

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1096/2019</p> <p>P.D. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Pursuant to our queries in the order dated 07.08.2023, with regard to paragraph 6(a), learned Advocate has submitted that the application for appointment on compassionate ground on Class-III post was submitted by the Applicant on 04.04.1986 and with regard to answer for query at paragraph 6(b) learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has submitted fresh application on 25.11.1986.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant's prayer for appointment as Junior Clerk was rejected by letter dated 11.11.1988. The said refusal was not challenged. Applicant should have challenged the first refusal dated 11.11.1988. However it is not done so. Applicant filed O.A.No.810/2015 and O.A.No.308/2017 for deemed date.</p> <p>4. The Applicant has earlier filed O.As seeking deemed date, however it appears that both the O.As were disposed of. Learned Advocate states that the Applicant has submitted the detail representation to the Commissioner of Police for deciding deemed date</p> <p align="right"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>and then the proposal was rejected by order dated 08.02.2019 and therefore he has challenged the said order. We are of the view that he should have challenged basically the order dated 11.11.1988 within time. By filing the fresh representation the date of cause of action was artificially extended till 2011. In the year 1988 the applicant was aware that the prayer for grant of deemed date for appointment as Junior Clerk as on 01.09.1986 was rejected. The Applicant should have approached the Tribunal that time only. Moreover, the Applicant did not accept the appointment under protection or without prejudice. In fact he did not work as Junior Clerk since 1980 to 1989. We do not find breach of any vested legal right of the Applicant. The submissions of learned Advocate that the nomenclature was changed from Paharekari to Security Guard (Suraksha Shipai) on 26.05.1997 in view of the G.R. dated 26.05.1987 issued by the Home Department and therefore he wanted to be treated as Paharekari, Class-III are not correct. The Applicant was appointed in the year 1986 i.e. prior to the said G.R. and G.R. is made applicable prospectively from the date it is issued. Hence, the case of the Applicant cannot be covered in the G.R. from the date of issue of G.R. i.e. 26.05.1987.</p> <p>5. We are of the view that this O.A. suffers with laches and delay, hence O.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 21/2022 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Ravita B. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S Mahajan, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant pursuant to the advertisement dated 3.1.2019 issued by the Respondents applied for the post of Krushi Sevak from VJ (A) category. He prays that the Respondents be directed to issue appointment order in favour of the applicant to the post of Krushi Sevak VJ(A) category.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the name of the applicant was in the waiting list and his claim was rejected on the ground that the wait list has expired. Learned counsel has submitted that the result was declared on 17.1.2020 and it lapsed on 16.1.2021. The same was informed by the Respondents to the applicant by letter dated 17.12.2021. Learned counsel submitted that however, on account of Covid-19 Pandemic, the period of limitation was extended by the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.1.2022 in SUO MOTU WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 3 OF 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel has drawn our attention to the decision of this Tribunal dated 30.11.2021 in O.A 204/2021 & Ors, B.P Nimgire & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors, wherein it was held that the period of limitation which was declared by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Petition is also applicable to the waiting list and hence the said period which was excluded is to be considered for the extension of the waiting list. Learned counsel further relied on the judgment dated 21.10.2022 in O.A 312/2022 & Ors, Shri R.N More & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors, and also decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 23.12.2022 in W.P 12844/2019, Ashwini G. Waghmare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Learned counsel submits that this Original Application was filed on 9.1.2022. Learned counsel submitted that as per G.R dated 13.6.2018 the wait list has lapsed</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned C.P.O submits that in the present case the posts of Krushi Sevak in Latur District are all filled up and as on today no vacancy is available. He relied on the affidavit in reply of September, 2022 filed on behalf of Respondents No 1 to 3 by Popal K. Mane, Assistant Administrative Officer in the office of Divisional Joint Director, Agriculture, Latur, wherein in para 5, it is stated that two candidates, i.e., Shamal Ramesh Bhandwalkar and Gitanjali Sudamrao Lad from VJ (A) category are mentioned. Though these two candidates were selected for the post of Krushi Sevak, Shamal R. Bhandwalkar did not join and Gitanjali S. Lad did not appear for the verification of documents and hence no appointment order of Gitanjali S. Lad was issued and these two posts are vacant. Learned C.P.O also relied on para 6 of the affidavit in reply.</p> <p>6. In the course of arguments it is found that the specific instructions from the department on the following points are required and they are directed to file a short affidavit.</p> <p>(a) Whether the post of Shamal Ramesh Bhandwalkar and Gitanjali Sudamrao Lad are still vacant?</p> <p>(b) Whether these two posts were advertised at any time in any advertisement after 3.1.2019.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant points out that on 11.8.2023 the Respondent-State has issued advertisement for the post of Krushi Sevak in Latur District and one post is reserved for female VJ (A). Hence, one post is still vacant.</p> <p>8. S.O to 23.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1019/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Milind P. