. However, k absent. Hence, adjou	er is present. fore Division ed to Single J earned Advoc	Bench, but Bench, it is
			sd/-	/
	(skw)	· N	. Kurhekar) Iember-J 7.11.2021	
			,	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A.</u>	765/2020
	Shri T.P. Rathod Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht & Ors.	ra Respondents
	. Heard Shri A.V. Ba for the Applicant and Mrs. A Officer for the Respondents	andiwadekar, learned Advocate A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting
	ϕ .A.No.31/2020 and O.A.No.22.11.2021, since all these	ate for the Applicant submits ard along with connected [0.22/2021 which are fixed on matter are arising from same nquiries were conducted by
	3. This O.A. is therefore 2021 for hearing with conne	e adjourned to 22 nd November, ected O.As.
		Sd/-
	(skw)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.166/2020</u>	
	Shri U.S. Jagtap Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht & Ors.	tra Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Ba for the Applicant and Ms. Presenting Officer for the R	andiwadekar, learned Advocate S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief espondents.
	2. Today, learned Ad	vocate for the Applicant has a copy of which was already
	3. Today, the learne Affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder. I	d CPO has also tendered t is taken on record.
	A. Arguments heard.	
		Sd/-
	(\$kw)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021
		+

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.606/2021</u>		
	Shri P.P. Doiphode Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra	1	
	& Ors.	Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Ban for the Applicant and Ms. S. Presenting Officer for the Res	diwadekar, learned Advocate P. Manchekar, learned Chief pondents.	
	2. Today, the learned Cl Respondent Nos.1 & 2 to cou advanced by the learned Advo the course of submissions.	PO has filed short Affidavit of inter some of the arguments ocate for the Applicant during	
	3. On the request of Applicant, adjourned for to hearing at the stage of admiss	learned Advocate for the morrow i.e. 18.11.2021 for ion as a Part Heard matter.	
	4. S.O. to 18 th November,		
		Sd/-	
	(skw)	A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021	

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			. Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	0.A.61/2020 with	M.A.325/2020
•	The State of Maharashtra Vs. Shri N.D. Bhosale	& Ors Applicants (Ori. Respondents) Respondent (Ori. Applicant)
	 due to sickness of his son. 2. The learned P.O. tender. Respondent Nos. 3 & 4. It is ta 	s Sur-rejoinder on bobolf of
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(A	Sd/- .P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A.298/2020 Shri R.S. Karpe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate 1. for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The learned PO seeks time to file minutes of DPC <u>þ</u>. along with Affidavit. Time granted. β. S.O. to 22nd November, 2021. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021 (skw)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
•	<i>,</i>	Applicant/s
(Advocate)	

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A.622 & 623/2021		
	Shri M.R. Ahire Applicant		
	Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Bospondents		
	Respondents		
	Advocate holding for Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.		
	2. Today, the learned Advocate has file Vakalatnama in place of Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre The same is taken on record.		
	3. Adjourned for final hearing on 9 th December, 2021		
	Ň		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar)		
<i>,</i>	Member-J 17.11.2021		
	(skw)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
χ.			
,			

[PTO]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.508/2021 in O.A.849/2021

Shri S.N. Thakur Vs. The State of Maharashtra

& Ors.

1.

2'

... Applicant

... Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B.

This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay in filing 2. O.A.

Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 15.12.2021. 3.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this 4. stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on 5 Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of 6. the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed 7. Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

In case, notice is not collected within three days or 8. service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 15.12.2021.

> (A.P. Kurhekar) **Member-J** 17.11.2021

Sd/v

(skw)

•

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
		<u>O.A.720/2021</u>
	Shri Y.P. Bhoi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah	arashtra
	& Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri I the Applicant and M Officer for the Respo	J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Ar. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting ndents.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	granted to file Affida of Government Ashra	uest of learned P.O, short time is avit of Shri Kishor Patil, Head Master am School at Khutal in terms of order inal on 27.10.2021 as well as also to to O.A.
	3. S.O. to 22 nd N	November, 2021.
		Sd/-
		V
·		(A.P. Kurhekar)
		Member-J 17.11.2021
	(skw)	
•		
· ·		
· · · ·		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A.112/2020</u>		
	Shri D.W. Patil Applicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri C.W. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. At the request of learned P.O, four weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply as last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.		
	3. S.O. to 25 th November, 2021.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.735 of 2</u>	<u>021</u>
	J.K. Mazgaonkar	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Smt. Archana Presenting Officer for Respondent N learned Advocate for Respondent no.	B. Kololgi, learned o.1. Shri K.R. Jagdale.
	2. Ld. PO submits that affidavi respondent no.1 will be filed during The statement is accepted. It be take	, the course of the day.
	3. At the request of Ld. Advoca weeks time is granted for filing rejoin	nte for, the applicant one order.
	4. S.O. to 25.11.2021.	۸.
		Sd/-
	v	
		.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
		17.11.2021
	(sgj)	
		,

