

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.345 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D. S. Modhe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 06.04.2021 whereby on promotion he was transferred from Khadak Police Station to Lonikand Police Station on the ground that he was not due for transfer and in view of family difficulties namely autism of daughter, asthma of mother, posting given at Lonikand Police Station is inconvenient to him.</p> <p>3. Indeed, this is not a case of transfer as we understood normally. This is a case of transfer on promotion from the post of Police Constable to Police Naik. Therefore, the question of curtaining of normal tenure of five years at one place could not rise. On promotion, the Applicant cannot ask for a particular place of his choice.</p> <p>4. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has already made representation dated 09.04.2021 as well as 24.05.2021 addressed to Respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Pune and with direction be given to them to decide the same. He, therefore, seeks liberty to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits that the representations will be considered in accordance to rules.</p> <p>6. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 09.04.2021 and 24.05.2021 made by the Applicant in accordance to rules with a months from today. The decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>7. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.308 of 2021 in O.A. No.469 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.U. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for condoning the delay in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO has raised the issue of limitation.</p> <p>4. However, in view of order dated 23.3.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 the delay was condoned after 15.3.2020. Thereafter the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 by order dated 27.4.2021 extended the period of limitation up to 19.7.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p>6. OA adjourned to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.9.2021 17.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.669 of 2020</p> <p>A. B. Hotkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has taken voluntary retirement in 2009 but his retirement benefits were not paid because of unauthorized occupation of the Government Quarter. Besides, one D.E. was also initiated against him, and therefore, gratuity was withheld. The Applicant was granted provisional pension which is continued till date.</li> <li>3. The Respondents have charged sum of Rs.8,64,285/- towards penal charges for unauthorized possession over the Government Quarter.</li> <li>4. The Applicant submits that he had already filed W.P. before the Hon'ble High Court challenging recovery of Rs.8,64,285/- and the same is subjudice.</li> <li>5. Insofar as D.E. is concerned, learned P.O. on instructions submits that the D.E. is now closed in view of the retirement of the Applicant.</li> <li>6. Thus, it appears that only on account of issue of recovery of Rs.8,64,285/- gratuity and regular pension is withheld.</li> <li>7. In respect of recovery of Rs.8,64,285/-, the Applicant has already filed W.P. before the Hon'ble High Court, and therefore, no further directions can be issued by this Tribunal as of now. It is only after the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. about recovery of penal charges, the Department will take further steps for releasing gratuity.</li> <li>8. In view of above, there is no point to keep the O.A. pending since the issue is already subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>9. In view of above, the Applicant states that he has no objection if O.A. be disposed of.</li> <li>10. For the aforesaid reasons, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.153 of 2021 in O.A.No.271 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>N. M. Pore</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhasasle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.271 /2021. The Applicant stands retired as Police Head Constable on 30.06.2016. After retirement without giving any notice sum of Rs.1,90,000/- was deducted from his gratuity despite the Circular dated 05.09.2018 issued by Special Inspector General of Police <i>inter-alia</i> stating that in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer))</i>, decided on 18th December, 2014, there should not be recovery from Class-3 employees after retirement. The Applicant, therefore, made representation on 23.10.2018 requesting the Respondents to refund the amount. It is thereafter only, the Respondents issued communication dated 16.11.2019 stating that recovery was proper in view of excess payment paid to him during service tenure. It is on this background, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. along with application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. In M.A., the delay is calculated as three years and nine months. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the delay is only of five months in view of recovery order dated 16.11.2019. He, therefore, submits that delay of five months be condoned so as to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that there is no satisfactory explanation to condone the delay and M.A. is liable to be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Admittedly, the Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2016 as Group-C employee. There is nothing on record that any notice or intimation was given to the Applicant before adjusting or deducting Rs.1,90,000/- from his retirement benefits. Indeed, in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Rafiq Masih's</i> case, the recovery of excess payment from retiral benefits of Group-C employee is impermissible in law.</p> <p>6. In view of above, in my considered opinion taking sympathetic view and justice oriented approach, the delay deserves to be condoned so that O.A. can be heard on merit particularly when it pertains to retirement benefits of Group-C employee.</p> <p>7. For the aforesaid reasons, the delay is condoned. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2021

O.A.No.141 of 2020

Dr. A. E. Gawali

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 07.02.2020 whereby he was transferred from Raigad to Akola.
3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent as seen from the orders dated 09.02.2021, 15.02.2021, 22.02.2021, 08.03.2021, 23.07.2021 and 24.08.2021.
4. Even at the stage of hearing also, the Applicant and his Counsel remained absent. It shows that they are not interested in the matter.
5. O.A. is, therefore, dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

✓  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2021

O.A.No.950 of 2019

With

O.A.No.1018 of 2019

S. K. Inamke

G. F. Patil

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that reply in both the Original Applications will be filed during the course of the date. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. Both these Original Applications along with M.A.No.516/2019 and M.A.No.561/2019 be kept for hearing.