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Medical Officer, applied for the post of Deputy Director, Health Services, Maharashtra Medical and Health Services, Group-A, vide advertisement dated 8.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays by way of interim relief the Respondents may be directed to allow the applicant to appear for interview for the post of Deputy Director, Health Services, Maharashtra Medical and Health Services, Group-A, to be held on 17th & 18th August, 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant possessed the necessary qualification as per clause 3.4.1 of the Advertisement. He states that clause 3.4.2 of the Advertisement is not in consonance with the Recruitment Rules and it does not categorically state that applicants who are in the Pay Band of S-23 are eligible to apply for the said post.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O submits that there are 12 posts advertised and 58 candidates were shortlisted for verification of documents. The applicant's name figures in the said list. She clarified that the said list is prepared for verification of documents in the ratio of 1 : 5. From the list of 58 candidates, 41 candidates were found eligible for interview and the name of the applicant has been excluded from, the list of eligible candidates for interview, because he does not possess the Pay Band, S-23 for Group-A officer. She further submits that the applicant had no grievance when the said advertisement was issued on 8.10.2021 and he should have raised it then and not at later stage when his name was excluded from the list of eligible candidates and he was held ineligible for interview.</p> <p>6. We find substance in the submissions of the learned C.P.O that in the Recruitment Rules of the year 1981, there was no concept of Pay Band and it was</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>introduced now as per the 7th Pay Commission which has come into effect from 1.1.2016 which has been rightly adopted by M.P.S.C</p> <p>7. Hence, the prayer of the applicant for granting interim relief is rejected.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.9.2023</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 14 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>13. S.O to 7.9.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Meena Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 15/2023 & Ors</u></p> <p>Smt Surekha K. Kuklare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, Shri O.M Lonkar and Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms Tejaswini Satpute, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter-2, is present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Dere submits that in the year 2022 the plea was taken by the learned Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, that 1750 persons were relieved in the year 2022 on the basis of Inter-District request transfer and there is a lack of man power with the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. Learned counsel submits that as per information during the period 2022 till today 1800 candidates have been recruited as well as by way of transfer from SRPF to the office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. Learned counsel further submitted that 129 persons have opted for cancellation of their NOC to Inter State District and therefore, they remained in Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 11.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1011/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Sagar M. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in earlier O.A 860/2023, the applicant has challenged the order dated 30.6.2023 by which he was transferred from Yerwada Central Prison to Chandrapur. The said order was stayed by this Tribunal by order dated 19.7.2023 for want of approval from the immediate superior authority. Liberty was granted to the Respondents. The said Original Application was dismissed by order dated 4.8.2023 in view of the order dated 21.7.2023, which was not the subject matter of that Original Application.</p> <p>3. After getting the approval from the immediate superior authority the applicant's earlier order of transfer from Yerwada to Chandrapur is maintained and therefore he challenges that order. Learned counsel submits that he wants to place on record order dated 21.7.2023, which is served on the applicant today.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel seeks permission to amend the Original Application. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>5. S.O to 31.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 759/2021 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Aswhini Wavhal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Thombre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S Mahajan, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that all the applicants 1 to 22 have got appointment and only applicant No. 22 Anand V. Bade who has applied in Open Sports Category is remained to be appointed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.8.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 612/2023</u></p> <p>Anna S. Khade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.9.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 438, 4389 & 493/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.R Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that nothing remains in the present Original Applications and it has become infructuous as the interim relief was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 28.4.2023.</p> <p>3. However, Respondents are directed to file a short affidavit in reply. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 31.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gavgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.872/2023</p> <p>S.J. GorveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate.</p> <p>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that in order dated 07.08.2023 the name of Applicant is wrongly written as 'S.J. Gore' and same is to be substituted as 'S.J. Gorve'.</p> <p>4. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.08.2023