٠

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.110 of 2020</u>
	A.S. Azmi @ Shahid NadeemApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Anita Murgude holding for Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This OA was dismissed on 8.10.2021 but it is restored today in view of the order passed in MA No.460 of 2021.
	3. OA is therefore kept for final hearing on 6.12.2021 Ld. PO is at liberty to file reply in the OA in the meantime.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 M.A. No.460 of 2021 in O.A. No.110 of 2020 A.S. Azmi @ Shahid NadeemApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Ms. Anita Murgude holding for Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This MA is for restoration of OA which was dismissed in default on 8.10.2021. 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that she was instructed by Ms. Ranjana Todankar, who is appearing in the matter, to remain present in the matter, but when the matter was called out she could not remain present due to personal was appeared by the output of the could not remain present due to personal was appeared by the output of the could not remain present due to personal was appeared by the could not remain present due to personal was appeare
	 difficulty and therefore requests to restore the OA. 4. In the interest of justice, OA is restored. Order of dismissal of OA is recalled. 5. MA is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member (J) 17.11.2021 (sgj)
	,

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.65 of 2020</u>	
	S.P. Kapdne Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, le Officer for the Respondents.	Respondents Advocate for the earned Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant file applicant and the same is taken on record.	es rejoinder of the
	3. Since pleadings are completed adjourned for hearing at the stage of admis	the matter is sion.
	4. S.O. to 2.12.2021.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Ku Memb 17.11. (sgj)	er (J)
		,

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers
	<u>O.A. No.405 o</u>	<u>f 2021</u>
	A.P. Andhere	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Applicant is present in the applicant is absent. Heard Shri Presenting Officer for the Respond	A.J. Chougule, learned
	2. Today matter is for filing but the same is not filed.	rejoinder of the applicant,
	3. Since pleadings are comp final hearing on 7.12.2021.	lete the matter is kept for \mathbf{N}
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)	
		·

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.530 of 2021</u>
	K.B. UpaseApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of Ld. advocate for the applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.
	3. This OA be kept for hearing at the stage of admission along with connected matters viz. OAs. No.183/2021 and 184/2021, which are also on today's board.
	4. S.O. to 1.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.As. No.599 & 600 of 2021</u>
	A.T. Chowdhar P.L. ShindeApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note.
	3. In both the matters time was granted for filing affidavit in reply. But the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. Hence, both the matters be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.
	4. S.O. to 7.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.619 of 20</u>	<u>)21</u>
	A.S. Chaudhari	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents.	r, learned Advocate for ale, learned Presenting
	2. In the above matter last chance affidavit in reply. But the same is not inclined to grant further time. Hence hearing at the stage of admission with	t filed. Hence, I am not t, the matter be kept for
	4. S.O. to 9.12.2021 for hea admission.	ring at the stage of
		N N Sd/-
	V	
	Ν	P. Kurhekar) Aember (J) 7.11.2021
	(sgj)	7.11.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.638 of 2021</u>
	H.S. PotdarApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.
	4. S.O. to 1.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

$({\rm G.C.P.}) \ J \ 2737 \ (50,000 - 4 - 2019)$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.820 of 2021</u>
	S.D. ArjunApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.
	2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note.
	3. Two weeks time is granted for filing affidavit i reply.
	4. S.O. to 2.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.648 of 20</u>	<u>21</u>
	M.M. Thakur Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for
	2. At the request of Ld. PO time reply by way of last chance.	e is granted for filing
	3. S.O. to 1.12.2021.	Sd/-
	Me	Kurhekar) ember (J) .11.2021
		[PTO.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Q A No 646 of 2021
	<u>O.A. No.646 of 2021</u>
	T.A. SayyadApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Perusal of record reveals that enough time was granted to file reply, but no reply is filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.
	3. OA. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.
	4. S.O. to 3.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000–4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O A N. 740 - £202	1
	<u>O.A. No.749 of 2021</u>	
	D.V. Kalekar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Two weeks time granted for filing affidavit in reply by way of last chance.	
	3. S.O. to 3.12.2021.	
	S	۲ Sd/-
	Men	Kurhekar) nber (J) 1.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>Tribunal's orders</u> <u>O.A. No.731 of 2021</u>	
	<u>0.A. No. 751 01 2</u>	
	S.I. Abbas Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumka the Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	r, learned Advocate for ule, learned Presenting
	2. At the request of Ld. PO two weeks time is granted for filing reply.	
	3. S.O. to 3.12.2021.	1. K - Sd/-
	(A. N	P. Kurhekar) Aember (J) 7.11.2021
	(sgj)	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.686 of 2021 S.V. WaghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent and the same is taken on record.	
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder.	
	4. OA be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 3.12.2021.	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021 (sgj)	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	