4. S.O. to 12.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2021

M. A. No.291 of 2021 in O.A.No.950 of 2019

S. K. Inamke

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to incorporate certain amendments in prayer clause of O.A.No.950/2019 since it was left to be done while drafting original O.A.
3. Allowed to amend prayer clause of O.A. Amendment be carried out forthwith.
4. M.A.291/2021 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

W<sup>r</sup>  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.271 of 2021</p> <p>N. M. Pore ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosasle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. This O.A. was filed along with M.A. No.153/2021 which is for condonation of delay. Today, M.A.153/2021 is allowed.</li><li>3. This O.A. be, therefore, kept for hearing at the stage of admission since reply is already filed.</li><li>4. S.O. to 05.10.2021.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">N Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2021

O.A.No.428, 429, 430 & 431 of 2020

N. R. Tekam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent when the matters were listed for hearing at the stage of admission on 03.02.2021, 15.03.2021, 22.07.2021 and 17.08.2021.
4. As such, It appears that they are not interested in the matters despite enough opportunities.
5. O.A.s are, therefore, dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

N  
Sd/-

V  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.422 of 2020</p> <p>D. R. Bhosale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This matter was called thrice since morning but neither Applicant nor his Counsel remains present.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was suspended by order dated 17.07.2020 but later he was reinstated in service by order dated 11.11.2020.</li> <li>4. Later, the D.E. was also initiated against the Applicant and by order dated 25.11.2020 punishment of withholding one increment with cumulative effect has been imposed.</li> <li>5. As such, O.A. itself seems to be infructuous.</li> <li>6. At this juncture, Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant appeared and requested to keep the matter on 21.09.2021 since his senior is not available today.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.20/2021 in OA No.55/2018 &amp; OA No.56/2018</u></p> <p>A.T. Mane N.B. Kamble ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants file affidavit dated 10.9.2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate states that respondents had filed RA No.9 of 2021 in the above OAs and the same has been dismissed by order 5.8.2021.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.9.2021</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1033 of 2015</u></p> <p>T.D. Tayade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Rankhambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks extension of time to carry out the amendment which was granted as per order dated 24.8.2021 in MA No.104 of 2020 in the above OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.247 of 2021 in O.A. No.220 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Taware ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.321 of 2020 in O.A. No.736 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces letter dated 3.2.2021 regarding sending proposal for Time Bound Promotion of the applicant to the DPC for consideration. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.9.2021</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.231 of 2020 in O.A. No.218 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.B. Vaidya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.9.2021 17.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.336 of 2021 in O.A.No.203 of 2021 with O.A.No.203 of 2021</b></p> <p>M.R. Chavan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the 15 Applicants pray that they may be allow to implead the name of their colleague Smt. Dipti Padmakar Harad in the array of Applicants at Serial No.16, as she is similarly situated.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Permission granted to amend the O.A. Amendment be carried out within one week and thereafter amended copy be served upon learned P.O. forthwith.</p> <p>5. In view of above M.A. is allowed. O.A. adjourned to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.598 of 2020</p> <p>P.R. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 29.10.2021 at paragraph 8 and 10 of the said order learned P.O. submits affidavit-in-reply on the point as to whether show cause notice was issued to the applicant before his termination by the Deputy Director of Sports.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.10.2021 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.125 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.I. Ingale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents requests for time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 and 3.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.664 of 2021</b></p> <p>B.Y. Hegade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</li> <li>3. Pursuant to the order dated 07.09.2021 and 08.09.2021 wherein the status quo was granted learned C.P.O. makes a statement that the Department will be taking necessary corrective action in the matter.</li> <li>3. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member(A) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.803 of 2018</p> <p>R. K. Javkar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 465 of 2021</p> <p>S. M. Shinde <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, O.A. is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</li> <li>3. O.A. is therefore be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 16.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.493 of 2021</p> <p>S. G. Parab <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is take on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.520 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. Joshi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 though served is absent.</p> <p>3. Since the Respondent No.1 has already filed reply, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.10.2021.</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.398 of 2021</p> <p>Dr B. M. Dahiphale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">           ^            Sd/-            W            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2021</p> <p>B. S. Suryawanshi <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.276 of 2021 in O.A.No.489 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. R. Asawa <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply in M.A. as well as O.A. by way of last chance.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.175 of 2020</p> <p>M. J. Mohite <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge holding for Shri Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-  v  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.264 of 2021 in O.A.No.512 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. Chaubhe <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. Sonawane <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.478 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R. M. Fulzalke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.178 of 2021 in O.A.No.490 of 2020</p> <p>S. R. Patil <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.316 of 2021 in O.A.No. 658 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P. R. Kshirsagar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. No.658/2021 for deemed date of promotion.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.10.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No. 601 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. Pawar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</li> <li>3. Respondent No.4 though served is absent.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.626 of 2021</p> <p>G. N. Sarode <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.612 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. B. Bansode <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- VV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.696 of 2021</p> <p>V. V. Gaikwad <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.10.2021.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.09.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.61 of 2020 with M.A. No.325 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>N. D. Bhosale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4 are already filed.</li> <li>4. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</li> <li>5. In so far as Respondent No.5 is concerned, he joined in personal capacity and also filed M.A.No.325/2020 to delete his name since the order of punishment is passed in official capacity.</li> <li>6. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Respondent No.5 has passed the order of punishment out of bias and he has material to substantiate the same.</li> <li>7. In view of above, it would be appropriate to hear the O.A. on merit and along with O.A., M.A.No.325/2020 will be considered.</li> <li>8. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 01.10.2021 for Rejoinder.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.404 of 2021</p> <p>A. C. More <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Respondent No.2 has filed reply.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 is not required.</li> <li>4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 16.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>