O.A.No.134/2023

M.H. MoreApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.C. Prabhu, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Applicant retired as Special Land Acquisition Officer challenges order dated 31.12.2020 passed by the Respondent No.1 in the Departmental Enquiry by deducting 40% from pension permanently. The question is put to learned Advocate whether order dated 31.12.2020 passed by the Disciplinary Authority is challenged before the Appellate Authority i.e. His Excellency the Governor.

3. Learned Advocate has submitted that this order is not challenged before the Appellate Authority. Learned Advocate has submitted that as per this order an amount of Rs.11,008/- p.m. will be deducted from his pension in 96 installments. This recovery notice is issued on 03.08.2023 by the Pay and Accounts Department.

4. Applicant retired on 01.03.2002 and for the period from 01.03.2002 till 31.07.2023 (approx. 20 years) the pension is payable to him. Applicant is required to challenge the order of the Disciplinary Authority before the Appellate Authority. Hence, O.A. is not maintainable. The period during which the Applicant has filed this O.A. and prosecuting the case before the Tribunal is to be excluded on the point of delay.

5. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.264/2023</p> <p>V.D. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Aditya Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. In view of circulation dated 17.08.2023 matter is adjourned to 24.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">17 Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1007/2023</p> <p>G.V. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K. Upasane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who is Superintendent of Police, Head Quarters, Motor Transport challenges G.R. dated 04.08.2023. By this G.R. the Government has decided to transfer the post of SPMT (HQ) to Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that in view of the G.R. dated 04.08.2023 the Applicant is going to be displaced. Therefore he seeks protection by way of interim relief. Learned Advocate on this point has relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Fertilizer Corporation Kamgar Union (Regd) Sindri Anr. Versus Union of India & Ors. reported in 1981 SCC (1) 568. (para 71).</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has submitted that Respondent No.3, the Director and Additional Director General of Police, Wireless and Motor Transport, Pune has written letter dated 24.08.2022 that two posts of additional S.P.M.T. (H.Q) are not to be transferred as those posts are very much required. Learned Advocate has submitted that the opinion of Respondent No.3 is important and the same was not considered which is arbitrary and unreasonable.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. We disagree with learned Advocate that not considering the opinion of Respondent No.3 is arbitrary. We are not inclined to grant any protection however, after filing the reply learned Advocate may press for interim relief

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.09.2023.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to 07.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 507/2023 in O.A 481/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Ramesh Mote & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed to amend the Original Application as per the Schedule containing the draft amendment. Learned counsel seeks leave to add the consequential G.R dated 14.3.2023 in the prayer clause of the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be submitted on the other side. Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. Original Application is not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of the learned counsel for the applicant as Misc Application is on Board today.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel prays that the orders dated 10.7.2023 and 30.6.2023 discontinuing the services of the applicants No. 1, 3 and 6 are to be quashed and set aside by restoring status-quo ante. Learned counsel for the applicants shows service of notice on the Respondents on 7.8.2023. The services of the applicants No. 1, 3 & 6 were discontinued on 30.6.2023 and 10.7.2023. Learned counsel submits that applicants No. 2, 4, 5, 7 & 8 are apprehending that same order of discontinuation will be passed. The applicants are working as Craft Instructors for more than 4 to 9 ½ years on temporary and contract basis.</p> <p>6. As per G.R dated 7.4.2022 the Government has taken decision of creating the Staffing Pattern of 15489 regular posts and 5857 posts by outsourcing and this Staffing Pattern was approved by G.R dated 7.4.2022.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O while answering our query has submitted that advertisement was issued on 17.8.2022 for 1457 post of Craft Instructors and the process is going on.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Learned counsel for the applicants relied on the order dated 5th July, 2023 in W.P 7862/2023, and prays for interim relief.

9. Prima facie as per order dated 5.7.2023 in W.P 7862/2023, we pass the following order:-

"The balance of convenience lies in favour of the applicants No. 2, 4, 5, 7 & 8 and therefore, the services of the applicants are protected in the quota of 5457 posts which are going to be filled up by outsourcing."