O.A.564/2021

K.T. Bargaje & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

... Applicants

Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 24.02.2021 whereby the claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected stating that Applicant's father has taken voluntary retirement.

Indeed, Applicant's father was made to retire on medical ground in 1993, and thereafter, he died in 1999. Thus, obviously, he has not taken voluntary retirement, and therefore, the reason shown in order dated 24.02.2021 is prima-facie incorrect.

Issue notice returnable on 15th December, 2021.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of dompliance and notice.

In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand |PTO .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

.

s_____ Tribunal's orders · dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be S.O. to 15th December, 2021. 10. . L Sd/-**W** ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.11.2021 (skw) •~

ť

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000---4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>17.11.2021</u>	
	<u>M.A 473/2021 in O.A 860/2021</u>	
	 S.B Karad & Ors Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject 	
	to payment of court fees, if not already paid.	
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	[PTO.	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	17.11.2021	
	M.A 28/2021 in O.A 96/2020	
	Shri C.R Baviskar	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	s Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam Sadavarte, learned advocate for Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.C	
	2. Learned C.P.O has re affidavit in reply dated 1.3.20 Bakaram Tidake, Member Director, Scheduled Tribe Committee, Nandurbar, Respo reproduced below:-	Secretary cum Deputy Certificate Scrutiny
	two documents, i.e. let Validity Certificate dated of the Respondent no. 2 shocked and surprised having letterhead, seal, the Respondent no. Members are false, documents and same Respondent no. 2 - Co time. In fact, the claim pending with Respondent therefore there is no g	t that on perusal of those ter dated 20.7.2020 and 111.3.2020, the Members 2, Committee were totally as those two documents stamp and signature of 2-Committee and its bogus and fabricated are not issued by the ommittee at any point of n of the Petitioner is still nt no. 2- Committee and juestion of issuing such and validity Certificate
	3. Learned counsel for a additional affidavit in reply file 8.4.2021, where he has confirm issued by Mr Tidake in the pre- his Personal Assistant.	ned that the Certificate is
	4. In view of this Certificat that a false document is preser Hence, Registrar, Maharashtra is directed to lodge a crimina applicant with Cuffe Parade Poli to carry out necessary investiga of the enquiry, if any, conducte be sent to the Tribunal, to adjuct	Administrative Tribunal al complaint against the ice Station and the Police ation. The copy of report d by the Police is also to
	5. S.O to 27.1.2022.	·7 1
	1	Sd/-
	√ Akn	Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [<i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 17.11.2021	
	O.A.No.890/2021 with O.A.No.891/2021 with O.A.No.896/2021 with O.A.No.835/2021 with O.A.No.836/2021 with O.A.No.837/2021 with O.A.No.838/2021 with O.A.No.839/2021	
	R.V. Solunka (O.A.890/2021) K.L. Latpate (O.A.891/2021) D.M. More (O.A.896/2021) D.D. Dhavale (O.A.835/2021) R.R. Telange (O.A.836/2021) P.R. Rupekar (O.A.837/2021) S.B. Bhujang (O.A.838/2021) R.R. Raut (O.A.839/2021)Applicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Mr. Amol B. Chalak, learned Advocate a/w Mr. Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. Vishant Rathod, learned Advocate instructed by Mr. Thorate & Mr. Ganesh Gadhe, learned Advocate for the Maharashtra Olympic Association and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The learned C.P.O. today submits that in these Original Applications the applicants have challenged the cancellation of their Sports Validity Certificate of the Sports Gymnastics and these orders were passed by the Deputy Director, Aurangabad. 	
W	3. The learned C.P.O. now informs that the applicants have rightly taken recourse of filing an appeal before the competent appellate authority i.e. Joint Director Sports, Balewadi, Pune. She produces order dated 15.11.2021 and 16.11.2021 in respect of eight applicants in these eight Original Applications. The Joint Director, Sports have allowed the Appeal and has directed the Deputy Director, Sports and Youth, Aurangabad to issue proper show cause notice to all the Appellants [<i>PTO.</i>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders (present applicants) and they are to be heard on the point of their Sports validity certificate.	
	4. Incidentally, Mr. Makarand Joshi, Secretary	
	of Maharashtra Amateur Gymnastics Association,	
	Aurangabad who is representing on behalf of	
	Respondent No.4 is present. The following queries were made to Mr. Makarand Joshi :-Query No.1. What are the 5 disciplines under Gymnastics and which of these are included in Olympics Games, Common Wealth Games and Asian Games.Answer : The names of 5 disciplines are 1 Artistic Gymnastics 2 Rhythmic Gymnastics 3 Trampoline Gymnastics 5 Acrobatic Gymnastics3 Out of above 5 disciplines, for Olympics Games and Asian Games, only 3 disciplines are approved, which are 1 Artistic Gymnastics 3 Trampoline Gymnastics 	
	5. With these all the Original Applications are	
	5. With these all the Original Applications are disposed of.	
	-	
	Sd/- / ` (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	prk	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.360 of 2021 in O.A. No.808 of 2021</u> B.R. SheelwantApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. At the request of Ld. PO three weeks time is granted for filing reply.	
	3. S.O. to 3.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021	
	(sgj) .	

