Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.10.2016

O.A. No. 998 of 2016

S. R. Ganesh **.... Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

2. By the order dated 22.8.2016, the applicant came to be placed under suspension against which order, he has moved this O.A..

3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant took me through the said order as well as the provisions of Rule 4 of the MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. While, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. invited reference to the fact that the Applicant has moved in appeal against the order of suspension, and therefore, according to him, this O.A. is pre-matured.

4. I direct that as of now, the Respondents shall file an Affidavit-in-Reply restricted to the issue of interim relief in which apart from the stand with regard to the maintainability of O.A., above referred to, they shall also deal with the fact falling within Rule 4 as whole of (D & A) Rules.

5. Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2016

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 21.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

VSM

DATE : 17/10/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
~~Advocate for the Applicant~~
~~Shri/Smt.~~ N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O ~~/ P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 21/11/16
Hamdast.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO.55 of 2016

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.930 OF 2014

Smt. S.A. Joshi ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Savita Suryawanshi – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 17th October, 2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO has tendered affidavit affirmed by Smt. Medha Anant Gadgil the contemnor.

3. The contemnor has annexed copy of Govt. order dated 26.9.2016. Perusal of order dated 26.9.2016 reveals that:

(b) These measures do not show that serious efforts and proper steps to take cognizance and steps needed in order to carry out the orders of the Tribunal are taken.

(c) It is not shown that at any stage Additional Chief Secretary / Secretary is involved.

(d) It is really a puzzle that how an officer can exclude himself / herself from perusing the order of the Tribunal / notices of contempt of his / her own.

(e) Any procedure is not prescribed for guiding to deal with the case when in case more than one department are involved.

(f) It is also silent as to the manner in which the matter be dealt with when time allowed by the Tribunal is not adequate etc.

4. At this stage the Ld. PO states that she would speak to the contemnor and find out as to whether what more effective and comprehensive measures would be taken would be placed on record and proper affidavit will be filed.

5. If no response and improvement is shown some order as to cognizance may have to be passed. Hence, S.O. to 19.10.2016.

6. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
17.10.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\10 October 2016\CA.55.16 in OA930.14-SAJoshi-SO.19.10.16.doc

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

M.A.NO.399 OF 2016 IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.919 OF 2016

DISTRICT: THANE

H.R. Jadhav ...**Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...**Respondents**

Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 17.10.2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. prays for time to respond to the application on the ground that copy of transfer application is not delivered by the Applicant to the office of Chief Presenting Officer.

3. Party in person states that copy will be delivered within one hour.

4. Though present M.A. is for transfer, this Tribunal is curious to know as to what is the stage of departmental enquiry in the background that the suspension is ordered in contemplation of disciplinary proceedings.

5. It appears that enquiry may revolve on the point of alleged communications of mobile phone between the complainant and the applicant, apart from the imputations contained in the anonymous application/ compliant.

6. State/ Respondent No.1 is directed to produce for perusal of Tribunal :-

(a) Copy of preliminary enquiry report, if any.

(b) Papers collected during the preliminary enquiry, if any.

(c) Copy of note sheet accompanying documents and order / decision to suspend.

7. Respondent No.1 is directed to communicate through learned P.O. as to the time frame within which the charge sheet be served on the applicant.

8. Applicant states that :-

(a) He wants time to study and search the law and to address thereafter.

(b) The case be listed for hearing on 20.10.2016.

9. The Respondent No.1 is directed to as follows :-

(a) Produce before the Tribunal the Government file in which applicant's suspension is processed, and all other papers referred to in foregoing paragraphs No.6.

(b) State the time frame as indicated in foregoing paragraph no.7.

(c) State on next date, as to whether the appeal preferred by the applicant before His Excellency the Governor is assigned to some officer/ Hon'ble Minister.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

11. Adjourned to 20.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.410/2016

Shri S.L. Thorat & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 15.11.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15th November, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

DATE : 17/10/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 15/11/16.

[PTO

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE: 17/10/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Adj. To.....~~
O.A. is Admit
S.O. to 21/10/16. FH
with
(OA 194/16)

O.A.410/2016

Shri N.M. Jagtap ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Keep the matter for final hearing on 21st October, 2016 along with OA 194/2016.

17.10.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

[P.T.O.

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 17/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

~~C.P.O/P.O.~~ for the Respondents

M.A. is allowed

~~Adj. To.~~

O.A. Adj. to 14/11/16.

(skw)

M.A.208/2016 in O.A.420/2016

Shri R.K. Malshikhare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant by this application mainly contends that in view of the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, (2008) 8 SCC 648 and in the case of M.R. Gupta Vs. Union of India, 1995 (5) SCC 628, there is no delay because it is a case of recurring cause of action and in any event, the delay, if any, needs to be condoned.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard the rival submissions. I agree with the Applicant that in view of the fact that it is an instance of recurring cause of action, there is no hurdle of limitation. The MA is allowed with no order as to costs. The Applicant and the Office are directed to process the matter further so that OA be placed before the appropriate Bench for hearing.