10. S.O to 24.8.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Alen

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.757 of 2023</p> <p>R.R. IgaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that matter be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.728 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. PataneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. to take information about the decision taken on the representation made by the Respondent No.2 on 24.04.2019 and in case the representation is yet to be decided then inform the Respondents to decide the Representation and then file Affidavit-in-Reply</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 697/2023 with Caveat No. 21/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Rajendra R. Tryambake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr Rajendra R. Tryambake, applicant is present in person. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 518/2023 in O.A 615/2023</u></p> <p>Dattaguru G. Pilankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking to amend the Original Application as per Schedule annexed hereto.</p> <p>3. Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out by tomorrow.</p> <p>4. O.A 615/2023 is kept on Board on 21.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.78/2023 in O.A.No.271/2023</p> <p>S.B. DeshmukhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time in view that file is pending with the Hon'ble Minister since 03.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.56/2023 in O.A.No.614/2014</p> <p>D.E. HirdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.35/2021 in O.A.No.229/2019 with O.A.No.408/2022</p> <p>A. Nazirkar & ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Ors. learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.408/2022 on the point how the candidate in wait list are accommodated. Taken on record. Copy is served upon the learned Advocate Mr. Dere.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.416/2020</p> <p>D.D. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 and 5 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Public Health Department is made Party Respondent on the last date and hence he wants to take instructions from newly added Respondent to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.452/2022</p> <p>D.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere seeks two weeks time to serve the Private Respondents and file affidavit of service..</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 31.08.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.94/2022 with O.A.No.249/2022</p> <p>S.S. Zade & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale has filed rejoinder on 07.08.2023. Learned Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi seeks two weeks time to file sur-rejoinder. However, we are unable to give two weeks time. Learned Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi to file sur-rejoinder on 21.08.2023.</p> <p>3. O.As. will be taken up for hearing on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.36/2021 in O.A.No.1029/2014 to C.A.No.40/2021 in O.A.No.1033/2014 with C.A.No.5/2021 in T.A.No.1/2020</p> <p>R.S. BidkarApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, C.A. adjourned to 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.220/2023 in O.A.No.291/2023</p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Snehal N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p align="center">SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>2. Not on board. Sue moto taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Jadhav.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Jadhav has submitted circulation dated 17.08.2023 for speaking to minutes. Learned Advocate has submitted that in order dated 08.08.2023 the name of 'Mr. R.G. Panchal' is to be substituted by 'Snehal N. Vaidya'</p> <p>4. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.310/2023 with O.A.No.311/2023</p> <p>N.B. Rathod & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter is preponed and adjourned to 30.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.6/2023</p> <p>S.Y. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to tomorrow i.e. 18.08.2023 for taking instructions from the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has suggested that the order dated 14.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal is to be taken into account by the Respondent-State which may be decisive issue in this O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.523/2023 in O.A.No.1006/2023</p> <p>S.B. Yewale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.729/2021</p> <p>A.S. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter though adjourned taken up at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Dere.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere files vakalatnama. He states that he will appear along with learned Advocate Mr. Kulkarni in the present O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 31.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.523 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.427 of 2023 in O.A. No.755 of 2023</p> <p>N.G. KabadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri N.G. Kabadi, Applicant-in-Person is present. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is also present. 3. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. 4. Time as prayed is granted. 5. S.O. to 01.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.268 of 2023</p> <p>M.A. Chopade & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.431 of 2023 in O.A. No.783 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. SasneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.281 of 2023 in O.A. No.176 of 2021</p> <p>S.C. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed in O.A. however, seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. M.A. is filed regarding condonation of delay of 645 Days caused in filing O.A. 4. Time as prayed is granted. 5. S.O. to 01.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2023</p> <p>S.R. SalveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed. 4. O.A. is admitted for Final Hearing with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any. 5. S.O. to 06.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.278 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that instructions have been received and Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed in few days.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.385 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. BhoiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.447 of 2023 in O.A. No.827 of 2023</p> <p>V.G. Ballal & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. which is regarding condonation of delay. 4. S.O. to 04.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.656 of 2023</p> <p>D.S. ShelarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.727 of 2023</p> <p>A.D. MehetreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.512 of 2023 in O.A. No.917 of 2023</p> <p>K.R. SawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply regarding M.A. which is filed for condonation of delay of four years caused in filing O.A. 4. S.O. to 04.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.238 of 2023 in O.A. No.377 of 2023 with M.A. No.246 of 2023 in O.A. No.377 of 2023</p> <p>U.S. Bhagwat A.A. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed regarding condonation of delay of Four years and Seven months caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time as prayed is granted as most last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.137 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. ChouguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that O.A. was dismissed in default on 13.01.2023 but restored on 25.04.2023. She seeks two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.272 of 2023 in M.A. No.230 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022 to O.A. No.788 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. Pednekar, K.D. Garud A.K. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. This M.A. is filed regarding condonation of delay of 895 Days caused in filing O.A. 4. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.. Time as prayed is granted. 5. S.O. to 06.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="786 741 1019 780">Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p data-bbox="873 819 1442 857">M.A. No.76 of 2023 in O.A. No.132 of 2023</p> <p data-bbox="786 896 1523 1012">G.B. Nerkar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="786 1089 1523 1269">1. Heard Shri M.S. Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.I. Patel/A.L.Y. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="786 1321 1523 1527">2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has served copy to the Office of learned C.P.O. and learned P.O. states that para-wise instruction has been received from the Respondent and seek two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p data-bbox="786 1579 1133 1617">3. S.O. to 31.08.2023.</p> <p data-bbox="1166 1682 1498 1836" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="786 1901 841 1939">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="787 759 1015 794">Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p data-bbox="863 835 1446 912">M.A. No.29 of 2023 in R.A. No.01 of 2023 in O.A. No.87 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="787 952 1523 1065">M.M.Y. DaruwalaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="787 1146 1523 1584" style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Learned P.O. states that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply to the M.A. and sought one week time for the same.4. S.O. to 24.08.2023. <p data-bbox="1166 1640 1495 1788" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="787 1854 831 1890">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.919 of 2023</p> <p>K.J. DevkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.12685 of 2018 by its order dated 11.07.2023 has advised the Applicant to approach the Tribunal and further has also excluded the period from 29.11.2017 till 25.07.2023 for the purpose of limitation with regard to filing of O.A. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.09.2023. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 07.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>