,

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.501 of 2021 in O.A. No.906 of 2021</u>	
	P.K. Mokashi & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.	
	Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021 (sgj)	

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 O.A. No.906 of 2021 P.K. Mokashi & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The applicants have challenged order of punishmer dated 6.11.2020 maintaining appeal thereby imposin punishment of recovery of Rs.1000/- from them. Issue notice before admission returnable of 15.12.2021. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bod of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternaremedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speet post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. In case notice. In case notice.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

٠

۵

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	;
	<u>M.A. No.502 of 2021 in O.A.</u>	. No.907 of 2021
	P.K. Mokashi & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadek the Applicants and Smt. Archan Presenting Officer for the Responder	a B. Kololgi, learned
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.	
		\mathcal{N}
		Sd/-
	1	.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)	
		,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.907 of 2021 P.K. Mokashi & OrsApplicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicants are challenging the order date 10.11.2021 whereby on reinstatement they were give posting in Headquarter instead of Crime Branch where the were posted at the time of suspension.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable of 15.12.2021.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at th stage and separate notice for final disposal need not b issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duathenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice.
	8. In case notice is not collected within seven days an if service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without referent to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021
	(sgj)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.858 of 2021</u>
	V.T. PatilApplicantApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri S.N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 25.8.2021 whereby his claim for deemed date of promotion for the post of Senior Clerk w.e.f. 1.6.2007 has been rejected. He was promoted to the post of Senior Clerk on 1.6.2013 but claim deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 1.6.2007 which has been denied to him.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2021.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry
	,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 17.11.2021

,

ſ.

(sgj)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>M.A 233/2020 in O.A 511/2020</u>
	Ms P.S Sawant Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Amol Jagtap i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. This Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay. The cause of action arose on 13.6.2017 and thus the limitation started running from 12.6.2018. The applicant filed Writ Petition No. 10580/2019 on 28.8.2019 and the said Writ Petition was dismissed on 22.9.2020 with directions to the applicant to approach the Tribunal.
	3. O.A 511/2020 is filed on 28.9.2020 thereafter. Hence, in view of the chronology, it is necessary for the applicant to explain the delay of 14 months, i.e. from 12.6.2018 to 28.8.2019 when the Writ Petition was filed.
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant admits that there are no special reason justifying the delay of 14 months.
	5. My attention is drawn to the earlier litigation, i.e. W.P 2541/2014. The applicant has approached the Hon'ble High Court for her appointment in Government service and it appears that she is pursuing this matter since then.
	6. Considering this fact, the delay of 14 months is hereby condoned with cost of Rs. 1000/- which is to be paid within one week in the office of the Deputy Registrar of this Tribunal.
	7. M.A stands disposed of.
	8. O.A 511/2020 to be placed on 12.1.2022.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>O.A 682/2021</u>
	Smt Dr K.J Kuwar & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek permission to add private parties as Party Respondents.
	3. Permission granted. They are to be added as Party Respondents forthwith and they are to be served within three days.
	4. M.P.S.C is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.
	5. The orders of promotion can be issued for the post of Education Officer, however, it will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.
	6. S.O to 25.11.2021. , , , , Sd/-
	/ ~
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>O.A 551/2021</u>
	Shri C.J Gudewar Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O states that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed.
	3. S.O to 5.1.2022.
	13 11
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn
	IDTC