Sd/- 17.10.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

[P.T.O.

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 17/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEAL NO. :

~~Shri/Smt. :~~ M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. :~~ S. Suryawanshi

~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 10/11/16.

Heard on the order passed in the Tribunal's contempt.

C.A.82/2016 in O.A.810/2015

Shri P.D. Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard the rival submissions. As per my order dated 1.12.2015, the compliance ought to have been made by 31st March, 2016. In fact, MA 197/2016 in this very disposed of OA was taken up and by a stongly worded order, I rejected that application. Much as I appreciate the duty consciousness of the leanred PO in continuing to ask for more and more time, I am also ordained by the need to obtain the majesty of law and legal institution. Therefore, the limits of the judicial patience having been stretched beyond tolerance, I direct issuance of Contempt Notice to the Respondent Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary (Home Department), Mantralaya returnable on 10th November, 2016.. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 17.10.16
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.780 & 782/2015

Shri C.T. Kamble & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongade, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Admit.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Kept for hearing on 8th November, 2016.

Sd/- 17.10.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

DATE: 17/10/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. S.D. Dhongade
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. A.J. chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
O.A. is Admit.
Adj. To S.O. to 8/11/16
File

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 17/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ M.A. is Allowed.

M.A.1003/2016 in O.A.410/2016

Shri S.L. Thorat & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.891/2015

Shri B.D. Gavali ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... **Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Rejoinder taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
17.10.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

DATE: 17/10/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents
Rejoinder filed by Applicant.
Adj. To
O.A. is Admit.
Liberty to mention.
granted.

(skw)

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.333/2016

Shri A.M.U. Qureshi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The leraned PO seeks further adjournment. ~~This~~ it is the matter of great regret. This OA appeared on 13th April, 2016 before the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman and then on 8.6.2016, 14.6.2016 and 19.8.2016 before the Hon'ble Chairman, but still the matter remains where it was exemplifying quite clearly that the indulgence shown by the Tribunal has been completely misplaced. The matter is now finally adjourned to 7th November, 2016 make it clear that if the further steps are taken and reflected on record so much the beter, otherwise this OA shall proceed to the next stage.

S.O. to 7th November, 2016.

Sd/- 17.10.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
17.10.2016

(skw)

DATE: 17/10/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To S.O. to 7/11/16.

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.10.2016.

O.A.No.148 of 2015

R.K. Shirsath **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar states as follows :-

(a) Applicant has made representation on 02.09.2016 requesting for posting at a vacant post available at Mumbai.

(b) Applicant urges that let the Government consider his request.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri ~~K.B. Bhise~~ A.J. Chougule is called to state after taking instructions as to whether application submitted by applicant can be considered, and if yes, within how much time.

4. S.O. to 25.10.2016.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

[P.T.O.

DATE: 17/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/10/16.

Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O.

MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders CA.158/2014 in OA.160/2008 with OA.471/2012
DATE: 17/10/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 7/12/2016 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occassion arises. O.T.E.	Shri Y.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO states that writ petition filed by the State challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is expected to come up for hearing tomorrow. 3. S.O. to 7.12.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 17.10.2016 (sgj)

[P.T.O.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.109 of 2015 in O.A. No.353 of 2014
DATE: 17/10/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~ APPEARANCE: ~~Shri~~ S.P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant ~~Shri~~ N.G. Gohad C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 2/12/2016 with liberty to circulate before due date. PTE	Dr. K.S. Ramamurthy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO states that writ petition filed by the State challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is expected to come up for hearing tomorrow. 3. S.O. to 7.12.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 17.10.2016 (sgj)

[P.T.O.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.52 of 2015 in O.A. No.315 of 2014

Shri S.E. Pawar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that the report from Medical Board is awaited and the matter would be pursued today itself.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2016.

4. If any positive steps are not reported, the Dean of Sir J.J. Institute of Applied Art shall remain personally present.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
17.10.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 17/10/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : B.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri~~/Smt. : N.G. Gohad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 19/10/2016.

[P.T.O.

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.36 of 2015 in O.A. No.288 of 2013
	Shri P.M. Varande & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that the point involved in this case will be governed by the judgment that may be delivered by the Full Bench of this Tribunal which was held in the last week. 3. He, therefore, prays for adjournment awaiting the judgment of this Tribunal. 4. S.O. to 7.12.2016 with liberty for advancing the date, if occasion arises. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 17.10.2016 (sgj)
DATE: 17/10/2016 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member)(A~~ APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: B.A Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant ~~Shri~~/Smt.: N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 7/12/2016 with liberty to advancing the date, if occassion arises. BHE	

[P.T.O.