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7.11.2021
	<u>O.A 488/2021 with O.A 660/2021</u>
	S.C Patil & Ors Applicants Vs.
	he State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents . Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the pplicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for he Respondents.
	Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply in O.A 88/2021 and states that affidavit in reply is to be filed in A 660/2021.
	Learned counsel for the applicants seek time to file file affidavit in rejoinder.
	. S.O to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	¹ kn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 17.11.2021 O.A.No.892 of 2021
	S.B. KharatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Amol B. Chalak, learned Advocate a/w Mr. Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Adjourned to 16.12.2021. Interim relief to continue till next date. Sd/- Sd/- Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 17.11.2021 C.A.No.54 of 2019 in O.A.No.768 of 2018 K.R. NannawareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Mr. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate submits on instructions from the Applicant that the Applicant has received payment as per 7 th Pay Commission and thus order of the Tribunal is compiled to that extend. He submits that as per the directions of the Tribunal the D.E. which was conducted and is also over. The Applicant is now exonerated. Therefore, the Respondents have to take decision about the payment of pay fixation of the suspension period.
	3. The learned Advocate further submits that thus the decision in respect of period of suspension i.e. from 05.12.2014 to 09.02.2015 is to be taken accordingly. Only this portion of the compliance is remained.
	4. The learned P.O. is directed to communicate this to the concerned Department.
	5. Matter is fixed on 17.12.2021.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk [P.T.O.

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7.11.2021
	<u>O.A 349/2021</u>
	Vishwanath E. Mhatre Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply within two weeks, by way of last chance.
	3. Till two weeks, the Respondents should not pass any final order.
	4. S.O to 1.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn
	[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Image: Provide state of the state of Maharashtra & Ors Applicants None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Marchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Midavit in reply is already filed. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- Sd/- Middia Bhatkar, J.) Main the state of Maharashtra & Marchekar, J.)	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
 R.M Rathod S.B Machale S.D Patil Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents I. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Affidavit in reply is already filed. 3. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 		17.11.2021
S.B Machale S.D Patil Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Affidavit in reply is already filed. Affidavit in reply is already filed. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022.		<u>O.A 73, 74 and 75/2021</u>
The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Affidavit in reply is already filed. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		\$.B Machale\$.D Patil Applicants
Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Affidavit in reply is already filed. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		
 3. Original Application is admitted. 4. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 		
 Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 		2. Affidavit in reply is already filed.
Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		3. Original Application is admitted.
Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		4. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2022.
Chairperson		
		/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		Akn
[PT.O.		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	17.11.2021
	<u>O.A 135/2020</u>
:	Smt J.R Choudhary Applicant Vs.
,	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri Satish Kumbhar, learned advocate for he applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for he Respondents.
	2. Affidavit in reply is already filed.
	B. Original Application is admitted.
	Place for final hearing in 1.2.2022.
	Sd/-
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	4kn
	[P.T.O.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>M.A 465/2021 in O.A 214/2020</u>
	S.K Khomane Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O on instructions from the Revenue Department, states that the meeting of the D.P.C will be held within one month and the case of the applicant will be considered.
	3. S.O to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>M.A 76/2021 in O.A 465/2021</u>
	S.K Mukherjee Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the
	applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Copy of the Misc Application to be furnished to the office of the learned C.P.O today itself.
	3. S.O to 17.12.2021.
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>M.A 480/2021 in O.A 871/2021</u>
	V.R Sawant & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.
	lı Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Akn

[*P.T.O*.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	17.11.2021
	<u>O.A 871/2021</u>
	V.R Sawant & Ors Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. All the applicants who are working as Staff Nurse claims regularization of their services from the respective dates of their appointment. However, they all are regularized from 15.4.2015. The said orders are challenged to the extent of date of regularization.
	3. Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2021.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. S.O to 15.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>O.A 860/2021</u>
	S.B Karad & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice returnable on 15.12.2021.
	3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	6. S.O to 15.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	O.A 841/2021 (O.A 919/2017) Nagpur
	D.B Kurale Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire with Shri S.B Bhosale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to forthwith furnish copy of the Original Application along with other documents to the office of the learned C.P.O.
	3. The matter be placed under the caption "Due Admission".
	4. S.O to 15.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[*P.T.O.*

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	<u>O.A 814/2021</u>
	Shri A.R Patil & Ors Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice returnable on 15.12.2021.
	3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	6. S.O to 15.12.2021.
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>17.11.2021</u>
	M.A 456/2021 in O.A 814/2021
	Shri A.R Patil & Ors Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn
	·
	[<i>PT.O.</i>